Dakota County 2040 Comprehensive Plan (DC2040) Amendment

The following revisions were made for consistency with the Dakota County 2040 Transportation Plan.

Pages	Plan Revision		
ii	Goals: updated to match Transportation Plan update, with changes to:		
	Goal 3.4 Replacement <u>and Modernization</u> of Deficient Elements of the System		
	Goal 3.5: Transit and Transitways (removed other modes, covered throughout other goals)		
ii	Updated map, Future Functional Classification of Highways		
11-12 Updated purpose and background context			
	Added information on impacts of COVID-19 pandemic to transportation systems		
14-15	Updated Transportation Guiding Principles to match Transportation Plan update:		
	Combined Context-Sensitive Design and Complete Streets Principles		
	Added ADA Transition Plan Principle		
	Added Transportation Technology		
15-17	Goals: updated to match Transportation Plan update, with changes to:		
	Goal 3.4 Replacement and Modernization of Deficient Elements of the System		
	Goal 3.5: Transit and Transitways (removed other modes, covered throughout other goals)		
17	Updated descriptive statistics of County system		
18	Updated map, Dakota County Transportation System		
19	Updated descriptive statistics based on functional classification		
21	Updated map, Existing Functional Classification		
22	Updated map, Recommended Future Functional Classification		
23	Updated table, County Highway Mileage by Type		
25	Updated map, Access Spacing		
26	Updated description and findings from Dakota County Travel Demand Model to 2020 version		
27	Added language on State Trunk Highway expansion needs		
28	Updated map, Existing Traffic Volumes		
29	Updated map, Projected 2040 Traffic Volumes		
31	Updated map, Future County Expansion Needs		
33	Updated descriptive statistics on mode integration		
34	Updated map, Transit Market Areas		
35	Updated language on current plans for the METRO Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit		
36	Updated language on alternatives for the Robert Street Transitway		
38	Updated description of the Apple Valley Transit Station		
39	Added information on bus garages in Dakota County		
44	Updated map, Existing Pedestrian and Bicycle System		
45	Updated map, Gaps in the Existing County Pedestrian System		
46	Updated map, Gaps in the Existing County Bicycle System		
48	Updated language on Pedestrian and Bicycle Study, added approaches for filling gaps		
49	Updated map, Planned County Pedestrian System		
50	Updated map, Planned County Bicycle System		
53	Updated language on autonomous and connected vehicles		
57	Updated language on twin trailer truck routes		
58	Updated map, Tiered Truck Corridors in Dakota County		
59	Updated map, Ten-Ton Highways		
62-66	Updated State, Regional, and County studies and findings		
66	Updated language on Capital Improvement Plan to reflect 2020-2024 Plan.		
154-170	Updated tables to current 2020-2024 Transportation Capital Improvement Plan		
217-227	Updated demographic forecasts by transportation analysis zones		

Additional Revisions Made after Public Review (October 20 to December 21, 2020)

All maps were updated with technical changes and basemap revisions from additional jurisdictional transfers occurring in the second half of 2020.

Notification of the draft Comprehensive Plan Amendment availability for review was sent to affected and adjacent jurisdictions, including:

County Communities	Adjacent Communities	Independent School Districts
Apple Valley	Bloomington	191; Burnsville-Eagan-Savage
Burnsville	Cottage Grove	192; Farmington
Castle Rock Twp.	Credit River Twp.	194; Lakeville
Coates	Denmark Twp.	195; Randolph
Douglas Twp.	Grey Cloud Island Twp.	196; Rosemount-Apple Valley-Eagan
Eagan	New Market Twp.	197; West St. Paul-Mendota HtsEagan
Empire Twp.	Newport	199; Inver Grove Heights
Eureka Twp.	Savage	200; Hastings
Farmington	St. Paul	252; Cannon Falls
Greenvale Twp.	St. Paul Park	6; South St. Paul
Hampton	Hennepin County	659; Northfield
Hampton Twp.	Ramsey County	
Hastings	Scott County	Watershed Management Orgs.
Inver Grove Heights	Washington County	Black Dog WMO
Lakeville		Capitol Region WD
Lilydale	Out-of-Region Communities	Eagan-Inver Grove Heights WMO
Marshan Twp.	Cannon Falls	Lower Minnesota River WD
Mendota	Cannon Falls Twp.	Lower Mississippi River WMO
Mendota Heights	Goodhue County	North Cannon River WMO
Miesville	Northfield	Ramsey Washington Metro WD
New Trier	Northfield Twp.	Scott County WMO
Nininger Twp.	Rice County	South Washington Watershed District
Randolph	Stanton Twp.	Vermillion River Watershed JPO
Randolph Twp.	Webster Twp.	
Ravenna Twp.	Welch Twp.	
Rosemount		
Rural Collaborative	Agencies	
Sciota Twp.	MN DNR	
South St. Paul	MN DOT	
Sunfish Lake	MN Valley Transit Authority	
Vermillion		
Vermillion Twp.		
Waterford Twp.		
West St. Paul		

Three comments were received specifically on the Comprehensive Plan Amendment.

Scott County:

Scott County will be completing a Countywide Transit Plan by the end of the first quarter of 2021. One recommendation being developed into the plan is to work with partners to implement service along the CSAH

42 corridor between Scott County and Dakota County. At the regional policy level, the plan calls for working with partners to establish a long term BRT Corridor Vision on CSAH 42.

Staff Response:

Additional language has been added to the County Plans and Studies section, under the East West Transit Study: The CSAH 42 Corridor has also been identified as a priority for improved transit service by the Dakota County Regional Chamber of Commerce Transit Study as well as the draft Scott County Transit Plan.

City of St. Paul:

Pp11-12 Anecdotally, I've heard that regular route bus ridership is at about 90% of pre-COVID levels, though commuter bus ridership is still way down. Statement that transit ridership dropped 90% and has been slow to recover may be misleading (certainly would be in St. Paul, where most ridership is on local routes). Consider consulting with Metro Transit to improve the accuracy of this statement about COVID impacts on transit.

Staff Response:

Staff will continue to monitor ridership levels with the Minnesota Valley Transit Authority (MVTA) and Metro Transit as the pandemic impacts continue to evolve. As of the end of 2020, MVTA reported that ridership was significantly lower than pre-COVID levels, noted particularly for express routes. Metro Transit was compiling their annual ridership report for 2020 during the review period, although anecdotal information indicated that special transit services remained robust (Metro Mobility), and express routes were lower than pre-COVID levels.

Washington County:

Page 3-36: This text about Red Rock and the results of various studies is inaccurate, please consider including the text in red for accuracy. Red Rock Transitway (Bus Rapid Transit) — The planned Red Rock Corridor connects St. Paul, Hastings and communities in Washington County along TH 61. In 2014, the Red Rock Corridor Commission completed an update to an earlier alternative analysis, identifying bus rapid transit as the preferred transit mode for the corridor. In 2016, the Red Rock Corridor Commissioner completed an Implementation Plan which included near term and long term recommendations to achieve full Bus Rapid Transit implementation. This plan does not suggest that extension of service to Hastings is supportable in the near- to medium-term. Intermediate efforts for improved service in the corridor include expansion of express service and park and ride capacity to establish a larger ridership base. Dakota County was a member of the Red Rock Corridor Commission through 2017 and participated in its ongoing planning activities. In 2017, the Dakota County Regional Railroad Authority left the Commission; staff continues to monitor Commission activities for any actions that may affect the County.

Staff Response:

Given the County's changing role in transit, no changes were needed for the plan.