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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Background

Understanding the needs of residents is important to Dakota County government, so it has conducted a regular, periodic survey of residents' opinions. This report includes Dakota County survey results dating back to 2001. In 2016, Dakota County was joined by Washington County, St. Louis County, Olmsted County, and Scott County, working together with the National Research Center, Inc. (NRC), to develop a survey instrument with a set of shared questions, as well as questions unique to each County.

The Dakota County Resident Survey was administered by mail to 3,500 randomly selected households in February 2016, distributed among the seven County Commissioner Districts. Of the approximately 3,384 households that received a survey in the mail (the other surveys were sent to vacant households), 987 surveys were completed, providing a response rate of $29 \%$.

Because Dakota County has administered a resident survey before, comparisons could be made between 2016 responses and those from prior years. The most recent survey was conducted in 2013. Generally, comparisons between surveys are made through the conversion of ratings to a 100 -point scale. Dakota County also elected to have its results compared to those of 43 other counties around the nation, comparisons made possible through NRC's national benchmark database. This database contains resident perspectives gathered in resident surveys from approximately 500 jurisdictions, including cities and counties.

## Key Findings

Dakota County residents continued to enjoy a high quality of life and feel safe in the county.
$>$ Dakota County residents continued to rate their overall quality of life very highly; the average rating was 79 on a 100-point scale, which is between "good" and "excellent." Additionally, quality of life ratings were higher than the benchmark compared to other counties in the nation and similar to ratings given in 2013.
$>$ When asked what they liked most about living in Dakota County, the most common responses were: location, general quality of life and parks/lakes.
$>$ Residents were given a list of 11 general characteristics of the county and asked to rate the quality of each. Most County characteristics received favorable ratings from residents and several aspects increased over time. Outdoor recreational opportunities were regarded as positive by more residents in 2016 as were "a sense of community" and "the economic health of the county". Moreover, most of the ratings for County characteristics were much higher than what was seen in the national county benchmarks.
$>$ Residents were asked how safe they felt from different types of crimes, as well as safety in different county locations. Almost all of the items received an average rating that was equal to "somewhat" safe or better. Four of the eight safety ratings were compared to safety ratings in other counties across the country; Dakota County was rated much higher than the benchmark for each item. Where trends were available, ratings remained similar between 2013 and 2016 .

## Housing is a concern for Dakota County residents.

$>$ When asked to write in comments related to the most serious issue facing the County, the second-most commonly cited concern was affordable housing, named by $11 \%$; the first most commonly cited concerns were related to crime and safety, named by $12 \%$.
$>$ Availability of affordable housing was also the lowest-rated County characteristic among the list of 11 characteristics and has decreased in 2016 compared to 2013 (49 in 2016 versus 53 in 2013).
$>$ Residents were asked to indicate whether they thought their household would be better off financially, worse off or the same a year from now. A quarter of residents thought they would be better off while a majority ( $55 \%$ ) thought it would be the same. Roughly one-fifth indicated they thought they would be worse off financially.
$>$ As it related to housing options if residents needed to move from their current home, a single-family home was the most acceptable option provided. The least acceptable option to residents was living with family members away from their current community.

## Residents applaud Dakota County services.

$>$ The overall quality of services provided by the county was evaluated positively with an average rating of 66 on a 100-point scale, or about the same as "good" on a scale of "excellent," "good," "fair," and "poor." This rating was much higher than the benchmark comparison and higher than ratings given in 2013.
$>$ Nine of the 11 services rated in 2016 could be compared to 2013 and ratings were generally similar; however, three differences emerged: self-service options on the County Web site and assessment process/property tax system received higher average ratings in 2016 compared to 2013 and Sheriff deputies patrol services received a lower average rating in 2016 than in 2013.
$>$ Of the 10 individual County services for which benchmark comparisons were available, Dakota County received ratings that were much higher than the all-county benchmarks for seven services. The services that received lower ratings than the benchmarks were services to older adults and Sheriff deputies patrol services, while financial assistance for low-income families was similar.
$>$ The services receiving the highest ratings were County parks and recreation, libraries, and trail and bikeway systems. The assessment process/property tax system received the lowest ratings.
$>$ When asked to rate a set of services provided by the County that had been used by the participant in the last two years, records, vital statistics, licensing and vehicle registration received the highest marks. Meanwhile, services for people experiencing mental illness received the lowest marks.

## Residents think highly of County employees and continued to give positive ratings to various aspects of County government performance.

$>$ The $34 \%$ of respondents who reported having contacted a County government office in the last 12 months were asked to give their impression of the employee with whom they most recently had contact. The average rating for each aspect of their impression of County employee(s) ranged from 73 to 76 . The rating for employee(s) being courteous increased in 2016 compared to 2013. The average rating for employee(s) being courteous was similar to the county benchmark and all other aspects were rated higher or much higher than the national county average.
$>$ When asked to rate several aspects of Dakota County government performance, ratings on the 100-point scale for the perception of the County Government were between "good" and "fair," where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent." Five of the nine aspects of Dakota County government performance could be compared to counties across the nation and each of these five aspects were rated much higher than the benchmark (i.e., the job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars; the County generally acting in the best interest of the community, etc.).

## Residents voiced strong opinions about County fiscal management and planning.

$>$ When asked to rate the importance of the County investing in open spaces, residents gave an average rating of 80 (between "very important" and "essential") to using this investment to protect lakes, streams and wetlands from pollution. A similar importance rating was given to protecting and managing high-quality natural areas (such as forests, prairies, water bodies, etc.).
$>$ As it related to library programs and services, about 8 in 10 residents reported that it was "essential" or "very important" for the County to provide popular titles/current library materials and early literacy/reading skills promotion and resources. Among the least important rated library services were technology to create
and edit music and videos and streaming movies and music (online). When compared over time, a variety of programs and services received higher importance ratings in 2016 compared to 2013. The programs or services with higher importance ratings included: information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers ( 63 in 2016 versus 50 in 2013), community activities/information (63 in 2016 versus 57 in 2013), health and wellness programs and resources ( 60 in 2016 versus 55 in 2013), English as a second language resources and services ( 55 in 2015 versus 48 in 2013), and author/performance arts programs ( 47 in 2016 versus 40 in 2013).
$>$ A vast majority of residents "strongly" or "somewhat" supported the County investing in projects related to transit. The degree of strong support increased between 2013 and 2016 and the degree of strong opposition declined in the same timeframe. When asked about the barriers to using transit services in the County, about half of residents stated that they did not need it. About a quarter of residents reported that there wasn't service close enough to where they lived and no service where they wanted to go. Very few residents stated that fare pricing clarity and affordability were barriers to using Dakota County transit.

## Residents provided valuable insights about recycling preferences.

$>$ Survey participants were asked a series of questions related to their recycling habits in Dakota County. The first question asked residents to provide their top three reasons for not using the Recycling Zone facility in the last 12 months. A quarter of residents stated they were simply unfamiliar with the facility and a similar percentage stated that they hadn't used the facility because they didn't want to pay fees.
$>$ When asked what measures would make residents more inclined to recycle, about 8 in 10 residents said they would be more likely to recycle if they were given specific information about what can be recycled. Slightly fewer said they would be more likely to recycle if the recyclables were picked up weekly. Alternatively, almost 4 in 10 residents said that saving money with the option of a smaller trash container would not make them more likely to recycle any more than they currently do.
$>$ Dakota County also wanted to know which option residents most supported for diverting food waste from landfills. Three-quarters of residents "strongly" or "somewhat" supported the option of haulers collecting food waste curbside in a separate container for pickup while two-thirds "somewhat" or "strongly" opposed the option of residents collecting the food waste and driving it to a community drop-off-site.

## Survey Background

## Survey Purpose

The Dakota County Resident Survey provides residents the opportunity to rate the quality of life in the county, as well as service delivery and their satisfaction with County government. The survey also permits residents to provide feedback to the government about what is working well and what is not, and to share their priorities for community planning and resource allocation.

In 2016, Dakota County collaborated with Washington, Olmsted, Scott and St. Louis Counties on this survey project. The five counties worked together with the National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) to develop a survey instrument with a set of shared questions, as well as questions unique to each County. This report presents Dakota County's results. Comparisons of any questions asked by at least one of the other four participating counties can be found in Appendix D: Comparison with Other Participating Counties.

## Methods

The Dakota County Resident Survey was administered by mail to 3,500 randomly selected households within the county in February 2016, distributed among the seven County Commissioner Districts. Of the approximately 3,384 households that received a survey in the mail (the other surveys were sent to vacant households), 987 surveys were completed, providing a response rate of $29 \%$. For the first time 2016 the survey was available online accessible via a web link provided on the cover letter accompanying each wave of the mailed survey. About seven percent of the completed surveys were web-based (70); average rates for web-based responses vary but typically range from $2 \%$ to 10\%. The survey instrument itself appears in Appendix H: Survey Instrument.

Survey results were weighted so that respondent race, ethnicity, age, gender, housing tenure (rent or own), and housing unit type (attached or detached) were represented in the proportions reflective of the entire county, and then adjusted to match the appropriate proportions by County Commissioner District. (For more information see Appendix A: Survey Methodology.)

Responses to any open-ended questions and "other" responses appear verbatim in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

## How the Results Are Reported

## "Don't Know" Responses and Rounding

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents could give an answer of "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies and is discussed in the body of this report if it is $20 \%$ or greater. However, the "don't know" responses have been removed from the tables and analyses presented in the body of the report. In other words, the tables and graphs only display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

For some questions, respondents were permitted to select multiple responses. When the total exceeds $100 \%$ in a table for a multiple response question, it is because some respondents are counted in multiple categories. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the customary practice of rounding percentages to the nearest whole number.

## Putting Evaluations onto a 100-point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative or frequency questions were made on four- or five-point scales with " 1 " representing the best rating, the scales had different labels (e.g., "essential," "excellent," "very safe"). To make
comparisons easier, many of the results in this summary are reported on a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone reported "excellent" for quality of life, then the result would be 100 on the $0-100$ scale. If the average rating for quality of life was "fair," then the result would be 33 . The new scale can be thought of like the thermometer used to represent total giving to United Way: the higher the thermometer reading, the closer to the goal of 100 . In this case, a score of 100 would be the most positive response possible.

## Precision of Estimates

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). The $95 \%$ confidence interval for this survey is generally no greater than plus or minus three percentage points around any given percent and no greater than plus or minus two points for any average rating on the 100-point scale for the entire sample ( 987 completed surveys). For any given subgroup from the survey, the margin of error rises to as much as plus or minus $10 \%$ or six points (on the 100 -point scale) for a sample size of 100 to plus or minus $5 \%$ or three points (on the 100 -point scale) for 400 completed surveys.

## Comparing Survey Results by Respondent Subgroups

Selected survey results were compared by certain demographic characteristics of survey respondents and by the seven County Commissioner Districts in which respondents lived. Some comparisons are discussed throughout the body of the report and are presented in tabular form in Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics (where differences between subgroups are statistically significant, the results in these tables are shaded grey).

## Comparing Survey Results Over Time

Dakota County survey data were collected by telephone in 2001, 2004, 2006 and 2008. In 2011, the County switched data collection from telephone to mail and continued with mail in 2013 and 2016. As a consequence of the switch in methodology, a decline from 2008 to 2011 in virtually all ratings was both expected and observed. In the previous survey administration by telephone in 2008, a small sample of residents was surveyed by mail to explore the magnitude of the differences between telephone and mail survey responses in Dakota County. Using 2008 survey research conducted by NRC in Dakota County that compared mail and telephone responses, as well as NRC's analysis of national trends comparing telephone and mail responses, NRC adjusted the findings from 2001 to 2008 to maximize the comparability of results over time. This way the reported trendline data are not influenced by the decline that is attributable to the change in data collection mode from telephone to mail. Additional information on comparing previous survey results can be found in Appendix A: Survey Methodology.
Differences of four or more points on the 100-point scale among average ratings between 2016 and 2013 and differences of six percentage points or more among percentages are considered meaningfully different.

## Comparing Survey Results to Other Jurisdictions

Jurisdictions use the comparative information provided by benchmarks to help interpret their own residential survey results, create or revise community plans, evaluate the success of policy or budget decisions and measure local government performance. NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in resident surveys from approximately 500 jurisdictions whose residents evaluated local government services. Conducted with typically no fewer than 400 residents in each jurisdiction, opinions are intended to represent more than 30 million Americans. Dakota County chose to have comparisons made to other counties across the nation, where comparisons could be made. Additional information regarding benchmark comparisons can be found in Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons.

## Comparison of Dakota County to the Benchmarking Database

Jurisdictions to which Dakota County is compared can be found in Appendix G: List of Counties in the Benchmark Comparisons. National county benchmark comparisons have been provided when similar questions on the Dakota County survey are included in NRC's database and there are at least five counties in which the question was asked. There are 51 other counties in the NRC benchmark database. The demographic and socio-economic characteristics of some counties included in the benchmark are similar to Dakota County and some are not, but all the benchmark counties share the same goal: being recognized for providing high-quality services to residents.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, Dakota County's results were generally noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark, or "similar" to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably higher or lower than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much" (for example, "much lower" or "much higher"). These labels come from a statistical comparison of Dakota County's rating to the benchmark where a rating is considered "similar" if it is within the margin of error; "higher" or "lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is greater than, but no more than twice the margin of error; and "much higher" or "much lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is more than twice the margin of error.

## REPORT OF Results

## Quality of Life and Community

Survey respondents were asked to rate their overall quality of life in Dakota County. On a 100-point scale where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent," Dakota County's average rating was 79, similar to the rating given in 2013, but the highest average rating since this question was first asked in 2001.

Dakota County's overall quality of life was much higher than ratings given by other counties across the nation. (Please see Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons.)

Ratings given by respondents living in the seven different County Commissioner Districts were compared. Residents in Commissioner District 3 were more likely than their counterparts in other districts to positively rate Dakota County's overall quality of life. Ratings were also compared by a selection of demographic characteristics of the survey respondents. Dakota County residents who owned their home generally gave more positive ratings to overall quality of life than residents who rented. A full list of comparisons by district and respondent characteristics can be found in Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics.

Figure 1: Quality of Life Compared by Year


The scale on the 2001 survey was "excellent," "good, " "only fair," and "poor."

Residents were asked to identify one thing that they liked most about living in Dakota County. Prior to 2011, this was an open-ended question where respondents were able to answer using their own words, and the telephone interviewer reported the response in the most appropriate category. The most frequently selected categories from previous surveys comprised most of the response options on the 2011, 2013 and 2016 mailed surveys, from which respondents were instructed to choose one option from the list provided. When a respondent identified an unlisted, or "other," item, the response was captured verbatim. These responses appear in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

The location of the county was at the top of the list with about a third of respondents reporting it as the one thing they liked most. Other more common responses were the quality of life in general ( $21 \%$ ) and parks/lakes ( $10 \%$ ). Fewer than $10 \%$ of respondents selected each of the remaining seven categories listed on the survey.

When compared to previous years, location remained the most-liked characteristic of living in Dakota County and remained stable compared to 2013 ratings. Much of the variability over time in percentages and relative order likely is attributable to the change in question formatting.

Figure 2: Like Most About Living in County Compared by Year

| What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County? | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | 36\% | 38\% | 31\% | 26\% | 28\% | 27\% | 31\% |
| Quality of life in general | 21\% | 16\% | 22\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Parks/lakes | 10\% | 7\% | 9\% | 10\% | 8\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| My neighborhood | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Small town feel | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Open space | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Schools | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 8\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Rural character | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% | 22\% |
| Low taxes | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| People | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Other | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 9\% | 8\% | 15\% | 0\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

In 2016, 2013 and 2011, this question was presented as fixed response (i.e., respondents were presented a list of items from which to choose), whereas, between 2008 and 2001, this question was open-ended (i.e., no list presented). It is important to note that "location" was the first item listed (top left) on the survey; while we cannot determine that this placement influenced the results, there is potential that placement of options has an impact on the overall results.

## County Characteristics

When asked to rate various characteristics as they related to the County as a whole, most characteristics received average ratings that were between "good" and "fair" on a 100-point scale, where zero is equal to "poor," 33 equals "fair," 67 represents "good," and 100 is equivalent to "excellent."

Dakota County as a place to live received the highest average rating (78 on the 100-point scale or between "good" and "excellent") and remained stable since 2013. Dakota County as a place to raise a family and outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County received the next highest average ratings ( 76 and 75 respectively on the 100-point scale, or hovering right around "good"). Availability of affordable housing, openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds and Dakota County as a place to retire were rated least positively ( 49 and 57 and 58 respectively on the 100-point scale, or between "fair" and "good").

When comparisons to 2013 were available, ratings were generally stable but a few differences emerged.
Characteristics that were rated higher in 2016 compared to 2013 included outdoor recreational opportunities ( 75 points on the 100-point scale in 2016 versus 68 in 2013), economic health ( 65 in 2016 versus 60 in 2013), and sense of community ( 61 in 2016 versus 57 in 2013). The rating for the availability of affordable housing decreased in 2016 compared to 2013 (49 in 2016 versus 53 in 2013).

Note that at least $20 \%$ of respondents reported "don't know" when asked about the County as a place to work (26\%), and only slightly fewer selected "don't know" when asked about Dakota County as a place to retire (21\%). (Please see Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies as results presented in the report body are for the survey respondents who expressed an opinion.)

When compared to counties across the nation, ratings tended to be much higher in Dakota County for all available comparisons except for one, Dakota County as a place to retire, which was rated similar to the benchmark. (Please see Figure 4 on the following page).

Where differences emerged among the geographic areas, survey respondents from County Commissioner District 3 were more likely to positively rate Dakota County as a place to live and to raise a family, the County's openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds and the economic health of Dakota County. Residents from District 1 were more likely to negatively rate these same aspects as well as the overall image or reputation of Dakota County. Ratings were also compared by a selection of demographic characteristics of the survey respondents. Survey respondents who owned their homes were more likely to positively rate a number of characteristics of Dakota County than those who rented. (Please see Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics.)

Figure 3: Average Ratings of County Characteristics Compared by Year

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Average rating (0=poor, $100=$ excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 78 | 77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 76 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 75 | 68 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 68 | 66 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 65 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sense of community | 61 | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 58 | 60 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 57 | 58 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of affordable housing | 49 | 53 | 49 | 47 | 46 | 50 | NA |

Prior to 2016, "outdoor recreational opportunities" was "recreational opportunities" and "availability of affordable housing" was "availability of quality affordable housing." New items in 2016 were "Dakota County as a place to work," "Dakota County as a place to raise a family," and "availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options." All other questions were new in 2011 except for "availability of affordable housing." These questions were not asked in 2001.

Figure 4: County Characteristics Benchmarks

|  | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | Much higher |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to work | Much higher |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | Much higher |
| Economic health of Dakota County | Much higher |
| Sense of community | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | Similar |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | Much higher |
| Availability of affordable housing | Much higher |

## Community-wide Problems

County residents responding to the survey evaluated a list of problems as being a "major problem," a "moderate problem," a "minor problem," or "not a problem" in Dakota County. When converted to the 100-point scale where zero equals "not a problem" and 100 equals "major problem," most items in the list of problems were thought to be between a "minor" problem or "not a problem" (between 47 and 27). Taxes were viewed as most problematic, with an average rating of 47 on the 100-point scale, while homelessness was viewed as least problematic, with an average rating of 27 .

Note that about one in five respondents said "don't know" when asked to rate how problematic homelessness was in the county. The complete set of responses to this question appears in Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies.

When comparisons to 2013 were available, ratings generally remained stable.
Respondents from Commissioner District 1 were more likely to report crime and taxes as problems than their counterparts. Where differences emerged, residents who lived in Dakota County for more than 10 years generally gave higher ratings for problems than those living in the County for five or fewer years (see Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 5: Average Ratings of Problems Compared by Year

| Please rate, to what degree, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ not a problem, $100=$ major problem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Taxes | 47 | 48 | 51 | 50 | NA | 45 | NA |
| Traffic congestion | 41 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 50 | 52 | NA |
| Poverty | 40 | 39 | 36 | 32 | 36 | 33 | NA |
| Crime | 38 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 44 | 38 | NA |
| Traffic safety | 36 | 34 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Homelessness | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

problem." These questions were not asked in 2001. A new item in 2016 was "homelessness."

## Most Serious Issue Facing Dakota County

When asked about the most serious issue facing Dakota County, crime and safety issues and affordable housing were considered top current issues by survey respondents.

In 2016 and in previous years, this was an open-ended question where respondents were able to provide an answer in their own words. The responses were captured verbatim and grouped into categories that best fit the response. These responses appear in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions. In 2011, this question was presented as a fixed-response where respondents were presented with a list of items from which to choose; the list was based on responses to the open-ended question from previous surveys.

Taxes and crime and safety issues topped the list in 2013, and while crime and safety topped the list in 2016, taxes were considered as a lesser issue for the County than in 2013 ( $10 \%$ in 2016 versus $16 \%$ in 2013). Fewer than 1 in 10 residents wrote in comments related to each of the other issues listed in Figure 6 on the following page. Comments related to growth/development issues increased in 2016 compared to 2013 ( $8 \%$ in 2016 versus $0 \%$ in 2013) but this percentage is still lower than percentages seen in all prior years (2001 to 2011).

Because of the methodological change (open-ended question in 2016, 2013 and in previous years versus fixedresponse options in 2011), it is recommended that the reader make little of the differences between the 2013 responses compared to 2011 responses and the 2011 responses compared to responses to the open-ended question posed in 2008 and earlier. When provided a list to choose from, a respondent may bypass their first thought (say, "taxes") as the list reminds them of a more serious issue (like their jobs), while in an interview or given the opportunity to write anything, a respondent would more likely stay with their first thought. In 2016, 559 of the 987, or $57 \%$ of survey respondents opted to write in a response; this percentage is similar to the percentage that opted to write in a response in 2013 (58\%; 470 of the 804 survey respondents).

Figure 6: Most Serious Issue Facing Dakota County Compared by Year

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing <br> Dakota County at this time? | Percent of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crime and safety issues | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 2011 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Affordable housing | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Taxes | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Growth/development | $10 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Sense of community, diversity, etc. | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Condition of roads | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Traffic congestion | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Schools | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Homelessness and poverty | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Economic development | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Public transportation | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Updating infrastructure | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jobs | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Government spending | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Pollution/environmental concerns | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Quality of county services | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Noise pollution | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| No issue | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

These percentages represent the 604 respondents who chose to write in a response. In 2011, this question was presented as fixed response (i.e., respondents were presented a list of items from which to choose), whereas, between 2008 and 2001 along with 2013 and 2016, this question was open-end. In 2013, "crime and safety issues" was "crime" and "sense of community, diversity, etc." was "sense of community." New items in 2016 were "pollution / environmental concerns,""quality of county services," "homelessness and poverty,""public transportation," and "noise pollution."

## Safety in Dakota County

Residents were asked how safe they felt from different types of crimes, as well as safety in different county locations. Responses to this question were converted to the 100 -point scale where zero equals "very unsafe," 33 is equal to "somewhat unsafe," 67 represents "somewhat safe," and 100 is equivalent to "very safe." Almost all of the items received an average rating that was equal to "somewhat" safe or better. The lowest average rating was for feelings of safety from identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) (average rating of 61 or between "somewhat safe" and "somewhat unsafe") while the highest average rating was for feelings of safety from domestic violence (average rating of 84 or between "somewhat safe" and "very safe").

Four of the eight safety ratings were compared to safety ratings in other counties across the country; Dakota County was rated much higher than the benchmark for each item. Where trends were available, ratings remained similar between 2013 and 2016.

Residents from Commissioner District 1 gave lower ratings to their feelings of safety from violent crimes, illegal drug activity, identity theft and the feeling of safety while using Dakota County parks and trails. Those living in detached housing generally gave higher ratings for safety than their counterparts in attached housing units (see Appendix E:
Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics).
When a respondent identified an unlisted, or "other," type of crime or location, the response was captured verbatim. These responses appear in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

Figure 7: Average Ratings of Perceptions of Safety in Dakota County by Year

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel from the following in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $100=$ very safe) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| From domestic violence | 84 | 83 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| While in your neighborhood | 83 | 80 | 75 | 83 | 84 | 86 | 78 |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 81 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 73 | 79 | NA |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 78 | 78 | 78 | 76 | 77 | 78 | NA |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 72 | 70 | 72 | 72 | 67 | 72 | NA |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 72 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., manufacturing or selling drugs) | 68 | 66 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| From identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) | 61 | 62 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. Prior to 2016, "from identify theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft)" was "from identify theft." Safety "while in your neighborhood" was a separate question in 2008. "In Dakota County parks" and "on trails in Dakota County parks" were combined in 2006 as follows: "on Dakota County parks and trails" and remained for 2008 and 2011 but was reworded in 2013 and 2016 to "while using Dakota County parks and trails." In 2001, a question was asked about "How safe would you feel walking alone in your neighborhood in general?" with a response scale of "very safe," "reasonably safe," "very unsafe." "Other, please specify" and "while driving on roads within Dakota County" were new items in 2016.

Figure 8: Safety Benchmarks

|  | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | :---: |
| While in your neighborhood | Much higher |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | Much higher |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | Much higher |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | Much higher |

## Health Concerns

As in previous years, respondents were asked to rate health concerns in Dakota County. Average ratings for almost all listed health concerns, where zero equals "not at all a concern" and 100 equals "major concern," fell between a "moderate" and "minor" concern; social isolation, tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) and environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) were least concerning, with ratings of 35, 36 and 36, respectively.

Note that at least one in five respondents said "don't know" when asked if social isolation, underage alcohol use, bullying, illegal use of prescribed medications, the health and support of older adults, the health and support of people with disabilities, abuse and neglect of children and older adults, and spread of infectious diseases, were of concern in the county (see Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies).

Thirteen of the 16 health concerns in 2016 could be compared to 2013 survey results and ratings were generally stable; however, the ratings of concern for three health issues increased in 2016. These health issues were tobacco use (36 in 2016 versus 31 in 2013), the health and support of persons with disabilities ( 45 in 2016 versus 41 in 2013), and the health and support of older adults ( 46 in 2016 versus 42 in 2013).

Respondents living in Districts 4 and 6 generally gave lower ratings to the list of health concerns than residents in other districts. Ratings were also compared by a selection of demographic characteristics of the survey respondents. Residents aged 55 and older were more likely than their younger counterparts to cite the following issues as major health concerns: environmental hazards, social isolation, underage alcohol use, alcohol abuse among adults, illegal drug use and use of prescribed medications, quality of parenting skills, bullying, abuse and neglect of older adults and children, the health and support of older adults and persons with disabilities, and overweight adults and children (see Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 9: Average Ratings of Health Concerns Compared by Year

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Overweight adults and children | 56 | 58 | 59 | 58 | 55 | 54 | NA |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 50 | 51 | 42 | 38 | 46 | 37 | NA |
| Illegal drug use | 50 | 49 | 55 | 57 | 63 | NA | NA |
| Bullying | 48 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 48 | 47 | 52 | 46 | 38 | 37 | NA |
| Underage alcohol use | 47 | 48 | 55 | 60 | 61 | 57 | NA |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 47 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The health and support of older adults | 46 | 42 | 53 | 47 | 56 | NA | NA |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 45 | 43 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 45 | 41 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications | 44 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 44 | 44 | 48 | 46 | NA | NA | NA |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 38 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 36 | 37 | 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 36 | 31 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 49 | NA |
| Social isolation | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. In 2013, "tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco)" was "tobacco use" and in 2006, "tobacco use" was "youth tobacco use;" in 2006 and 2004 "underage alcohol use" was "underage drinking;" "overweight adults and children" was "obesity." In 2013 and prior, "environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste)" was "exposure to pollution in the water." In 2004, "quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17" was "inadequate care and parenting for infants and young children" and in 2006, 2008 and 2011 was "inadequate care and parenting for infants and young children." New questions in 2016 included '"depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses," "Social isolation" and "illegal use of prescribed medications."

## Household Financial Status

Survey participants were asked to indicate if they thought their household would be better off financially, worse off, or about the same a year from now. More than a quarter of respondents thought their household would be better off financially in a year, about one in five thought their household would be worse off, and about half thought their household finances would be about the same.

When compared to 2013, residents' outlook was similar in 2016.
Figure 10: Financial Status Compared by Year


## Volunteering in the Community

As in 2013, respondents to the 2016 survey were asked if they had volunteered in any community organization in the past year. Around half of residents reported volunteering at least once a month in the past year and a similar amount reported that they had not volunteered at all. When compared to 2013, rates of volunteering were similar.

Figure 11: Volunteering in the Community Compared by Year
In the past year, have you volunteered in any community organization like a faith community, neighborhood group, non-profit service organization, local government, or school association?


This was a new question in 2013 and was worded as "In the past year, have you volunteered in any community organization like a church, neighborhood group, social service agency or school association?"

## Evaluation of Government Services

Residents completing the survey were asked a series of questions related to Dakota County government, leadership, employee performance, and the quality of County services.

## County Government

As in previous surveys, respondents indicated the extent to which they approved or disapproved of the job the Dakota County Board is doing. When converted to the 100 -point scale where zero represents "strongly disapprove" and 100 equals "strongly approve," the average rating for how well the Dakota County Board is doing was 67, equivalent to "somewhat approve," on average. This rating was similar to the 2013 rating ( 67 in 2016 versus 68 in 2013).

Please note that $38 \%$ of respondents reported "don't know" when asked this question (see Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies).

When compared to the other counties in the benchmark, Dakota County's Board received higher approval ratings than were seen elsewhere.

When compared to the four other counties that were partners with Dakota County in this survey project, Dakota County's approval rating was similar to Washington County, but higher than St. Louis County (Scott County and Olmsted County did not ask this question in 2016). (Please see Appendix D: Comparison with Other Participating Counties for more information.)

Figure 12: Average Ratings of County Board Approval Compared by Year


In 2001, this question was worded differently: "From what you know, do you approve or disapprove of the job the County board is doing? And do you feel strongly that way?" and the response options were: "approve/strongly," "approve," "disapprove," "disapprove/strongly."

Survey respondents were asked to rate several aspects of Dakota County government performance. Ratings on the 100-point scale for the perception of the County Government were between "good" and "fair," where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent." Respondents rated the job the County does of providing information to residents and supporting the quality of life positively, with an average rating of 63 on the 100 -point scale for each item. The County generally acting in the best interest of the community also received positive ratings from residents (61). The lowest rated aspect of government performance was the job Dakota County government does at listening to residents with an average rating of 52 .

Note that for a number of items a high percent of respondents reported "don't know" when asked to rate each item: the job Dakota County government does at listening to residents ( $41 \%$ said "don't know"), the job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars (29\%), effectively planning for the future of the county (27\%), and the value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County (21\%). (Please see Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies.)

Compared to 2013, ratings in 2016 were similar.
Five of the nine aspects of Dakota County government performance could be compared to counties across the nation. Dakota County was much higher than the benchmark in each of these five aspects: the job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents; the job Dakota County government does of listening to residents; the value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County; the job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars; and generally acting in the best interest of the community.

When compared to the four other counties that were partners with Dakota County in this survey project, Dakota
County's government performance ratings for each of the nine aspects were generally higher. (Please see Appendix $D$ : Comparison with Other Participating Counties for more information.)

Figure 13: Average Ratings of Perception of Government Compared by Year

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance: | Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 63 | 61 | 57 | 52 | 59 | 60 | 64 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 63 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 61 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 60 | 60 | 55 | 64 | 53 | NA | NA |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 60 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 55 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 48 | 54 | 43 |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 54 | 52 | 44 | 45 | 48 | 51 | NA |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 52 | 54 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 47 | NA |

Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. "The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents" was "the job Dakota County government does at informing residents" in 2011; "the value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood" was "the importance of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood" in 2011. Items added in 2016 included "supporting the quality of life in the county" and "effectively planning for the future of the county." Before 2011, respondents were asked how strongly they agreed or disagreed with several statements. As with the response scale and question wording, the wording of the items also changed: the job Dakota County government does at listening to residents was "feel Dakota government listens to citizens," the value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County was "feel I receive good value for the Dakota taxes I pay," the job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars was "overall, I feel that Dakota County does a good job of managing tax dollars," and "the importance of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood" was "feel that the services provided by Dakota County are important to the quality of life in my neighborhood."

Figure 14: Perception of County Government Benchmarks

|  | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | Much higher |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | Much higher |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | Much higher |
| The job Dakota County does at managing tax dollars | Much higher |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | Much higher |

## Overall Quality of County Services

Residents rated both specific County services and the overall quality of services provided by Dakota County. The average rating of 66 on the 100 -point scale for overall quality of County services was much higher than the national benchmark and higher than ratings given in 2013.

Figure 15: Average Ratings of Overall Quality of County Services Compared by Year


## County Services

Respondents were asked to rate the quality of 11 County services. When converted to a 100 -point scale where zero is equal to "poor" and 100 represents "excellent," average scores for six services were above 67 (or "good"): County parks and recreation (average rating of 84), County libraries (82), trail and bikeway systems (78), services at the Recycling Zone (73), snow and ice removal on County roads (68), and Sheriff deputies patrol services (68). Average ratings for other County services rated in 2016 by residents were between "fair" and "good" on the 100-point scale.

Note that a relatively large proportion of respondents said "don't know" when asked to rate the following County services: trail and bikeway system (24\%), services at the Recycling Zone (24\%), self-service options on County Web site ( $50 \%$ ), assessment process/property tax system ( $24 \%$ ), addressing important health issues in communities ( $37 \%$ ) and prosecuting people accused of crimes ( $38 \%$ ). (See Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies.)

When comparisons to the benchmarks were available, Dakota County services ratings were generally much higher; however, two services were rated much lower (Sheriff deputies patrol services and services provided to older adults), and one was similar (financial assistance for low-income families ).

Nine of the 11 services rated in 2016 could be compared to 2013 and ratings were generally similar; however, three differences emerged: self-service options on the County Web site and assessment process/property tax system received higher average ratings in 2016 compared to 2013 and Sheriff deputies patrol services received a lower average rating in 2016 than in 2013.Please note that differences in ratings for Sheriff deputies patrol services could be, in part, due to changes in question wording over time.

Differences in ratings among residents living in various districts included: Commissioner Districts 3 and 4 generally gave higher ratings to County services, while residents from Districts 1 and 5 gave lower ratings. With respect to differences between demographic characteristics, survey respondents who owned their homes were more likely to give positive ratings to the services of Dakota County than their counterparts (see Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 16: Average Ratings of County Services Compared by Year

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby or Miesville) | 84 | 81 | 80 | 76 | 74 | 77 | 76 |
| County libraries | 82 | 84 | 80 | 81 | 78 | 80 | 82 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers Trail | 78 | 78 | 75 | 72 | 70 | 73 | 70 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 73 | 70 | 66 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 68 | 65 | 61 | 70 | 67 | 65 | 73 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | 68 | 77 | 70 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 72 |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | 66 | 60 | 64 | 60 | NA | NA | NA |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 61 | 63 | 56 | 53 | 57 | 59 | 63 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 49 | 43 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

New questions for 2016 included "addressing important health issues in communities" and "prosecuting people accused of crimes." In 2011
"services at the Recycling Zone" was "recycling and drop-off services at the Recycling Zone." In 2013, "County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitehall Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville)" was "County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Lake Byllesby)", "trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trail" was "trail and bikeway system like the Big Rivers trails" and "sheriff deputies patrol services" was "sheriff services." In 2001, a few of these question parts were separate questions: "County libraries" was "overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by the Dakota County libraries?" "County parks and recreation" was "in general, how would you rate the quality of the county park system?" "Trail and bikeway system" was "how would you rate the quality of the County trail and bikeway system?" "Sheriff deputies patrol services" was "in general, how would you rate the services provided by the Sheriff's department?" "Snow and ice removal on County roads" was "how would you rate the snow and ice removal operations on county roads?" In 2001, the scale for all was "excellent," "good," "only fair," "poor."

Figure 17: County Services Benchmarks

|  | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, <br> Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby | Much higher |
| County libraries | Much higher |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | Much higher |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | Much higher |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | Much higher |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | Much lower |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | Much higher |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., <br> or County Road 42) | Much higher |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | Similar |
| Services provided to older adults | Much lower |

## County Services by Recent Users

Residents were asked to rate several services provided by Dakota County if they had experienced them within the last two years. Prior to 2016 these items were included in the larger services question but were removed in 2016 and asked in a separate question for only those residents who had experienced these services within the last two years.

The most highly rated service used within the last two years by residents was records, vital statistics, licensing and vehicle registration with an average rating of 67 on the 100 -point scale where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent." The lowest rated service was services for people experiencing mental illness ( 40 on the 100 -point scale).

Note that a relatively large proportion of respondents said "don't know" when asked to rate all of these services.
"Don't know" percentages ranged from $21 \%$ for records, vital statistics, licensing and vehicle registration to $84 \%$ for financial assistance for low-income families and services for people with physical disabilities. (See Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies.)

Residents from District 1 were less likely to give a positive rating to services such a records, vital statistics, licensing and vehicle registration, as well as services for people experiencing mental illness. In general, women gave less positive ratings to the County services than men (see Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 18: Average Ratings of County Services by Recent Users Compared by Year

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 67 | 65 | 66 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 59 | 51 | 49 | 51 | NA | 58 | NA |
| Services for people with physical disabilities | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Services provided to older adults | 50 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 49 | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Services provided to veterans | 48 | 59 | 55 | NA | NA | NA | NA |


| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Average rating (0=poor, $100=$ excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 40 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Prior to 2016 these items were included in the larger services question but were removed in 2016 and asked in a separate question for only those residents who had experienced these services within the last two years. New items for 2016 included "services for people with physical disabilities" and "services for people experiencing mental illness." In 2013, "employment support / Workforce Center services" was "employment support services." In 2001, the scale for all was "excellent," "good," "only fair," and "poor."

## Access to County Services

As in 2013, 2016 survey respondents were asked to rate four dimensions of accessing Dakota County services and each dimension received a strong rating in 2016. The most highly rated aspect of access was the convenience of County facilities' locations with an average rating of 71 on the 100 -point scale where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent." The lowest rated aspect of access was the availability of language resources for access to services with an average rating of 67 .

When compared over time, ratings were generally stable; however, the rating for ease of paying for County services online increased in 2016 compared to 2013.

Note that a relatively large proportion of respondents said "don't know" when asked to rate the online access to County services (37\%), ease of paying for County services online (56\%) and availability of language resources for access to services ( $80 \%$ ). (See Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies.)

Figure 19: Average Ratings of Access to County Services Compared by Year


## Contact with County Government

As in past years, respondents were asked if they had visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government office within the previous 12 months. A similar percentage of residents in 2016 compared to 2013 reported contacting a government office. The proportion of residents contacting County government also was much less than contact, on average, in counties across the nation.

Figure 20: Government Office Contact Compared by Year


In 2001, this question was "During the past year, have you visited or telephoned one of these service centers [locations preceded the question]? Response scale was: "no;" "yes, visited;" "yes, telephoned;" "yes, both." In 2011, "email" was added as an additional method of contact. In 2013 and 2016, respondents were given the only options of "yes" and "no."

The $34 \%$ of respondents who reported having contacted a County government office were asked to give their impression of the employee with whom they had most recently had contact. Average ratings for all employee characteristics were above "good," or 67, on the 100-point scale. The average rating for employee(s) being knowledgeable was 76 points. Employee(s) being courteous and responsive received average scores of 75 and 73, respectively. Ratings for the overall impression of County employee(s) were similar in 2016 and 2013 along with ratings for employee(s) being knowledgeable and responsive; the rating for employee(s) being courteous increased in 2016 compared to 2013.

The average rating for employee(s) being courteous was similar to the county benchmark and all other aspects were rated higher or much higher than the national county average.

Figure 21: Overall Impression of Most Recent Contact with Dakota County Compared by Year


In 2001, the survey contained a question asking, "which department [in a government service center] did you contact or visit?" This question was then followed by "how would you rate the service overall?" Overall service had a response scale ranging from 1 to 5, with only values 5 (excellent) and 1 (poor) labeled.

Figure 22: Average Rating of Dakota County Employee Compared by Year
What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact?


In 2013, "knowledge" was changed to "knowledgeable," "courtesy" to "courteous" and "responsiveness" to "responsive."

Figure 23: Perceptions of County Employees (Among Those Who Had Contact) Benchmarks

|  | Comparison to benchmark |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledgeable |  | Higher |
| Courteous |  | Similar |
| Responsive |  | Higher |
| Overall impression |  | Much higher |

## Fiscal Management and Planning

A number of questions on the 2016 survey were aimed at helping County leaders set priorities for programs and services. Knowing where residents feel officials could trim, and where resources should remain, will aid County leaders in making key decisions. Generally speaking, survey research over many years in many communities shows residents tend to evaluate core services as being the highest priority and more important to fund, while services that are less visible or serve fewer residents tend to be considered less important. Relative order over time may shift slightly and may be influenced by current budget circumstances, but broad patterns typically remain stable over time.

## Importance of Investing in Open Spaces and Parkland

Those completing the survey were informed that since 2002, Dakota County has emphasized setting aside open spaces and parkland. They were asked to indicate how important it is for the County to continue investing funds in open spaces and parkland. On the 100-point scale (where zero equals "not at all important" and 100 equals "essential"), average ratings for protecting lakes, streams, and wetlands from pollution (80) and protecting and managing highquality natural areas (79) were equal to about "very important" or higher. When compared over time, the importance rating for protecting and managing high-quality natural areas increased in 2016 compared to 2013.

Figure 24: Importance of Investing in Open Spaces and Parkland Compared by Year
Since 2002, Dakota County has emphasized setting aside open spaces and parkland by dedicating small amounts of County funds in order to receive greater matching funds from non-County sources. How important, if at all, is it to continue investing County funds for these purposes:


In 2013, the question wording was "Since 2002, Dakota County has emphasized setting aside open spaces and parkland by dedicating small amounts of County funds in order to receive greater matching funds from non-County sources. How important, if at all, is it to continue investing County funds for these purposes." In 2016, "protect and manage high-quality natural areas (such as forests, prairies, water bodies, etc.)" was "protect the highest-rated natural areas" in 2013 and was "protect remaining natural areas" in 2011.

## Importance of Library Programs and Services

The survey asked residents about the importance of maintaining 14 library programs and services. Responses were converted to a 100-point scale where zero equals "not at all important" and 100 equals "essential." The highest rated items were providing popular title/current library materials and literacy/reading skills promotion and resources (both 71 on the 100 -point scale). The lowest rated items were technology to create and edit music and videos (33) and streaming movies and music (online) (35). Respondents were also given the option to rate an "other" program or service and write-in a response. These responses can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

When compared over time, a variety of programs and services received higher importance ratings in 2016 compared to 2013. The programs or services with higher importance ratings included: information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers ( 63 in 2016 versus 50 in 2013), community activities/information ( 63 in 2016 versus 57 in 2013), health and wellness programs and resources (60 in 2016 versus 55 in 2013), English as a second language resources and services ( 55 in 2015 versus 48 in 2013), and author/performance arts programs ( 47 in 2016 versus 40 in 2013).

Figure 25: Importance of Library Programs and Services by Year

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? | Average rating ( $0=$ not at all important, $100=$ essential) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 71 | 69 |
| Early literacy/reading skills promotion and resources | 71 | 68 |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 63 | 50 |
| Community activities/information | 63 | 57 |
| Technology access, computer classes and training | 62 | 65 |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 60 | 55 |
| English as a second language resources and services | 55 | 48 |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 55 | 44 |
| Consumer/government information | 55 | 48 |
| Author/performance arts programs | 47 | 40 |
| Movies, music and entertainment | 47 | 45 |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others | 44 | 43 |
| Streaming movies and music (online) | 35 | NA |
| Technology to create and edit music and videos | 33 | NA |
| Other | 54 | 62 |

In 2013, "library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others)" was "world language resources (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others)."

## Investing in Transit Projects

Survey participants were asked to indicate the extent to which they would support or oppose Dakota County investing in projects that make transit more convenient to use. A vast majority (77\%) "somewhat" or "strongly" supported this idea. More respondents offered "strong" support in 2016 compared to 2013, closer to what was seen in 2011.

Figure 26: Transit Projects Compared by Year


## Barriers to Transit Services in Dakota County

Residents were asked a new question in 2016 about what the top three barriers were to them for regular use of Dakota County transit services. Almost half of residents reported that they did not need transit services. Two percent or fewer residents felt that fare pricing was unclear and therefore a barrier to transit use or that it was too expensive to use.

Figure 27: Barriers to Using Transit Services in Dakota County
What are the barriers to you using transit services regularly (e.g., Metro
Transit/MVTA buses, Transit Link, the METRO Red Line?)


[^0]
## County Property Tax

When asked about support for or opposition to increasing property taxes to maintain services at current levels, more respondents opposed this idea (54\%) than supported it (46\%). Additionally, about four times as many respondents offered strong opposition as those who offered strong support (see Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies).

When compared over time, this level of support in 2016 was similar to ratings given in 2013.
Figure 28: Level of Support for County Property Tax Compared by Year


## Housing Options

Residents were asked to consider how acceptable a list of housing options might be if circumstances led to them moving from their current home. The most acceptable option given by almost all residents was move to a singlefamily home that they own in their community. The least cited housing option was living with family members away from their current community. Respondents were also given the option to include "other" housing option and writein a response. These responses can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

Figure 29: Average Ratings of Acceptable Housing
If you chose to move from your current home, please indicate how acceptable, if at all, each of the following housing options would be to you:


A similar question was asked in 2013, but due to changes in how the question was asked and the response options, comparisons over time have not been made.

When average ratings of acceptable housing were compared by respondent age, respondents aged 55 or older compared to younger residents were less likely to find a single-family home that they own in their community acceptable but more likely to find the following options acceptable: a condo or townhouse that they own in their community, a rental facility for age 55+ in their community and to live in an assisted-living residence in their community.

Figure 30: Average Ratings of Acceptable Housing Compared by Age

| If you chose to move from your current home, please indicate how acceptable, if at all, each of the following housing options would be to you: Average rating ( $0=$ not at all acceptable, $100=$ very acceptable) | Age |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ |  |
| A single-family home that I own in my community | 96 | 92 | 79 | 90 |
| A condo or townhouse that I own in my community | 60 | 71 | 73 | 68 |
| An apartment that I rent in my community | 38 | 38 | 39 | 38 |
| Live with family members away from my current community | 25 | 24 | 28 | 25 |
| A rental facility for age 55+ in my community | 31 | 50 | 63 | 54 |
| Live in an assisted-living residence in my community | 31 | 45 | 58 | 49 |
| Other | 26 | 61 | 71 | 59 |

Cells shaded grey indicate statistically significant differences between subgroups.

## Recycling in Dakota County

Residents completing the survey were asked a series of questions related to recycling in the county. The first question asked residents to match their top three behaviors related to use of the Recycling Zone facility in the last 12 months. A quarter of residents reported that they were unfamiliar with the facility and a similar percentage did not want to pay fees at the Recycling Zone. Around one-fifth of those who responded to the question reported that they do not use the Recycling Zone facility at all.

Figure 31: Use of the Recycling Zone


Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option. A similar question was asked in 2013 but due to changes in how the question was asked and the response options, over time comparisons have not been made.

Residents were also asked what would make them more likely to recycle more materials. More than half of residents said they would be "much more likely" or "somewhat more likely" (79\%) to recycle if they were given specific information about what can be recycled. A majority of residents reported that all of these recycling measures would make them at least "somewhat more likely" to recycle if implemented, though 4 in 10 residents stated that saving money with the option of a smaller trash container, or having more capacity to recycle with bigger containers would make them "no more likely" to recycle.

Figure 32: Use of the Recycling Zone
To what extent, if at all, would each of the following make you more likely to recycle more materials?


This question was first asked in 2016.

Additionally, residents were asked to consider their level of support or opposition for food waste diversion from landfills. A vast majority said they "somewhat" or "strongly" supported the diversion of food waste from landfills via haulers collecting food waste curbside in a separate container. Meanwhile, nearly 7 in 10 residents opposed residents collecting food waste and driving it to a community drop-off site as a means of diverting food waste from landfills.

Figure 33: Support to Divert Food Waste from Landfills


This question was first asked in 2016.

## Public Information and Communication

To understand the best ways to communicate with and inform residents about Dakota County government and its services, a series of questions about public information sources and access to communication methods was asked.

## Information Sources

Respondents were asked to indicate which top three methods they preferred as a way to receive information about Dakota County. Publications like mailed brochures were the most preferred methods to receive information about the County ( $51 \%$ ) followed by the County's mailed newsletter ( $46 \%$ ). Only four percent of respondents reported that they didn't want or need any information from Dakota County. Respondents were also given the option to rate an "other" information source and write-in a response. These responses can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

Figure 34: Preferences for Receiving Information about Dakota County

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option. This question was first asked in 2016.

## Potential Information Sources

Respondents were also asked what methods they believed Dakota County should use to reach residents and gain their insights and learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. About twothirds of residents suggested that public meetings/forums/open houses were the best way to communicate with residents whereas about $23 \%$ reported that advisory panels were the best mode of communication.

Figure 35: Preferred Methods to Communicate with Residents


This question was first asked in 2016. Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.

## Accessing Information on the Web

Residents were asked to rate the usefulness of information and functions (services that can be accessed online) on Dakota County's Website. When asked what information was most valuable to them on the County website, the most valuable items were information about parks (66\%) and recycling information (65\%). Where comparisons could be made over time, most of the listed types of information were deemed less valuable to residents in 2016 compared to 2013. Please note that differences in ratings over time could be, in part, due to changes in question wording over time.

When asked what functions would be useful to complete online on the Website, the most requested functions were renewing or applying for a license, permit, or other application (64\%), paying fees, fines or property taxes (52\%) and reserving park facilities (49\%). The usefulness of most of the functions on Dakota County's Website decreased compared to 2013 ratings where comparisons could be made.

Responses to the "other" types of information and services residents would like to access via the County website can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions.

In 2008 and earlier, this question was an open-ended question and in 2011 was converted to a set of fixed response options. In 2013 and 2016, these items were broken into two sets of questions; one regarding valuable information, the other useful tools or services. This change does limit the direct comparability of the data over time, so caution is advised regarding the interpretation of differences.

Figure 36: Useful Information on Dakota County's Web Site Compared by Year

| What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you? | Percent of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Information about parks | 66\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Recycling information | 65\% | 71\% | 68\% | 9\% | 3\% | NA | NA |
| Information about County services | 48\% | 64\% | 62\% | 11\% | 7\% | 10\% | NA |
| Road/bridge construction projects | 40\% | 62\% | 53\% | 5\% | 1\% | NA | NA |
| Property sales/information | 32\% | 53\% | 61\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | NA |
| Contacting County departments or staff | 27\% | 48\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Volunteer opportunities | 20\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Information about services for older adults | 19\% | 40\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Information about people charged with a crime | 17\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| County budget information | 13\% | 40\% | 40\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | NA |
| Information about the County Jail or its inmates | 9\% | 22\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| No access/don't use | 5\% | 3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | 2\% | 7\% | 8\% | 26\% | 39\% | NA | NA |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option. In 2013, the question wording was "what information would be useful to you on Dakota County's Web site" In 2016, "information about parks" and "information about people charged with a crime" were new items.

Figure 37: Useful Functions on Dakota County's Web Site Compared by Year

| What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site? | Percent of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Renew or apply for a license, permit, or other application | 64\% | 77\% | 67\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | NA |
| Pay fees, fines, or property taxes | 52\% | 61\% | 56\% | 8\% | 8\% | 4\% | NA |
| Reserve park facilities | 49\% | 58\% | 79\% | 18\% | 30\% | 39\% | NA |
| Apply for a library card and/or use other library resources | 43\% | 62\% | 59\% | 3\% | 5\% | 6\% | NA |
| Register for volunteer activities online | 32\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Receive your annual property tax notices by email | 28\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Online recording of vital records (birth, death, marriage) | 27\% | 40\% | 44\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Apply for public financial assistance | 13\% | 21\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Request copies or notarizing: property documents | 22\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Live chat support service online for property information | 11\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| No access/don't use | 4\% | 2\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | 1\% | 4\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option. 'Register for volunteer activities, " 'Receive your annual property tax notices by email, ' 'Live chat support service online for property information,' and 'Request copies or notarizing: property documents' were new in 2016.In 2011 "Reserve park facilities" was "Park information (e.g., reservation at a regional park facility)." This question was not asked in 2001.

## RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Frequencies for demographic questions appear below and on the following pages.
Figure 38: Respondent District


Figure 39: Respondent Length of Residency


Figure 40: Respondent Employment Status


Figure 41: Respondent Housing Unit Type
House attached


Figure 42: Respondent Housing Tenure


Figure 43: Respondent Ethnicity


Figure 44: Respondent Race

| What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you <br> consider yourself to be.) | Percent of <br> respondents | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | $2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ |
| Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander | $4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=41$ |
| Black or African American | $3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=28$ |
| White | $91 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=847$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=30$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.

Figure 45: Respondent Age


Figure 46: Respondent Gender


Figure 47: Presence of Children in the Household

| How many children age 17 years and under live in your household? | Percent of respondents | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| One | $25 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=142$ |
| Two | $22 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=124$ |
| Three | $9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=49$ |
| Four | $3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=16$ |
| Five or more | $1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=5$ |
| None | $41 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=236$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=571$ |

Figure 48: Presence of Adults under Age 65 in the Household

| How many adults under age 65 years, including yourself, live in your <br> household? | Percent of <br> respondents | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| One | $27 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=200$ |
| Two | $52 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=388$ |
| Three | $10 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=75$ |
| Four | $3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ |
| Five or more | $1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=7$ |
| None | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=62$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=752$ |

Figure 49: Presence of Older Adults Age 65 and Over in the Household

| How many persons age 65 years and over, including yourself, live in <br> your household? | Percent of <br> respondents | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| One | $24 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=107$ |
| Two | $20 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=89$ |
| Three | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=1$ |
| Four | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=0$ |
| Five or more | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=0$ |
| None | $56 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=254$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=451$ |

Figure 50: Household Income

| Please indicate your household's annual income: | Percent of respondents | Number |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 15,000$ |  | $5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=47$ |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 24,999$ | $5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=42$ |  |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 34,999$ | $6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=58$ |  |
| $\$ 35,000-\$ 49,999$ | $12 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=113$ |  |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $19 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=172$ |  |
| $\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ |  | $17 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=152$ |
| $\$ 100,000-\$ 149,999$ | $21 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=188$ |  |
| $\$ 150,000-\$ 199,999$ | $7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=68$ |  |
| $\$ 200,000$ or more |  | $7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=64$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=904$ |  |

## Appendix A: Survey Methodology

## Survey Instrument Development

Dakota County has conducted a general residential survey every two or three years for more than 20 years. The surveys ask recipients about their perspectives on the quality of life in the county, use of County amenities, opinion on policy issues facing the County and assessment of County service delivery. These surveys permit County staff and elected officials to hear from a broad range of the population. The 2016 resident survey instrument development process began with a review of the 2013 survey. A list of topics was generated for new questions; questions were developed and modified to find those that were the best fit for the 2016 questionnaire. In an iterative process between County staff and NRC staff, a final five-page questionnaire was crafted.

## Selecting Survey Recipients

"Sampling" refers to the method by which survey recipients are chosen. The "sample" refers to all those who had an equal opportunity to participate in the survey. All households located in the county boundaries were eligible for the survey. Because County governments generally do not have inclusive lists of all the residences in the jurisdiction (tax assessor and utility billing databases often omit rental units, for example), lists from the United States Postal Service (USPS), updated every three months, usually provide the best representation of all households in a specific geographic location. NRC used USPS residential mailing list data to randomly select the sample of households.

A larger list than needed was sampled so that a process referred to as "geocoding" could be used to eliminate addresses from the list that were outside the study boundaries. Geocoding is a computerized process in which addresses are compared to electronically mapped boundaries and coded as inside or outside desired boundaries. All addresses determined to be outside the study boundaries were eliminated from the sample. A random selection was made of the remaining addresses to create a final list of 3,500 addresses, each identified as being within one of the seven County Commissioner Districts.

Attached household units were over-sampled because residents of this type of housing typically respond at lower rates to surveys than do those in detached housing units.

An individual within each household was randomly selected to complete the survey using the birthday method. The birthday method selects a person (age 18 or older) within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys. This instruction was contained in the cover letter accompanying the questionnaire.

## Survey Administration and Response

Each selected household was contacted three times. First, a prenotification announcement, informing the household members that they had been selected to participate in the Dakota County survey, was sent. Approximately one week after mailing the prenotification, each household was mailed a survey and a cover letter signed by the Chair of the County Board of Commissioners enlisting participation. The packet also contained a postage-paid return envelope in which the survey recipients could return the completed questionnaire to NRC. A reminder letter and survey, scheduled to arrive one week after the first survey, was the final contact. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. Both cover letters contained a web link to the survey so respondents could opt to take the survey online (new in 2016).

The mailings were sent in February 2016 and completed surveys were collected over the following six weeks. About $3 \%$ of the 3,500 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable
to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 3,384 households, 987 completed the survey ( 70 of which were web responses), providing a response rate of $29 \%$; average response rates for a mailed resident survey range from $25 \%$ to $40 \%$. Additionally, responses were tracked by County Commissioner District. The following table shows the response rate for each district.

Table 1: Survey Response Rates by District 2016

| Commissioner <br> District | Number <br> mailed |  | Undeliverable <br> postcards | Delivered <br> surveys | Returned <br> surveys |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ristrict 1 | 500 | 16 | 484 | 139 | Response rate |
| District 2 | 500 | 16 | 484 | 122 | $29 \%$ |
| District 3 | 500 | 12 | 488 | 137 | $25 \%$ |
| District 4 | 500 | 16 | 484 | 176 | $28 \%$ |
| District 5 | 500 | 26 | 474 | 128 | $36 \%$ |
| District 6 | 500 | 18 | 482 | 144 | $27 \%$ |
| District 7 | 500 | 12 | 488 | 141 | $30 \%$ |
| Overall | 3,500 | 116 | 3,384 | 987 | $29 \%$ |

## 95\% Confidence Intervals

The $95 \%$ confidence interval (or "margin of error") quantifies the "sampling error" or precision of the estimates made from the survey results. A $95 \%$ confidence interval can be calculated for any sample size, and indicates that in 95 of 100 surveys conducted like this one, for a particular item, a result would be found that is within plus or minus three percentage points of the result that would be found if everyone in the population of interest was surveyed. The practical difficulties of conducting any resident survey may introduce other sources of error in addition to sampling error. Despite best efforts to boost participation and ensure potential inclusion of all households, some selected households will decline participation in the survey (potentially introducing non-response error) and some eligible households may be unintentionally excluded from the listed sources for the sample (referred to as coverage error).

While the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the survey is generally no greater than plus or minus three percentage points around any given percent reported for the entire sample and plus or minus two points on the 100-point scale, results for subgroups will have wider confidence intervals. Where estimates are given for subgroups, they are less precise. For each subgroup from the survey, the margin of error rises to as much as plus or minus $10 \%$ or six points (on the 100-point scale) for a sample size of 100 to plus or minus $5 \%$ or three points (on the 100 -point scale) for 400 completed surveys.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Mailed surveys were submitted via postage-paid business reply envelopes. Once received, staff assigned a unique identification number to each questionnaire. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; staff would choose randomly two of the three selected items to be coded in the survey responses dataset.

Once all surveys have been assigned a unique identification number, they are entered into an electronic dataset. This dataset was subject to a data entry protocol of "key and verify," in which survey data were entered twice into an electronic dataset and then compared. Discrepancies were evaluated against the original survey form and corrected. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

## Weighting the Data

The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey sample reflective of the larger population of the County. This is done by: 1) reviewing the sample demographics and comparing them to the population norms from the most recent Census or other sources and 2) comparing the responses to different questions for demographic subgroups. The demographic characteristics that are least similar to the Census and yield the most different results are the best candidates for data weighting. Several different weighting "schemes" are tested to ensure the best fit for the data. The data were weighted by housing tenure (rent or own), housing unit type (attached or detached), race, ethnicity, age, gender, and County Commissioner district. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the table on the following page.

Table 2: Dakota County Weighting Table 2016

| Characteristic | Population Norm ${ }^{1}$ | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Rent home | 24\% | 17\% | 23\% |
| Own home | 76\% | 83\% | 77\% |
| Detached unit ${ }^{2}$ | 62\% | 64\% | 62\% |
| Attached unit ${ }^{2}$ | 38\% | 36\% | 38\% |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Not Hispanic | 95\% | 98\% | 97\% |
| White | 88\% | 91\% | 88\% |
| Non-white | 12\% | 9\% | 12\% |
| White alone, not Hispanic | 86\% | 90\% | 86\% |
| Hispanic and/or other race | 14\% | 10\% | 14\% |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |
| 18-34 years of age | 29\% | 9\% | 26\% |
| 35-54 years of age | 42\% | 30\% | 40\% |
| $55+$ years of age | 29\% | 61\% | 34\% |
| Female | 52\% | 56\% | 52\% |
| Male | 48\% | 44\% | 48\% |
| Females 18-34 | 15\% | 6\% | 14\% |
| Females 35-54 | 21\% | 16\% | 20\% |
| Females 55+ | 16\% | 35\% | 19\% |
| Males 18-34 | 14\% | 3\% | 13\% |
| Males 35-54 | 21\% | 14\% | 20\% |
| Males 55+ | 13\% | 26\% | 14\% |
| District |  |  |  |
| District 1 | 14\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| District 2 | 14\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| District 3 | 16\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| District 4 | 14\% | 18\% | 14\% |
| District 5 | 16\% | 13\% | 16\% |
| District 6 | 12\% | 15\% | 13\% |
| District 7 | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% |

[^1]
## Data Analysis

The surveys were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software. Frequency distributions and averages are presented in the body of the report. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to breakdowns of selected survey questions by County. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of our sample represent "real" differences among those populations. Where differences between subgroups are statistically significant, they are marked with grey shading in the appendices.

## Comparing to Previous Survey Results

Dakota County survey data were collected by telephone in 2001, 2004, 2006 and 2008. In 2011, the County switched data collection from telephone to mail and continued with mail in 2013 and 2016. Switching data collection from telephone to mail was done to save costs, allow for more precise geographic sampling, cost-efficiently include cell phone-only households, gather more candid feedback and avoid interrupting residents with unwanted telephone calls. The growing rate of county households with only a cell phone challenged the County to ensure their inclusion, which is easier, less expensive and more accurate by mail than telephone.

Research is clear that a change in the method of survey data collection, by itself, will result in a change in results if the shift is from telephone administration to self-administration or vice versa. The change occurs even without change in resident perspectives and is attributed to the different environment that a survey respondent confronts when providing answers to a person on the telephone compared to offering anonymous opinions in private. Questions by telephone elicit more positive, optimistic, socially-desirable responses than do the same questions asked on a written self-administered questionnaire. The self-administered questionnaire brings out more candid responses.

As a consequence of the switch in methodology, a decline from 2008 to 2011 in virtually all ratings was both expected and observed. In the previous survey administration by telephone in 2008, a small sample of residents was surveyed by mail in order to explore the magnitude of the differences between telephone and mail survey responses in Dakota County. Using 2008 survey research conducted by NRC in Dakota County that compared mail and telephone responses, as well as NRC's analysis of national trends comparing telephone and mail responses, NRC adjusted the findings from 2001 to 2008 in order to allow comparability of results over time. This way the reported trendline data are not influenced by the decline that is attributable to the change in data collection mode from telephone to mail.

When results are reported as an average on the 100-point scale for a question that was asked similarly in previous years, a slight adjustment was made to permit direct comparison between telephone and mail results. (Results that are reported as percentages and compared over time were not adjusted.) While the adjusted findings for data prior to 2011 reasonably control for the expected change from telephone to mail data collection, the comparability of data over time does have some limitations. Not only is there sampling error in each survey administration, but also, the change in survey methods occurred after a three-year gap in survey administrations and some question wording was inconsistent among survey years. Important historic differences are noted in the appropriate tables and figures. (NRC also was able to introduce statistical adjustments for the data prior to 2011 to account for any question and scale differences when possible. These adjustments are based on NRC's analysis of resident surveys from across the nation.)

## Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended QuEstions

Following are verbatim responses to the open-ended questions on the survey. When applicable, responses have been grouped by the theme to which they were coded. The verbatim responses were not edited for grammar or punctuation.

Q2. What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County?

## Other (please specify)

- All.
- Bad road's.
- But like many things.
- CDA 55 \& apartments.
- Convenience to shopping.
- Dog parks.
- Gasoline prices low.
- Gotta live somewhere.
- Great police.
- I wish I lived in S.D.
- Library.
- My home born/raised.
- None.
- Quite space w/land \& all (most) necessities within 5 minutes.
- Safety (near police and fire department).
- Space/parking.
- Sr living.
- Safety


## Q5. What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time?

## Crime and safety issues

- (Hampton) drugs \& theft \& section 8 housing of criminals. Also dumping of sewage.
- Breakin's cars, homes, etc in good neighborhoods.
- Countless people running red lights- dangerous.
- Crime \& housing for 60-80 not subsidized.
- Crime \& poverty.
- Crime \& poverty.
- Crime at Antlers Park, Lakeville taxes, not enough lanes from Apple Valley to Eagon, and on 35 w, traffic on City rd 42 .
- Crime creeping in.
- Crime from people coming out of the cities (drugs, murder, theft, rape etc).
- Crime moving in-drugs.
- Crime moving south from St Paul.
- Crime, water in Hastings.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime/drugs.
- Crime/Drugs.
- Crimes related to poverty-domestics-drugs \& mental illness.-
- Crime - however that is being a bigger issue everywhere
- Criminal / terroristic activity
- Drug activity.
- Drug problems in town.
- Drug related crime.
- Drugs \& gangs.
- Drugs \& speeding cars.
- Drugs \& theft.
- Drugs and sales of drugs!
- Drugs- heroin, meth.
- Drugs.
- Drugs.
- Drugs.
- Drugs.
- Guns.
- I see people running on the streets \& not using the sidewalks provided for that purpose!!
- I think drug use \& gang activity.
- Increasing crime.
- Influence of crime from other cities making its way into Eagan (Dakota City).
- Increasing crime rate
- Keeping crime in check.
- Larger and larger number issues requiring police intervention.
- Meth.
- Minor crimes.
- Multi-unit, low -income housing \& resulting crime.-
- Night time car thieves!
- Our safety-due to ISIS \& unfriendly Muslims \& Somalians.


## Affordable housing

- Accessible apartments.
- Accommodations for seniors \& poor.
- Adding senior developments.
- Affordable housing \& employment opportunities.
- Affordable housing but keeping crime out with that.
- Affordable housing for families \& retirees.
- Affordable housing for middle to lower income levels.
- Affordable housing- influx of under employed \& single moms on assistance.
- Affordable housing taxes.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- affordable housing
- Affordable housing for single parents/young families that are not in poverty.
- Affordable rental apartments.
- Availability of affordable housing.
- Police forces do not have trained teams to deal with mental health calls.
- Population growth= crime increase.
- Probably crime, but crime in Dakota is less than most counties.
- Racial division, crime, drugs, illegal immigrants.
- Random home breaking/drugs.
- Roads safety for pedestrians.
- Safety near transit station.
- The police that patrol the streets.
- The production of drugs like heroin \& meth.
- To much crime.
- Traffic rules not being followed- i.e red light.
- Urban crime encroaching.
- Cost of housing.
- cost of housing for low income families
- Housing \& expensive electricity.
- Housing affordability.
- Housing costs-out of hand-too high!
- Housing prices.
- Housing.
- I think maybe affordable housing.
- Lack of affordable housing.
- Lack of affordable housing.
- Lack of affordable housing.
- Low income housing.
- Low income housing.
- Mid level housing prices.
- Need for "affordable", low-middle income housing.
- Need more affordable housing.
- Need more affordable housing.
- Nice, affordable housing for retired \& first-time buyers.
- No low income housing.
- Not enough affordable rentals for families.
- Quality and Affordable housing for elderly and young families.
- Reduction of affordable housing due to development.
- Senior housing.
- Senior housing/low income housing/use of tax quotes.
- Senior living quality
accommodations/community environment.


## Taxes

- Allocation of taxes, high tax.
- Elderly paying property taxes-give a declining scale by income over $60 \& \mathrm{yrs}$ of ownership of home.
- Escalation taxes.
- High property tax.
- High tax.
- High taxes and not enough roads for the many housing projects going up.
- High taxes!!
- High taxes.
- High taxes.
- High taxes.
- High taxes.
- High taxes.
- High taxes.
- Higher taxes compared to other nearby counties.
- Keeping property taxes low!
- Keeping taxes at a moderate level.
- Keeping taxes low.
- Keeping taxes low.
- Lack of tax base in southern cities.
- Lower TX tax.
- Paying high taxes for services I don't use. Bus transit entitlement programs that only benefit urban areas.
- Property taxes to high.
- Property taxes too high.
- Property taxes.
- Property taxes.
- Property taxes
- Property taxes going to school district
- Real estate tax.
- Real estate taxes too high.
- Right taxes and government excess.
- Senior citizens selling their homes as taxes keep increasing. Pensions \& 55 don't.
- State taxes too high, government intrusion.
- Tax.
- $\quad \operatorname{tax}$
- Taxes
- Taxes
- Taxes are high.
- Taxes are to high.
- Taxes are too high for retirees.
- Taxes are too high.
- Taxes for elderly.
- Taxes getting higher.
- Taxes it's expensive to live here.
- Taxes on homes and small commercial buildings.
- Taxes- would like to see more "industry" come in to relieve the taxes on home owners.
- Taxes!!! (Too high!!!)
- Taxes, crime.
- Taxes, force placed rapid transit, met council.
- Taxes, roads.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes
- Taxes/democrat run/wasteful spending.
- Taxes/fiscal health.
- Taxes/spending.
- The challenge of keeping taxes at a reasonable level.
- Too high of taxes!!!!


## Growth / development

- city planning for population growth.
- Expansion.
- Growth- are we prepared?
- Growth- open spaces are being built on.
- Growth over the next 10-20 years.
- Growth, traffic congestion, speeding, unsafe drivers.
- Growth.
- Growth.
- Growth.
- Growth.
- Growth-congestion.
- How many more business can grow in Dakota County and not lose the small town feel of the communities
- Increased growth \& ability to keep up with jobs, crime \& transportation.
- Increasing population density.

Apartments/condos are changing entire environment.

- Keeping up with the growth.
- Keeping its uniqueness with open spaces/parks/recreation while more and more housing is being developed.
- Losing too much countryside, too fast.
- Manage growth.
- Managing growth \& services needed.
- Managing growth, diversity, opportunity.
- Managing the growth \& infrastructure maintenance.
- Over building- town homes, cheap looking commercial areas.
- Over development and over saturation of every expensive housing.

Sense of community, diversity, etc.

- (1)Cultural change that are changing the safety, economics, education system of the previous stable community.
- Acceptance of people with diverse background.
- All inclusive sense of community- no matters color, ability, income- you all welcome here.
- Assimilation of other cultures to adhere to our norm.
- Changing demographics.
- Discrimination
- Diversity
- Over development.
- Over development.
- Over population.
- Overcrowding with many new multi-unit homes.
- Population growth (roads etc) and adjusting to demographic changes.
- Population growth.
- Rapid expansion and possible lack of local business to support if (grocery, gas, etc).
- Rapid growth
- Running out of locations for new housing.
- Sprawl- destruction of rural property and native habitats; pesticides \& pollution (water \& land).
- Staying a "small town" while going forward.
- The growth of all the different cities facilities infrastructure as they grow.
- The raising population.
- To many town house's!!!
- To much new construction.
- Too many buildings going up.
- Too many houses.
- Too much growth.
- Too much growth.
- Too much high density construction.
- Too much home building.
- Too much new housing developments. Losing open spaces.
- Too much open space taken up with homes.
- Urban sprawl, loss of outdoors and farms.
- Urban sprawl.
- Urban Sprawl.
- Urban sprawl/airport noise.
- Diversity, greater acceptance.
- Diversity.
- I live in Inver Grove Heights \& feel one sense of community is lacking.
- Immigrants are taking over. Most good communities are turning into slums.
- Immigrants.
- Increasing diversity and need for services and lack of basic outreach to people who need it
- Influx of uneducated immigrants who don't want to assimilate.
- Lack of diversity.
- Lack of diversity
- Language difference difficulties to understand.
- Major class \& cultural disparities \& mild sense of community to unit.
- Not much feeling of community outside schools.
- Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds.
- Overrun by diverse background people.


## Condition of roads

- An overall lack of street lights!
- Completion of Robert Street.
- Condition of main roadways.
- Crossing Highway 3 and County Rd 42 (by the train tracks) safely.
- Don't plow the small roads that go to houses.
- Green arrow on stop lights don't last long enough or you have to wait along time for change.
- Maintaining roads, bridges, affordable housing.
- New roadway designs to make commuting easier.
- Poor roads. Especially 170th West of Co Rd 3 at RR Tracks.
- Road \& bridge maintenance.
- Road conditions.
- Road conditions.
- Road construction (Robert Street).
- Road construction but needed.
- Road expansion w/o looking at all options.


## Traffic congestion

- 35 W Rush Hour.
- Auto traffic on 42 \& drug traffic.
- Growing traffic issues, roads, crime, drugs.
- Increased road traffic since the country's cities are maturing.
- Increasing congestion- traffic.
- Too much traffic w/malls.
- Traffic \& poverty.
- Traffic and small town feel!!
- Traffic congestion on Hwy-3 \& 42 .
- Traffic congestion to the most serious issue.
- Traffic congestion.
- Traffic congestion.
- To many diverse background here -get rid of the angry Islamists.
- Too many immigrants/not working/ housing "free" food for them! Ridiculous.
- Too many refugee's leading to terroristic recruiting.
- Way to many angry Muslims live here-to much housing given to them!!!
- We cannot afford influx of people who don't work and have no training.
- Road maintenance.
- Road repair.
- Road repairs, crime.
- Roads need repair, income inequality!!
- Roads.
- Roads.
- Roads.
- Robert St. road construction, Oakdale Ave traffic increase.
- Robert St.!!! Right now it is horrible - access to making left turns rotten!
- Roundabouts.
- Roundabouts-expensive, too many, not used well.
- Snow on sidewalks not plowed \& people on cellphone drivings.
- Street repair.
- The damn stop lights take forever. More sensor less timers.
- Roads are in desperate need of repair.
- Traffic flow.
- Traffic Hwy 55 Hastings- Pine Bend.
- Traffic on \#5.
- Traffic!
- Traffic, drugs.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic/transportation.
- Transportation alot of traffic.
- traffic
- traffic growth
- Traffic. People run stop signs \& lights - even when there is no snow.


## Schools

- (1)Public education- Quality \& achievement gap and upper income families use public schools for $\mathrm{K}-8$ then transfer to private. (2)Big disparity of income in various cities in Dakota County.
- Better schools.
- Budget cuts for schools.
- Cuts to schools/education \& drugs among school kids.
- Educating our youth to be healthy physically \& mentally citizens, with good values.
- Education. Too much money spent on education gap!
- Expense of sports at school.
- High school.
- Integrating minorities into education/school systems.
- Maintaining adequate school funding.


## Homelessness and poverty

- Am severely concerned w/homeless youth.
- Any homeless people!!
- Don't know about County- I only know about my city- poverty.
- Growing number of poor.
- Homelessness or need for bus service.
- Homelessness.
- Homelessness.
- I think poverty is the main issue for all of Minnesota \& US.
- Increase in homelessness- The link \& the core are addressing it.
- Increased low income families.
- Little kids going hungry to bed.
- Low income families moving in.
- Poverty \& homelessness.
- Poverty and homelessness to any degree.
- Poverty of people moving to Dakota County.
- More jobs training program with not High school diploma pay increase.
- Overpopulated schools- teacher/student ratio.
- Quality of schools.
- Rising school costs.
- School dist. mismanaging their money.
- School funding \& safety.
- School population at high school-dropping arts.
- School system changes in Lakeville.
- Schools (enough capacity), high taxes, youth activity space.
- Schools need more funding for kids w/IEP's.
- Schools.
- Schools.
- Schools
- Schools-crowding.
- The schools.
- Poverty, more racially diverse community with more needs.
- Poverty.
- Poverty.
- Poverty/crime rate.
- Poverty/homelessness.
- People who are in need - as in most areas of the country.
- Providing for Children in need (of food, shelter, medical services)
- Problems that arise from income disparityhomelessness, crime, poverty.
- That $50 \%$ of the children in the public schools are on free/reduced lunches/half the families can't afford to fear the families they choose to have?
- The influx of families that are on gov't assistance.
- Too much welfare/low income.


## Economic development

- Attracting business to lower property taxes.
- Attracting new business!
- Attracting upscale retail.
- Balance- Rural/economic/housing.
- Bringing/keeping business here.
- Business growth.
- Continued economic development.
- Economic development.
- Economic growth, jobs, diversity in employment.
- economic development
- economic future
- Getting good retail clothing stores.
- Growth with small business.
- Lack of business development in Lakeville.


## Public transportation

- As senior's get older - transportation in neighborhoods.
- Darts transport... cutbacks due to metroaffordable housing.
- Dependence on cars.
- Door to door transport for disabled!! Economic health.
- For me- transportation assist \& housing affordable \& care assist.
- Lack of public transportation in Hastings.
- Lack of public transportation.
- Lack of sidewalks \& bike lanes, limited hours mass transit.
- Mass transit.
- Poor transportation out for people that don't drive.
- Public transportation for aging population.
- Public transportation into the twin cities.
- Public transportation through the cities 494 East to West Xpress Line.


## Updating infrastructure

- An aging infrastructure \& many aging homes!
- As another "suburb", it's important to maintain it properly.
- Cost of repairing infrastructure.
- lack of good restaurant, bars, needs an entertainment district with housing.
- Lack of industry- taxes too high.
- Lack of places to shop \& eat. Having more public things available.
- Lack of restaurants \& entertainment.
- Loss of major employers -Lockheed Northwest/Delta headquarters location change.
- Need major shopping center.
- Night life, theaters- lack of.
- No stores, empty centers, rainbow left vacant, big joke!
- Overall economy.
- Places to shop in Northern Dakota County.
- Reasonable growth, influx of immigrants on welfare.
- Public transportation to Mpls \& St Paul - Local pub trans poverty toxic waste \& pollution in Rosemount - u-more \& Pine Bend refinery, mines.
- Public transportation.
- Public transportation/drugs.
- Rail transportation.
- Transportation between here and the twin cities.
- Transportation- city buses.
- transportation for elderly \& volunteer drivers for disabled.
- Transportation issues.
- Transportation- mass transit.
- Transportation- public.
- Transportation.
- Transportation.
- Transportation
- Transportation (East West corridors) and Redevelopment in Inver Grove Heights/SSP/WSP areas
- Infrastructure- a lot of older homes \& pipe, electric (maintenance needed).
- Infrastructure keeping up with growing population.
- Infrastructure O \& M.
- Infrastructure repair/replacement.
- Infrastructure.
- Infrastructure.
- It's old.
- Keeping up with road, school, and violations.
- Park renovation/maintenance.


## Jobs

- Cost of living goes up, wages don't.
- Employment opportunities.
- Employment.
- Good paying jobs.
- Job availability.
- Jobs \& affordable housing.


## Government spending

- Balancing economic/budget with citizen needs.
- Control of county and schools budget growth.
- Entitlement burden
- Government overspending \& growth of government control.
- Money being spent on things that don't need to be done.


## Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources

- Losing green space. Too fast population/development growth without infrastructure support.
- Loss nature, too many paved roads and bike ways.
- Over-developing county parks.
- Paving trails at Lebanon Hills -against.
- Roadway Infrastructure
- There's a need for a large convention center, veteran clubs, building funds.
- Upgrades \& Maintenance
- Updating area.
- Jobs.
- Jobs.
- Keeping employment a viable option.
- Keeping good middle class jobs.
- Wages for the middle class.
- Money-losing programs/projects.
- Over spending on unnecessary things.
- Regional regulations, mandates, \& spending via Met Counsel etc.
- The cost of government assistance programs.
- The possible loss of some green spaces.
- Wildlife conservation.
- Upcoming loss of perfect green space in Lebanon Hills. It's wrong to pave the park. The county is turning its back on what the residents want. The question is will that continue?


## Teen drug/alcohol use

- Drug use among teens or human trafficking.
- Drugs in the schools
- Helping youth \& young adults with drug problems.


## Pollution /environmental concerns

- Environment -I'd like to see more focus on green technology, recycling and composting.
- Environmental concerns, pollution.
- Environmental health \& education.
- Ground water pollution.
- Pollution from Gopher resource or whatever biz that is at Hwy 149 \& Yankee Doodle. Everything around that place has a colored film on it \& often times dark colored pollution is coming out of their stacks.


## Quality of County services

- Accessibility for low income families/individual.
- Disability services.
- Lack of options for young (youth) people to do things.
- Lack of recreational activities.
- Mental health resources.
- Keeping our children free from drugs \& alcohol.
- Teen drug use.
- poor air quality from gravel pit particulates and outdoor firepits
- Quality of drinking water. Secondary well has better water.
- Same as the earth- Impact of global warming.
- Water quality of streams \& lakes.
- Water quality.
- Water quality.
- Water.


## Noise pollution

- Air plane noise.
- Airplane interference w/ TV.
- Airplane noise.
- Airport noise.
- Services for aging population.
- Social services for chronically unemployed \& poor.
- Supervised non school youth outdoor activities, need more police, road maintenance.
- Airport noise.
- Airport noise. Green space reduction (2-3 golf courses, riding ranch).
- Noise pollution.


## No issue

- Can't think of anything bad- yeah- the climate, I like sunny \& 85 degrees.
- Everything is good usually.
- Have no issues.
- No serious problems.
- None
- None.


## Other

- 2016 Legislative Session - Are elected officials are not doing the job we elected them to do
- A place to retire- there is no real main duty meeting area.
- A very large areas with different issues.
- Adapting to living in a CDA, independent senior complex.
- Aging of baby boomers.
- Antiquated beliefs preventing progress.
- Can't handle any more Section 8.
- City council \& Mayor!!!
- Cold weather (I hate it) \& snow.
- Cost of living keeps going up yet some may struggle.
- Cost of living.
- Cost of living.
- Courts let the crooks go.
- Dakota county commissioners do not listen to residents.
- Dishonest County info. you hold the legacy \& raise or implement a fee.
- Dissolution of the core family and impact on neighborhoods \& communities.
- Don't know if I could name a serious issue particular to Dakota Co., if in general; disperity between urban and subburban settings
- Fiber broadband internet access
- Filling out surveys.
- For closure \& association high fees.
- I am afraid I will be stuck in system it started when I moved back here wsp.
- Keeping the value of its homes up.
- Lack of broadband, internet to rural communities.
- Lack to help a facilities for seniors, like warm water pool.
- Late night- people hanging around.
- Liberalism.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- Nothing.
- When I think about it, I don't find any
- Mandatory every household recycle.
- Mayor in office too long.
- Mayor of Burnsville.
- Mix of rural US city issue.
- Multiple.
- Need more one level town homes -not senior citizen apartment dwellings.
- No more low income housing.
- Overnight parking policy.
- Phone wait time for MA- 30 mins.Foreign investors acquiring real estate.
- Planning for future.
- Political corrections.
- Political correctness BS.
- Politicians not listening to the people.
- Poor curb appeal. Don't follow through junk yards. Items stored woods-grass areas on curb side. Boat front of house. Trash bins left front garage store inside- garages full of junk so can't use for cars at business use.
- Racial.
- Railroad stopping with explosive material aboard.
- Retire James Backstrom as Dakota Cty D.A. (Backstrom is an embarrassment to Dakota Cty). He is guilty of witness tampering in 2 murder cases. Backstrom thinks he is above the law.
- Retirement friendly community needs as population ages.
- Slumlords, and dump to be filled in.
- The ability of the met council to push low income housing on the county.
- The direction of the state overall.
- The met council is a serious problem-no surrounding.
- The residents knowing Dakota Co. leaders \& programs.
- The trail storage in neighborhoods!
- Too much involvement from the Metropolitan Council.
- Too powerful unelected Met Council
- Uninvolved disinterested residents.


## Q7. Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County.

## Other (please specify)

- ?..in a home.
- Areas around muslims bad.
- At work.
- Bike riding city.
- Crime based on race of religion.
- Cross walks for pedestrians.
- I feel safe, but know not all parts of county are safe.
- I feel that the apt. I rent is so disgusting. I have had so many health issues since moving here.
- Inver Grove Hts has problems taking care of troubled homes, boats \& junk stored on premises.
- Judgement/prejudice.
- N/A.
- No gangs.
- None.
- Not enough police presence.
- Repeat offenders- those with pages of crime keep getting small or no punishment to go out and repeat they next week?
- Section 8 housing in Hampton.
- South side of Robert St.
- To many religions with violent intentions.

Q20. Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County.

## Other (please specify)

- All.
- Can't afford a computer.
- Depends on what the information is. Emergency cell phone alert.
- I wrote a detailed letter to County Board about Lebanon Hills Park. My letter received no response. The commissioners ignored the input of many citizens who had \& expressed similar concerns. This was unacceptable, in my view.
- Mail.
- Mailed brochures are a waste of money citizens can access info online.
- No social media.
- Oppose development of Akron-benefits only developers of other cities.
- Texting.
- Up-to date resource guides(well organized).


## Q22. What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you?

## Other (please specify)

- All.
- All the above.
- Don't understand English.
- Barber for N-W 494 \& 55.
- [?].
- Board mtg minutes.
- New building projects.
- Job openings
- Resource guides.

Q23. What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site?

## Other (please specify)

- Job announcements.
- Public transportation info.
- All.
- Veterans services.
- Ditto.
- Retired age 84.
- Search for veteran's services.
- More help for the disabled.
- Info about all services should be avail online.

Q24. How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services?

## Other (please specify)

- Don't use.
- Drivers in- Testing facilities open on Saturdays.
- Classic titles/reference.
- Make Dakota Co. English only.
- Longer summer hours.
- More large print books.
- Historical.
- E-books.
- Tax info.
- Not a library user.
- Classes/programs on how to effectively use library resources.
- N/A.
- Tutor help.
- None.
- Audio books.
- Don't go to library.
- Just books.
- Adapt to mobile devices.
- The library is important in the community.
- No children \& don't use.
- Community meeting place.
- Story tapes for hearing.
- Don't use town library.
- Library and music most keep.
- Does not apply.
- Online access via library card for epub or kindle type devices.
- Longer hours.
- Normal library service.
- Coffee.
- Audio book.
- I love the silver tea series.
- Home criminal programs.
- In-person reference services.
- Wider selection of Digital and Audio Books
- We love the library

Q26. If you chose to move from your current home, please indicate how acceptable, if at all, each of the following housing options would be to you:

## Other (please specify)

- Rent house.
- Mobile home rent/own.
- Not moving.
- More housing for low income.
- Affordable.
- A place with fewer taxes.
- N/A.
- Rental house.
- None.
- Not moving ever.
- Move South.


## D8. Which gender do you identify with most closely?

## Something else

- Really
- Dumb!
- Seriously??
- Married
- Back to Mpls.
- Get the muslims out.
- Move to Florida.
- Move to lower real estate tax location.
- Go of living.
- Rambler.
- Meyers.
- Move to area away from community which is less "car-dependent".
- Condo in Bloomington Edina. I want to be closer to the cities.
- What? Pc bs!
- Really?
- Really? What a silly question
- ?


## Appendix C: Complete Set of Frequencies

The following pages contain two sets of responses to each question on Dakota County's survey. The first set excludes "don't know" responses and the second includes them.

## Frequencies Excluding "Don’t Know" Responses

Table 3: Question 1

| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 43\% | 411 |
| Good | 52\% | 496 |
| Fair | 4\% | 40 |
| Poor | 1\% | 6 |
| Total | 100\% | 953 |

Table 4: Question 2

| What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $36 \%$ | 346 |
| Open space | 4\% | 43 |
| Parks/lakes | 10\% | 98 |
| Rural character | 3\% | 27 |
| Quality of life in general | 21\% | 205 |
| My neighborhood | 9\% | 91 |
| Schools | 4\% | 37 |
| Small town feel | 6\% | 62 |
| Low taxes | 2\% | 23 |
| People | 2\% | 18 |
| Other | 2\% | 17 |
| Total | 100\% | 966 |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 5: Question 3

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Not a problem |  | Minor problem |  | Moderate problem |  | Major problem |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=448$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=248$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=899$ |
| Taxes | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=866$ |
| Traffic safety | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=250$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=398$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=930$ |
| Traffic congestion | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=364$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=259$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=931$ |
| Poverty | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=351$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=786$ |
| Homelessness | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=298$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=752$ |

Table 6: Question 4

| Please rate each of the following <br> characteristics of Dakota County. | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=511$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=975$ |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 24\% | $N=170$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 4\% | $N=27$ | 100\% | $N=714$ |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 21\% | $N=160$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 9\% | $N=69$ | 100\% | $N=764$ |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 41\% | $N=364$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=435$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=891$ |
| Sense of community | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=472$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=946$ |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 14\% | $N=118$ | 51\% | $N=444$ | 29\% | $N=253$ | 7\% | $N=60$ | 100\% | $N=874$ |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 40\% | $N=370$ | 48\% | $N=449$ | 10\% | $N=96$ | 2\% | $N=16$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=931$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 47\% | $N=422$ | 21\% | $N=184$ | 7\% | $N=62$ | 100\% | $N=896$ |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 62\% | $N=506$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $N=815$ |
| Availability of affordable housing | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 43\% | $N=332$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 100\% | $N=778$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 65\% | $\mathrm{N}=618$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=951$ |

Table 7: Question 5

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time? | Percent | Number |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Affordable housing | $11 \%$ | 59 |  |
| Condition of roads | $6 \%$ | 35 |  |
| Crime and safety issues | $12 \%$ | 65 |  |
| Economic development | $4 \%$ | 22 |  |
| Growth/development | $8 \%$ | 44 |  |
| Jobs | $2 \%$ | 11 |  |
| Pollution/environmental concerns | $1 \%$ | 8 |  |
| Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources | $1 \%$ | 6 |  |
| Quality of county services | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 27 |
| Schools | $5 \%$ | 58 |  |
| Taxes | $10 \%$ | 6 |  |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | $5 \%$ | 30 |  |
| Traffic congestion | $2 \%$ | 11 |  |
| No issue | $6 \%$ | 36 |  |
| Sense of community, diversity, etc. | $2 \%$ | 9 |  |
| Government spending | $5 \%$ | 26 |  |
| Homelessness and poverty | $4 \%$ | 26 |  |
| Public Transportation | $1 \%$ | 22 |  |
| Noise pollution | $3 \%$ | 5 |  |
| Updating infrastructure | $10 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 5 |
| Other | 57 |  |  |
| Total | 559 |  |  |

Table 8: Question 6

| In the past year, have you volunteered in any community organization like a faith community, neighborhood group, non-profit service organization, local government, or school association? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, once or twice in the year | 18\% | 175 |
| Yes, once every other month | 7\% | 69 |
| Yes, about once a month | 10\% | 92 |
| Yes, more than once a month | 19\% | 182 |
| No, I haven't volunteered | 46\% | 441 |
| Total | 100\% | 959 |

Table 9: Question 7

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 57\% | $N=543$ | 12\% | $N=118$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=955$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=471$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $N=939$ |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., manufacturing or selling drugs) | 30\% | $N=273$ | 49\% | $N=439$ | 16\% | $N=143$ | 5\% | $N=46$ | 100\% | $N=900$ |
| From identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=417$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 100\% | N=896 |
| From domestic violence | 60\% | $N=533$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=885$ |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 31\% | N=303 | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=512$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=136$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=962$ |
| While in your neighborhood | 56\% | $N=538$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=378$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $N=967$ |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=380$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=461$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=901$ |
| Other | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $N=64$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 10: Question 8

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Not at all a concern |  | Minor concern |  | Moderate concern |  | Major concern |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 42\% | $N=357$ | 17\% | $N=146$ | 10\% | $N=87$ | 100\% | $N=846$ |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 36\% | $N=306$ | 23\% | $N=190$ | 9\% | $N=76$ | 100\% | $N=843$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 100\% | $N=774$ |
| Social isolation | 32\% | $N=247$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=301$ | 22\% | $N=166$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 100\% | $N=768$ |
| Underage alcohol use | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=768$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 39\% | N=302 | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=231$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 100\% | $N=773$ |
| Illegal drug use | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=138$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 100\% | $N=779$ |
| Bullying | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=251$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 16\% | $N=116$ | 100\% | $N=716$ |


| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Not at all a concern |  | Minor concern |  | Moderate concern |  | Major concern |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=685$ |
| The health and support of older adults | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=282$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=237$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=767$ |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 100\% | $N=754$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=774$ |
| Overweight adults and children | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=812$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | $36 \%$ | $\mathrm{N}=264$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=212$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=732$ |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=292$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=181$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=705$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 27\% | N=196 | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=739$ |

Table 11: Question 9

| Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | worse off, or just about the same as now? | Percent | Number |
| Much better | $3 \%$ | 26 |  |
| Somewhat better | $24 \%$ | 225 |  |
| About the same | $60 \%$ | 555 |  |
| Somewhat worse | $10 \%$ | 93 |  |
| Much worse | $3 \%$ | 30 |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 929 |  |

Table 12: Question 10

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=460$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=355$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=863$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=485$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=344$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=867$ |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=710$ |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=460$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=917$ |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=486$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=939$ |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=451$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=781$ |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=730$ |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=480$ |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=728$ |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=601$ |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=305$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=590$ |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 63\% | $\mathrm{N}=576$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=917$ |

Table 13: Question 11

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=145$ |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=382$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ |
| Services for people with physical disabilities | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=72$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=153$ |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ |
| Services provided to veterans | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ |
| Services provided to older adults | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ |

Table 14: Question 12

| To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| were needed to maintain County services at their current levels? | Percent | Number |
| Strongly support | $6 \%$ | 53 |
| Somewhat support | $40 \%$ | 340 |
| Somewhat oppose | $29 \%$ | 248 |
| Strongly oppose | $26 \%$ | 220 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 861 |

Table 15: Question 13

| What are the barriers to you using transit services regularly (e.g., Metro Transit/MVTA buses, Transit Link, the METRO Red Line)? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No service close enough to where I live | 24\% | 224 |
| No service where I want to go | 21\% | 190 |
| Not familiar with the services available | 19\% | 172 |
| Limited hours of operation (need service on weekends, evenings) | 13\% | 120 |
| Existing service isn't frequent enough (i.e. buses don't stop often enough) | 8\% | 72 |
| Poor connections or transfers | 9\% | 85 |
| I need personal, point-to-point service | 10\% | 96 |
| Trip takes too long | 19\% | 175 |
| Fare pricing is unclear | 2\% | 21 |
| I don't know how to ride the bus | 6\% | 55 |
| I don't feel safe on the bus | 5\% | 45 |
| I can't afford it | 1\% | 6 |
| I don't need it | 49\% | 446 |
| Other | 5\% | 46 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.
Table 16: Question 14

| To what extent do you support or oppose the County investing in projects that make transit |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| easier and more convenient to use? | Percent | Number |
| Strongly support | $29 \%$ | 256 |
| Somewhat support | $48 \%$ | 423 |
| Somewhat oppose | $14 \%$ | 128 |
| Strongly oppose | $9 \%$ | 80 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 888 |

Table 17: Question 15

| Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government office within the last |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 12 months? | Percent | Number |
| Yes | $34 \%$ | 322 |
| No | $66 \%$ | 627 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 948 |

Table 18: Question 16

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact? (Rate each characteristic below.) | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledgeable | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=312$ |
| Responsive | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ |
| Courteous | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=317$ |
| Overall impression | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=314$ |

This question was only asked of those who reported having contact with a Dakota County government office.

Table 19: Question 17

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=231$ | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=448$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=781$ |
| Online access to County services | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 60\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=589$ |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=230$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=411$ |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 55\% | N=99 | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ |

Table 20: Question 18

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 59\% | $\mathrm{N}=482$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=823$ |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=558$ |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 49\% | $N=366$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=746$ |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=330$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=212$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=673$ |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=445$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=791$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=445$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=767$ |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 58\% | $N=452$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=784$ |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=349$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=690$ |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=463$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=837$ |

Table 21: Question 19

| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly support | $19 \%$ | 109 |
| Somewhat support | $67 \%$ | 392 |
| Somewhat oppose | $10 \%$ | 59 |
| Strongly oppose | $4 \%$ | 25 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 585 |
| Table 22: Question 20 |  |  |
| Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive | Percent* | Number* |
| information about Dakota County. | $51 \%$ | 487 |
| Publications like mailed brochures | $46 \%$ | 441 |
| The County's mailed newsletter | $5 \%$ | 43 |
| Calling Dakota County | $13 \%$ | 122 |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) | $17 \%$ | 162 |
| Using my desktop or laptop computer |  |  |


| Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive <br> information about Dakota County. | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Television/cable TV | $8 \%$ | 78 |
| Other | $6 \%$ | 58 |
| County website (www.dakotacounty.us) | $35 \%$ | 336 |
| Local or neighborhood newspapers | $27 \%$ | 262 |
| E-mail from Dakota County | $19 \%$ | 186 |
| Online search for information | $11 \%$ | 107 |
| Using my handheld mobile devices (smartphone or tablet) | $6 \%$ | 59 |
| None, I don't want or need any information from Dakota County | $4 \%$ | 37 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 23: Question 21

| Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents and <br> gain their insights and learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, <br> decisions and plans. | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public meetings/forums/open houses | $65 \%$ | 603 |
| Advisory panels | $23 \%$ | 216 |
| Social Media | $44 \%$ | 410 |
| Online survey | $54 \%$ | 502 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.
Table 24: Question 22

| What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you? | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Volunteer opportunities | $20 \%$ | 174 |
| Recycling information | $65 \%$ | 577 |
| Information about parks | $66 \%$ | 586 |
| Information about County services | $48 \%$ | 430 |
| Property sales/information | $32 \%$ | 284 |
| Road/bridge construction projects | $40 \%$ | 359 |
| Contacting County departments or staff | $27 \%$ | 240 |
| County budget information | $13 \%$ | 118 |
| Information about services for older adults | $19 \%$ | 169 |
| Information about the County Jail or its inmates | $9 \%$ | 83 |
| Information about people charged with a crime | $17 \%$ | 151 |
| Other | $2 \%$ | 19 |
| No access/don't use | $5 \%$ | 42 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 25: Question 23

| What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site? | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Pay fees, fines, or property taxes | $52 \%$ | 423 |
| Reserve park facilities | $49 \%$ | 399 |
| Register for volunteer activities online | $32 \%$ | 257 |
| Online recording of vital records (birth, death, marriage) | $27 \%$ | 222 |
| Renew or apply for a license, permit, or other application | $64 \%$ | 517 |


| What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receive your annual property tax notices by email | 28\% | 226 |
| Live chat support service online for property information | 11\% | 92 |
| Request copies or notarizing: property documents | 22\% | 176 |
| Apply for a library card or use other library resources | 43\% | 347 |
| Apply for public financial assistance | 13\% | 108 |
| Other | 1\% | 9 |
| No access/don't use | 4\% | 35 |

Table 26: Question 24

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=828$ |
| Early literacy/reading skills promotion and resources | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=814$ |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=340$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=821$ |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=798$ |
| Consumer/government information | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 37\% | N=300 | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=810$ |
| Movies, music and entertainment | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=250$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=822$ |
| Streaming movies and music (online) | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | $34 \%$ | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ |
| Technology access, computer classes and training | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=216$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=833$ |
| Technology to create and edit music and videos | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=788$ |
| Community activities/information | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=200$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=382$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=221$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=839$ |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=340$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=842$ |
| Author/performance arts programs | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=805$ |
| English as a second language resources and services | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=253$ | 26\% | N=206 | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 100\% | $N=786$ |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=273$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=775$ |
| Other | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 27: Question 25

| Since 2002, Dakota County has emphasized preserving unprotected natural areas and shoreland buffers by dedicating small amounts of County funds in order to receive greater matching funds from nonCounty sources. How important, if at all, is it to continue investing County funds for these purposes: | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protect lakes, streams, wetlands from pollution | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=490$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=927$ |
| Protect and manage high-quality natural areas (such as forests, prairies, water bodies, etc.) | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=479$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=915$ |

Table 28: Question 26

| If you chose to move from your current home, please indicate how acceptable, if at all, each of the following housing options would be to you: | Very acceptable |  | Somewhat acceptable |  | Not at all acceptable |  | Not applicable |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A single-family home that I own in my community | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=663$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=862$ |
| A condo or townhouse that I own in my community | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=370$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=850$ |
| An apartment that I rent in my community | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=274$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=822$ |
| Live with family members away from my current community | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=181$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=317$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=780$ |
| A rental facility for age 55+ in my community | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=797$ |
| Live in an assisted-living residence in my community | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=348$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=768$ |
| Other | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 29: Question 27
\(\left.\begin{array}{l|r|r}\hline Please indicate which of the following best describe your use of Dakota County's Recycling <br>

Zone facility in the last 12 months?\end{array}\right)\) Percent* | Number* |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Unfamiliar with the Recycling Zone | $26 \%$ |
| Inconvenient days/hours/location | $15 \%$ |
| Don't know what items can be brought there | $14 \%$ |
| Do not want to pay fees at the Recycling Zone | $24 \%$ |
| The Recycling Zone is too far away | 134 |
| Do not have anything to drop off | $10 \%$ |
| Used a different facility | $14 \%$ |
| Other/none of these | $7 \%$ |
| I do not use the Recycling Zone facility | $22 \%$ |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses.
Table 30: Question 28

| To what extent, if at all, would each of the <br> following make you more likely to recycle <br> more materials? | Much more <br> likely |  | Somewhat more <br> likely |  | No more <br> likely |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Having my recyclables picked up weekly | $55 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=450$ | $18 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=147$ | $27 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=224$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=821$ |
| Having more capacity to recycle with bigger <br> containers | $41 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=313$ | $22 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=169$ | $38 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=291$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=773$ |
| Displaying a list of recyclable materials on my <br> recycling container | $42 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=331$ | $29 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=233$ | $29 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=232$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=795$ |
| Being given specific information about what can be <br> recycled | $48 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=383$ | $31 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=248$ | $22 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=175$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=806$ |
| Saving money with the option of a smaller trash <br> container | $36 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=271$ | $24 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=179$ | $39 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=292$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=742$ |

Table 31: Question 29

| The State is requiring all counties to find ways to divert food waste from landfills. Please indicate to what extent you would support or oppose each of the following options to meet this requirement: | Strongly support |  | Somewhat support |  | Somewhat oppose |  | Strongly oppose |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haulers collecting food waste curbside in a separate container for pickup | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=375$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 100\% | $N=876$ |
| Residents collecting food waste and driving it to a community drop-off site for food waste (similar to yard waste sites) | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=380$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=852$ |

Table 32: Question D1

| How long have you lived in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 year | $11 \%$ |  |
| $2-5$ years | 107 |  |
| $6-10$ years | $12 \%$ | 111 |
| $11-15$ years | $13 \%$ | 122 |
| $16-20$ years | $11 \%$ |  |
| Over 20 years | 104 |  |
| Total | $10 \%$ | 45 |

Table 33: Question D2

| Which of the following best describes you? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Employed full-time | $65 \%$ | 626 |
| Employed part-time | $8 \%$ | 73 |
| Unemployed, looking for work | $2 \%$ | 21 |
| Homemaker | $5 \%$ | 43 |
| Retired | $20 \%$ | 188 |
| Student | $1 \%$ | 10 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 962 |

Table 34: Question D3

| Which best describes the building you live in? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| One family house detached from any other houses | $60 \%$ | 581 |
| House attached to one or more houses (e.g., a duplex or townhome) | $17 \%$ | 167 |
| Building with two or more apartments or condos | $19 \%$ | 179 |
| Manufactured or mobile home | $2 \%$ | 20 |
| Other | $2 \%$ | 18 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 965 |

Table 35: Question D4

| Is this house, apartment, or mobile home... | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rented | $23 \%$ | 219 |
| Owned (including with an outstanding mortgage) | $77 \%$ | 718 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 937 |

Table 36: Question D5

| Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | $97 \%$ | 894 |
| Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | $3 \%$ | 27 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 922 |

Table 37: Question D6

| What is your race? | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | $2 \%$ |  |
| Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander | $4 \%$ | 20 |
| Black or African American | $3 \%$ | 41 |
| White | 28 |  |
| Other | $91 \%$ | 8 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.
Table 38: Question D7

|  | Which category contains your age? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $18-24$ | $5 \%$ | 48 |  |
| $25-34$ | $21 \%$ | 205 |  |
| $35-44$ | $15 \%$ | 145 |  |
| $45-54$ | $25 \%$ | 239 |  |
| $55-64$ | $15 \%$ | 143 |  |
| $65-74$ | $11 \%$ | 105 |  |
| $75+$ | $8 \%$ | 80 |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 964 |  |

Table 39: Question D8

|  | Which gender do you identify with most closely? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | $52 \%$ | 494 |  |
| Male | $48 \%$ | 449 |  |
| Something else | $0 \%$ | 0 |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 943 |  |

Table 40: Question D9

| How many of each of the following, including yourself, live in your household? | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five or more |  | None |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children age 17 years and under | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=571$ |
| Adults under age 65 years | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=200$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=388$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=752$ |
| Adults age 65 years and over | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=451$ |

Table 41: Question D10

| Please indicate your household's annual income: | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Under $\$ 15,000$ | $5 \%$ | 47 |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 24,999$ | $5 \%$ | 42 |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 34,999$ | $6 \%$ | 58 |
| $\$ 35,000-\$ 49,999$ | $12 \%$ | 113 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $19 \%$ | 172 |
| $\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ | $17 \%$ | 152 |
| $\$ 100,000-\$ 149,999$ | $21 \%$ | 188 |
| $\$ 150,000-\$ 199,999$ | $7 \%$ | 68 |
| $\$ 200,000$ or more | $7 \%$ | 64 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 904 |

## Frequencies Including "Don't Know" Responses

|  | How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent |  | 43\% | 411 |
| Good |  | 52\% | 496 |
| Fair |  | 4\% | 40 |
| Poor |  | 1\% | 6 |
| Total |  | 100\% | 953 |

Table 43: Question 2

|  | What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location |  | 36\% | 346 |
| Open space |  | 4\% | 43 |
| Parks/lakes |  | 10\% | 98 |
| Rural character |  | 3\% | 27 |
| Quality of life in general |  | 21\% | 205 |
| My neighborhood |  | 9\% | 91 |
| Schools |  | 4\% | 37 |
| Small town feel |  | 6\% | 62 |
| Low taxes |  | 2\% | 23 |
| People |  | 2\% | 18 |
| Other |  | 2\% | 17 |
| Total |  | 100\% | 966 |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 44: Question 3

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Not a problem |  | Minor problem |  | Moderate problem |  | Major problem |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=448$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=248$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=965$ |
| Taxes | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=961$ |
| Traffic safety | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=250$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=398$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=953$ |
| Traffic congestion | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=364$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=259$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=946$ |
| Poverty | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=351$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=952$ |
| Homelessness | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=298$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=210$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=962$ |

Table 45: Question 4

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. <br> Dakota County as a place to live | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=511$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=975$ |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=971$ |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=973$ |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=364$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=435$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=77$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=968$ |
| Sense of community | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=472$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=970$ |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=444$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=253$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=972$ |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=370$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=449$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=971$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=970$ |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=506$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=963$ |
| Availability of affordable housing | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=332$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 64\% | $\mathrm{N}=618$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=961$ |

Table 46: Question 5

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordable housing | 10\% | 59 |
| Condition of roads | 6\% | 35 |
| Crime and safety issues | 11\% | 65 |
| Economic development | 4\% | 22 |
| Growth/development | 7\% | 44 |
| Jobs | 2\% | 11 |
| Pollution/environmental concerns | 1\% | 8 |
| Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources | 1\% | 6 |
| Quality of county services | 1\% | 6 |
| Schools | 4\% | 27 |
| Taxes | 10\% | 58 |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | 1\% | 6 |
| Traffic congestion | 5\% | 30 |
| No issue | 2\% | 11 |
| Sense of community, diversity, etc. | 6\% | 36 |
| Government spending | 2\% | 9 |
| Homelessness and poverty | 4\% | 26 |
| Public Transportation | 4\% | 22 |
| Noise pollution | 1\% | 5 |
| Updating infrastructure | 3\% | 18 |
| Other | 9\% | 57 |
| Don't know/refused | 8\% | 48 |
| Total | 100\% | 607 |

## Table 47: Question 6

| In the past year, have you volunteered in any community organization like a faith community, neighborhood group, non-profit service organization, local government, or school association? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, once or twice in the year | 18\% | 175 |
| Yes, once every other month | 7\% | 69 |
| Yes, about once a month | 9\% | 92 |
| Yes, more than once a month | 19\% | 182 |
| No, I haven't volunteered | 45\% | 441 |
| Don't know | 1\% | 13 |
| Total | 100\% | 972 |

Table 48: Question 7

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=543$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=974$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=471$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=972$ |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., manufacturing or selling drugs) | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=273$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=439$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=974$ |
| From identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=417$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 100\% | N=974 |
| From domestic violence | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=533$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=971$ |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=303$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=512$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=136$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=973$ |
| While in your neighborhood | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=538$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=378$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=974$ |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=380$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=461$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=970$ |
| Other | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=56$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ |

[^2]Table 49: Question 8

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Not at all a concern |  | Minor concern |  | Moderate concern |  | Major concern |  | Don't <br> know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=357$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=956$ |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=306$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=956$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=955$ |
| Social isolation | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=247$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=301$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=955$ |
| Underage alcohol use | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=302$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=231$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=955$ |
| Illegal drug use | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=138$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=956$ |
| Bullying | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=251$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=242$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=955$ |
| The health and support of older adults | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=282$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=237$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=191$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=950$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Overweight adults and children | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=954$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=264$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=212$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=951$ |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=292$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=181$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=957$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 20\% | N=196 | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=957$ |

Table 50: Question 9

| Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Much better | 3\% | 26 |
| Somewhat better | 23\% | 225 |
| About the same | 58\% | 555 |
| Somewhat worse | 10\% | 93 |
| Much worse | 3\% | 30 |
| Don't know | 3\% | 29 |
| Total | 100\% | 959 |

Table 51: Question 10

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=460$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=355$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=964$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=485$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=344$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=97$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=964$ |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=221$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=932$ |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=460$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=486$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=969$ |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=451$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=953$ |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=476$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=956$ |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=230$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=359$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=961$ |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=305$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=358$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=948$ |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 60\% | $\mathrm{N}=576$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=953$ |

Table 52: Question 11

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know/not applicable |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 82\% | $\mathrm{N}=672$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=817$ |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 84\% | $\mathrm{N}=686$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=812$ |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=382$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=884$ |
| Services for people with physical disabilities | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=72$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 82\% | $\mathrm{N}=675$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=828$ |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 84\% | $\mathrm{N}=689$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=823$ |
| Services provided to veterans | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 80\% | $\mathrm{N}=665$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=827$ |
| Services provided to older adults | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 8\% | $N=65$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 77\% | $N=640$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=834$ |

Table 53: Question 12


| To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain County services at their current levels? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat support | 35\% | 340 |
| Somewhat oppose | 26\% | 248 |
| Strongly oppose | 23\% | 220 |
| Don't know | 10\% | 98 |
| Total | 100\% | 959 |


| What are the barriers to you using transit services regularly (e.g., Metro Transit/MVTA buses, Transit Link, the METRO Red Line)? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No service close enough to where I live | 24\% | 224 |
| No service where I want to go | 21\% | 190 |
| Not familiar with the services available | 19\% | 172 |
| Limited hours of operation (need service on weekends, evenings) | 13\% | 120 |
| Existing service isn't frequent enough (i.e. buses don't stop often enough) | 8\% | 72 |
| Poor connections or transfers | 9\% | 85 |
| I need personal, point-to-point service | 10\% | 96 |
| Trip takes too long | 19\% | 175 |
| Fare pricing is unclear | 2\% | 21 |
| I don't know how to ride the bus | 6\% | 55 |
| I don't feel safe on the bus | 5\% | 45 |
| I can't afford it | 1\% | 6 |
| I don't need it | 49\% | 446 |
| Other | 5\% | 46 |

[^3]Table 55: Question 14

| To what extent do you support or oppose the County investing in projects that make transit easier and more convenient to use? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | 27\% | 256 |
| Somewhat support | 44\% | 423 |
| Somewhat oppose | 13\% | 128 |
| Strongly oppose | 8\% | 80 |
| Don't know | 7\% | 66 |
| Total | 100\% | 954 |

Table 56: Question 15

|  | Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government office within the last 12 months? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  | 34\% | 322 |
| No |  | 66\% | 627 |
| Total |  | 100\% | 948 |

Table 57: Question 16

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't <br> know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledgeable | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Responsive | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| Courteous | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=320$ |
| Overall impression | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ |

[^4]Table 58: Question 17

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. Convenience of County facilities' locations | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=231$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=448$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=161$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=942$ |
| Online access to County services | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=932$ |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=230$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=516$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=926$ |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 80\% | $\mathrm{N}=737$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=918$ |

Table 59: Question 18

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=482$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=952$ |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=385$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=366$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=942$ |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=330$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=212$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=445$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=156$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=947$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=445$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=178$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=945$ |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=452$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=942$ |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=349$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=251$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=941$ |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=463$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=937$ |

Table 60: Question 19

|  | To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support |  | 12\% | 109 |
| Somewhat support |  | 42\% | 392 |
| Somewhat oppose |  | 6\% | 59 |
| Strongly oppose |  | 3\% | 25 |
| Don't know |  | 38\% | 358 |
| Total |  | 100\% | 942 |

Table 61: Question 20

| Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Publications like mailed brochures | $51 \%$ | 487 |


| Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The County's mailed newsletter | 46\% | 441 |
| Calling Dakota County | 5\% | 43 |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) | 13\% | 122 |
| Using my desktop or laptop computer | 17\% | 162 |
| Television/cable TV | 8\% | 78 |
| Other | 6\% | 58 |
| County website (www.dakotacounty.us) | 35\% | 336 |
| Local or neighborhood newspapers | 27\% | 262 |
| E-mail from Dakota County | 19\% | 186 |
| Online search for information | 11\% | 107 |
| Using my handheld mobile devices (smartphone or tablet) | 6\% | 59 |
| None, I don't want or need any information from Dakota County | 4\% | 37 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Openended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 62: Question 21

| Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents and gain their insights and learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public meetings/forums/open houses | 65\% | 603 |
| Advisory panels | 23\% | 216 |
| Social Media | 44\% | 410 |
| Online survey | 54\% | 502 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.

Table 63: Question 22

| What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volunteer opportunities | 20\% | 174 |
| Recycling information | 65\% | 577 |
| Information about parks | 66\% | 586 |
| Information about County services | 48\% | 430 |
| Property sales/information | 32\% | 284 |
| Road/bridge construction projects | 40\% | 359 |
| Contacting County departments or staff | 27\% | 240 |
| County budget information | 13\% | 118 |
| Information about services for older adults | 19\% | 169 |
| Information about the County Jail or its inmates | 9\% | 83 |
| Information about people charged with a crime | 17\% | 151 |
| Other | 2\% | 19 |
| No access/don't use | 5\% | 42 |

[^5]Table 64: Question 23

| What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay fees, fines, or property taxes | 52\% | 423 |
| Reserve park facilities | 49\% | 399 |
| Register for volunteer activities online | 32\% | 257 |
| Online recording of vital records (birth, death, marriage) | 27\% | 222 |
| Renew or apply for a license, permit, or other application | 64\% | 517 |
| Receive your annual property tax notices by email | 28\% | 226 |
| Live chat support service online for property information | 11\% | 92 |
| Request copies or notarizing: property documents | 22\% | 176 |
| Apply for a library card or use other library resources | 43\% | 347 |
| Apply for public financial assistance | 13\% | 108 |
| Other | 1\% | 9 |
| No access/don't use | 4\% | 35 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to
Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 65: Question 24

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 35\% | $N=326$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=930$ |
| Early literacy/reading skills promotion and resources | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=923$ |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=340$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=926$ |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | $34 \%$ | $N=310$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=270$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=925$ |
| Consumer/government information | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=920$ |
| Movies, music and entertainment | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=250$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=925$ |
| Streaming movies and music (online) | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=341$ |
| Technology access, computer classes and training | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=216$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=927$ |
| Technology to create and edit music and videos | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=917$ |
| Community activities/information | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=200$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=382$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=221$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=91$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=930$ |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=340$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=935$ |
| Author/performance arts programs | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=916$ |
| English as a second language resources and services | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=253$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 14\% | $N=132$ | 14\% | $N=130$ | 100\% | $N=916$ |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=273$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=916$ |
| Other | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.
Table 66: Question 25
Since 2002, Dakota County has emphasized preserving unprotected natural areas and shoreland buffers by dedicating small amounts of County funds in order to receive greater matching funds from non-County sources. How important, if at all, is it to continue investing County funds for these purposes:

| Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Don't <br> know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=490$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=968$ |
| 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=479$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=954$ |

Table 67: Question 26

| If you chose to move from your current home, please indicate how acceptable, if at all, each of the following housing options would be to you: | Very acceptable |  | Somewhat acceptable |  | Not at all acceptable |  | Not applicable |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A single-family home that I own in my community | 73\% | $\mathrm{N}=663$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=909$ |
| A condo or townhouse that I own in my community | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=370$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=904$ |
| An apartment that I rent in my community | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=274$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=886$ |
| Live with family members away from my current community | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=181$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=317$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=870$ |
| A rental facility for age 55+ in my community | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=908$ |
| Live in an assisted-living residence in my community | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=348$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=887$ |
| Other | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=72$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.
Table 68: Question 27

| Please indicate which of the following best describe your use of Dakota County's Recycling Zone facility in the last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfamiliar with the Recycling Zone | 26\% | 238 |
| Inconvenient days/hours/location | 15\% | 134 |
| Don't know what items can be brought there | 14\% | 123 |
| Do not want to pay fees at the Recycling Zone | 24\% | 222 |
| The Recycling Zone is too far away | 10\% | 94 |
| Do not have anything to drop off | 14\% | 125 |
| Used a different facility | 7\% | 65 |
| Other/none of these | 22\% | 202 |
| I do not use the Recycling Zone facility | 18\% | 162 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses.

Table 69: Question 28

| To what extent, if at all, would each of the following make you more likely to recycle more materials? | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No more likely |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Having my recyclables picked up weekly | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=450$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=898$ |
| Having more capacity to recycle with bigger containers | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=313$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=870$ |
| Displaying a list of recyclable materials on my recycling container | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=331$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=880$ |
| Being given specific information about what can be recycled | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=383$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=248$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=885$ |
| Saving money with the option of a smaller trash container | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=271$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=292$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=871$ |

Table 70: Question 29

| The State is requiring all counties to find ways to divert food waste from landfills. Please indicate to what extent you would support or oppose each of the following options to meet this requirement: | Strongly support |  | Somewhat support |  | Somewhat oppose |  | Strongly oppose |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haulers collecting food waste curbside in a separate container for pickup | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=375$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=77$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=953$ |
| Residents collecting food waste and driving it to a community drop-off site for food waste (similar to yard waste sites) | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=380$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=928$ |

Table 71: Question D1

| How long have you lived in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 year | 11\% | 107 |
| 2-5 years | 12\% | 111 |
| 6-10 years | 13\% | 122 |
| 11-15 years | 11\% | 104 |
| 16-20 years | 10\% | 95 |
| Over 20 years | 44\% | 428 |
| Total | 100\% | 968 |

Table 72: Question D2

| Which of the following best describes you? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed full-time | 65\% | 626 |
| Employed part-time | 8\% | 73 |
| Unemployed, looking for work | 2\% | 21 |
| Homemaker | 5\% | 43 |
| Retired | 20\% | 188 |
| Student | 1\% | 10 |
| Total | 100\% | 962 |

Table 73: Question D3

| Which best describes the building you live in? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One family house detached from any other houses |  |  |
| House attached to one or more houses (e.g., a duplex or townhome) | $60 \%$ |  |
| Building with two or more apartments or condos | 581 |  |
| Manufactured or mobile home | $17 \%$ |  |
| Other | 167 |  |
| Total | 179 |  |

Table 74: Question D4

|  | Is this house, apartment, or mobile home... | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rented | $23 \%$ |  |  |
| Owned (including with an outstanding mortgage) | 219 |  |  |
| Total | 718 |  |  |

Table 75: Question D5

| Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | $97 \%$ |  |
| Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | $3 \%$ |  |
| Total |  |  |

Table 76: Question D6

| What is your race? | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 2\% | 20 |
| Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander | 4\% | 41 |
| Black or African American | 3\% | 28 |
| White | 91\% | 847 |
| Other | 3\% | 30 |

*Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.

|  | Which category contains your age? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-24 |  | 5\% | 48 |
| 25-34 |  | 21\% | 205 |
| 35-44 |  | 15\% | 145 |
| 45-54 |  | 25\% | 239 |
| 55-64 |  | 15\% | 143 |
| 65-74 |  | 11\% | 105 |
| $75+$ |  | 8\% | 80 |
| Total |  | 100\% | 964 |

Table 78: Question D8

|  | Table 78: Question D8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Which gender do you identify with most closely? | Percent |
| Female | Number |  |
| Male |  |  |
| Something else | $52 \%$ |  |
| Total |  | 484 |

Table 79: Question D9

| How many of each of the following, including yourself, live in your household? | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five or more |  | None |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children age 17 years and under | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=571$ |
| Adults under age 65 years | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=200$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=388$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=752$ |
| Adults age 65 years and over | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=451$ |

Table 80: Question D10

| Please indicate your household's annual income: | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$15,000 | 5\% | 47 |
| \$15,000-\$24,999 | 5\% | 42 |
| \$25,000-\$34,999 | 6\% | 58 |
| \$35,000-\$49,999 | 12\% | 113 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 19\% | 172 |
| \$75,000-\$99,999 | 17\% | 152 |
| \$100,000-\$149,999 | 21\% | 188 |
| \$150,000-\$ 199,999 | 7\% | 68 |
| \$200,000 or more | 7\% | 64 |
| Total | 100\% | 904 |

## Appendix D: Comparison with Other Participating Counties

Questions asked by more than one Minnesota County in 2016 are included below for comparison. Cells with grey shading indicate statistically significant differences.

Table 81: Aspects of Quality of Life

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in the county. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent). | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in the County? | 79 | 70 | 79 | 73 | 69 |
| The County as a place to live | 78 | 76 | NA | 72 | 68 |
| The County as a place to raise a family/children | 76 | 76 | NA | 73 | 67 |
| The County as a place to work | 67 | 58 | NA | 71 | 51 |
| The County as a place to retire | 58 | 56 | 60 | 53 | 56 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities | 75 | 69 | 72 | NA | 70 |
| Openness and acceptance toward people of diverse backgrounds | 57 | 59 | 55 | 58 | 45 |
| Availability of affordable housing | 49 | 51 | 47 | 41 | 44 |
| Employment opportunities | NA | 45 | 50 | 62 | 36 |
| Sense of community | 61 | NA | 59 | NA | NA |
| Educational opportunities | NA | NA | NA | 62 | 60 |
| Availability of affordable quality child care | NA | 52 | NA | 41 | NA |
| Availability of affordable health care | NA | 58 | NA | 54 | NA |
| Availability of public transportation options | NA | NA | 34 | 50 | NA |
| Availability of fresh fruits and vegetables | NA | 59 | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 64 | 57 | 60 | NA | NA |
| Economic health of the County | 65 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Overall image or reputation of the County | 68 | NA | 71 | NA | 57 |
| Social and cultural opportunities | NA | 47 | NA | NA | NA |
| Rural character and natural environment | NA | NA | 66 | NA | NA |
| Ease of travel by car | NA | NA | 71 | NA | NA |
| Overall feeling of safety | NA | NA | 71 | NA | NA |
| Cost of living | NA | NA | NA | NA | 43 |

Table 82: Like Most about Living in County

| What one thing do you like most <br> about living in the county? | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Location | $36 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $15 \%$ | NA |
| Open space | $4 \%$ | NA | NA | $4 \%$ | NA |
| Parks/lakes | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | NA |
| Rural character | $3 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| People | $2 \%$ | NA | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | NA |
| Quality of Life in General | $21 \%$ | NA | $24 \%$ | $38 \%$ | NA |
| Schools | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | NA |
| My neighborhood | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | NA |
| Convenience | NA | $10 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Low taxes | $2 \%$ | NA | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | NA |
| Services | NA | $0 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Small town feel | $6 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $8 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Other | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | NA |
| Rural/small town feel | NA | NA | NA | $10 \%$ | NA |
| Open space/rural | NA | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Employment | NA | NA | NA | $2 \%$ | NA |
| Healthcare | NA | NA | NA | $1 \%$ | NA |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | NA |

Note: Statistical significance not tested.
Table 83: Potential Problems

| Please rate, to what degree, each of the <br> following is a problem in Dakota County. <br> $(\mathbf{0}=$ not a problem, 100= $\mathbf{m a j o r ~ p r o b l e m ) . ~}$ | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crime | 38 | 38 | 34 | 55 | 60 |
| Taxes | 47 | 59 | 48 | 52 | 57 |
| Highway safety | NA | 36 | NA | NA | NA |
| Traffic safety | 36 | NA | 33 | 43 | NA |
| Traffic congestion | 41 | 43 | 36 | 37 | NA |
| Poverty | 40 | 34 | 30 | 50 | 70 |
| Homelessness | 27 | 26 | 20 | 48 | 61 |
| Availability of livable wage jobs | NA | 48 | 47 | 49 | 75 |
| Bike and pedestrian safety | NA | 27 | NA | NA | NA |
| Foreclosed properties | NA | NA | 31 | NA | 58 |
| Ease of travel by public transit in the County | NA | NA | 52 | NA | NA |
| Condition of county roads and bridges | NA | NA | NA | NA | 71 |
| Opportunities for young people | NA | NA | NA | NA | 74 |

Table 84: Perceptions of Community Safety

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in the County. Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $100=$ very safe). | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| While in County Government buildings | NA | NA | NA | 86 | NA |
| While in your neighborhood | 83 | 84 | 82 | 79 | NA |
| While using County parks and/or trails | 78 | 76 | NA | 67 | NA |
| From property crimes | 72 | 74 | 75 | 61 | 64 |
| From violent crimes | 81 | 81 | 83 | 65 | 68 |
| From illegal drug activity | 68 | 68 | 70 | 57 | 43 |
| From identity theft | 61 | NA | 62 | 55 | NA |
| From drunk or impaired drivers on County roads | NA | 59 | 64 | 54 | 46 |
| From distracted drivers on County roads | NA | 44 | 54 | 38 | 37 |
| From domestic violence | 84 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| While driving on roads within the County | 72 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| In your home | NA | 88 | NA | NA | NA |
| In public areas (e.g., roads/highways, malls, restaurants, schools) | NA | 77 | NA | NA | NA |
| From being injured while biking or walking along county roads | NA | NA | 66 | NA | NA |

Table 85: Health Concerns

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in the county. Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, $100=$ major concern). | Dakota County | Scott County | Washington County | Olmsted County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bullying | 48 | 59 | 44 | 50 | 67 |
| Depression | 47 | NA | NA | 58 | 64 |
| Mental illness/mental health issues | NA | 56 | NA | NA | NA |
| Suicide/attempted suicide | NA | 39 | 39 | 48 | 59 |
| Environmental hazards (polluted water, toxic waste) | 36 | NA | NA | 37 | NA |
| Pollution | NA | 35 | NA | NA | NA |
| Tobacco use | 36 | 35 | 44 | 48 | 58 |
| Underage alcohol use | 47 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 68 |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 44 | 51 | 48 | 55 | 68 |
| Illegal drug use | 50 | 63 | 54 | 67 | 80 |
| Illegal use/abuse of prescribed medications | 44 | 51 | 48 | 59 | 73 |
| Health and support of older adults | 46 | 49 | 42 | 50 | 67 |
| Health and support of people with disabilities | 45 | 49 | 42 | 48 | 63 |
| The support of persons with mental health challenges | NA | NA | NA | 55 | NA |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 50 | NA | 47 | 58 | 66 |
| The adequacy of school readiness for children | NA | NA | NA | 45 | NA |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 38 | NA | 30 | 41 | NA |


| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in the county. Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, $100=$ major concern). | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sexually transmitted diseases | NA | 36 | NA | NA | 50 |
| Overweight adults | NA | NA | 55 | 63 | 69 |
| Overweight children | NA | NA | 52 | 63 | 65 |
| Overweight adults and children | 56 | 56 | NA | NA | NA |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 45 | NA | 36 | 46 | 63 |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 48 | 53 | 38 | 55 | 70 |
| Abuse and neglect of vulnerable adults | NA | 51 | NA | NA | NA |
| Domestic violence | NA | 54 | 44 | 59 | 70 |
| Social isolation | 35 | 45 | NA | NA | NA |
| Unplanned pregnancy | NA | NA | NA | NA | 55 |
| Teen pregnancy | NA | 47 | NA | NA | NA |
| Lack of physical activity/ exercise | NA | 50 | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of mental health services | NA | NA | NA | NA | 64 |
| Pedestrian and bicyclist safety | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 |

Table 86: Environmental Concerns

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the <br> following is an environmental concern in the <br> county. Average rating (0=not at all a concern, <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0 =}$ major concern). | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Exposure to radon | NA | NA | 30 | 48 | NA |
| Quality of outdoor air | NA | NA | 28 | 46 | NA |
| Safety of food in public establishments | NA | NA | 28 | 55 | NA |
| Mold contamination at home or at work | NA | NA | 29 | 48 | NA |
| Proper disposal of garbage | NA | NA | 23 | 49 | NA |
| Quality of drinking water | NA | NA | 41 | 55 | NA |
| Quality of water in lakes and streams | NA | NA | 48 | 62 | NA |
| Quantity of useable water supply | NA | NA | 40 | 53 | NA |

Table 87: Most Serious Issue Facing the County

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing the County at this time? | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordable housing | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| Crime and safety | 12\% | 5\% | 1\% | 26\% | 10\% |
| Taxes | 10\% | 37\% | 18\% | 10\% | 6\% |
| Schools and education | 5\% | 5\% | 9\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Condition of roads | 6\% | 9\% | 11\% | NA | NA |
| Infrastructure | 3\% | NA | NA | 6\% | 11\% |
| Traffic congestion | 5\% | 14\% | 6\% | 1\% | NA |
| Economic development | 4\% | NA | 8\% | 3\% | 9\% |
| Jobs | 2\% | 14\% | 5\% | 3\% | 25\% |
| Quality of County services | 1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Public County services | NA | NA | NA | 6\% | NA |
| Preserving natural areas | 1\% | NA | NA | 1\% | NA |
| Pollution and environmental issues | 1\% | NA | NA | NA | 3\% |
| Growth and development | 8\% | NA | NA | 20\% | NA |
| Too much growth/development | NA | NA | 20\% | NA | NA |
| Not enough growth/development | NA | NA | 3\% | NA | NA |
| Health | NA | NA | NA | 1\% | 4\% |
| Government spending | 2\% | NA | NA | 2\% | 8\% |
| Sense of community | 6\% | NA | NA | 6\% | NA |
| Homelessness and poverty | 5\% | NA | NA | NA | 2\% |
| Water quality | NA | NA | 5\% | NA | NA |
| Aging population | NA | 5\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Lack of recreational opportunities | NA | NA | NA | 2\% | NA |
| Mining | NA | NA | NA | NA | 6\% |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | 1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| No issue | 2\% | NA | NA | 2\% | NA |
| Public transportation | 4\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Noise pollution | 1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | 10\% | NA | 5\% | 3\% | 10\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Note: Statistical significance not tested.

Table 88: Financial Status

|  | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | Stouis <br> County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you think that a year from now you and your <br> household will be better off financially, worse off, or just <br> about the same as now? Average rating (0=much worse, <br> $100=$ much better $).$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 89: County Services

| Please rate each of the following services provided by the county. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent). | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 82 | 74 | 80 | NA | NA |
| Maintenance of County roads | NA | NA | NA | 52 | 42 |
| Condition of County roads | 61 | 50 | 63 | NA | NA |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 68 | 65 | 67 | 58 | 56 |
| Recycling and drop-off services | 73 | 62 | 73 | 69 | 59 |
| Protection of recreational waters and drinking waters | NA | NA | NA | 65 | NA |
| County parks and recreation | 84 | NA | 83 | 70 | NA |
| Land use, planning and zoning, including permitting | NA | 52 | NA | 50 | 43 |
| Sheriff services | 68 | 67 | 76 | 67 | 66 |
| 911 dispatch services | NA | 76 | 77 | NA | 72 |
| Probation monitoring | NA | NA | NA | 49 | NA |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 67 | 66 | 72 | 67 | NA |
| Records and vital statistics | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 |
| Licensing and vehicle registration | NA | NA | NA | NA | 56 |
| Elections (absentee voting, voter registration) | NA | NA | NA | 68 | NA |
| Passports (applications, renewals) | NA | NA | NA | 68 | NA |
| Land records and other property information | NA | 64 | NA | 69 | NA |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 49 | 45 | NA | 54 | 39 |
| Disaster preparedness | NA | 60 | 66 | 63 | 52 |
| Services to older adults | 50 | 53 | 61 | 57 | 48 |
| Services to children and families | NA | NA | NA | 58 | NA |
| Services to veterans | 48 | 51 | 63 | 52 | 43 |
| Mental health services | 40 | 46 | 56 | 49 | NA |
| Public health services | NA | NA | NA | 59 | 52 |
| Protecting children and vulnerable adults | NA | NA | 61 | NA | NA |
| Protecting vulnerable adults | NA | 52 | NA | 53 | NA |
| Protecting children | NA | 60 | NA | 55 | 46 |
| Accessibility and functionality of County website | NA | NA | NA | 60 | 52 |
| Self-service options on the County website | 66 | 60 | NA | NA | NA |
| Trail and bikeway connectivity | 78 | 61 | 78 | NA | NA |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA |


| Please rate each of the following services provided by the county. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent). | Dakota County | Scott County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disease prevention and control | NA | 61 | NA | NA | NA |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Prevention of repeat crimes | NA | 48 | NA | NA | NA |
| Employment support | 59 | 51 | 66 | NA | 43 |
| Services for low income residents | 49 | 49 | NA | NA | 47 |
| Services for people with disabilities | 56 | 53 | NA | NA | NA |
| Information about the work of the County Board | NA | NA | NA | NA | 40 |
| On-site wastewater and septic permitting | NA | NA | NA | NA | 42 |
| Management of County-owned land | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 |
| Services to youth | NA | NA | NA | NA | 41 |
| Overall quality of services provided by the County | 66 | 59 | 66 | 60 | 47 |

Table 90: Contact with the County

|  | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| St. Louis <br> County |  |  |  |  |
| Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any County <br> government office within the last 12 months? Percent <br> who said "yes." |  |  |  |  |

Table 91: Department Contacted

| Please select the office contacted, choosing the most recent if more than one contacted in the last 12 months. | Dakota County | Scott County | Washington County | Olmsted County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult \& Family Services | NA | NA | NA | 11\% | 2\% |
| County Board/Administration | NA | NA | NA | 3\% | 3\% |
| Family Support \& Assistance | NA | NA | NA | 7\% | 6\% |
| Planning | NA | NA | NA | 5\% | 2\% |
| Recording \& Abstracting | NA | NA | NA | 4\% | 5\% |
| Vital Records | NA | NA | NA | 9\% | NA |
| Child \& Family Services | NA | NA | NA | 1\% | 5\% |
| Election \& Voter Registration | NA | NA | NA | 4\% | NA |
| Finance | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | 12\% |
| Property Assessment | NA | NA | NA | 3\% | 13\% |
| Real Estate Tax Collection | NA | NA | NA | 5\% | NA |
| Community Corrections | NA | NA | NA | 1\% | 1\% |
| Environmental Resources | NA | NA | NA | 1\% | 3\% |
| Human Resources | NA | NA | NA | 2\% | 5\% |
| Public Health | NA | NA | NA | 1\% | 8\% |
| 911 Dispatch | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17\% |
| Sheriff's Office | NA | NA | NA | 10\% | 2\% |
| County Attorney | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | 3\% |
| Facilities \& Building Operations | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | NA |
| Information Technology Solutions (ITS) | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | NA |
| Public Works \& County Engineer | NA | NA | NA | 4\% | 4\% |
| Veterans' Services | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | 1\% |
| Driver's Licenses | NA | NA | NA | 23\% | NA |
| Passports | NA | NA | NA | 5\% | NA |
| Housing \& Redevelopment Authority (HRA) | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | NA |
| Data practices | NA | NA | NA | 0\% | NA |
| Land and Minerals | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3\% |
| Other | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4\% |
| Total | NA | NA | NA | 100\% | 100\% |

Note: Statistical significance not tested.

Table 92: Employee Characteristics

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of <br> the County in your most recent contact? <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent). | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Knowledgeable | 76 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 72 |
| Responsive | 73 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 69 |
| Courteous | 75 | 74 | 74 | 71 | 73 |
| Overall impression | 73 | 72 | 72 | 71 | 70 |

Table 93: Perceptions of Government

| Please rate the following categories of the County government performance. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent). | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job the County government does at informing residents | 63 | 56 | 60 | 56 | 43 |
| The job the County government does at listening to residents | 52 | 48 | 51 | 48 | 38 |
| The job the County government does at managing tax dollars | 54 | 41 | 45 | 42 | 37 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to the County | 55 | 42 | 48 | 45 | 38 |
| My knowledge of the work of the County Board | NA | NA | 37 | 46 | NA |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 61 | NA | NA | 52 | NA |
| Effectively planning for the future | 58 | NA | NA | 50 | 36 |
| Working through priority issues facing the County | NA | NA | NA | 51 | NA |
| The value of County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 60 | 58 | 56 | 54 | NA |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 63 | NA | 59 | NA | 43 |
| Overall confidence in County government | 60 | NA | NA | NA | 44 |
| The job the County does at making information available when residents need it | NA | 56 | NA | NA | NA |

Table 94: Approval of County Board

|  | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job <br> the County Board is doing? Average rating $(0=$ strongly <br> disapprove, $100=$ strongly approve $)$ | 67 | NA |  |  |  |

Table 95: Potential Information Sources

| Please rate the extent to which you use each of the following as sources of information about County government, if at all. Percent using as a minor or major source. | Dakota County | Scott County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Board meetings shown on cable access | NA | 25\% | NA | NA | 23\% |
| Cable access programming | NA | NA | 33\% | NA | NA |
| Daily newspapers | NA | 61\% | 63\% | 69\% | 82\% |
| Other county residents (such as neighbors or friends) | NA | NA | NA | 86\% | 81\% |
| County employees | NA | 43\% | NA | 51\% | 46\% |
| County website | NA | 75\% | 74\% | 63\% | 54\% |
| Other online news sources | NA | 55\% | 55\% | 65\% | NA |
| Community meetings | NA | 38\% | 35\% | 42\% | 37\% |
| The radio | NA | 45\% | NA | 74\% | 68\% |
| Phone calls to County | NA | NA | 37\% | 39\% | 37\% |
| Reports, flyers or brochures | NA | NA | NA | 58\% | 56\% |
| Television news | NA | 75\% | 64\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, etc.) | NA | 52\% | 44\% | 50\% | NA |
| Weekly community newspapers | NA | 79\% | 65\% | NA | 65\% |
| County Newsletter | NA | 78\% | 77\% | NA | NA |
| County listservs and other County electronic newsletters | NA | NA | 25\% | NA | NA |

Table 96: Top Preference for Contact

| Which one of the following methods would you <br> prefer using if you wanted to contact the County <br> government for a suggestion or concern? | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Call a commissioner | NA | $9 \%$ | NA | $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Call a staff person | NA | $23 \%$ | NA | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Go to a public meeting | NA | $5 \%$ | NA | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Send an email | NA | $36 \%$ | NA | $18 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Social media | NA | $4 \%$ | NA | NA | $5 \%$ |
| Access the County Web site | NA | NA | NA | $34 \%$ | NA |
| Fill out an online survey | NA | $3 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Provide feedback online | NA | $6 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| In-person visit to a government office | NA | $15 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | NA | $1 \%$ | NA | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total | NA | $100 \%$ | NA | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Note: Statistical significance not tested.
Table 97: Desired Internet Service Information

| What kind of County service or information <br> would you like to access via the Internet? What <br> information is useful/valuable to you on the <br> County's website? | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| General county project information | NA | NA | $24 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Volunteer opportunities | $20 \%$ | NA | NA | $16 \%$ | NA |
| Property sales/information | $32 \%$ | NA | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | NA |


| What kind of County service or information would you like to access via the Internet? What information is useful/valuable to you on the County's website? | Dakota County | Scott <br> County | Washington County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County budget information | 13\% | NA | 6\% | 12\% | NA |
| Information about County services | 48\% | NA | 29\% | 38\% | NA |
| Garbage and recycling | 65\% | NA | 42\% | 45\% | NA |
| Road/bridge construction projects | 40\% | NA | 24\% | 24\% | NA |
| Information about services for older adults | 19\% | NA | 7\% | 13\% | NA |
| Information about people charged with a crime | 17\% | NA | NA | 22\% | NA |
| Information about parks | 66\% | NA | 59\% | 48\% | NA |
| Contacting County departments or staff | 27\% | NA | NA | 34\% | NA |
| Information about the County Jail or its inmates | 9\% | NA | NA | 11\% | NA |
| Other information | 2\% | NA | NA | 9\% | NA |
| Pay fees, fines, or property taxes | 52\% | NA | 30\% | NA | NA |
| Reserve park facilities | 49\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Register for volunteer activities online | 32\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Online recording of vital records (birth, death, marriage) | 27\% | NA | 8\% | NA | NA |
| Renew or apply for a license, permit, or other application | 64\% | NA | 38\% | NA | NA |
| Receive your annual property tax notices by email | 28\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Live chat support service online for property information | 11\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Request copies or notarizing: property documents | 22\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Access library resources | 43\% | NA | 47\% | NA | NA |
| Apply for public financial assistance | 13\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other service | 1\% | NA | 2\% | NA | NA |
| General information about the county | NA | NA | 38\% | NA | NA |
| Meeting calendar, agenda, and/or minutes | NA | NA | 7\% | NA | NA |
| Access public County records | NA | NA | 14\% | NA | NA |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
Note: Statistical significance not tested.

Table 98: Support for Property Tax to Maintain Services

|  | Dakota <br> County | Scott <br> County | Washington <br> County | Olmsted <br> County | St. Louis <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| To what extent would you support or oppose an increase <br> in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain <br> County services at their current levels? (Percent strongly <br> or somewhat support) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E: Comparison of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics

## Geographic Cross-tabulations

Cells shaded grey indicate statistically significant differences between subgroups.

|  | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |  |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | 74 | 76 | 84 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 82 | 79 |

Table 100: Ratings of Problems by Commissioner District

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ not a problem, 100=major problem) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | District <br> 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | District 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Crime | 47 | 41 | 36 | 35 | 41 | 32 | 36 | 38 |
| Taxes | 56 | 49 | 46 | 44 | 39 | 50 | 45 | 47 |
| Traffic safety | 38 | 39 | 32 | 32 | 35 | 42 | 39 | 36 |
| Traffic congestion | 41 | 36 | 37 | 34 | 45 | 40 | 51 | 41 |
| Poverty | 43 | 41 | 40 | 37 | 48 | 31 | 37 | 40 |
| Homelessness | 30 | 30 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 19 | 29 | 27 |

Table 101: Ratings of Community Characteristics by Commissioner District

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |  |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 71 | 74 | 84 | 82 | 73 | 81 | 81 | 78 |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 62 | 68 | 68 | 70 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 67 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 52 | 65 | 64 | 59 | 53 | 56 | 59 | 58 |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 70 | 73 | 82 | 81 | 69 | 81 | 80 | 76 |
| Sense of community | 61 | 63 | 64 | 62 | 54 | 58 | 65 | 61 |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 53 | 59 | 63 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 60 | 57 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 69 | 73 | 79 | 80 | 75 | 78 | 74 | 75 |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 58 | 60 | 68 | 69 | 58 | 71 | 62 | 64 |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 54 | 66 | 73 | 70 | 60 | 66 | 66 | 65 |
| Availability of affordable housing | 46 | 53 | 52 | 50 | 46 | 45 | 53 | 49 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 63 | 66 | 72 | 74 | 65 | 69 | 66 | 68 |

Table 102: Ratings of Safety by Commissioner District

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $100=$ very safe) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |  |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 69 | 71 | 76 | 73 | 69 | 74 | 71 | 72 |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 79 | 78 | 83 | 85 | 76 | 84 | 81 | 81 |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., manufacturing or selling drugs) | 54 | 71 | 74 | 71 | 69 | 70 | 68 | 68 |
| From identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) | 54 | 66 | 66 | 61 | 60 | 58 | 59 | 61 |
| From domestic violence | 82 | 84 | 87 | 86 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 84 |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 72 | 71 | 72 | 75 | 71 | 67 | 73 | 72 |
| While in your neighborhood | 82 | 79 | 88 | 87 | 80 | 86 | 80 | 83 |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 75 | 77 | 80 | 83 | 77 | 79 | 80 | 78 |
| Other | 61 | 76 | 69 | 65 | 55 | 64 | 68 | 64 |

Table 103: Ratings of Health Concerns by Commissioner District

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Average rating (0=not at all a concern, 100=major concern) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District $1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | District <br> 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | District <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | District 7 |  |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 45 | 32 | 35 | 39 | 37 | 29 | 33 | 36 |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 37 | 34 | 40 | 33 | 37 | 33 | 38 | 36 |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 49 | 44 | 51 | 45 | 46 | 42 | 49 | 47 |
| Social isolation | 37 | 35 | 37 | 30 | 34 | 31 | 38 | 35 |
| Underage alcohol use | 51 | 43 | 53 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 46 | 47 |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 50 | 41 | 45 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 45 | 44 |
| Illegal drug use | 60 | 47 | 50 | 44 | 47 | 47 | 52 | 50 |
| Bullying | 54 | 46 | 49 | 51 | 49 | 43 | 46 | 48 |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications | 49 | 39 | 45 | 42 | 40 | 42 | 49 | 44 |
| The health and support of older adults | 47 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 47 | 42 | 53 | 46 |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 49 | 43 | 45 | 42 | 44 | 42 | 47 | 45 |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 58 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 51 | 41 | 57 | 50 |
| Overweight adults and children | 61 | 53 | 55 | 53 | 58 | 51 | 63 | 56 |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 51 | 53 | 47 | 43 | 49 | 40 | 55 | 48 |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 44 | 46 | 45 | 41 | 47 | 39 | 53 | 45 |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 39 | 38 | 44 | 35 | 35 | 31 | 39 | 38 |

Table 104: Ratings of County Services by Commissioner District

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | District $4$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | District 7 |  |
| County libraries | 82 | 79 | 82 | 87 | 77 | 86 | 83 | 82 |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 81 | 79 | 87 | 89 | 81 | 86 | 83 | 84 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 78 | 75 | 85 | 80 | 73 | 79 | 79 | 78 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 54 | 57 | 71 | 67 | 58 | 62 | 61 | 61 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 58 | 74 | 73 | 71 | 62 | 66 | 70 | 68 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | 63 | 73 | 70 | 74 | 58 | 70 | 71 | 68 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 71 | 72 | 79 | 80 | 66 | 67 | 75 | 73 |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | 62 | 66 | 72 | 70 | 60 | 68 | 63 | 66 |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 42 | 46 | 63 | 52 | 46 | 43 | 52 | 49 |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 50 | 57 | 66 | 63 | 53 | 57 | 53 | 57 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 56 | 60 | 66 | 65 | 48 | 63 | 60 | 60 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 59 | 67 | 71 | 72 | 62 | 66 | 66 | 66 |

Table 105: Ratings of County Services by Users by Commissioner District

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District <br> 1 | District $2$ | District <br> 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | District <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | District 7 |  |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 59 | 64 | 55 | 57 | 57 | 67 | 58 | 59 |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 36 | 57 | 44 | 60 | 45 | 51 | 53 | 49 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 60 | 73 | 72 | 69 | 67 | 66 | 63 | 67 |
| Services for people with physical disabilities | 48 | 58 | 82 | 59 | 52 | 51 | 44 | 56 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 27 | 58 | 32 | 43 | 37 | 41 | 35 | 40 |
| Services provided to veterans | 46 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 50 | 37 | 42 | 48 |
| Services provided to older adults | 50 | 54 | 48 | 54 | 48 | 41 | 50 | 50 |

## Demographic Crosstabulations

Cells shaded grey indicate statistically significant differences between subgroups.
Table 106: Ratings of Overall Quality of Life by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | Rent or own |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | More than 10 years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | 79 | 82 | 79 | 80 | 78 | 76 | 80 | 81 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 78 | 79 |

Table 107: Ratings of Problems by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ not a problem, $100=$ major problem) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | Rent or own |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | More <br> than 10 years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| Crime | 25 | 36 | 43 | 39 | 37 | 34 | 40 | 30 | 39 | 44 | 41 | 35 | 38 |
| Taxes | 33 | 45 | 51 | 50 | 42 | 37 | 49 | 37 | 48 | 52 | 45 | 48 | 47 |
| Traffic safety | 33 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 37 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 36 |
| Traffic congestion | 37 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 38 | 41 | 40 | 37 | 43 | 40 | 43 | 37 | 41 |
| Poverty | 31 | 40 | 43 | 38 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 35 | 41 | 42 | 46 | 34 | 40 |
| Homelessness | 20 | 20 | 31 | 26 | 30 | 25 | 28 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 33 | 23 | 27 |

Table 108: Ratings of Community Characteristics by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent ) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | Rent or own |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | More <br> than 10 <br> years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 76 | 77 | 79 | 79 | 76 | 73 | 80 | 78 | 77 | 79 | 79 | 77 | 78 |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 71 | 61 | 66 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 67 | 68 | 65 | 68 | 68 | 66 | 67 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 55 | 50 | 60 | 57 | 60 | 54 | 59 | 51 | 54 | 65 | 60 | 56 | 58 |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 73 | 79 | 77 | 79 | 72 | 69 | 79 | 76 | 77 | 76 | 77 | 77 | 76 |
| Sense of community | 62 | 57 | 61 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 61 |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 58 | 54 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 58 | 57 | 60 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 74 | 73 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 72 | 76 | 73 | 78 | 75 | 76 | 75 | 75 |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 59 | 62 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 59 | 65 | 60 | 64 | 67 | 62 | 66 | 64 |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 65 | 64 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 64 | 66 | 65 |
| Availability of affordable housing | 48 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 46 | 41 | 52 | 46 | 49 | 52 | 47 | 52 | 49 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 66 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 65 | 63 | 70 | 65 | 69 | 70 | 69 | 68 | 68 |

Table 109: Ratings of Safety by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $100=$ very safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rent or } \\ & \text { own } \end{aligned}$ |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | More <br> than 10 <br> years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 74 | 73 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 83 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 82 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 84 | 81 |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., manufacturing or selling drugs) | 77 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 69 | 70 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 68 |
| From identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) | 68 | 64 | 57 | 57 | 66 | 68 | 59 | 69 | 59 | 55 | 60 | 62 | 61 |
| From domestic violence | 83 | 85 | 84 | 85 | 82 | 79 | 86 | 84 | 86 | 82 | 86 | 82 | 84 |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 71 | 71 | 72 | 72 | 71 | 71 | 72 | 71 | 73 | 71 | 71 | 72 | 72 |
| While in your neighborhood | 85 | 83 | 82 | 85 | 81 | 80 | 84 | 83 | 84 | 82 | 82 | 84 | 83 |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 79 | 79 | 78 | 79 | 77 | 76 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 76 | 76 | 82 | 78 |
| Other | 65 | 79 | 60 | 64 | 66 | 69 | 60 | 83 | 53 | 61 | 54 | 73 | 64 |

Table 110: Ratings of Health Concerns by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | Rent or own |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | More <br> than 10 years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 29 | 34 | 38 | 37 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 28 | 36 | 42 | 38 | 34 | 36 |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 33 | 34 | 38 | 34 | 41 | 39 | 35 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 33 | 36 |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 42 | 47 | 48 | 46 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 44 | 48 | 47 | 52 | 42 | 47 |
| Social isolation | 30 | 38 | 36 | 33 | 38 | 40 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 40 | 39 | 31 | 35 |
| Underage alcohol use | 37 | 42 | 51 | 47 | 48 | 45 | 48 | 41 | 49 | 51 | 51 | 43 | 47 |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 35 | 40 | 47 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 37 | 43 | 50 | 50 | 38 | 44 |
| Illegal drug use | 35 | 44 | 56 | 50 | 51 | 46 | 51 | 37 | 52 | 59 | 55 | 45 | 50 |
| Bullying | 38 | 48 | 51 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 42 | 50 | 50 | 55 | 42 | 48 |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications | 38 | 41 | 46 | 43 | 45 | 42 | 44 | 38 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 40 | 44 |
| The health and support of older adults | 40 | 43 | 50 | 47 | 46 | 44 | 47 | 40 | 45 | 54 | 49 | 44 | 46 |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 38 | 44 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 45 | 40 | 42 | 52 | 48 | 42 | 45 |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 38 | 50 | 54 | 51 | 48 | 44 | 52 | 41 | 52 | 56 | 51 | 48 | 50 |
| Overweight adults and children | 54 | 53 | 58 | 54 | 61 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 53 | 60 | 59 | 54 | 56 |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 43 | 45 | 50 | 46 | 52 | 51 | 47 | 42 | 47 | 55 | 51 | 45 | 48 |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 42 | 41 | 47 | 43 | 48 | 45 | 45 | 41 | 41 | 53 | 48 | 41 | 45 |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 32 | 35 | 40 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 32 | 36 | 44 | 39 | 35 | 38 |

Table 111: Ratings of County Services by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent ) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | Rent or own |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | More <br> than 10 <br> years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| County libraries | 80 | 81 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 81 | 83 | 78 | 83 | 85 | 83 | 83 | 82 |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 79 | 85 | 83 | 85 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 80 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 80 | 74 | 80 | 79 | 79 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 78 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 62 | 65 | 61 | 62 | 61 | 59 | 62 | 61 | 60 | 64 | 62 | 61 | 61 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 64 | 72 | 68 | 69 | 65 | 63 | 69 | 65 | 67 | 71 | 67 | 68 | 68 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | 66 | 66 | 69 | 69 | 66 | 63 | 69 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 68 | 69 | 68 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 69 | 78 | 73 | 76 | 67 | 61 | 76 | 69 | 76 | 73 | 74 | 73 | 73 |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | 63 | 69 | 66 | 69 | 61 | 58 | 68 | 66 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 67 | 66 |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 55 | 52 | 48 | 48 | 54 | 59 | 48 | 56 | 49 | 47 | 52 | 48 | 49 |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 58 | 58 | 56 | 57 | 56 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 57 | 54 | 59 | 57 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 61 | 65 | 59 | 61 | 57 | 58 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 61 | 60 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 66 | 64 | 66 | 66 | 65 | 62 | 66 | 63 | 66 | 67 | 64 | 68 | 66 |

Table 112: Ratings of County Services by Users by Respondent Demographic Characteristics

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent ) | Length of residency |  |  | Respondent housing unit type |  | Rent or own |  | Age |  |  | Gender |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | More <br> than 10 years | Detached | Attached | Rent | Own | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male |  |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 56 | 58 | 61 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 59 | 44 | 66 | 60 | 61 | 57 | 59 |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 48 | 41 | 50 | 44 | 52 | 53 | 43 | 41 | 50 | 52 | 47 | 50 | 49 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 66 | 67 | 67 | 66 | 69 | 68 | 66 | 66 | 65 | 71 | 66 | 68 | 67 |
| Services for people with physical disabilities | 63 | 66 | 54 | 54 | 59 | 60 | 53 | 64 | 57 | 51 | 52 | 61 | 56 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 48 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 42 | 37 | 34 | 47 | 40 |
| Services provided to veterans | 53 | 55 | 46 | 50 | 45 | 43 | 49 | 38 | 50 | 52 | 41 | 55 | 48 |
| Services provided to older adults | 46 | 47 | 50 | 52 | 46 | 43 | 52 | 37 | 48 | 53 | 51 | 47 | 50 |

## Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons

## Understanding the Benchmark Comparisons

Taking the pulse of the community has little meaning without knowing what pulse rate is too high and what is too low. When surveys of service satisfaction turn up "good" resident evaluations, it is necessary to know how others rate their services to understand if "good" is good enough or if most other communities are "excellent." Furthermore, in the absence of national or peer community comparisons, a jurisdiction is left with comparing its sheriff services rating to its street maintenance rating. That comparison is unfair, because street maintenance always gets lower ratings than do sheriff services. More illuminating is how residents' ratings of sheriff services compare to opinions about sheriff services in other communities and to resident ratings over time.

A sheriff department that provides the fastest and most efficient service - one that closes most of its cases, solves most of its crimes, and keeps the crime rate low - still has a problem to fix if the residents in the county rate sheriff services lower than ratings given by residents in other cities with objectively "worse" departments.

Benchmark data can help that sheriff department - or any County department - to understand how well residents think it is doing. Without the comparative data, it would be like bowling in a tournament without knowing what the other teams are scoring. Residents' opinions should be used in conjunction with other sources of data about budget, population demographics, personnel, and politics to help managers know how to respond to comparative results.

## Comparison Data

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in resident surveys from approximately 500 jurisdictions whose residents evaluated local government services and gave their opinion about the quality of community life. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each jurisdiction; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly when surveys are completed, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant.

NRC has designed a method for quantitatively integrating the results of surveys that we have conducted with those that others have conducted. These integration methods have been described thoroughly in Public Administration Review, Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, and in NRC's first book on conducting and using citizen surveys, Citizen Surveys: how to do them, how to use them, what they mean, published by the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). Scholars who specialize in the analysis of resident surveys regularly have relied on NRC's work. ${ }^{34}$ The method described in those publications is refined regularly and statistically tested on a growing number of resident surveys in NRC's proprietary databases.

Jurisdictions in NRC's benchmark database are distributed geographically across the country and range from small to large in population size. Comparisons may be made to all jurisdictions in the database or to a subset of jurisdictions (such as only other counties), as in this report. Despite the differences in jurisdiction characteristics, all are in the business of providing local government services to residents. Though individual jurisdiction circumstances, resources, and practices vary, the objective in every community is to provide services that are so timely, tailored, and effective that residents conclude the services are of the highest quality. High ratings in any jurisdiction, like SAT scores in any teen household, bring pride, and a sense of accomplishment.

[^6]Dakota County chose to have comparisons made to all counties in the NRC database. A benchmark comparison (the average rating from all the comparison jurisdictions) has been provided when a similar question on the Dakota County survey was included in NRC's database and there were at least five jurisdictions for which the same question was asked.

## Putting Evaluations onto the 100-point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a four-point scale with 1 representing the best rating and 4 the worst, the benchmarks are reported on a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. The 95 percent confidence interval around an average score on the 100-point scale is no greater than plus or minus two points based on all respondents.

The 100 -point scale is not a percent. It is a conversion of responses to an average rating. Each response option is assigned a value that is used in calculating the average score. For example, "excellent"=100, "good" $=67$, "fair" $=33$ and "poor" $=0$. If everyone reported "excellent," then the average rating would be 100 on the 100 -point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a "poor," the result would be 0 on the 100-point scale. If half the respondents gave a score of "excellent" and half gave a score of "poor," the average would be in the middle of the scale (like the center post of a teeter totter) between "fair" and "good." An example of how to convert survey frequencies into an average rating appears below.

## Example of Converting Responses to the 100-point Scale

| How do you rate the County as a place to raise children? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response option | Total with "don't know" | Step1: Remove the percent of "don't know" responses | Total without "don't know" | Step 2: <br> Assign scale <br> values | Step 3: <br> Multiply the percent by the scale value | Step 4: Sum to calculate the average rating |
| Excellent | 32\% | $=32 \div(100-11)=$ | 36\% | 100 | $=36 \% \times 100=$ | 36 |
| Good | 46\% | $=46 \div(100-11)=$ | 52\% | 67 | $=52 \% \times 67=$ | 35 |
| Fair | 9\% | $=9 \div(100-11)=$ | 10\% | 33 | $=10 \% \times 33=$ | 3 |
| Poor | 2\% | $=2 \div(100-11)=$ | 2\% | 0 | $=2 \% \times 0=$ | 0 |
| Don't know | 11\% |  | -- |  |  |  |
| Total | 100\% |  | 100\% |  |  | 74 |

How do you rate the County as a place to raise children?


## Interpreting the Results

Average ratings are compared when similar questions are included in NRC's database, and there are at least five jurisdictions in which the question was asked. Where comparisons are available, three numbers are provided in the table. The first column is Dakota County's rating on the 100-point scale. The second column is the rank assigned to the County's rating among jurisdictions where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of jurisdictions that asked a similar question. The fourth column shows the benchmark, followed by a comparison of Dakota County's average rating (column one) to this benchmark.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, the Dakota County's results were generally noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably higher or lower than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much," (for example, "much higher" or "much lower"). These labels come from a statistical comparison of Dakota County's rating to the benchmark where a rating is considered "similar" if it is within the margin of error; "higher" or "lower" if the difference between the County's rating and the benchmark is greater than, but no more than twice the margin of error; and "much higher" or "much lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is more than twice the margin of error.

Benchmarks for county jurisdictions are shown in this report; municipalities or "other" types of jurisdictions (e.g. districts) were not included.

## National County Benchmark Comparisons

Table 113: Overall Community Quality Benchmark

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of Jurisdictions <br> for Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| How would you rate your overall <br> quality of life in Dakota County? | 79 | 7 |  | 43 |

Table 114: Community Characteristics Benchmarks

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of Jurisdictions <br> for Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 78 | 8 | 37 | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 67 | 6 | 36 | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 58 | 18 | 38 | Similar |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a <br> family | 76 | 6 | 34 | Much higher |
| Sense of community | 61 | 5 | 25 | Much higher |
| Openness and acceptance of <br> people with diverse backgrounds | 57 | 8 | 32 | Much higher |
| Outdoor recreational <br> opportunities in Dakota County | 75 | 2 | 30 | Much higher |
| Economic health of Dakota <br> County | 65 | 1 | 23 | Much higher |
| Availability of affordable housing | 49 | 9 | 33 | Much higher |
| Overall image or reputation of <br> Dakota County | 68 | 9 | 31 | Much higher |

Table 115: Community Safety Benchmarks

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of Jurisdictions <br> for Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., <br> burglary, theft) | 72 | 4 |  | 16 | Much higher |
| From violent crimes (e.g., <br> rape, assault, robbery) | 81 | 4 | 16 | Much higher |  |
| While in your neighborhood | 83 | 2 |  | 9 | Much higher |
| While using Dakota County <br> parks and trails | 79 | 1 |  | 7 | Much higher |

Table 116: County Services Benchmarks

|  | Dakota <br> County average rating | Rank | Number of Jurisdictions for Comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 82 | 3 | 28 | Much higher |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby | 84 | 2 | 29 | Much higher |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 78 | 1 | 7 | Much higher |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 61 | 3 | 8 | Much higher |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 68 | 3 | 25 | Much higher |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services | 56 | 31 | 36 | Much lower |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 73 | 4 | 29 | Much higher |
| Self-service options on the County Web site | 66 | 1 | 9 | Much higher |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 49 | 5 | 11 | Similar |
| Services provided to older adults | 50 | 14 | 19 | Much lower |

Table 117: Overall Quality of County Services Benchmark

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of Jurisdictions <br> for Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Overall quality of services <br> provided by Dakota County | 66 | 6 |  | 44 | Much higher |

Table 118: Contact with County Office Benchmark

|  | Dakota <br> County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of <br> Jurisdictions for <br> Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed <br> any Dakota County government office <br> within the last 12 months? |  |  |  |  |

Table 119: Perceptions of County Employees (Among Those Who Had Contact) Benchmarks

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of Jurisdictions for <br> Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Knowledgeable | 76 | 2 |  | 16 | Higher |
| Responsive | 73 | 5 |  | 16 | Higher |
| Courteous | 75 | 3 |  | 12 | Similar |
| Overall <br> impression |  | 73 | 3 |  | 34 |

Table 120: Public Trust Benchmarks

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of <br> Jurisdictions for <br> Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| The job Dakota County government <br> does of providing information to <br> residents |  | 63 | 2 |  | 10 |

Table 121: Perceptions of County Employees (Among Those Who Had Contact) Benchmarks

|  | Dakota County <br> average rating | Rank | Number of <br> Jurisdictions for <br> Comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| To what extent do you approve or <br> disapprove of the job the Dakota County <br> Board is doing? | 67 | 4 |  |  |  |

## Appendix G: List of Counties in the Benchmark COMPARISONS

Listed below are the jurisdictions included in the national county benchmark comparisons provided for Dakota County followed by its 2010 population according to the U.S. Census.

| Albemarle County, VA | 98,970 | King County, WA | 1,931,249 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arapahoe County, CO | . 572,003 | Lane County, OR | 351,715 |
| Arlington County, VA | 207,627 | Larimer County, CO | 299,630 |
| Athens-Clarke County, GA . | .115,452 | Lewis County, NY | 27,087 |
| Beltrami County, MN | .44,442 | Macomb County, MI. | 840,978 |
| Boone County, KY. | 118,811 | Mesa County, CO | 146,723 |
| Broomfield, CO | .55,889 | Montgomery County, VA | 94,392 |
| Cabarrus County, NC | .178,011 | New Hanover County, NC | 202,667 |
| Charlotte County, FL | .159,978 | Olmsted County, MN | 144,248 |
| Chesterfield County, VA | . 316,236 | Otsego County, MI | 24,164 |
| Clackamas County, OR . | . 375,992 | Pasco County, FL | 464,697 |
| Dakota County, MN. | . 398,552 | Peoria County, IL | 186,494 |
| Denver, CO. | .600,158 | Pitkin County, CO | .17,148 |
| Douglas County, CO | 285,465 | Polk County, IA | 430,640 |
| El Dorado County, CA | .181,058 | Prince William County, VA . | 402,002 |
| Escambia County, FL | 297,619 | San Francisco, CA | 805,235 |
| Guilford County, NC | 488,406 | San Juan County, NM | 130,044 |
| Gunnison County, CO | .15,324 | Sangamon County, IL | 197,465 |
| Hanover County, VA. | . 99,863 | Santa Fe County, NM | 144,170 |
| Honolulu, HI. | . 953,207 | Sarasota County, FL | 379,448 |
| Horry County, SC | . 269,291 | Scott County, MN. | 129,928 |
| Jackson County, MI | .160,248 | St. Louis County, MN | 200,226 |
| James City County, VA | ...67,009 | Summit County, UT . | .. 36,324 |
| Jefferson County, NY | .116,229 | Washington County, MN | 238,136 |
| Kansas City, KS. | . 145,786 | York County, VA. | .. 65,464 |

## Dakota County 2016 Residential Survey

## Appendix H: Survey Instrument

The following pages contain the 2016 survey instrument.

Please complete this questionnaire if you are the adult (age 18 or older) in the household who most recently had a birthday. The adult's year of birth does not matter. Your responses are anonymous and will be reported in group form only. Thank you!
I. How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? ......a Excellent Good Fair Poor
2. What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County?

| Q Location | Quality of life in general | Q Low taxes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Open space | My neighborhood | People |
| Parks/lakes | Schools | Other (please specify) |
| Rural character | $\square$ Small town feel |  |

3. Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County.

| Not a problem | Minor problem | Moderate problem | Major problem | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime ...................................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Taxes ......................................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Traffic safety ..........................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Traffic congestion.................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Poverty ..................................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Homelessness.........................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

4. Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live ............................................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Dakota County as a place to work ....................................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire......................................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family............................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sense of community ................................................................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds ............ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County......................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options ..................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Economic health of Dakota County ........................................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable housing............................................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County ...................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

5. What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time?
6. In the past year, have you volunteered in any community organization like a faith community, neighborhood group, non-profit service organization, local government, or school association?
$\square$ Yes, once or twice in the year

- Yes, about once a month
- No, I haven't volunteered
$\square$ Yes, once every other month
$\square$ Yes, more than once a month
- Don't know

7. Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County.
From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft)..........................................I
8. Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County.

| Not at all a concern | Minor concern | Moderate concern | Major concern | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste)...............I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco).................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses ..................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Social isolation ......................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Underage alcohol use...........................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Alcohol abuse among adults .................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Illegal drug use .......................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bullying..................................................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications....................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The health and support of older adults ................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities ............................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-I7.................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overweight adults and children........................................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Abuse and neglect of children..............................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults........................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Spread of infectious diseases .................................................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

9. Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now?

- Much better
$\square$ Somewhat better
$\square$ About the same
Somewhat worse
$\square$
Much worse
Don't know

10. Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County.

| County libraries Excellent $\qquad$ | $\frac{\text { Good }}{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Fair }}{3}$ | $\frac{\text { Poor }}{4}$ | $\frac{\text { Don't know }}{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) . I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails...... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads ............................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol services........................................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone......................................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Self-service options on the County Web site..................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Assessment process/property tax system.......................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Addressing important health issues in communities ............................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes ............................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County.......... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

II. Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years.


## 12. To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain County services at their current levels?

[^7]
16. What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact? (Rate each characteristic below.)

17. Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations........................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Online access to County services..................................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of paying for County services online ......................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

18. Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance.
Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know

The job Dakota County government does of providing

| information to residents................................................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents ...... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County.................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars ....... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

The job Dakota County government does at manag

| life in my neighborhood................................................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community ........................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county........................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county ................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government....................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

19. To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing?
$\square$ Strongly approve $\square$ Somewhat approve $\square$ Somewhat disapprove $\square$ Strongly disapprove Don't know
20. Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. (Please select up to three methods.)

O Publications like mailed brochures
O The County's mailed newsletter
O Calling Dakota County
O Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)
O Using my desktop or laptop computer
O Television/cable TV
O Other:

O County website (www.dakotacounty.us)
O Local or neighborhood newspapers
O E-mail from Dakota County
O Online search for information
O Using my handheld mobile devices (smartphone or tablet)
O None, I don't want or need any information from Dakota County

## Dakota County 2016 Residential Survey

21. Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents and gain their insights and learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. (Please select all that apply.)

- Public meetings/forums/open houses
$\square$ Advisory panels

22. What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you? (Please select all that apply.)
Volunteer opportunities
Recycling information

- Information about parks

Information about County services
$\square$ Property sales/information
Road/bridge construction projects
$\square$ Contacting County departments or staff

- County budget information

Information about services for older adults
Information about the County Jail or its inmates
Information about people charged with a crime

- Other (please specify)

Social Media
$\square$ Online survey
23. What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site? (Please select all that apply.)
Pay fees, fines, or property taxes
Reserve park facilities
Register for volunteer activities online
$\square$ Online recording of vital records (birth, death, marriage)
Renew or apply for a license, permit, or other application
Receive your annual property tax notices by email
Live chat support service online for property information
Request copies or notarizing: property documents

- Apply for a library card or use other library resources

Apply for public financial assistance
0 Other (please specify)
24. How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services?

Very Somewhat Not at all Don't Essential important important important know

| Popular titles/current library materials ............................................ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early literacy/reading skills promotion and resources ....................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers .......................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Small business/economic development resources and services ......... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Consumer/government information.............................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Movies, music and entertainment................................................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Streaming movies and music (online) .............................................. |  |  |  |  |
| Technology access, computer classes and training ........................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Technology to create and edit music and videos ............................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Community activities/information................................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Health and wellness programs and resources................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Author/performance arts programs .............................................. I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| English as a second language resources and services ......................... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others).... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Other (please specify:___) ...... I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

25. Since 2002, Dakota County has emphasized preserving unprotected natural areas and shoreland buffers by dedicating small amounts of County funds in order to receive greater matching funds from non-County sources. How important, if at all, is it to continue investing County funds for these purposes:

|  | Essential | Very important | Somewhat important | Not at all important | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protect lakes, streams, wetlands from pollution |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Protect and manage high-quality natural areas (such as forests, prairies, water bodies, etc.) $\qquad$ |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

26. If you chose to move from your current home, please indicate how acceptable, if at all, each of the following housing options would be to you:

| Very acceptable | Somewhat acceptable | Not at all acceptable | Not applicable | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A single-family home that I own in my community.......................I | 2 | 3 |  | 5 |
| A condo or townhouse that l own in my community...................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| An apartment that I rent in my community.................................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Live with family members away from my current community........ 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 5 |
| A rental facility for age 55+ in my community .............................. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Live in an assisted-living residence in my community....................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Other (please specify: __ ) ......................I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## Dakota County 2016 Residential Survey

27. Please indicate which of the following best describe your use of Dakota County's Recycling Zone facility in the last $\mathbf{I 2}$ months? (Please select up to three options.)
$\square$ Unfamiliar with the Recycling Zone
Do not have anything to drop off
I Inconvenient days/hours/location
$\square$ Used a different facility
D Don't know what items can be brought there
O Other/none of these
Do not want to pay fees at the Recycling Zone
The Recycling Zone is too far away
I do not use the Recycling Zone facility
28. To what extent, if at all, would each of the following make you more likely to recycle more materials?

29. The State is requiring all counties to find ways to divert food waste from landfills. Please indicate to what extent you would support or oppose each of the following options to meet this requirement:


> Our last questions are about you and your household. Again, all of your responses to this survey are completely anonymous and will be reported in group form only.
DI.How long have you lived in Dakota County?
$\square$ Less than 2 years
II to 15 years
$\square 2$ to 5 years
$\square 16$ to 20 years
D2.Which of the following best describes you?
Employed full-time
$\square$ Homemaker
Employed part-time
Retired
Unemployed, looking for work
$\square$ Student
D3. Which best describes the building you live in?
O One family house detached from any other houses
$\square$ House attached to one or more houses (e.g., a duplex or townhome)
Building with two or more apartments or condos
$\square$ Manufactured or mobile home
$\square$ Other
D4. Is this house, apartment or mobile home...
$\square$ Rented
Owned (including with an outstanding mortgage)
Please respond to both questions D5 and D6:

## D5. Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?

$\square$ No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino
Y Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino
D6. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)
$\square$ American Indian or Alaskan Native
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander
Black or African American
White
$\square$ Other

D7. Which category contains your age?

| $\square 18-24$ | $\square 55-64$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square 2544$ | $\square 65-74$ |

$\square 3544$ - $\square 75+$

D8. Which gender do you identify with most closely?
$\square$ Female Male $\square$ Something else $\qquad$
D9. How many of each of the following, including yourself, live in your household?
Children age 17 years and under......
Adults under age 65 years
Adults age 65 years and over $\qquad$
D IO. Please indicate your household's annual income:
$\square$ Under \$15,000 \$75,000-\$99,999
$\square$ \$15,000-\$24,999 $\square$ \$100,000-\$149,999
$\square$ \$25,000-\$34,999 $\square$ \$150,000-\$199,999
$\square$ \$35,000-\$49,999 \$200,000 or more

- \$50,000 - \$74,999

Thank you very much! Please return the completed survey in the postage-paid envelope to: National Research Center, Inc., PO Box 549, Belle Mead, NJ 08502


[^0]:    *Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option. This was a new question in 2016.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: 2010 Census
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: American Community Survey, 2011 5-year estimates

[^2]:    Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

[^3]:    $*$ Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.

[^4]:    This question was only asked of those who reported having contact with a Dakota County government office.

[^5]:    *Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write-in a response for other. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to
    Open-ended Questions to review the verbatim responses.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ Kelly, J. \& Swindell, D. (2002). Service quality variation across urban space: First steps towards a model of citizen satisfaction, Journal of Urban Affairs, 24, 271-288.
    ${ }^{4}$ Van Ryzin, G., Muzzio, D., Immerwahr, S., Gulick, L. \& Martinez, E. (2004). Drivers and consequences of citizen satisfaction: An application of the American Customer Satisfaction Index Model to New York City, Public Administration Review, 64, 331-341.

[^7]:    $\square$ Strongly support
    Somewhat support
    Somewhat oppose
    Strongly oppose
    Don't know

