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## Executive Summary

## Survey Background

Understanding the needs of residents is important to Dakota County Commissioners and senior leaders, so it has conducted a regular, periodic survey of residents' opinions. This report includes Dakota County survey results dating back to 2001. In 2019, Dakota County was joined by four other Minnesota counties (Washington, St. Louis, Olmsted and Scott), working together with the National Research Center, Inc. (NRC), to develop a survey instrument with a set of shared questions, as well as questions unique to each County.

The Dakota County Resident Survey was administered by mail to 3,850 randomly selected households in January 2019, distributed among the seven County Commissioner Districts. Of the approximately 3,718 households that received a survey in the mail (the other surveys were sent to vacant households), 974 surveys were completed, providing a response rate of $26 \%$.

Because Dakota County has administered a resident survey before, comparisons could be made between 2019 responses and those from prior years. The most recent survey was conducted in 2016. Generally, comparisons between surveys are made through the conversion of ratings to a 100-point scale. Dakota County also elected to have its results compared to those of 62 other counties around the nation, comparisons made possible through NRC's national benchmark database. This database contains resident perspectives gathered in resident surveys from more than 600 jurisdictions, including cities and counties.

## Survey Highlights

Quality of life for Dakota County residents is at an all-time high.

- Dakota County residents rated their overall quality of life in the county at 80 points on the 100-point scale, or between "excellent" and "good," which was similar to the rating given in 2016, and has steadily increased since 2011. Ratings of overall quality of life were much higher than ratings given in other counties across the nation.
- When identifying what they liked most about living in Dakota County, about onequarter of residents said the quality of life in general is what they liked most (23\%) and parks/lakes was selected by $12 \%$ of respondents.
- Residents also appreciated various characteristics of the County, including the county as a place to live ( 80 points, or a rating between "good" and "excellent"), as a place to raise a family (79) and outdoor recreational opportunities (76). Each of these aspects received ratings that were higher than the national county averages.
- Survey respondents also reported feeling safe in various locations throughout the county including in County office buildings, libraries and courtrooms ( 90 points, or close to "very safe" on the 100-point scale), in schools or places of worship (88) and in their neighborhood (87). Many of these locations with the highest ratings were new survey items in the 2019 survey.
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Survey respondents are still worried about affordable housing in the county.

- When asked, in their own words, what they thought was the most serious issue facing Dakota County, affordable housing topped the list, with $20 \%$ of respondents making a comment related to this topic, an increase from 2016 (11\%).
- The availability of affordable housing was among the lower rated County characteristics (43 points on the 100-point scale) and ratings have been declining since 2013 (53). Although it was the lowest rated community characteristic, Dakota County's rating was still much higher than the national county benchmark.
- In 2019, a new question was included on the survey about what type of housing residents felt was most needed in their community. Of the eight listed options (from which respondents could choose up to three), the options most frequently selected were affordable housing for older adults (42\%), affordable family housing (38\%) and another market-rate stand-alone single-family housing (38\%).

Evaluations of County services remain positive, which is reflected in the ratings for County government performance.

- In 2019, the overall quality of County services received a rating of 68 on the 100-point scale, which was similar to ratings given in 2016 and much higher than the national county average.
- As in the past three surveys, in 2019 County parks and recreation (average rating of 85), County libraries (85), trail and bikeway systems (81) and Sheriff deputies patrol services (70) were services that received scores that were above 67 points (or "good").
- Ratings of County services generally were stable from 2016 to 2019 with two notable gains: the condition of County roads improved from 61 points in 2016 to 66 points in 2019 (the highest rating to-date) and the assessment process and property tax system improved from 49 points to 54 points. Additionally, Dakota County libraries and snow and ice removal were rated much higher than the national county average.
- Most residents in 2019 approved of the job the Dakota County Board is doing, providing an average of 70, or just above "somewhat approve." (Only 8\% of respondents somewhat or strongly disapproved of the job the County Board is doing, while $92 \%$ strongly or somewhat approved.)
- Ratings for other aspects of the County Government performance were between "good" and "fair" on the 100-point scale, with the highest ratings going to the job the County does supporting the quality of life in the county (65) and providing information to residents (64). While ratings for most aspects of government performance remained stable, the overall confidence in the Dakota County government has been inching upward since 2013 ( 58 points in 2013 vs 62 in 2019).
- When compared to ratings given to other counties across the U.S., Dakota County government performance ratings were higher or much higher for each of the five aspects that could be compared.

While support for increasing property taxes to maintain services at current levels has increased since 2013, Dakota County residents remain divided about the idea.

- When asked about support for or opposition to increasing property taxes to maintain services at current levels, Dakota County residents have remained divided, with roughly half opposed to the idea and roughly half supporting it in every survey implementation. Support was at its lowest level in 2013, when only $41 \%$ supported an increase to maintain County service levels. However, support has increased since 2013; 46\% supported the idea in 2016 and in 2019, 51\% supported it.


## Residents voiced widespread support for using County funds to keep cities' drinking

 water sources free of contaminants.- Dakota County is working on a long-range Groundwater Plan that could include various programs or regulations to protect and improve groundwater resources (the source of drinking water in Dakota County). Survey respondents were asked which potential programs or regulations they would support. Only $6 \%$ of respondents answered that they would not support any of them. The most popular option was using County funds to keep drinking water sources free of contaminants - 8 in 10 respondents supported this option.
- Just over half of respondents indicated they would support using County funds to protect land as a way to limit contamination of groundwater supplies.

The highway in Dakota County residents are most likely to feel needs congestion improvements is County Road 42/150 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street.

- In 2019, survey respondents were able to write in their own words which highway in Dakota County they thought was in most need of safety improvements and which one was in need of congestion improvements.
- About 68\% of respondents wrote in a highway in need of congestion improvements; Co Rd 42/150th St topped the list, mentioned by $27 \%$ of survey participants who wrote in a response. Fifteen percent of respondents who wrote in a highway considered MN-77/Cedar Ave/Co Rd 23 most in need of improvements and 13\% cited I-35.
- Of the roughly $58 \%$ of survey participants who chose to write in a highway in need of safety improvements, $16 \%$ mentioned MN-3/Hwy3/S Robert Tr, followed by I-35 (12\%), US-52 (12\%) and Co Rd 42/150th St (11\%).

Most residents whose workplace is outside Dakota County are happy with their employment and commuting situation.

- A new question related to economic development was included in this survey. Because $2 / 3$ of Dakota County workers commute outside the County to their jobs, an effort was made to understand factors that influence where people choose to work. A series of scenarios that might describe their situation was presented to survey respondents, who then indicated which applied to their particular circumstances.
- Almost half of respondents said their workplace was inside the County, or they were not employed. (It should be noted that this category would include those who are retired and choose not to work.)
- Among other respondents, the most common scenarios chosen to describe their situation indicated satisfaction with their situation. However, 1 in 10 respondents did say that the job skills they have are not a fit for the jobs available in the County, and a similar proportion indicated that the jobs in Dakota County that match their skills do not pay enough.


## Survey Background

Survey Purpose

The Dakota County Resident Survey provides residents the opportunity to rate the quality of life in the county, as well as service delivery and their satisfaction with County government. The survey also permits residents to provide feedback about what is working well and what is not, and to share their priorities for community planning and resource allocation.

In 2019, Dakota County collaborated with Olmsted, Scott, St. Louis and Washington Counties on this survey project. The five counties worked together with the National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) to develop a survey instrument with a set of shared questions, as well as questions unique to each County. This report presents Dakota County's results. Comparisons of any questions asked by at least one of the other four participating counties can be found in Appendix E: Survey Results Compared to Other Participating Minnesota Counties.

## Survey Methods

The Dakota County Resident Survey was administered by mail to 3,850 randomly selected households within the county in January 2019, distributed among the seven County Commissioner Districts. (A map of the Commissioner Districts can be found on page 195 in Appendix G: Survey Methodology.) Of the approximately 3,718 households that received a survey in the mail (the other surveys were sent to vacant households), 974 surveys were completed, providing a response rate of $26 \%$. Typical response rates to a broad resident survey of this type generally range from $10 \%$ to $30 \%$. The survey was available online accessible via a web link provided on the cover letter accompanying each wave of the mailed survey. About 11\% percent of the completed surveys were web-based (110); average rates for web-based responses vary but typically range from $2 \%$ to $15 \%$.

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" (or margin of error). The 95 percent confidence interval around an average score on the 100-point scale will be no greater than plus or minus two points based on all respondents. The 95 percent confidence level for this survey of 974 residents is generally no greater than plus or minus four percentage points around any given percent reported for all survey respondents.

For comparisons among subgroups, the margin of error is less precise and rises to approximately plus or minus $5 \%$ around any given percent for subgroup sizes of 400 to plus or minus $10 \%$ for sample sizes of 100 , and for smaller subgroup sizes (i.e., 50 ), the margin of error rises to $14 \%$. When comparing average ratings among subgroups, the margin of error is plus or minus three points for subgroup sizes of 400 and is approximately plus or minus six points for subgroup sizes of 100 .

Survey results were weighted so that age, gender, tenure (rent versus own), housing unit type (detached versus attached) and District of residence were represented in the proportions reflective of the entire community. (For more information on the survey
methodology, see Appendix G: Survey Methodology. A copy of the questionnaire and mailing materials are included in Appendix H: Survey Materials.)

## Reporting the Results

## Putting Responses on a 100-Point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a four-point scale with four representing the best rating and one the worst, many of the results in this summary are reported on a common scale where zero is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone reported "excellent," then the result would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a "poor" rating, the result would be zero on the 100-point scale. If the average rating for quality of life was "good," then the result would be 67 on a 100-point scale; "fair" would be 33 on the 100 point scale. Use of this converted scale to show average ratings allows for comparison to other jurisdictions, where different question wording and response scales may have been used. (More explanation is provided on page 199 in Appendix G: Survey Methodology.)

## Rounding

When a figure for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

## Don't Know Responses

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents gave an answer of "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions and is noted in the tables and figures in the body of this report if it is $20 \%$ or greater. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the body of the report, unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the majority of the figures in the body of the report display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

## Comparing Survey Results Over Time

Because this survey was the $8^{\text {th }}$ in a series of citizen surveys, the 2019 results are presented along with past ratings when available. Differences between years, as presented in the body of the report, can be considered statistically significant if they are four points or more on the 100-point scale or six percentage points or more around any given percent. Trend data for Dakota County represent important comparisons and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time especially represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Dakota County survey data were collected by telephone in 2001, 2004, 2006 and 2008. In 2011, the County switched data collection from telephone to mail and continued with mail in 2013 and 2016. As a consequence of the switch in methodology, a decline from 2008 to 2011 in virtually all ratings was both expected and observed. In the previous survey administration by telephone in 2008, a small sample of residents was surveyed by mail to explore the magnitude of the differences between telephone and mail survey responses in
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Dakota County. Using 2008 survey research conducted by NRC in Dakota County that compared mail and telephone responses, as well as NRC's analysis of national trends comparing telephone and mail responses, NRC adjusted the findings from 2001 to 2008 to maximize the comparability of results over time. This way the reported trendline data are not influenced by the decline that is attributable to the change in data collection mode from telephone to mail.

## Comparing Survey Results by Geographic and Demographic Subgroups

Selected survey results were compared by demographic characteristics of survey respondents and geographic area of residence. Comparisons by geographic area are displayed in graphical form and discussed throughout the body of the report (the full set of results by location and demographic characteristics can be found in Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

## Comparing to Results to Other Jurisdictions

Jurisdictions use the comparative information provided by benchmarks to help interpret their own citizen survey results, to create or revise community plans, to evaluate the success of policy or budget decisions, and to measure local government performance. It is difficult to judge what is small or large without comparing to benchmarks.

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in citizen surveys from more than 600 jurisdictions whose residents evaluated local government services. Conducted with typically no fewer than 400 residents in each jurisdiction, opinions are intended to represent over 30 million Americans.

Jurisdictions in NRC's normative database are distributed across the country and range from small to large in population size. Comparisons may be made to all jurisdictions or to subsets of jurisdictions within a given region or population category. Despite the differences in jurisdiction characteristics, all are in the business of providing local government services to residents. Though individual jurisdiction circumstances, resources and practices vary, the objective in every community is to provide services that are so timely, tailored and effective that residents conclude the services are of the highest quality. High ratings in any jurisdiction, like high SAT scores in any teen household, bring pride and a sense of accomplishment.

National county benchmark comparisons have been included in the report when available, and all available benchmarks are shown in Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons. A list of the jurisdictions to which Dakota County was compared is also found in that appendix. Benchmark comparisons have been provided when similar questions on the Dakota County survey are included in NRC's database and there are at least five jurisdictions in which the question was asked, though most questions are compared to more than five other counties across the country.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, the County's results were generally noted as being "higher" than, "lower" than or "similar" to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably higher or lower than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much," (for example, "much lower" or "much higher"). These labels come from a statistical comparison of Dakota County's rating to the
benchmark where a rating is considered "similar" if it is within the margin of error; "higher" or "lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is greater than, but no more than twice the margin of error; and "much higher" or "much lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is more than twice the margin of error.

Additionally, comparisons to the other four Minnesota Counties participating in the survey coalition with Dakota County can be found in Appendix E: Survey Results Compared to Other Participating Minnesota Counties.

## Quality of Life and Community

Dakota County residents rated their overall quality of life in the county. On a 100-point scale where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent," Dakota County's average rating was 80, similar to the rating given in 2016, but steadily increasing since 2011.

Dakota County's overall quality of life was much higher than ratings given by other counties across the nation (see Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons).

Figure 1: Overall Quality of Life, 2019
How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County?


Figure 2: Average Rating of Overall Quality of Life in Dakota County by Year How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County?


The scale on the 2001 survey was "Excellent," "Good," "Only fair," "Poor."

Respondents in Districts 3, 4, 6 and 7 gave statistically higher ratings to the overall quality of life in Dakota County compared to respondents in Districts 1, 2 and 5 (see Figure 37 for a map of Commissioner Districts in Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Survey respondents identified the one thing that they liked most about living in Dakota County. As in previous years, the location of the county topped the list in 2019, with about one-third of respondents selecting it as the one thing they liked most about living in Dakota County. About one-quarter of residents said the quality of life in general is what they liked most (23\%) and parks/lakes was selected by $12 \%$ of respondents. Fewer than $10 \%$ of respondents selected each of the remaining seven categories listed on the survey.

When compared to previous years, responses were relatively stable. Much of the variability over time in percentages and relative order likely is attributable to the change in question wording and structure.

Figure 3: Like Most About Living in County By Year

| What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County? | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | 36\% | 36\% | 38\% | 31\% | 26\% | 28\% | 27\% | 31\% |
| Quality of life in general | 23\% | 21\% | 16\% | 22\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Parks/lakes | 12\% | 10\% | 7\% | 9\% | 10\% | 8\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| My neighborhood | 9\% | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Rural character | 7\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% | 22\% |
| Schools | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 8\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Open space | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Low taxes | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| People | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Small town feel | N/A | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Other* | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 27\% | 30\% | 36\% | 16\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Prior to 2011, this was an open-ended question where respondents were able to answer using their own words, and the telephone interviewer reported the response in the most appropriate category. The most frequently selected categories from surveys prior to 2011 comprised most of the response options on the mailed surveys, from which respondents were instructed to choose one option from the list provided In 2019, 'small town feel' was removed as a response option. In 2016, 2013 and 2011, this question was presented as fixed response (i.e., respondents were presented a list of items from which to choose), whereas, between 2008 and 2001, this question was open-ended (i.e., no list presented). It is important to note that "location" was the first item listed (top left) on the survey; while we cannot determine that this placement influenced the results, there is potential that placement of options has an impact on the overall results.

* When a respondent identified an unlisted, or "other," item, the response was captured verbatim. These responses appear in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions.


## County Characteristics

When asked to rate various characteristics as they related to the County as a whole, most characteristics received average ratings that were between "good" and "fair" on a 100point scale, where zero is equal to "poor," 33 equals "fair," 67 represents "good," and 100 is equivalent to "excellent."

Dakota County as a place to live received the highest average rating ( 80 on the 100-point scale or between "good" and "excellent") and has remained stable since 2013 (see Figure 4 on the following page). Dakota County as a place to raise a family and outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County received the next highest average ratings (79 and 76, respectively, on the 100-point scale, or just above "good"). Availability of affordable housing ( 43 points) and availability of quality and affordable child care (49) were rated less positively, between "good" and "fair" on the 100-point scale.

When comparisons to 2016 were available, ratings were generally stable. However, the county as a place to retire increased from 58 points in 2016 to 64 points in 2019, and the availability of affordable housing continued a downward trend from 53 points in 2013 to 49 points in 2016 to 43 points in 2019.

Ratings of Dakota County characteristics were compared to those given by residents in other counties nationwide. Where comparisons were available, ratings tended to be higher or much higher in Dakota County compared to the benchmark (see Figure 5 on the following page).

Ratings given by respondents living in the seven different County Commissioner Districts were compared. Residents who lived in District 2 tended to give higher ratings to various characteristics of Dakota County, such as the county as a place to retire and the economic health of Dakota County, than those who lived in other districts. Ratings were also compared by a selection of demographic characteristics of the survey respondents. Those who had lived in Dakota County for more than 20 years tended to give higher ratings to County characteristics than residents who had lived in the county for less time ( see Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 4: Average Ratings of County Characteristics by Year

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 80 | 78 | 77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 79 | 76 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 76 | 75 | 68 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 71 | 68 | 66 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dakota County as a place to work † | 71 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 67 | 65 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 66 | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dakota County as a place to retire $\dagger$ | 64 | 58 | 60 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sense of community | 63 | 61 | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 59 | 57 | 58 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of employment opportunities $\dagger$ | 59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care $\dagger$ | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of affordable housing $\dagger$ | 43 | 49 | 53 | 49 | 47 | 46 | 50 | NA |

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to these items (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.
Prior to 2016, 'outdoor recreational opportunities' was 'recreational opportunities' and 'availability of affordable housing' was 'availability of quality affordable housing.' New questions in 2016 were "Dakota County as a place to work," "Dakota County as a place to raise a family," and "Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options." All other questions were new in 2011 except for "Availability of affordable housing." Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. In 2006 and 2004, availability of affordable housing was affordable housing; availability of quality, affordable child care was access to affordable quality childcare. These questions were not asked in 2001.

Figure 5: Community Characteristics Benchmarks

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to work | Much higher |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | Higher |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | Much higher |
| Sense of community | Higher |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | Higher |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | Much higher |
| Economic health of Dakota County | Much higher |
| Availability of employment opportunities | Much higher |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | Higher |
| Availability of affordable housing | Much higher |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | Much higher |

## Community-wide Problems

Survey respondents evaluated a list of potential problems as being a "major problem," a "moderate problem," a "minor problem," or "not a problem" in Dakota County. When converted to the 100-point scale where zero equals "not a problem" and 100 equals "major problem," most potential issues were thought to be between a "minor" problem or "not a problem" (between 45 and 26). As in past years, taxes were viewed as most problematic, with an average rating of 47 on the 100-point scale, while homelessness was viewed as least problematic, with an average rating of 26.

When comparisons to 2016 were available, ratings generally remained stable, except for poverty which was seen as less of a problem in 2019 compared to 2016. Additionally, while taxes as a problem was regarded similarly in 2019 compared to 2016, the 2019 rating was lowest observed since 2008, and the same as what had been seen in 2004.

Residents who lived in District 1 were more likely to rate crime and taxes as a problem than residents who lived in other Districts. Residents who were age 35 or older tended to rate taxes, traffic safety, poverty and homelessness as more of a problem than residents who were younger than 35 (see Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 6: Average Ratings of Potential Problems by Year

| Please rate, to what degree, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Average rating (0=not a problem, 100=major problem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Taxes | 45 | 47 | 48 | 51 | 50 | NA | 45 | NA |
| Traffic congestion | 39 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 50 | 52 | NA |
| Crime | 37 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 44 | 38 | NA |
| Poverty $\dagger$ | 34 | 40 | 39 | 36 | 32 | 36 | 33 | NA |
| Traffic safety | 33 | 36 | 34 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Homelessness $\dagger$ | 26 | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to poverty and homelessness (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion. The scale differed between years. In 2008, the bottom of the scale read "not at all a problem," whereas in 2004, 2006, 2011, 2013 and 2016, it read "not a problem." These questions were not asked in 2001.

## Most Serious Issue Facing Dakota County

Respondents identified, in their own words, the most serious issue facing Dakota County. The responses were captured verbatim and grouped into categories that best fit the response. Affordable housing ( $20 \%$ ) was the most frequently mentioned issue, followed by taxes (12\%) and growth and development (10\%). Fewer than 1 in 10 residents wrote in issues related to the other categories mentioned. About 13\% of respondents wrote in an "other" response that could not be grouped into a theme. The "other" responses, along with all comments, appear in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions

Compared to 2016, more people in 2019 wrote in comments about affordable housing, and this concern has been steadily increasing since 2013. The proportion of comments related to the other serious issues mentioned in 2019 were on par with those in 2016.

Because of a methodological change (fixed-response options in 2011 versus an open-ended question in all other years), it is recommended that the reader make little of the differences between the 2011 responses and the responses for all other survey years.

Figure 7: Most Serious Issue Facing Dakota County By Year

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time? | Percent of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011* | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Affordable housing | 20\% | 11\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Taxes | 12\% | 10\% | 16\% | 20\% | 17\% | 13\% | 10\% | 16\% |
| Growth/development | 10\% | 8\% | 0\% | 12\% | 17\% | 24\% | 22\% | 27\% |
| Crime and safety issues | 9\% | 12\% | 14\% | 3\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| Traffic congestion | 9\% | 5\% | 9\% | 6\% | 7\% | 8\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Economic development | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 12\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Sense of community, diversity, etc. | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Condition of roads | 4\% | 6\% | 2\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Schools | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 13\% | 7\% | 10\% | 12\% | 22\% |
| Homelessness and poverty | 3\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Public transportation | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Updating infrastructure | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Government spending | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Pollution/environmental concerns | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Quality of county services | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Jobs | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 17\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Noise pollution | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| No issue | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| Other | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% | 2\% | 14\% | 7\% | 14\% | 14\% |

These percentages represent the 449 respondents who chose to write in a response. In 2011, this question was presented as fixed response (i.e., respondents were presented a list of items from which to choose), whereas, between 2008 and 2001 along with 2013 and 2016, this question was open-end. In 2013, "crime and safety issues" was "crime" and "sense of community, diversity, etc." was "sense of community." New items in 2016 were "pollution/environmental concerns," "quality of county services," "homelessness and poverty," "public transportation," and "noise pollution."
*This question was a single response question in 2011 but an open-ended question in all other survey years, so any differences should be interpreted cautiously. When provided a list to choose from, a respondent may bypass their first thought (say, "taxes") as the list reminds them of a more serious issue (like their jobs), while in an interview or given the opportunity to write anything, a respondent would more likely stay with their first thought.

## Safety in Dakota County

Residents were asked how safe they felt from different types of crimes, as well as safety in different county locations (see Figure 8 on the following page). Responses to this question were converted to the 100 -point scale where zero equals "very unsafe," 33 is equal to "somewhat unsafe," 67 represents "somewhat safe," and 100 is equivalent to "very safe."

Almost all of the items received an average rating that was equal to "somewhat" safe or better. Respondents reported feeling the safest while in County office buildings, libraries and courtrooms and while in schools or places of worship in Dakota County (88 and 90 points, respectively, or close to "very safe"), both new items added to the 2019 survey. Residents felt less safe from electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams), providing an average rating of 58 or between "somewhat safe" and "somewhat unsafe." In other words, survey respondents said they feel safer in our county buildings (libraries, courtrooms, service centers) than in any other place that were evaluated through the survey, including their schools, churches, neighborhoods, parks, or on roads.

Where comparisons to prior survey years were available, ratings generally were similar between 2019 and 2016, and most have remained stable since the baseline of the question. However, safety in neighborhoods has been trending up since 2011.

Two of the 11 safety ratings could be compared to safety ratings in other counties across the country; Dakota County was rated much higher than the benchmark for safety from property crimes and violent crimes.

Residents living in Districts 1 and 2 reported lower feelings of safety from violent crimes and from gang activity than residents living in other areas. Respondents age 55 or older reported lower feelings of safety from various types of crime, including violent crimes, illegal drug activity and domestic violence, than residents who were younger. Residents who earned less than $\$ 35,000$ per year indicated that they felt less safe from domestic violence than those who earned more, while those earning $\$ 100,000$ per year or more reported the highest rates of feeling safe from violent crimes, gang activity and while in their neighborhood (see Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 8: Average Ratings of Perceptions of Safety in Dakota County by Year

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel from the following in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, 100=very safe) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 90 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 88 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| While in your neighborhood | 87 | 83 | 80 | 75 | 83 | 84 | 86 | 78 |
| From domestic violence | 86 | 84 | 83 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 83 | 81 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 73 | 79 | NA |
| From gang activity | 83 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 80 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 76 | 77 | 78 | NA |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 76 | 72 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 74 | 72 | 70 | 72 | 72 | 67 | 72 | NA |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., manufacturing or selling drugs) | 69 | 68 | 66 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 58 | 61 | 62 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Respondents could identify an unlisted, or "other," type of activity or location. The response was captured verbatim and appear in in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions.
Prior to 2019, 'from illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs)' included 'manufacturing' in parenthesis and 'from electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams)' was 'from identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft).' Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. 'From identify theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft)' was 'From identify theft.' 'While driving on roads within Dakota County' was "From drunk or impaired drivers on County roads" in 2013, 'From drunk drivers on County roads" in 2011 and "from drunk driving when traveling within the county" in 2008 and "traveling on county roads" in 2006; safety "While in your neighborhood" was a separate question in 2008; "In Dakota County parks" and "on trails in Dakota County parks" were combined in 2006 as follows: "on Dakota County parks and trails" and remained for 2008 and 2011 but was reworded in 2013 to "While using Dakota County parks and trails." "From identity theft" was a new question in 2013. In 2001, a question was asked about "How safe would you feel walking alone in your neighborhood in general?" with a response scale of "very safe," "reasonably safe," "very unsafe." 'Other, please specify' was a new question in 2016.

Figure 9: Feelings of Safety Benchmarks

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | Much higher |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | Much higher |

## Health Concerns

As in previous years, respondents assessed various potential health concerns in Dakota County. Average ratings for all listed health concerns, where zero equals "not at all a concern" and 100 equals "major concern," fell between a "moderate" and "minor" concern. Overweight adults and children (54 points) and bullying (52) were of greatest concern to respondents, with average ratings closer to a "moderate" concern than a "minor" concern. Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco), spread of infectious diseases, social isolation and environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) were of less concern, with average ratings ranging from 36 to 38 points.

All 16 health concerns could be compared to 2016 and most ratings were stable. However, more respondents in 2019 compared to 2016 were concerned about bullying (with average ratings of 52 vs 48 , respectively) and illegal use of prescribed medications ( 49 vs 44 ). A smaller proportion of respondents were concerned about the quality of parenting skills (46 points in 2019 vs 50 in 2016) and underage alcohol use ( 43 vs 47) over the three year period.

Residents who were age 55 or older, were female, who had lived in Dakota County for more than 20 years or who owned their homes, tended to rate various health concerns in Dakota County as more of a concern than their counterparts, including environmental hazards, alcohol use or abuse and illegal drug use, among others (see Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 10: Average Ratings of Health Concerns By Year

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Overweight adults and children | 54 | 56 | 58 | 59 | 58 | 55 | 54 | NA |
| Bullying $\dagger$ | 52 | 48 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) $\dagger$ | 49 | 50 | 49 | 55 | 57 | 63 | NA | NA |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) $\dagger$ | 49 | 44 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 48 | 47 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Abuse and neglect of children $\dagger$ | 46 | 48 | 47 | 52 | 46 | 38 | 37 | NA |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 $\dagger$ | 46 | 50 | 51 | 42 | 38 | 46 | 37 | NA |
| The health and support of older adults | 45 | 46 | 42 | 53 | 47 | 56 | NA | NA |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities $\dagger$ | 45 | 45 | 41 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults $\dagger$ | 44 | 45 | 43 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Underage alcohol use $\dagger$ | 43 | 47 | 48 | 55 | 60 | 61 | 57 | NA |
| Alcohol abuse among adults $\dagger$ | 41 | 44 | 44 | 48 | 46 | NA | NA | NA |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 38 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 49 | NA |
| Spread of infectious diseases $\dagger$ | 37 | 38 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Social isolation $\dagger$ | 37 | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 36 | 36 | 37 | 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA |

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to these items (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.
Prior to 2019, 'illegal drug use' and 'illegal use of prescribed medications' did not include any items in parenthesis and 'abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults' did not include 'vulnerable adults.' Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. In 2013, 'Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco' was 'Tobacco use' and in 2006, 'tobacco use' was 'youth tobacco use'; in 2006 and 2004 'underage alcohol use' was 'underage drinking'; 'overweight adults and children' was 'obesity'. Neither illegal drug use nor 'the health and support of older adults' and 'The health and support of persons with disabilities' was asked in 2004 and "older adults" was "elderly" in 2011. In 2004, "Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages $0-17$ " was inadequate care and parenting for infants and young children and in 2006, 2008 and 2011 was inadequate care and parenting for infants and young children. In 2006 and 2004, abuse and neglect of children and drinking and driving were included in a different question set and used a different scale: Major problem, moderate problem, minor problem, not a problem. These questions were not asked in 2001. "Suicide,"
"Bullying" and "Spread of infectious disease" were new questions in 2013. New questions in 2016 included 'Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses,' 'Social isolation,' and 'Illegal use of prescribed medications.'

## Household Financial Status

When asked if they felt their household would be better off financially, worse off, or about the same a year from now, a majority of respondents (63\%) felt their household's financial status would be about the same. About one-quarter of residents thought their household would be better off financially in a year and about 1 in 10 said their household would be worse off. These assessments in 2019 were similar when compared to 2016.

Figure 11: Financial Status by Year
Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now?


## Evaluation of Government Services

Residents completing the survey responded to a number of questions related to Dakota County government, leadership, employee performance and the quality of County services.

## County Government Performance

Most residents in 2019 approved of the job the Dakota County Board is doing, providing an average rating of 70, or just above "somewhat approve." This rating was similar to the 2016 and on par with most other survey years.

Figure 12: Average Ratings of County Board Approval by Year To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing? $\dagger$

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to this question (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion. *In 2001, this question was worded differently: "From what you know, do you approve or disapprove of the job the County board is doing? And do you feel strongly that way?" and the response options were:
"approve/strongly," "approve," "disapprove," "disapprove/strongly."

Resident perceptions about various aspects of the Dakota County government performance were measured through the survey (see Figure 13 on the following page). Ratings for each aspect of the County Government were between "good" and "fair" on the 100-point scale, where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent." Respondents rated the job the County does supporting the quality of life in the county and providing information to residents positively, with an average ratings of 65 and 64 points, respectively. Residents gave somewhat lower ratings to the job Dakota County government does at listening to residents (55) and managing tax dollars (54).

Ratings for most aspects of the County government performance have remained stable over the last three survey iterations, with the exception of the overall confidence in the Dakota County government which has been slowly inching upward since 2013 ( 58 points in 2013 vs 62 in 2019).

When compared to ratings given to other counties across the U.S., Dakota County government performance ratings were higher or much higher for each of the five aspects that could be compared, including providing information to residents and the value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County, as examples (see Figure 14 on the following page). When compared to the four other Minnesota counties who surveyed with Dakota County in 2019, Dakota Ratings were highest in all categories (see Table 123 in Appendix E: Survey Results Compared to Other Participating Minnesota Counties).

Residents who lived in District 3 gave higher ratings to various aspects of government performance, such as the job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents and generally acting in the best interest of the community, than residents who lived in other districts (see Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 13: Average Ratings of Perception of Government By Year

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. | Average rating ( $0=$ poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 65 | 63 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 64 | 63 | 61 | 57 | 52 | 59 | 60 | 64 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 63 | 61 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 62 | 60 | 60 | 55 | 64 | 53 | NA | NA |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 62 | 60 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county $\dagger$ | 61 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 57 | 55 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 48 | 54 | 43 |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents $\dagger$ | 55 | 52 | 54 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 47 | NA |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars $\dagger$ | 54 | 54 | 52 | 44 | 45 | 48 | 51 | NA |

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to this question (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.
Several question parts were worded differently in earlier surveys. "The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents" was "the job Dakota County government does at informing residents" in 2011; "the value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood" was "the importance of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood" in 2011. Items added in 2016 included "supporting the quality of life in the county" and "effectively planning for the future of the county." Before 2011, respondents were asked how strongly they agreed or disagreed with several statements. As with the response scale and question wording, the wording of the items also changed: the job Dakota County government does at listening to residents was "feel Dakota government listens to citizens," the value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County was " feel I receive good value for the Dakota taxes I pay," the job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars was "overall, I feel that Dakota County does a good job of managing tax dollars," and "the importance of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood" was "feel that the services provided by Dakota County are important to the quality of life in my neighborhood."

Figure 14: Perception of County Government Benchmarks

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | Higher |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | Higher |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | Much higher |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | Much higher |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | Much higher |

## Quality of County Services

Residents rated a number of individual County-provided services as well as the overall quality of services provided by Dakota County. The 2019 rating of 68 on the 100-point scale for overall quality of County services was similar to ratings given in 2016 and much higher than the national county average.

Figure 15: Average Ratings of Overall Quality of County Services by Year


Figure 16: Overall Quality of Services Benchmark
Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County.
Comparison to benchmark
Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County Much higher

Respondents assessed the quality of nine County services (see Figure 17 on the following page) and ratings were converted to a 100-point scale where zero is equal to "poor" and 100 represents "excellent." As in the past three surveys, in 2019 County parks and recreation (average rating of 85), County libraries (85), trail and bikeway systems (81) and Sheriff deputies patrol services (70) received scores that were above 67 points (or "good"). Average ratings for other County services in 2019 fell between "fair" and "good" on the 100-point scale.

Overall, ratings of County services were stable from 2016 to 2019 with two notable gains: the condition of County roads improved from 61 points in 2016 to 66 points in 2019 (the highest rating to date) and the assessment process and property tax system improved from 49 points to 54 points.

Three of the 9 services could be compared to the national county averages. Dakota Sheriff deputies patrol services and park protection services were rated similar to the benchmark, while County libraries and snow and ice removal were rated much higher. The benchmark comparison for snow and ice removal is especially noteworthy, as the survey data were
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collected in the winter months and the average resident rating was slightly higher for snow and ice removal item than the average rating for condition of county roads. (In fact, snow and ice removal may impact the rating of the condition of county roads.)

District 5 residents tended to give lower quality ratings to County libraries than those living in other districts, while District 1 residents were less likely than others to positively rate the assessment process/property tax system. Residents who lived in District 2 gave lower ratings than other residents to the condition of County roads (see Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics).

Figure 17: Average Ratings of County Services By Year

| Please rate the following services provided by | Average rating (0=por, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dakota County. | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, <br> Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring <br> Lake Park, Lake Byllesby or Miesville) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County libraries | 85 | 84 | 81 | 80 | 76 | 74 | 77 | 76 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River <br> or Big Rivers Trail $\dagger$ | 85 | 82 | 84 | 80 | 81 | 78 | 80 | 82 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | 70 | 68 | 77 | 70 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 72 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 67 | 68 | 65 | 61 | 70 | 67 | 65 | 73 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob <br> Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County <br> Road 42) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes $\dagger$ | 66 | 61 | 63 | 56 | 53 | 57 | 59 | 63 |
| Addressing important health issues in <br> communities $\dagger$ | 62 | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Assessment process/property tax system $\dagger$ | 59 | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to this question (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.
Prior to 2019, 'Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services' did not include 'park protection'. New questions for 2016 included 'Addressing important health issues in communities' and 'Prosecuting people accused of crimes.' "Assessment process/property tax system" was a new item in 2013.

Figure 18: Quality of Services Benchmarks

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| County libraries | Much higher |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | Much higher |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | Similar |

## County Services by Recent Users

Those completing the survey were asked to evaluate several additional services provided by Dakota County but only if they had experienced them within the last two years. For most of these services, between $40 \%$ and $84 \%$ of respondents did not use the service and selected "don't know/not applicable."

Of those who had used the services within the last two years, the Recycling Zone (74) and employment support and the Workforce Center services (68) were awarded the highest marks, at or above "good" on the 100-point scale. Services for people experiencing mental illness received the lowest average rating ( 47 on the 100-point scale). Overall, user ratings of these services were similar in 2016 and 2019.

Figure 19: Average Ratings of County Services by Recent Users By Year

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013* | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone $\dagger$ | 74 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services $\dagger$ | 68 | 59 | 51 | 49 | 51 | NA | 58 | NA |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 63 | 67 | 65 | 66 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Information available on the County website $\dagger$ | 63 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children $\dagger$ | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Services for people with disabilities $\dagger$ | 54 | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Financial assistance for low-income families $\dagger$ | 53 | 49 | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Services provided to older adults $\dagger$ | 52 | 50 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness $\dagger$ | 47 | 40 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to this question (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.
Prior to 2019, 'services for people with disabilities' was 'services for people with physical disabilities.' and 'services at the Recycling Zone' was included in the previous question about County services (asked of all respondents, not just those with experience). New questions for 2016 included 'Services for people with physical disabilities' and 'Services for people experiencing mental illness.' "Financial assistance for low-income families," "Services provided to older adults" and "Assessment process/property tax system were first asked in 2013. In 2013, 'Employment support/Workforce Center services' was 'Employment support services. ' One question part was not included in 2006: "employment support services.
*Prior to 2016, many of these items were included in the larger services question but were pulled out in 2016 into a separate question for only those residents who had experienced these services within the last two years.

## Access to County Services

Four aspects of accessing Dakota County services was measured on the survey and each was rated highly. The convenience of County facilities' locations was rated most positively, with an average rating of 73 on the 100-point scale, where zero equals "poor" and 100 equals "excellent." Similar evaluations were given to the availability of language resources, ease of paying for services online and online access of services.

These ratings generally were stable across survey years; however, the rating for the availability of language resources for access to services improved from 2019 compared to 2016.

Figure 20: Average Ratings of Access to County Services by Year

$\dagger$ Note: in 2019, at least 20\% of respondents answered "don't know" to this question (see Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions). Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.

## Interactions with County Government

About one-third of Dakota County residents said that they had visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government employee or office within the 12 months prior to the survey. This rate of contact has remained stable since 2011 and was lower than reported contact in other counties across the nation.

Figure 21: Government Office Contact by Year
Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government office within the last 12 months?


In 2001, this question was "During the past year, have you visited or telephoned one of these service centers [locations preceded the question]. Response scale was: "no;" "yes, visited;" "yes, telephoned;" "yes, both." In 2011, "email" was added as an additional method of contact. In 2013, 2016 and 2019, respondents were given the only options of "Yes" and "No."

The $36 \%$ of respondents who reported having contacted a County government office were asked about their impression of the employee with whom they had recently had contact.

Ratings of the overall impression of the interaction have remained stable over time, with an average rating of 76 on the 100-point scale in 2019. This rating was much higher than the national county benchmark comparison (see Figure 24 on the next page).

Figure 22: Overall Impression of Most Recent Contact with Dakota County by Year What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact?


In 2001, the survey contained a question asking, 'Which department [in a government service center] did you contact or visit?' This question was then followed by how would you rate the service overall? Overall service had a response scale ranging from 1 to 5, with only values 5 (excellent) and 1 (poor) labeled.

Average ratings for how knowledgeable, responsive and courteous county employees were during the interaction were also high, ranging from 75 to 78 in each of the three categories in 2019. These were the highest ratings observed in any of the previous surveys, although the increases were small. These ratings were similar to the national county benchmark comparisons,.

Figure 23: Average Ratings of County Employees by Year


Knowledge, courtesy and responsiveness were new survey items in 2011. In 2013, "Knowledge" was changed to "Knowledgeable," "Courtesy" to "Courteous" and "Responsiveness" to "Responsive."

Figure 24: County Employee Benchmarks

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most <br> recent contact? <br> (Please rate each characteristic below.) | Comparison to benchmark |
| :--- | ---: |
| Knowledgeable | Similar |
| Responsive | Similar |
| Courteous | Similar |
| Overall impression | Much higher |

## County Property Tax

When asked about support for, or opposition to, increasing property taxes to maintain services at current levels, Dakota County residents have remained divided, with roughly half opposed to the idea and roughly half supporting it in every survey since 2001.
However, support increased since 2013, from 41\% (the lowest level in any survey year) to 51\% in 2019.

While support for increasing property taxes in order to maintain services at current levels has increased, much of the opposition is "strong" ( $20 \%$ strongly oppose), while most of the support is weaker, with only $9 \%$ who strongly support a property tax increase (see Table 20 in Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions).

Figure 25: Level of Support for County Property Tax by Year
To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain County services at their current levels?


## Importance of Library Programs and Services

The survey asked residents about the importance of maintaining 10 library programs and services. Responses were converted to a 100-point scale where zero equals "not at all important" and 100 equals "essential." The item deemed of greatest importance was providing popular title/current library materials, with an average rating of 74 on the 100point scale. Other items of higher importance included access to computers and the internet, information about jobs, skills, literacy and careers, and community gathering space, each with an average rating of about "very important."

The importance placed on providing streaming digital materials, and access to support for creative makers grew quite significantly from 2016 to 2019, with average importance ratings increasing by more than 20 points on the 100 -point scale. The perceived importance of access to computers and the Internet also increased, with an 8-point gain from 2016 to 2019. Greater importance was also placed on offering library materials in other languages and provision of English as a second language resources services, with 11-point and 7-point increases, respectively, from 2016 to 2019.

Figure 26: Importance of Library Programs and Services by Year

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? | Average rating ( $0=$ not at all important, 100=essential) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 74 | 71 | 69 |
| Access to computers and the Internet | 70 | 62 | 65 |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 67 | 63 | 61 |
| Community gathering space | 65 | NA | NA |
| Streaming digital materials (movies, music, e-books, e-audio books) | 62 | 35 | NA |
| English as a second language resources and services | 61 | 55 | 48 |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 60 | 55 | 55 |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 60 | 60 | 55 |
| Access to creative maker technology, equipment, or classes | 56 | 33 | NA |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others) | 53 | 44 | 43 |

Prior to 2019, 'streaming digital materials (movies, music, e-books, e-audio books) ' was 'streaming movies and music (online),' 'access to computers and the Internet' was 'technology access, computer classes and training,' and 'access to creative maker technology, equipment, or classes' was 'technology to create and edit music and videos.' In 2013, 'Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others) was 'World language resources (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others)'. This was a new question in 2013.
Respondents were also given the option to rate an "other" program or service and write in a response. These responses can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions.

## Current Issues

In addition to questions that allow comparison of trends over time, the Dakota County Resident Survey contains questions in each survey about topics of current importance. This allows the County to gather residents' opinion about these issues.

## Housing Needs

In 2019 County and CDA staff worked together to develop a new question about a mutual interest: what type of housing County residents felt was most needed in their community. There were eight housing types presented (as well as an option to say none of them were needed), and respondents could choose up to three types.

The three housing types most often identified as being needed were affordable housing for older adults, affordable family housing, and market-rate stand-alone single-family housing; each of these was selected as one of the top three needs by 4 in 10 respondents. Marketrate housing for older adults was selected by 3 in 10 respondents.

Figure 27: Housing Needs, 2019
What type of housing is most needed in your community? Choose up to three (3).


Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three options.

Report of Results (2019-05-15)

## Investment in Preserved Land

The importance that residents place on investing County funds to preserve land for a variety of purposes was assessed in the survey. The most important purpose from residents' perspectives was to protect and improve water quality, with almost two-thirds considering this essential and another 3 in 10 considering it very important. Only 8\% felt it was only somewhat important or not at all important.

Almost half of respondents considered it essential for the County to invest in preserved lands in order to protect and improve wildlife habitat or to protect and improve natural areas. Less than 2 in 10 respondents felt these purposes were only somewhat important or not at all important.

About 7 in 10 respondents deemed it essential or very important to increase public access for outdoor recreation or to protect and improve land used for agriculture, while about 3 in 10 considered this only somewhat important or not at all important.

Figure 28: Importance of Investing in Preserved Land, 2019
Since 2003, Dakota County and partners have preserved land outside of County parks for many public purposes. How important, if at all, is it to continue using County funds for these purposes?


Note: in 2018, 29\% of respondents answered "don't know" to this question. Proportions shown in the figure are of those who had an opinion.

## Recycling in Dakota County

In 2019, a survey question was asked of all respondents about why they do not use the Recycling Zone. In 2016, a question about the Recycling Zone asked what situation(s) best described respondents' use of the Recycling Zone. In 2019, about a third of respondents said they do use the Recycling Zone. Among those who did not use the Recycling Zone, the top reason for not using it was not having anything to drop off ( $23 \%$ of all respondents).

Familiarity with the Recycling Zone may have increased, as in 2016 26\% said one of the statements that applied to them was being unfamiliar with the Recycling Zone, while only $18 \%$ of respondents in 2019 said this was the main reason they did not use it. In both 2016 and 2019, about 1 in 10 respondents said the location was too far away. Very few in 2019 said the main reason they didn't use it was due to inconvenient operating hours or days.

Figure 29: Reasons for Not Using the Recycling Zone, 2019 and 2016
2016: Please indicate which of the following best describe your use of Dakota County's Recycling Zone facility in the last 12 months? (Please select up to three options.)
2019: Dakota County operates the Recycling Zone facility (in Eagan). If you have NOT used this facility in the last 12 months, please indicate the ONE reason that best describes why you do not.
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## Groundwater Plan Programs

Dakota County is working on a long-range Groundwater Plan that could include various programs or regulations to protect and improve groundwater resources (the source of drinking water in Dakota County). Survey respondents were asked which potential programs or regulations they would support. Only 6\% of respondents answered that they would not support any of the options. The most popular option was using County funds to keep drinking water sources free of contaminants; 8 in 10 respondents supported this option.

Just over half of respondents indicated they would support using County funds to protect land to limit contamination of groundwater supplies. For this question, survey participants could not explicitly express opposition to any of the items, but implicitly did so by not checking an item. This means that almost half (45\%) of residents may oppose this option, although some of them may not necessarily support the option, but also not have strong opposition to it.

About a third would support stretching groundwater supplies by restricting use of irrigation systems. Only $14 \%$ indicated they would support using County funds to reduce the cost of in-home drinking water systems for private well owners.

Figure 30: Support for Groundwater Plan Programs, 2019
Dakota County is working on a long-range Groundwater Plan to protect and improve groundwater resources, the source of our drinking water. Please indicate which, if any, of these programs/regulations you support:
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## Highway Improvements

On the 2019 survey, respondents were asked which highway they thought was most in need of safety improvements, and could write in whatever highway came to mind (there were no response options to choose from). Of the 974 survey participants, 569 chose to write in a response, although 118 of those wrote "nothing" or "don't know" or something similar. Among the 451 who named a highway, the most frequently mentioned one was MN-3, named by $16 \%$ of respondents. I-35, US-52 and Co Rd 42 were the ones named next most frequently by $11 \%$ to $12 \%$ of respondents. (The verbatim write in responses can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions. The full set of coded highways can be found in Table 41 in Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions.)

Figure 31: Highways Needing Safety Improvements, 2019 Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements?


Those completing the survey were also asked which highway in Dakota County was most in need of congestion improvements. Of the 974 survey participants, 642 chose to write in a response, although 79 of those wrote "nothing" or "don't know" or something similar. Among 563 respondents who named a highway, the highway mentioned most frequently was Co Rd 42; it was mentioned by $27 \%$ of respondents. The next most commonly cited highways were MN-77, mentioned by $15 \%$ of respondents and I-35, named by $13 \%$ of respondents. MN-3, Highway 13 and US-52 were mentioned by $6 \%$ to $8 \%$ of respondents. (The verbatim write in responses can be found in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions. The full set of coded highways can be found in Table 43 in Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions.)

Figure 32: Highways Needing Congestion Improvements, 2019 Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements?


## Resident Employment and Commute Situation

A new question related to economic development was included in this survey. Because two-thirds of Dakota County workers commute outside the County to their jobs, an effort was made to understand factors that influence where people choose to work. This new question also assessed whether respondents felt that there was a mismatch between the skills of Dakota County residents and the jobs available to them. A series of scenarios that might describe their situation was presented to survey respondents, who were asked to indicate which applied to their particular circumstances.

Almost half of respondents said either they do work within the county or they do not work (and could be retired; see Figure 33 on the next page). Among other respondents, the most common scenario chosen to fit their situation was that they were satisfied with their employer and their job outside the County, chosen by 3 in 10 respondents. Three in 10 respondents said that even though they work outside Dakota County, they choose to live in the County because of the quality of life or the community amenities. One-quarter of respondents felt their commute time was reasonable, even though they work outside the County. Two in 10 indicated that they feel committed to living Dakota County even though they work outside the County, and $16 \%$ said they were not interested in moving to a new home outside the County.

Just under 1 in 10 respondents said that the job skills they have are not a fit for the jobs available in the County, or that the jobs in Dakota County that do match their skills do not pay enough.

Additionally, respondents who indicated that they were employed were asked about their daily commute time from home to work and how that time might change if there were no traffic congestion. About one-quarter of respondents each said their commute time from home to work each day was 0 to 14 minutes (28\%) and 20 to 29 minutes (25\%). Another $21 \%$ said their one-way commute time was 15 to 19 minutes (see Table 48 on page 84 ). One-quarter of respondents reported a commute time of 30 minutes or more.

Overall, commute times from home to work would be shorter if there were no traffic congestion (see Table 50 on page 85). About 4 in 10 residents reported their commute time would be 0 to 14 minutes without traffic and one-quarter each said commute times from home to work of 15 to 19 minutes (23\%) and 20 to 29 minutes ( $26 \%$ ). About 1 in 10 said their trip from home to work without traffic would take 30 minutes or more.

Figure 33: Employment Situation, 2019
If you drive outside of Dakota County to get to your place of employment, please indicate which of the following factors apply to your situation. Please check all that apply.


* Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one scenario.


## Public Information and Communication

## Information Sources

Respondents were asked to indicate which three methods they preferred as a way to receive information about Dakota County. The County's mailed newsletter was the top preference, with 6 in 10 saying this was one of their favored means of being informed. About 4 in 10 chose local or neighborhood newspapers as one of their preferred information sources, and about a third considered the Dakota County website one of their leading sources. Only four percent of respondents reported that they didn't want or need any information from Dakota County.

Figure 34: Preference for Receiving Dakota County Information, 2019
Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. (Please select up to three methods.)
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## Resident Engagement Strategies

In addition to being asked their preferred way to get information about Dakota County from existing sources, those completing the survey were also asked what methods they believed Dakota County should use to hear from residents and to gain their insights and learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans.

About two-thirds of residents chose public meetings/forums/open houses as methods Dakota County should use to hear from residents, similar to what was seen in 2016. About half believed social media was a good listening tool for the County. About 4 in 10 thought online surveys or forums were a good method, a decline from what was seen in 2016. However, 4 in 10 did like the idea of the County setting up booths and staff at community festivals or events; this item was new in 2019.

Figure 35: Preferred Methods to Communicate with Residents by Year
Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents to learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. (Please select all that apply.)


## Accessing Information on the County Website

When asked what information was most valuable to them on the County website, the items most frequently indicated were information about parks (52\%) and recycling information (50\%). These were also the items most likely to be deemed valuable in 2016, but the proportion choosing these declined somewhat from 2016. Where comparisons could be made over time, most of the listed types of information were deemed less valuable compared to 2013; it may be that with growing internet access, and expectations that information can be found online, residents are less likely to consider the information "valuable" but just to be expected.

Figure 36: Useful Information on Dakota County's Web Site By Year

| What information on Dakota County's website is most | Percent of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| valuable to you? | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Information about parks | $52 \%$ | $66 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Recycling information | $50 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $3 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Road/bridge construction projects | $33 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Idon't use the County's website | $31 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Contact information for County departments or staff | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $48 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Property sales/information | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | NA |
| Volunteer opportunities | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Information about services for older adults | $13 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $40 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| County budget information | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | NA |
| Information about people charged with a crime | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Information about the County Jail or its inmates | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $22 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $39 \%$ | NA | NA |

* Totals exceed 100\% as respondents could select more than one option. Prior to 2019, 'contact information for County departments or staff ' was 'contacting County departments or staff.' In 2013, the question wording was 'What else would be useful for you to do on Dakota County's Web site?' In 2016, 'Information about parks" and "Information about people charged with a crime" were new items. In 2011, 'Volunteer opportunities' was 'Register for volunteer activities," In 2001 "Recycling information" was "Garbage and recycling,' "County budget information" was "Budget documents" and "Property sales/information" was "Research property sales/information." This question was not asked in 2001.
Responses to the "other" types of information and services residents would like to access via the County website can be found in in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions.
In 2008 and earlier, this question was an open-ended question and in 2011 was converted to a set of fixed response options. In 2013 and 2016, these items were broken into two sets of questions; one regarding valuable information, the other useful tools or services. This change does limit the direct comparability of the data over time, so caution is advised regarding the interpretation of differences to data from 2011 and earlier.


## Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions

The full set of responses to each survey question are displayed in the tables in this appendix. Many survey questions included a "don't know" response option. These responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the body of the report, unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the majority of the figures in the body of the report display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

The tables that follow include the proportion of respondents giving a "don't know" response, when applicable. For questions that included a "don't know" response, two sets of tables are provided in this appendix: the first with the "don't know" responses included, to allow examination of the magnitude of unfamiliarity with certain items; and the second with the "don't know" responses excluded, to show the proportion of respondents with an opinion giving a response.

Table 1: Question 1

| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | $\mathrm{N}=418$ |  |
| Good | $\mathrm{N}=5$ |  |
| Fair | $53 \%$ |  |
| Poor | $\mathrm{N}=506$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=24$ |  |

Table 2: Question 2

| What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=346$ |
| Rural character | 7\% | $N=68$ |
| Parks/Lakes/Trails | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ |
| Quality of life in general | 23\% | $N=226$ |
| My neighborhood | 9\% | $N=88$ |
| Schools | 6\% | $N=53$ |
| Low taxes | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ |
| People | 2\% | $N=15$ |
| Other* | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| Open space | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=965$ |

* Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 3: Question 3 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Not a problem |  | Minor problem |  | Moderate problem |  | Major problem |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=197$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=961$ |
| Taxes | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 30\% | $N=286$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=306$ | 11\% | $N=106$ | 7\% | $N=63$ | 100\% | $N=955$ |
| Traffic safety | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=281$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=415$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=200$ | 4\% | $N=41$ | 2\% | $N=15$ | 100\% | $N=952$ |
| Traffic congestion | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=401$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 8\% | $N=76$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=952$ |
| Poverty | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=226$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 3\% | $N=31$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 100\% | $N=958$ |
| Homelessness | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=307$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=298$ | 10\% | N=98 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=231$ | 100\% | $N=957$ |

Table 4: Question 3 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. | Not a problem |  | Minor problem |  | Moderate problem |  | Major problem |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=197$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=893$ |
| Taxes | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=286$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=306$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=892$ |
| Traffic safety | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=281$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=415$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=200$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=937$ |
| Traffic congestion | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=401$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=934$ |
| Poverty | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=226$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 4\% | $N=31$ | 100\% | $N=759$ |
| Homelessness | 42\% | N=307 | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=298$ | 13\% | N=98 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=726$ |

Table 5: Question 4 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=439$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=479$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=966$ |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ | 10\% | $N=98$ | 2\% | $N=15$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=354$ | 20\% | $N=188$ | 3\% | $N=34$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=964$ |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=417$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=387$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ |
| Sense of community | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 48\% | $N=466$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 4\% | $N=36$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=962$ |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 15\% | $N=144$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 6\% | $N=56$ | 11\% | $N=102$ | 100\% | $N=957$ |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=351$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=484$ | 9\% | $N=85$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 4\% | $N=35$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=961$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=428$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=97$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=956$ |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=153$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=502$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 17\% | $N=159$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 4\% | $N=40$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=947$ |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 16\% | $N=147$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=520$ | 100\% | $N=946$ |
| Availability of affordable housing | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 64\% | $\mathrm{N}=612$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=954$ |

Table 6: Question 4 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=439$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=479$ | 4\% | $N=43$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=962$ |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ | 15\% | $N=98$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=661$ |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 47\% | $N=354$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $N=759$ |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=417$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=387$ | 8\% | $N=71$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=881$ |
| Sense of community | 21\% | $N=195$ | 50\% | $N=466$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 4\% | $N=36$ | 100\% | $N=932$ |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 17\% | $N=144$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 7\% | $N=56$ | 100\% | $N=855$ |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 38\% | $N=351$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=484$ | 9\% | $N=85$ | 1\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $N=926$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=428$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 100\% | $N=859$ |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 19\% | $N=153$ | 63\% | $N=502$ | 17\% | $N=133$ | 1\% | $N=11$ | 100\% | $N=799$ |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=685$ |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 14\% | $N=60$ | 35\% | $N=147$ | 36\% | $N=155$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 100\% | $N=426$ |
| Availability of affordable housing | 6\% | $N=48$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 100\% | $N=750$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 65\% | $\mathrm{N}=612$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=941$ |

Table 7: Question 5 - Including Don't Know Responses

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime and safety issues | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ |
| Affordable housing | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ |
| Taxes | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ |
| Growth/development | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ |
| Sense of community, diversity, etc. | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ |
| Condition of roads | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ |
| Traffic congestion | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Schools | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ |
| Homelessness and poverty | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |
| Economic development | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
| Public transportation | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |
| Updating infrastructure | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |
| Jobs | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Government spending | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Pollution/environmental concerns | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ |
| Quality of county services | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| Noise pollution | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| No issue | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Other | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=56$ |
| Don't know | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=465$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 8: Question 5 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime and safety issues | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ |
| Affordable housing | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ |
| Taxes | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ |
| Growth/development | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ |
| Sense of community, diversity, etc. | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ |
| Condition of roads | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ |
| Traffic congestion | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Schools | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ |
| Homelessness and poverty | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |
| Economic development | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
| Public transportation | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |
| Updating infrastructure | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |
| Jobs | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Government spending | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Teen drug/alcohol use | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Pollution/environmental concerns | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ |
| Quality of county services | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| Noise pollution | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| No issue | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Other | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=56$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=428$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 9: Question 6 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=506$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 1\% | $N=11$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=965$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 56\% | $N=536$ | 36\% | $N=343$ | 6\% | $N=58$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=965$ |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 39\% | $N=375$ | 15\% | $N=144$ | 5\% | $N=46$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=964$ |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=424$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 6\% | N=57 | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ |
| From domestic violence | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=230$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=964$ |
| From gang activity | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=492$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=301$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=961$ |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=392$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=467$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=967$ |
| While in your neighborhood | 64\% | $\mathrm{N}=618$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=301$ | 4\% | $N=36$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=963$ |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 68\% | $N=652$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 5\% | $N=48$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=965$ |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=561$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=275$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=965$ |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=435$ | 5\% | $N=52$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 7\% | $N=68$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=963$ |
| Other (please specify) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=197$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to
Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 10: Question 6 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=506$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $N=954$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=536$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=941$ |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 43\% | $N=375$ | 16\% | $N=144$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 100\% | $N=874$ |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=424$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 100\% | $N=867$ |
| From domestic violence | 66\% | $N=535$ | 28\% | $N=230$ | 5\% | $N=43$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=815$ |
| From gang activity | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=492$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=301$ | 6\% | $N=47$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=850$ |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 41\% | N=392 | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=467$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=957$ |
| While in your neighborhood | 65\% | $N=618$ | 31\% | $N=301$ | 4\% | $N=36$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=958$ |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 71\% | $N=652$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 1\% | $N=10$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=917$ |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 66\% | $\mathrm{N}=561$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=275$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=849$ |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=435$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=896$ |
| Other (please specify) | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to
Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 11: Question 7 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Not at all a concern |  | Minor concern |  | Moderate concern |  | Major concern |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=258$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ | 22\% | $N=201$ | 7\% | N=61 | 10\% | $N=95$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=933$ |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=940$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=153$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=267$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=940$ |
| Social isolation | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=271$ | 20\% | $N=188$ | 6\% | $N=61$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=197$ | 100\% | $N=940$ |
| Underage alcohol use | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 18\% | $N=164$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=215$ | 7\% | $N=62$ | 22\% | $N=203$ | 100\% | $N=935$ |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=939$ |
| Bullying | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 15\% | $N=139$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | 15\% | $N=144$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=937$ |
| The health and support of older adults | 17\% | $N=156$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=284$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 100\% | $N=940$ |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 16\% | $N=150$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=266$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=210$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=216$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=942$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=292$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=210$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ |
| Overweight adults and children | 10\% | $N=95$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=282$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 100\% | $N=946$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 100\% | $N=943$ |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 10\% | $N=98$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=267$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=946$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=306$ | 15\% | $N=144$ | 7\% | $N=70$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 100\% | $N=947$ |

Table 12: Question 7 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Not at all a concern |  | Minor concern |  | Moderate concern |  | Major concern |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=258$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=201$ | 7\% | $N=61$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=838$ |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=836$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=153$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=267$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 100\% | $N=770$ |
| Social isolation | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=271$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 100\% | $N=743$ |
| Underage alcohol use | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=709$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 22\% | $N=164$ | 40\% | $N=291$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=215$ | 8\% | $N=62$ | 100\% | $N=732$ |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=705$ |
| Bullying | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 19\% | $N=139$ | 100\% | $N=719$ |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 29\% | $N=194$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 100\% | $N=676$ |
| The health and support of older adults | 21\% | $N=156$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=284$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 15\% | $N=114$ | 100\% | $N=760$ |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=150$ | 37\% | $N=266$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=210$ | 14\% | $N=99$ | 100\% | $N=726$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=292$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=733$ |
| Overweight adults and children | 12\% | $N=95$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=282$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 20\% | $N=160$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=800$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ | 14\% | $N=102$ | 100\% | $N=710$ |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=679$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ | 42\% | N=306 | 20\% | $N=144$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 100\% | $N=724$ |

Table 13: Question 8 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the <br> same as now? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Much better | $4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=40$ |
| Somewhat better | $22 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=209$ |
| About the same | $61 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=580$ |
| Somewhat worse | $9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=81$ |
| Much worse | $1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ |
| Don't know | $3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=29$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=946$ |

Table 14: Question 8 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the <br> same as now? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Much better | $4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=40$ |
| Somewhat better | $23 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=209$ |
| About the same | $63 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=580$ |
| Somewhat worse | $9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=81$ |
| Much worse | $1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=917$ |

Table 15: Question 9 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 53\% | $N=504$ | 38\% | $N=359$ | 2\% | $N=21$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 6\% | $N=61$ | 100\% | $N=946$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 53\% | $N=504$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=337$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 100\% | $N=946$ |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 40\% | $N=371$ | 35\% | $N=323$ | 4\% | $N=40$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=932$ |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=483$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=948$ |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 25\% | $N=234$ | 52\% | $N=491$ | 18\% | $N=174$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 3\% | $N=24$ | 100\% | $N=947$ |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=427$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=161$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=940$ |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=201$ | 100\% | $N=941$ |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=86$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=344$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=305$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=938$ |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 11\% | $N=107$ | 32\% | $N=296$ | 14\% | $N=129$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 40\% | $N=376$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=939$ |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 18\% | $N=167$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=540$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 11\% | $N=100$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=933$ |

Table 16: Question 9 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 57\% | $N=504$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=359$ | 2\% | $N=21$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=884$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=504$ | 39\% | N=337 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=867$ |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=371$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ | 5\% | $N=40$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=737$ |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 23\% | N=206 | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=483$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=885$ |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=491$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=923$ |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=427$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=779$ |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 15\% | $N=113$ | 41\% | $N=304$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 11\% | $N=79$ | 100\% | $N=739$ |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=86$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=344$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=633$ |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 19\% | $N=107$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=296$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=563$ |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 20\% | $N=167$ | 65\% | $\mathrm{N}=540$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=833$ |

Table 17: Question 10 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota Country only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know/not applicable |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 6\% | $N=54$ | 9\% | N=76 | 4\% | N=37 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 80\% | $\mathrm{N}=666$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=837$ |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 6\% | N=51 | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 81\% | $N=669$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=828$ |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=161$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=383$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=165$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=153$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=903$ |
| Services for people with disabilities | 3\% | $N=22$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 7\% | $N=59$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=644$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=838$ |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 3\% | $N=24$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 9\% | $N=73$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 78\% | $N=644$ | 100\% | $N=830$ |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 84\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=832$ |
| Information available on the County website | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=290$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=346$ | 100\% | $N=862$ |
| Services provided to older adults | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=73$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 75\% | $\mathrm{N}=632$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=844$ |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 42\% | N=373 | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=892$ |

Table 18: Question 10 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate the following services provided by Dakota Country only if you have experienced them within the last two years. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 31\% | $N=54$ | 45\% | $N=76$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 32\% | $N=51$ | 38\% | $N=60$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $N=159$ |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=161$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=383$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=165$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=750$ |
| Services for people with disabilities | 11\% | $N=22$ | 49\% | $N=95$ | 31\% | $N=59$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 13\% | $N=24$ | 32\% | $N=60$ | 39\% | $N=73$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $N=186$ |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 50\% | $N=67$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ |
| Information available on the County website | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=290$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $N=516$ |
| Services provided to older adults | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=73$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=213$ |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=519$ |

Table 19: Question 11 - Including Don't Know Responses

| To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain <br> County services at their current levels? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly support | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=74$ |
| Somewhat support | $38 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=363$ |
| Somewhat oppose | $27 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=253$ |
| Strongly oppose | $19 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=175$ |
| Don't know | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=79$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=943$ |

Table 20: Question 11 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain <br> County services at their current levels? | Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly support | $9 \%$ | Number |
| Somewhat support | $42 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=74$ |
| Somewhat oppose | $29 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=363$ |
| Strongly oppose | $20 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=253$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=175$ |  |

Table 21: Question 12

| Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government office within the last 12 months? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Yes | $36 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=333$ |
| No | $64 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=591$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=925$ |

Table 22: Question 13 - Including Don't Know Responses

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledgeable | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=342$ |
| Responsive | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=156$ | 38\% | $N=127$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=338$ |
| Courteous | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 37\% | $N=126$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=339$ |
| Overall impression | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=336$ |

This question was only asked of those who reported having contact with a Dakota County government office.

Table 23: Question 13 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledgeable | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=338$ |
| Responsive | 46\% | $N=156$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ |
| Courteous | 51\% | $N=171$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $N=337$ |
| Overall impression | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=332$ |

This question was only asked of those who reported having contact with a Dakota County government office.

Table 24: Question 14 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=479$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=918$ |
| Online access to County services | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 41\% | $N=375$ | 10\% | $N=87$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=266$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=913$ |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=230$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=477$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=899$ |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 81\% | $N=731$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=901$ |

Table 25: Question 14 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 59\% | $N=479$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=806$ |
| Online access to County services | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=375$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=647$ |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 29\% | $N=122$ | 54\% | $N=230$ | 16\% | $N=65$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=422$ |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | 33\% | N=55 | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 14\% | N=24 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ |

Table 26: Question 15 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 16\% | $N=151$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=449$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 14\% | $N=126$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=921$ |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 7\% | N=66 | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 18\% | $N=165$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=384$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=916$ |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=394$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 4\% | N=38 | 17\% | $N=156$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=909$ |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 7\% | $N=67$ | 35\% | $N=321$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=264$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=910$ |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=470$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 3\% | $N=27$ | 13\% | $N=120$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=913$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=457$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=911$ |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 17\% | $N=154$ | 50\% | $N=458$ | 17\% | $N=160$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 14\% | $N=130$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=916$ |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 13\% | $N=117$ | 40\% | $N=361$ | 19\% | $N=170$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=911$ |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=500$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=915$ |

Table 27: Question 15 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 19\% | $N=151$ | 57\% | $N=449$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $N=794$ |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 12\% | $N=66$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=165$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 100\% | $N=532$ |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=394$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 5\% | N=38 | 100\% | $N=753$ |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 100\% | $N=646$ |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 59\% | $\mathrm{N}=470$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 100\% | $N=793$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=457$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $N=784$ |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=458$ | 20\% | $N=160$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=787$ |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=361$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=677$ |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 60\% | $N=500$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $N=832$ |

Table 28: Question 16 - Including Don't Know Responses

| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly approve | $\mathbf{N}=123$ |  |
| Somewhat approve | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |  |
| Somewhat disapprove | $\mathrm{N}=35$ |  |
| Strongly disapprove | $\mathrm{N}=14$ |  |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{4} \%$ |  |
| Total | $2 \%$ |  |

Table 29: Question 16 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly approve | $\mathbf{N}=123$ |  |
| Somewhat approve | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |  |
| Somewhat disapprove | $\mathrm{N}=35$ |  |
| Strongly disapprove | $\mathbf{N}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=14$ |  |

Table 30: Question 17

| Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. (Please select up to three methods.) | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local or neighborhood newspapers | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=382$ |
| The County's mailed newsletter | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=568$ |
| Calling Dakota County | 7\% | $N=62$ |
| Email from Dakota County | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ |
| The Dakota County mobile device app | 7\% | $N=67$ |
| Television/cable TV | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ |
| County website (www.dakotacounty.us) | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=346$ |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter, NextDoor, etc.) | 19\% | $N=175$ |
| Text messages and alerts (sent to cell phones) | 13\% | $N=121$ |
| None, I don't want or need any information from Dakota County | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ |
| Other: | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |

* Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 31: Question 18

| Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents to learn about their preferences <br> for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. (Please select all that apply.) | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Public meetings/forums/open houses | $\mathbf{N}=583$ |  |
| Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, NextDoor, etc.) | $\mathrm{N}=426$ |  |
| Opt-in online surveys or online forums | $\mathrm{N}=357$ |  |
| Booths and staff at community festivals or events (e.g., County Fair or farmers markets) | $48 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Citizen advisory committees | $\mathrm{N}=351$ |  |
| Other | $\mathrm{N}=159$ |  |
| * | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |  |

*Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 32: Question 19

| What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you? (Please select all that apply.) | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I don't use the County's website | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=287$ |
| Recycling information | 50\% | $N=459$ |
| Information about parks | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=472$ |
| Property sales/information | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ |
| Road/bridge construction projects | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ |
| County budget information | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ |
| Volunteer opportunities | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ |
| Information about services for older adults | 13\% | $N=116$ |
| Information about the County Jail or its inmates | 9\% | $N=81$ |
| Information about people charged with a crime | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ |
| Contact information for County departments or staff | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ |
| Other (please specify) | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ |

* Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 33: Question 20 - Including Don't Know Responses

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 40\% | $N=371$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=314$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=929$ |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 7\% | $N=62$ | 16\% | $N=141$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=908$ |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=260$ | 18\% | $N=136$ | 6\% | $N=42$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 100\% | $N=754$ |
| Streaming digital materials (movies, music, ebooks, e-audio books) | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=286$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 7\% | $N=67$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=918$ |
| Access to computers and the Internet | 37\% | $N=345$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 6\% | $N=56$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=923$ |
| Access to creative maker technology, equipment, or classes | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 100\% | $N=761$ |
| Community gathering space | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 5\% | $N=44$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=913$ |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 7\% | $N=69$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=918$ |
| English as a second language resources and services | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=285$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=203$ | 9\% | $N=78$ | 14\% | $N=132$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=916$ |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others) | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=244$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=909$ |
| Other (please specify) | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 34: Question 20 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 44\% | $N=371$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=314$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $N=842$ |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 8\% | $N=62$ | 100\% | $N=768$ |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=260$ | 21\% | $N=136$ | 6\% | $N=42$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=660$ |
| Streaming digital materials (movies, music, ebooks, e-audio books) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=286$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 8\% | $N=67$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=813$ |
| Access to computers and the Internet | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=345$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 7\% | $N=56$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=832$ |
| Access to creative maker technology, equipment, or classes | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 11\% | $N=70$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=637$ |
| Community gathering space | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=819$ |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 8\% | $N=69$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=825$ |
| English as a second language resources and services | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=285$ | 26\% | $N=203$ | 10\% | $N=78$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=784$ |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others) | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=244$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 100\% | $N=761$ |
| Other (please specify) | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=215$ |

Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 35: Question 21 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Since 2003, Dakota County and partners have preserved land outside of County parks for many public purposes. How important, if at all, is it to continue using County funds for these purposes? | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increasing public access for outdoor recreation | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=314$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 4\% | N=38 | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=939$ |
| Protecting and improving natural areas | 44\% | $N=415$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=350$ | 14\% | $N=130$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $N=942$ |
| Protecting and improving land used for agriculture/other specialty crops | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=340$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=211$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 4\% | N=38 | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=934$ |
| Protecting and improving water quality | 62\% | $N=582$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 6\% | $N=57$ | 1\% | $N=14$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=942$ |
| Protecting and improving wildlife habitat | 47\% | $N=447$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 13\% | $N=123$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=942$ |

Table 36: Question 21 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Since 2003, Dakota County and partners have preserved land outside of County parks for many public purposes. How important, if at all, is it to continue using County funds for these purposes? | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increasing public access for outdoor recreation | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=314$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=901$ |
| Protecting and improving natural areas | 45\% | $N=415$ | 38\% | $N=350$ | 14\% | $N=130$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=919$ |
| Protecting and improving land used for agriculture/other specialty crops | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=340$ | 24\% | $N=211$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=896$ |
| Protecting and improving water quality | 63\% | $\mathrm{N}=582$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 6\% | $N=57$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=921$ |
| Protecting and improving wildlife habitat | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=447$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ | 13\% | $N=123$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=920$ |

Table 37: Question 22

| What type of housing is most needed in your community? Choose up to three (3). | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| market-rate housing for older adults (condos, townhouses) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=266$ |
| market-rate stand-alone single-family housing | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ |
| market-rate apartments | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ |
| workforce housing (housing affordable for working people that is close to their job) for single-person households | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ |
| None of these is needed in my community | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ |
| affordable housing for older adults | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=384$ |
| affordable family housing | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ |
| assisted living or supportive housing (with services for any age) | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=203$ |
| homeless shelters | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ |

*Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three responses.

Table 38: Question 23

| Dakota County operates the Recycling Zone facility (in Eagan). If you have NOT used this facility in the last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months, please indicate the ONE reason that best describes why you do not. | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I do use the Recycling Zone | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=294$ |
| It is open during hoursthat are not convenient | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
| It is open on days that are not convenient | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |
| Other/none of these | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ |
| I am not familiar with the Recycling Zone | 18\% | $N=148$ |
| The Recycling Zone is too far away | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ |
| I do not have anything to drop off | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=193$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=845$ |

Table 39: Question 24

| Dakota County is working on a long-range Groundwater Plan to protect and improve groundwater resources, the source <br> of our drinking water. Please indicate which, if any, of these programs/regulations you support: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stretching groundwater supplies by restricting use of irrigation systems (on farms, on suburban lawns, at sports fields, at <br> commercial buildings) | Percent |  |
| Using County funds to reduce the cost of in-home drinking water systems for private well owners | $36 \%$ |  |
| Using County funds to keep cities' drinking water sources free of contaminants (which lowers consumer costs) | $\mathrm{N}=327$ |  |
| Using County funds to protect land to limit contamination of groundwater supplies | $\mathrm{N}=128$ |  |
| I don't support any of these | $\mathrm{N}=724$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=509$ |  |

Table 40: Question 25 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN-3/Hwy 3/Robert St/S Robert Tr | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ |
| State Hwy 13 | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-35$ (including I-35E and I-35W) | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ |
| Pilot Knob Rd/Co Rd 31 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |
| US-52 | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ |
| Hwy 55 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ |
| US-61 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Cliff Rd/Co Rd 32 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |
| Co Rd 42/150th St | 9\% | $N=50$ |
| Co Rd 46/160th St/Vermillion Rd | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ |
| Wentworth Ave | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| MN-56 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| CSAH 63 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| MN-77/Cedar Ave | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ |
| Co Rd 86/280th St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Hwy 110/MN-62 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ |
| MN-316 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| I-494/I-694 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |
| CSAH 5 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Bay St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| 185th St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| 210th St/Co Rd 50 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |
| Co Rd 70/215th St/210th St W | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ |
| Akron Ave/Co Rd 73 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Annapolis St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |


| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yankee Doodle Rd/Co Rd 28 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| CSAH 47 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| MN-149/Dodd Blvd/Rd/155th St/Co Rd 9 | 2\% | $N=14$ |
| Flagstaff Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Hamburg Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Co Rd 23 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Lexington Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Livingston Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| McAndrews Rd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Old Concord Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Southview Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Other | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |
| Nothing/don't know | 21\% | $N=118$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=569$ |

Table 41: Question 25 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN-3/Hwy 3/Robert St/S Robert Tr | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ |
| State Hwy 13 | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-35$ (including I-35E and I-35W) | 12\% | $N=54$ |
| Pilot Knob Rd/Co Rd 31 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |
| US-52 | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ |
| Hwy 55 | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ |
| US-61 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Cliff Rd/Co Rd 32 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |
| Co Rd 42/150th St | 11\% | $N=50$ |
| Co Rd 46/160th St/Vermillion Rd | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ |
| Wentworth Ave | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| MN-56 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| CSAH 63 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| MN-77/Cedar Ave | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ |
| Co Rd 86/280th St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Hwy 110/MN-62 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ |
| MN-316 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| I-494/I-694 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |
| CSAH 5 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Bay St | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| 185th St | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| 210th St/Co Rd 50 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |
| Co Rd 70/215th St/210th St W | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ |
| Akron Ave/Co Rd 73 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Annapolis St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |


| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yankee Doodle Rd/Co Rd 28 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| CSAH 47 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| MN-149/Dodd Blvd/Rd/155th St/Co Rd 9 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ |
| Flagstaff Ave | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Hamburg Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Co Rd 23 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Lexington Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Livingston Ave | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| McAndrews Rd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Old Concord Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Southview Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Other | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=451$ |

Table 42: Question 26 - Including Don't Know Responses

| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN-3/Hwy 3/Robert St/S Robert Trail | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ |
| State Hwy 13 | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-35$ (including I-35E and I-35W) | 12\% | $N=75$ |
| Pilot Knob Rd/Co Rd 31 | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
| US-52 | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ |
| Hwy 55 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |
| US-61 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ |
| Cliff Rd/Co Rd 32 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| Co Rd 42/150th St | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ |
| Co Rd 46/160th St/Vermillion Rd | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| MN-62/Hwy 110 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |
| MN-77/Cedar Ave/Co Rd 23 | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=86$ |
| MN-316 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| I-494/I-694 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ |
| CSAH 5 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| 185th St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Co Rd 50/210th Street/240th Street | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| Co Rd 70/215th St/210th St W | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| Yankee Doodle Rd/Co Rd 28 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| CSAH 47/Northfield Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| MN-149/Dodd Blvd/Rd | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| Old Concord Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| 2nd St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| MN-40 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Co Rd 45 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |


| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements? | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Diamond Path | Number |
| l-94 | $0 \%$ |
| Other | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Nothing/don't know | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=11$ |

Table 43: Question 26 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN-3/Hwy 3/Robert St/S Robert Trail | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ |
| State Hwy 13 | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-35$ (including I-35E and I-35W) | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ |
| Pilot Knob Rd/Co Rd 31 | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
| US-52 | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ |
| Hwy 55 | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |
| US-61 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ |
| Cliff Rd/Co Rd 32 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| Co Rd 42/150th St | 27\% | $N=154$ |
| Co Rd 46/160th St/Vermillion Rd | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| MN-62/Hwy 110 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |
| MN-77/Cedar Ave/Co Rd 23 | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=86$ |
| MN-316 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| I-494/I-694 | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ |
| CSAH 5 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| 185th St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| Co Rd 50/210th Street/240th Street | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| Co Rd 70/215th St/210th St W | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| Yankee Doodle Rd/Co Rd 28 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |
| CSAH 47/Northfield Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| MN-149/Dodd Blvd/Rd | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| Old Concord Blvd | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| 2nd St | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| MN-40 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Co Rd 45 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |


| Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Diamond Path | $0 \%$ |  |
| I-94 | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |  |
| Other | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=11$ |  |

Table 44: Question 27

| If you drive outside of Dakota County to get to your place of employment, please indicate which of the following factors apply to your situation. Please check all that apply. | Percent* | Number* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My workplace is inside the County, or I am not employed | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=363$ |
| I am satisfied with my employer and job outside the County | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ |
| When I moved to Dakota County, my job was in the County, but I have changed jobs since then | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ |
| Even though my job is outside of Dakota County, I choose to live in the County because of the quality of life here or the amenities in the community in which I live | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=226$ |
| I feel committed to living in Dakota County (kids/schools, spouse job), even though my job is outside the County | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ |
| My skills don't fit the jobs available in Dakota County | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ |
| Jobs matching my skills in Dakota County don't pay enough | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ |
| I am not interested in moving to a new home outside of Dakota County | 16\% | $N=126$ |
| I would not move closer to my job because of the high cost of living where my employer/job is located | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=73$ |
| My commute time to my job outside the County is reasonable | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ |
| Other | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ |

*Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response. Survey respondents had the opportunity to write in a response for the "other" choice in the survey question. Please see Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions to review the verbatim responses.

Table 45: Question D1

| How long have you lived in Dakota County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 year | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ |
| 2-5 years | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ |
| 6-10 years | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ |
| 11-15 years | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ |
| 16-20 years | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ |
| Over 20 years | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=417$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=954$ |

Table 46: Question D2

| Which of the following best describes you? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Employed full-time | $\mathrm{N}=458$ |  |
| Employed part-time | $\mathrm{N}=97$ |  |
| Employed, seeking better job or more hours | $\mathrm{N}=11 \%$ |  |
| Homemaker | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |  |
| Retired | $\mathrm{N}=28$ |  |
| Unemployed, looking for work | $\mathrm{N}=192$ |  |
| Student | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=13$ |  |

Table 47: Question D3 - Including Don't Know Responses

| On average, how long does it take you to travel to work each day (from home)? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 14 minutes | $\mathbf{N}=182$ |  |
| 15 to 19 minutes | $\mathbf{N}=138$ |  |
| 20 to 29 minutes | $20 \%$ |  |
| 30 to 44 minutes | $\mathbf{N}=165$ |  |
| 45 to 60 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=110$ |  |
| 60 or more minutes | $\mathrm{N}=53$ |  |
| Not sure/Don't know | N |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=4$ |  |

Table 48: Question D3 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| On average, how long does it take you to travel to work each day (from home)? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 14 minutes | $\mathbf{N}=182$ |  |
| 15 to 19 minutes | $\mathbf{N}=138$ |  |
| 20 to 29 minutes | $\mathbf{N}=165$ |  |
| 30 to 44 minutes | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |  |
| 45 to 60 minutes | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |  |
| 60 or more minutes | $\mathbf{N}=110$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=53$ |  |

Table 49: Question D4 - Including Don't Know Responses

| How long would the same trip take, if there was no traffic congestion at all? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 14 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=264$ |  |
| 15 to 19 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=151$ |  |
| 20 to 29 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=168$ |  |
| 30 to 44 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=55$ |  |
| 45 to 60 minutes | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |  |
| 60 or more minutes | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |  |
| Not sure/Don't know | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |  |

Table 50: Question D4 - Excluding Don't Know Responses

| How long would the same trip take, if there was no traffic congestion at all? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 14 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=264$ |  |
| 15 to 19 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=151$ |  |
| 20 to 29 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=168$ |  |
| 30 to 44 minutes | $\mathrm{N}=55$ |  |
| 45 to 60 minutes | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |  |
| 60 or more minutes | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=6$ |  |

Table 51: Question D5

| Which category contains your age? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $18-24$ | $\mathrm{~N}=25$ |  |
| $25-34$ | $\mathrm{~N}=218$ |  |
| $35-44$ | $\mathrm{~N}=172$ |  |
| $45-54$ | $\mathrm{~N}=169$ |  |
| $55-64$ | N |  |
| $65-74$ | $\mathrm{~N}=149$ |  |
| $75-84$ | $\mathrm{~N}=124$ |  |
| $85+$ | $\mathrm{N}=65$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=30$ |  |

Table 52: Question D6

| Which gender do you identify with most closely? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\mathrm{N}=485$ |  |
| Male | $\mathrm{N}=442$ |  |
| Identify another way | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=935$ |  |

Table 53: Question D7

| Which best describes the building you live in? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One family house detached from any other houses | $59 \%$ |  |
| House attached to one or more houses (e.g., a duplex or townhome) | $\mathrm{N}=567$ |  |
| Building with two or more apartments or condos | $\mathrm{N}=181$ |  |
| Manufactured or mobile home | $\mathrm{N}=186$ |  |
| Other | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=1 \%$ |  |

Table 54: Question D8

| Is this house, apartment, or mobile home... | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rented | $\mathbf{N}=25 \%$ |  |
| Owned (including with an outstanding mortgage) | $\mathbf{N}=698$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=698$ |  |

Table 55: Question D9

| Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | $96 \%$ |  |
| Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | $\mathrm{N}=897$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=40$ |  |

Table 56: Question D10

| What is your race? | Percent* | Number* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |  |
| Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander | $\mathrm{N}=63$ |  |
| Black or African American | $\mathrm{N}=33$ |  |
| White | $\mathrm{N}=828$ |  |
| Other | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |  |

* Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one response.

Table 57: Question D11

| How many of each of the following, including yourself, live in your household? | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five or more |  | None |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children age 17 years and under | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=238$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=571$ |
| Adults under age 65 years | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=400$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=728$ |
| Adults age 65 years and over | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=271$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=510$ |

Table 58: Question D12

| Please indicate your household's annual income: | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$15,000 | 3\% | $N=23$ |
| \$15,000-\$24,999 | 7\% | $N=67$ |
| \$25,000-\$34,999 | 6\% | $N=58$ |
| \$35,000-\$49,999 | 9\% | $N=81$ |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ |
| \$75,000-\$99,999 | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ |
| \$100,000-\$149,999 | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ |
| \$150,000-\$199,999 | 12\% | $N=106$ |
| \$200,000 or more | 8\% | $N=72$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=888$ |

## Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions

Following are verbatim responses to open-ended questions on the survey. Because these responses were written by survey participants, they are presented here in verbatim form, including any typographical, grammatical or other mistakes. Within each question the responses are in alphabetical order.

Question 2: What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County? (other)

- All of the above.
- Born here, 1929.
- can\'t pick one
- Events in area.
- Great transit service to Minneapolis
- Grew up here.
- I don't drive.
- In asst living place.
- lower housing costs
- Medical-Senior housing.
- N/A.
- None of the above.
- Progressive Planning.
- Raised here
- RIVER.
- Safety.
- Shopping.

Question 5: What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time?

## Affordable housing

- Affordable housing. $\$ 995.00$ mon. re. for especially old apartment. Need more buses or schedule later at night-11 PM. Land Lord just walk in your apartment not giving you notice all the time.
- Affordable housing
- Affordable housing \& homeless.
- Affordable housing and daycare; high tech limited jobs.
- Affordable housing doesn't always have access to public transit.
- Affordable housing for low income people and seniors.
- Affordable housing for low/mid class
- Affordable housing for senior citizens.
- Affordable housing for seniors on a fixed income.
- Affordable housing for seniors.
- Affordable housing, and an east-west highway other than CR. 42.
- Affordable housing, not just for singles or those receiving assistance. Not all 55+ have huge nest eggs to pay high $\$ \$ \$$ for the 'senior living' apartments that are building up. Transportation - buses, Eagan has 35E splitting it down the middle. It's not a cohesive community from that regard, this is reflected in the bus system
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- AFFORDABLE HOUSING.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable Housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing. But education for our young with new careers is also on the list, along with removing freeloaders on welfare. Need to provide some way to bring folks of different races together so we get used to seeing each other.
- Affordable Rentals.
- Affordable single family homes.
- Affordable single family housing
- Availability of affordable single-family homes.
- Available affordable housing.
- Available housing for 1st time home buyers.
- Building of low income housing, outlet mall, Aldi instead of high paying jobs/ community.
- Child care costs and affordable housing.
- Child care options.
- Cost of housing and accountability of landlords/management.
- Cost of housing.
- COST OF LIVING.
- Having modern affordable housing and city centers.
- Housing


## - Housing

- Housing and taxes are equal.
- Housing availability.
- Housing costs are high.
- Housing costs for young people.
- Housing for low income.
- Housing- none for handicapped.
- Lack of affordable housing, no place to downsize.
- Lack of affordable housing.
- Middle class affordable highway.
- More affordable housing.
- New house developments, house too expensive need to build more affordable homes.
- Not a lot of affordable apartments to rent.
- Not enough affordable housing for senior citizens.
- Not enough affordable housing, especially for seniors.
- NOT ENOUGH HOUSES FOR SALE.
- NOT ENOUGH HOUSING.
- Rent being raised yearly.
- Safe \& Affordable housing \& enough food for poor people.
- The increase in bldg. code regulations causing steep rise in cost of housing.
- The increase in expensive housing. Starter homes are becoming harder to find.
- The price of house is high. Buying a house is hard.
- TRULY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.


## Taxes

- And state high taxes.
- Collecting too much taxes.
- Continuing to manage tax dollars.
- FARMINGTON'S TAXES.
- high property taxes
- High property taxes.
- High Taxes
- HIGH TAXES.
- High taxes.
- Income tax.
- INCREASING PROPERTY TAXES.
- INCREASING TAXES.
- KEEPING REAL ESTATES TAXES LOW.
- Keeping taxes down \& quality of life up.
- Keeping taxes down.


## - Keeping taxes low

- Keeping taxes low by increasing business base.
- Keeping taxes low while giving good service.
- Maintaining current level of services without raising property taxes to the point of causing problems for retired people.
- minnesota taxes
- MINNESOTA TAXES- VERY DIFFICULT FOR RETIRED PEOPLE.
- My property taxes keep going up \& the aesthetics of neighborhood keep going down. Junk in yards, poor yard \& home maint.
- NO MORE TAX INCREASE.
- Probably taxes, they are growing really fast
- Property taxes are climbing disproportionately in relationship to market values and inflation rates.
- Property taxes too high.
- PROPERTY TAXES TOO HIGH.
- Property taxes.
- Property taxes.
- PROPERTY TAXES.
- PROPERTY TAXES.
- Providing services to all who need them but not taxing residents to afford it.
- Raising taxes, senior broke, no children in school.
- Rising property taxes.
- Rising property taxes.
- Rising taxes and traffic conjestion
- Tax rates in Farmington. Need more commercial.
- taxes and size of government growing larger than perhaps really needed.
- Taxes are high!
- Taxes are too high
- Taxes are too high.
- TAXES INCREASE.
- Taxes on everyone.
- Taxes- schools.
- Taxes with all the new housing. Why are taxes so high?
- TAXES.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- TAXES.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.

```
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
* TAXES
- Taxes.
* TAXES.
- Taxes.
- Taxes.
* TOO MUCH MONEY FOR BIKE TRAILS- TEARING UP ROBERT ST. SENIORS CAN'T
AFFORD IT- LOT OF SENIORS IN WSP.
* TOO MUCH TAX DOLLARS GOING TO NON-TAXPAYERS.
* Water and Taxes
* WORRIED ABOUT RISING TAXES.
```


## Growth/development

- Adaptation to growth.
- Congestion.
- Crowding out wildlife, commissioners not listening to people.
- CROWDING.
- DEVELOPMENT TAKING UP TOO MUCH FROM LAND.
- Development.
- Enough school to accommodate the growing population.
- Expansion.
- Fast Growth.
- Growing fast.
- Growing population.
- Growing too fast- building too many homes on Farmland.
- Growth- AFFORDABLE HOUSING.
- Growth of people no more f\&b options available.
- Growth within Eureka Township.

Growth.

- Growth.
- How to stay both small and good?
- Increasing population= increasing problems \& less open space \& rural life.
- Keeping up with the growth.
- Managing growth \& sprawl, therefore transportation.
- MANAGING GROWTH.
- Not a lot of room for new houses to be built.
- Over development of multi-family housing.
- Over Expansion (Housing).
- Over is dense housing.
- Over population and affordable housing.
- Overbuilding, loss of traditional rural character, especially in southern suburbs.
- Over-development and loss of agricultural land.
- POPULATION GROWTH.
- Population growth.
- Rapid development \& loss of natural habitat, wildlife.
- RAPID GROWTH \& INSUFFICIENT PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.
- Rapid growth and too much affordable housing \& amount of money spent to support non English speakers.
- Rapid growth resulting in traffic congestion.
- Rapid growth/ drugs.
- Sprawl- chain restaurants rather than unique distribution span.
- Sprawl. Having to drive everywhere is ecologically and financially a challenge. Opportunities for public transport aren't readily obvious.
- Too many ;houses taking over the farm land
- Too many houses and multi-family buildings are being built causing far too much traffic, especially at major intersections like Cedar Ave and Cty 42. Also, every time something new is built more trees are cut down. Example is all the new housing being built off Pilot Knob Road.
- Too many housing units being developed and job opportunities.
- Too many people- getting congested> too many drivers on the road- towns. Should be able to drive till you're turned 18.
- TOO MANY PEOPLE- RAPID GROWTH!
- Too many people therefore more crime.
- Too much building going on.
- Too much building, nature is beautiful.


## Crime and safety issues

- As a state we need to address gun laws to make every county safer. We need to reduce opiod issues and we need to provide more options for the homeless
- COPS/SPEEDING.
- Crime
- Crime
- Crime
- Crime, affordable housing.
- CRIME, HOMELESSNESS, TRANSPORTATION.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- DISTRACTED DRIVING.
- Drivers speeding.
- Drug sales/ homelessness.
- Drug trafficking
- Drug use and sales in neighborhoods near parks.
- Drugs \& dirty police.
- Drugs \& Drug Traffic.
- Drugs and Home Security.
- Drugs in any county.
- Drugs.
- Elimination of crime.
- House break-ins.
- Increased crime and traffic congestion.
- It seems that property crime is increasing. Also, people seem to use Bernard Street as a dragstrip on weekend nights.
- Keeping it safe long term.
- Lack of traffic law enforcement.
- Managing traffic safety (for example Hwy 3 by Aldi/Rudy's). The growth in the area increases risks and traffic issues on main roads like Hwy 3.
- not enough flashing yellow arrows!
- Opioids.
- Petty crime.
- Random thefts, burglaries.
- Rise in crime.
- safety and health
- Seems like drugs.
- Some of the schools- specifically in Burnsville have a reputation for being unsafe w/ fighting etc.
- West St. Paul crime.
- With the influx of some unsavory characters; crime.


## Traffic congestion

- Expanding road traffic.
- HWY 52 @ Downtown St. Paul.
- Increase traffic congestion.
- Potential traffic congestion with new Viking, practice facility.
- Road traffic as we build more homes.
- Too much traffic on Hwy. 3. Not enough lanes.
- Traffic
- TRAFFIC- ACCESS TO ST. PAUL \& MPLS.
- Traffic and increased population compared to the past projections.
- Traffic- commute times.
- Traffic congestion \& shortage of recreational places.
- Traffic congestion as more building occurs.
- Traffic congestion- need for those transportation.
- Traffic congestion on Cedar Ave thru Apple Valley.
- Traffic congestion on Ceder, Ever increasing taxes, Lack of consideration to expand the Apple valley transit system to meet the increase in population. Buses are congested resulting in people standing on almost every route, parking is getting to be an issue at the transit station.
- Traffic congestion with new Vikings facility.
- Traffic congestion. Roads.
- Traffic in some areas.
- Traffic on 35 back-up during rush hour.
- Traffic on Cedar. Too many lights.
- TRAFFIC ON HIGHWAYS.
- Traffic safety \& crime.
- Traffic safety congestion.
- Traffic safety.
- Traffic violators \& speed. Every day I see traffic over so some mph in 4 posted speed limits.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.


## Economic development

- "Something" to entice more people to visit. "Higher end restaurants?"
- Burnsville mall \& places to eat for seniors.
- Downtown- need more shops \& really need more restaurants all over Lakeville- people leave the area to eat.
- Economic health.
- Economic Health.
- Empty businesses.
- I feel there is a lot of vacant commercial buildings, and more keep being built rather than filling current empty ones.
- I would like to see more economic growth, shopping, restaurant etc.
- Inability to get new businesses in Hastings.
- Inability to keep/ replace large retailers. TRAFFIC SAFETY!!!
- Income imbalance. Some very poor cities, some more wealthy. Need to spread wealth more equitably.
- KEEPING BUSINESS TO HELP WITH TAX BASE.
- Keeping businesses up \& going.
- Lack of businesses to support the tax base. Building very quickly and not having the traffic infrastructure in place to handle all of the additional traffic.
- Lack of retail options, lack of family restaurants, lack of indoor play space where I live in Dakota Co.
- Lack of retail, restaurants \& fast foods (other than McDonalds).
- More fast food restaurants in Inver Grove Heights.
- Not enough excellent dining.
- Not enough retail.
- Places to shop.
- RETAIL \& RESTAURANT OPTIONS.
- Shopping (Grocery/ Lg. Variety), Restaurants.
- Stores and businesses leaving.
- Survival of businesses in the area
- Vacant Businesses.
- We are losing lots of stores.


## Sense of community, diversity, etc.

- Barriers to growing a diverse population
- Education about diversity \& the Muslim faith coming into this community.
- Encouraging diversity.
- homogenization
- I live in Lakeville and work in Eagan. I think Dakota County as a whole is on the conservative side ... and in fiscal ways that may be good, but in inclusivity/welcoming ways, it's not as good. I think improvements could be made to make all Dakota County residents feel like they belong.
- Lack of diversity in schools
- Lack of diversity inclusion.
- Lack of diversity.
- Lack of inclusion for those with criminal histories as there are too many limits where they can live which can make them go underground. You need to find a way allow them to live in society, not ostracize them which will lead to reoffending.
- NO SENSE OF PRIDE ABOUT LIVING HERE. BEST THING WE'RE GOT IS WALMART HOW SAD IS THAT.
- Non English speaking people.
- POLITICS \& IMMIGRATION.


## Condition of roads

- Broadband options and roads- potholes.
- Increase in rent, taxes, food etc. No stop lites where needed or lites on. Can't see at nite/ Concord.
- Lakeville takes the cheapest way on roads (construction).
- PLOWS THAT DON'T PLOW ALL THE WAY TO THE CURB!
- Poor road surfaces in residential areas.
- Quality of Roads- (freeways \& city streets).
- ROAD CONDITION.
- Road conditions after bad weather.
- Road construction.
- Road maintenance- township rural roads are not maintained as they should be- make all township roads- under country care.
- Roads \& lack of maintenance.
- ROADS KEEPING UP WITH ADDITIONAL NEW HOMES.
- ROADS.
- Roads.
- Roads.
- Roads.
- Roads.
- Roadwork.


## Schools

- Affordable chair/ school deficit.
- Education
- EDUCATION.
- filling open jobs, skills training at the middle and high school level to help with the shortage in trades, manufacturing, etc. along with eliminating the stigma that comes with them, not everyone is built for a university and coorporate work.
- High schoolers getting less sleep because of homework and then having to drive early to school. Late start for high schools a possible solution.
- Quality of schools.
- Runway public education costs.
- School budget issues
- School budget shortage.
- School budgets are way too high and administration is overspending on frivolous things like principal cars and elementary school tablets.....both not needed.....
- School class sizes.
- School safety.
- School system.
- Schools need more funding.
- The only issue affecting our family is that we send our children to a Catholic school outside Dakota County. Our main reason for doing so is a lack of schools in the county that are both academically solid and ethically based.


## Homelessness and poverty

- BEGGAR MAN ON STREETS.
- Children living in impoverished conditions.
- Homelessness- affordable housing.
- Homelessness.
- Homelessness.
- Homelessness; taxes, mental health awareness training for police and EMTS.
- I know homeless persons were increasing this last year, most seeming to be migrating from other areas. I believe the upcoming construction planned for Wentworth Ave this year including extending the bike path from Dodd to Robert street will also make things much better as there are often people walking the sides of Wentworth in the dark and it can be hazardous. This opinions obviously only speak of West St Paul since that is where I reside.
- Lack of homeless shelters.
- Lack of shelters for homeless.
- Poverty.
- POVERTY.
- Poverty/ homelessness.
- Too many low income families- lack of searching for work which brings up welfare \& crime.
- Too many low income housing, poverty, crime.


## Public transportation

- Bus service for the older people.
- I live in Farmington- lack of Public Transportation \& Businesses.
- Lack of comprehensive, quality, afordable public transportation.
- Lack of light rail to downtown
- light rail up Cedar to downtown no downtown CBD feel
- Public transportation.
- Transportation for seniors is poor unless you have money.
- Transportation for those w/o a car.
- Transportation options.
- Transportation.
- TRANSPORTATION.

Updating infrastructure

- Civil engineering- roads, bridges, streets.
- Ensuring infrastructure stability and economic growth
- Infrastructure keeping up with population growth.
- keeping up with aging infrastructure
- Public infrastructure.


## Government spending

- Getting too many public employees vs. contracting to public companies.
- Wasting money.


## Pollution/environmental concerns

- Clean Water \& Pedestrian friendly roads (Robert St./ Wentworth Thompson).
- Clean water.
- Climate Change/ Consolidate garbage \& recycling collection. We currently have 5 diff. company trucks every Friday.
- ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH.
- Tree removal in parks for stupid reasons.
- WHERE TO PUT GARBAGE.


## Quality of county services

- County disability services could use more funding \& oversight.
- Public services offered by comparison are low compared to surrounding areas.


## Jobs

- Good employment opp. Too much in line of Service Ind's.
- Lack of industry/business with higher paying jobs.

Preserving natural areas/protecting natural resources

- Lack of trees.


## Teen drug/alcohol use

- Kids at school using weed, LSD, etc.; they brag about it all the time for just have to be in the right group.


## Noise pollution

- I do not like the train noise.
- my quiet neighborhood of many years ago is not that way any longer. Between the traffic volume and the diversity of the neighorhoods


## No issue

- DON'T THINK WE HAVE A SERIOUS ISSUE.
- NO ISSUES.
- We have no issues.


## Other

- "Believing that my Soc. Sec. Check is a "Benefit". It is not.
- Affordability- as a working lower income resident it's getting too expensive to live here.
- Affordability.
- Affordable child care.
- Aging communities as people become empty nesters while still having excellent education.
- All elected officials should be full time \& some smaller communities should be consolidated.
- Build more freeway. If 77 can extend South through Cedar Ave. It will be great!
- CHILDREN ADDICTION TO SMART PHONES, GAMES, ETC..- TRUE FOR ENTIRE WORLD.
- Choosing sustainable retail and housing development.
- Disabled adult housing options
- For my family, it's group home availability for Disabled Adults!
- Has not kept up with community "North" of the river.
- Health care.
- Hospital more available to outlying areas.
- Immigration and refugee resettlement.
- It is a very expensive place to live particularly for retired people.
- KEEPING SIDEWALKS \& PATH CLEARED IN WINTER.
- Lack of quality day care is affordable.
- Lack of support for our President.
- Lighting and clearing public sidewalks of snow/ice.
- Local watershed funding major short fall. I'm near a flood potential. Rosemount!
- Low-income housing for people w/ disabilities.
- Maintaining the quality of life we now have.
- Maintenance in housing apts. snow removal. Carpet in Hall are dirty.
- Menral health issues \& resources.
- Mental health issues.
- NOT ENOUGH CULVERTS OR HYVEE.
- people have no heart! kids are raising themselves, because both parents have to work to survive.
- Political Polarity.
- Putting rented homes in neighborhood with owned homes- Stop Allor.
- Robert Street Signal Hills.
- SEC. 8 HOUSING. TOO MUCH OF IT.
- See 3 above.
- Senior housing options.
- Senior Housing.
- Since I live in Burnsville and I'm handicapped \& can only relate to Br. Roads are terrible. Hard for workers to cross streets even when marked or with lights.
- SOCIALIST LEANINGS.
- Somalian Community taking advantage of Govt Programs
- Storms closing.
- SUPPORT FOR AGING SENIORS.
- Texting while driving.
- The decline of AVHS.
- The Met Council forcing its way on to Dakota County and paying for all the inter city 'special interest' programs and projects. Leave Dakota County alone!
- Too many 'bedroom community" areas.
- Too many immigrants!!!
- Too much multi-family housing.
- Walking with traffic where there is no shoulder nor side walk. It's a must! to have a car to live here.
- Waste management \& water quality.


## Don't know

-?

- ? N/A.
- 1
- 1
- Can't think of one.
- Dakota County is huge- I can only respond about 2 towns.
- Don't know.
- DON'T KNOW.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Haven't lived here long enough to know.
- I don't have enough information to make a determination.
- I don't know
- I'm not sure.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- NEVER THOUGH ABOUT IT. NO ANSWER.
- no comment
- No idea.
- No opinion.
- NOT SURE!
- Not sure, have only lived here 4 months.
- Not sure.
- NOT SURE.
- Nothing comes to mind.
- Unsure.
- Unsure.
- UNSURE.
- Unsure. Do not feel informed as to what county government is working on.


## Question 6: Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. (other)

- 4 Bock walk to get to Elementary School very unsafe. Need a car to transport or bus.
- Adequate pedestrian crossing \& bike trails(and even traffic signage)- Hwy. 13; Dodd RdNorth.
- Burnsville center, seems to attract people who aren't there to shop. Place need a good cleaning face lift.
- COMMERCIAL/ RETAIL SPACES.
- Dakota Co. very large- might be a difference- east/west etc.
- Dogs scared someone away while trying to break into my house one night.
- Doorbell ringing in the middle of the night.
- Feel unsafe on concord. No lights at nite!
- Have noticed an upswing.
- I feel safe in Dakota Cty.
- I own a German Shepherd.
- ICE HIKING TRAILS.
- In mall.
- In my area, we have noticed some homeless individuals living in our parks and harassing kids and adults
- In my building apartment above me- man always beat his wife or girlfriend.
- LAKE JULIA PARK.
- MAIL THEFT.
- Many are not local issues.
- N/A.
- No.
- None.
- Overall very safe
- Parking lots.
- People need to be aware of their surroundings.
- Pick w/ bartville, noisy, swearing.
- President.
- Prostitution.
- Retired me (67) \& one sister (74).
- See gang graffiti in Parks, heard from officers deals of drugs sold in parks.
- Sex offenders way too many being allowed to move into Dak. Cty.
- Snow removal in housing pairing lot.
- STOP THIEVES FROM RIPPING OFF MAIL BOX.
- Too many drugs in our small town need to clean up.
- TRIBAL \& INNER CITY GANGS ARE A COMING AND GROWING THREAT.
- Very safe place to live.
- while at work

Question 17: Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. (other)

- I WOULD HAVE LIKED A DAKOTA COUNTY DIRECTORY SO I KNEW ABOUT EVERYTHING IT HAS TO OFFER.
- Make it part of the NEWS.
- Seasonal activity books.
- US Mail.

Question 18: Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents to learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. (other)

- Direct Mail.
- Don't know- use the workforce center.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- email
- E-mail.
- E-mail.
- E-mail.
- E-mail.
- Give us heads up on things in advance- then- we can "compliment" or complaint.
- I don't know- all I do know is phoning anyone is not the answer.
- I support but I do not use any of them!
- Improve customer service.
- Letters.
- Local newspaper.
- Local newspaper.
- Local newspaper.
- Magazine.
- mail
- mail
- Mail.
- Mail.
- Mail.
- Mail.
- Mailed newsletter.
- Mailed surveys.
- Mailed surveys. Voted on with transparency.
- MAIL-IN SURVEY.
- MAILINGS.

```
- Mailings.
-Mailings/ questionnaires.
-Mail-post.
* More in depth surveys, referendums, avoid social interest groups.
N/A.
* NEWS PAGES.
* NEWS PAPER!
- Newsletter- I have no computer.
* Newsletter or notice. We are deaf.
* Newsletter, Local Newspaper.
* Newsletter.
* NEWSLETTER.
* Newspaper.
- Paper.
* Poll people all over the county, like this survey
* Put out paper.
- Quality report mailed to home's.
-Survey, like this.
text and email
- Text.
* US MAIL.
* WEBSITE CONTENT OPTION(S).
Question 19: What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you?
(other)
- Child Care.
-Community education.
-County services of an kinds including human services and resources for disabled.
Disability Services Resource guide/direction.
DMV.
Don't know.
- Employment opportunities.
* Employment Opportunities.
G.I.S. SERVICES.
* Health.
| I am going to start looking at the website.
- I look when needed.
- Information on bans (burning) & restrictions (parking).
- LIBRARIES.
Library
```

```
Library programs.
Library.
Library.
L Local newspaper.
* Magazine.
N/A.
N/A.
* Never Gear on your website.
* Newspaper.
* No reply.
None.
- Passport, marriage license info.
- People who are disabled.
- Property tax/ home values.
Shredding.
- Very confusing.
- Voter info.
Question 20: How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and
services? (other)
- All.
- ARABIC
- Children programs/activities.
* CHILDREN'S ACTIVITIES.
- Children's programing.
- Classic Novels.
- Computer & phone instructions.
- Disparity between branches.
Don't know.
 ENGLISH should be
- Extended hours another hour in a year or so.
* Family Programs RENO AROUND STAR WARS, ETC.
* Federal & State Tax Forms.
- I don't use library.
- Kids zone.
- Library Extensions Classes.
* Love the GALAXY updates.
- Media for Blind.
- More history books.
* MORE MAXIMS/ DISTRIBUTION.
```

- N/A.
- None.
- NOT AN INTEREST.
- Not use library.
- Outreach/ marketing.
- Parking.
- People should be learning English.
- Reading to children.
- RECEIVING RETURN PH. CALLS, AS REQUESTED.
- Resources for check out.
- Speakers- continuing education. We heard David Schultz speak at Burnhaven Library \& Bud Sealy speak at West St. Paul- would enjoy more of these.
- Staff-educated/ Experienced.
- Students services/ support.
- Work space- internet.
- youth programming

Question 25: Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements?

| - "Rural intersections" | - ? | - ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| need more indications | - ? | - ? |
| for stopping. | - ? | - ? |
| * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - ? | - ? |
| * $\#$ 3. | - ? | - ? |
| *42 (good start!). | - ? | - ? |
| - \#42. | - ? | - ? Most. |
| * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | - ? | - ?? |
| - ? | - ? | - 052 Bay St Paul. |
| - ? | - ? | - 110 |
| - ? | - ? | - 110 |
| - ? | - ? | - 13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |
| - ? | - ? | -13 |


| -13 | - 3 | - 316 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -13 | - 3 | - 35 |
| -13 | - 3 | - 35 |
| - 149 | - 3 | - 35 |
| -160th St. | - 3 | - 35 |
| - 185 STREET | - 3 | - 35 |
| LAKEVILLE EAST OF | - 3 | - 35 |
| CTY. 50 to IPAVA. | - 3 | - 35 \& 70 |
| - 185th St. | - 3 | - 35 E |
| - 185th Street. | - 3 | - 35 E . |
| - 2 lane part of state Hwy. 55. | - 3 | - 35 E . |
| 210th St- East of Dodd. | - 3 | - 35 E . |
| 210th/50 Lakeville \& | - 3 | - 35 E/ Pilot Knob. |
| Farmington area west | - 3 | - 35 SPLIT B-VILLE. |
| \& east. | - 3 | - 35 w and 70 |
| - 3 | - 3 ST Robert. | -35/62 |
| - 3 | - 31 | - 35E. |
| - 3 | - 316 | - 35W |
| - 3 | - 316 | - 35w |
| -35W South. | - 42 | - 46 |
| - 35W. | - 42 | - 46 |
| - 35W. | - 42 | -46 |
| - 35W. | - 42 | - 46 \& 47 |
| - 35W. | - 42 | - 46 \& 52 where they |
| - 35W. | - 42 | meet is always |
| - 35W. | -42 | congested \& seems |
| - 35W. | - 42 | unsafe. |
| -35W. | - 42 | 46 esp. at Diamond Path need stop light. |
| -35W. | - 42 | -46/ General Sieben. |
| - 35W. | - 42 | -46/47 |
| -35W. | -42 | -494 |
| -35W. | -42 | - 494 |
| -42 | - 42 | - 494 |
| -42 | -42 | - 494 |
| -42 | -42 | - 494 |
| -42 | - 42 | - 494 |
| -42 | -42 Cty. Rd. | - 494 |
| -42 | -42 Driver's speeding. | - 494 |
| - 42 | - 46 | - 494 |

- 494 n 694 Loop.
- 494 Stretch 13.
- 50
-50/35W.
- 50EAST OF

FARMINGTON, NO
SHOULDERS, NOT
ENOUGH LANES, BIG
DITCHES.

- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52 \& 3 to Rosemount.
- 52 @ Downtown St. Paul.
- 52 OR 50.
- 52 Ramp onto 70th.
-52- still unsafe even w/ "upgrades". Traffic is more like a freewayspeed, amount seems too many concessions made are proper improved.
- 52 turns recently done are not safe.
-52/3/42
- $52 / 56$
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55 to \& from Hastings.
- 55 West out of Hastings.
- 55, 62.
- 56
- 61
- 62
- 62
- 62
- 62 (118).
- 63
- 694 \& 94
- 7
- 70th- Robert.
- 77
- 77
- 77
- 77
- 77
- 77 and Cedar.
- 77S.
- 86
- AKRON AVE COUNTY 73.
- All good.
- All of them!
- ALL.
- ALL.
- All-speed control.
- ANNAPOLIS.
- any road crossing 52 that does not have an overpass.
- between

Denmark/Lexington
Yankee Doodle Rd.

- Can't say for sure.
- Can't think of any.
- Can't think of one.
- Ceadar ave south of Apple Valley
- Cedar \& city Rd 46.
- Cedar / 77
- CEDAR AVE.
- CEDAR AVE.
- CEDAR AVE.
- CEDAR AVE.
- CEDAR AVE.
- CEDAR AVE.
- Cedar Ave/ 77 North of Apple Valley.
- Cedar Ave/77
- Cedar.

| - Cedar. | - County Rd. 42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| - cliff | - County Rd. 42. |
| - Cliff Rd. between Pilot | - COUNTY RD. 42. |
| Knob and Hwy. 13. | - County Rd. 42. |
| - Cliff Road | - County Rd. 47 \& 52. |
| - Cliff Road. | - County Rd. 63. |
| - Cliff Road. | - county road 3 |
| - Cliff. | - County Road- 42 Apple |
| - Cnty. Rd. 3. | Valley Brno! |
| - Cnty. Rd. 42. | - County Road 42. |
| - Co Rd 3, South Robert | - County Road 42. |
| Trail | - County Road 42. |
| - Co. R 42. | - County road 70 in |
| - Co. Rd. 42. | lakeville |
| - Co. Rd. 42. | - County Road 9 |
| - Co. Rd. 42. | - CR 42. |
| - Co. Rd. 42. | - CR 46 \& Cedar- |
| - Co. Rd. 42. | Walgreens/crossing |
| - Co. Rd. 42. | 46. |
| - Co. Rd. 46. | - CR 46. |
| - Co. Rd. 47 \& 46. | - CR 46. |
| - Co. Rd. 47. | - CR 46. |
| - Co. Rd. 70. | - CR 70 in Lakeville. |
| - Co. Road | - CR. 42. |
| 46/47/Hastings. | - CR. 86. |
| - Corner of 140th and | - Cty. 42. |
| Pilot Knob going south | - Cty. 46. |
| needs turn loose. | - Cty. 50. |
| - Corner of Diamond | - Cty. 70. |
| Path Rd. \& Cty. Rd. 46New Elementary School. | - Cty. Rd. \#32 or Cliff Road. |
| Country Rd. 42. | - Cty. Rd. 42. |
| - Country Roads. | - Cty. Rd. 42. |
| County 13. | Cty. Rd. 42. |
| County 42. | - Cty. Rd. 42. |
| - COUNTY 42. | - Cty. Rd. 50 \& Cedar |
| - County Rd 42 | $\text { © Cty. Rd. } 70 .$ |
| - County Rd. 3Rosemount | - Cty. Rd. 70. |
| - County Rd. 3. | - Do Not know. |

- Do Not know.
- Dodd \& Flagstaff.
- DODD BLVD
- Dodd Blvd.
- Dodd Blvd.- Lakeville.
- Dodd Hwy.
- Dodd Rd.
- Dodd Road.
- Dodd Road.
- Dodd.
- Dodd.
- Don't drive during those periods.
- Don't drive highways.
- Don't Drive.
- don't know
- Don't know
- Don't know- 24, 25, 26.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- DON'T KNOW.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- DON'T KNOW.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- DON'T KNOW.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't Know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- DON'T KNOW.
- DON'T KNOW.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Don't know.
- Dood Blvd.
- Entrance to 494E from 525.
- Farmington Lakeville.
- FLAGSTAFF \& 179TH ST.
- Flagstaff by Farmington High School a pedestrian path is needed.
- Hamburg Road.
- HAPPY.
- Hate roundabouts.
- Highway 13 between

Eagan and Lilly Rd.

- Highway 13.
- Highway 13.
- Highway

13/Burnsville.

- Highway 3
- highway 3
- Highway 3
- Highway 3 in

Farmington.

- Highway 3 thou \& South of Rosemount.
- Highway 3!!!
- Highway 3.
- Highway 3.
- Highway 3.
- HIGHWAY 3.
- HIGHWAY 3.
- Highway 3.
- Highway 3.
- Highway 316 at Highway 61.
- Highway 42.
- Highway 52
- Highway 52.
- Highway 52. off of 190.
- Highway 55
- highway 55
- Highway 55- Hastings.
- Highway 55.
- Highway 61
- Hiway \#52.
- Hw. 3.
- Hwy \#55.
- Hwy 13
- Hwy 3
- Hwy 3 Fmgtn. to 149.
- Hwy 50.
- Hwy. \#3 or Hwy. 52.
- HWY. 13
- Hwy. 13 And Lone Oaks needs lights (Stop lights).
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- HWY. 13.
- HWY. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- HWY. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 13.
- Hwy. 23 needs shoulders.
- Hwy. 3 Farmington.
- Hwy. 3 in Rosemount near Kwik Trip/ Rudy's Redeye.
- Hwy. 3-more lanes in Fgtn.
- Hwy. 3 Robert Street.
- Hwy. 3!
- Hwy. 3, Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 3, North \& South of Farmington.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- HWY. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- HWY. 3.
- HWY. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 3.
- Hwy. 35E.
- Hwy. 42.
- HWY. 42.
- Hwy. 42.
- Hwy. 52 \& 55.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.

| - Hwy. 52. | - Lingston AVE. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Hwy. 52. | - McAndrews. |
| - Hwy. 52. | - MN 3. |
| - Hwy. 52. | - MN 3. |
| - Hwy. 52. | - MN-3 |
| - Hwy. 52. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 52. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 52. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 55. | - N/A. |
| - HWY. 55. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 55. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 55W to Hwy. 525. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 61. | - N/A. |
| - HWY. 70. | - N/A. |
| - Hwy. 77. <br> - HY. 3. | - N/A. Pot Hole here and there. |
| - Hy. 47. | - Nicollet and CR 42 |
| - Hyw. 3. | - No. |
| - Hywy. 55. | - none |
| - I don't know. | - None |
| - I drive in Dakota | - None that I use. |
| County \& don't know about various hyways. | - NONE. <br> - NONE. |
| - I think The roads are good. | - NONE <br> - NONE. |
| - I would just cost US More Concord St. | - NONE. |
| - I-35 by Buck Hill in winter. | - None. |
| - idk | - Not sure. |
| - Interchange at Hwy. 52 and County Rd. 46. | - Not sure. <br> - Not sure. |
| - Intersection Hwy. 52 \& | - Not sure. |
| Hwy. 55. | - Not sure. |
| - Interstate 35E | - Not sure. |
| - Lakeville FROM- large | - Not sure. |
| Road. | - Not sure. |
| - LEFT ON 42 @ MN 55. | - Not sure. |
| - Lexington South of | - Not sure. |
| Diffley, Cliff Rd. East of | - OK. |

- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 55.
- HWY. 55.
- Hwy. 55.
- Hwy. 55W to Hwy. 525.
- Hwy. 61.
- HWY. 70.
- Hwy. 77.
- HY. 3.
- Hy. 47.
- Hyw. 3.
- Hywy. 55.
- I don't know.
- I drive in Dakota

County \& don't know about various hyways.

- I think The roads are good.
I would just cost US More Concord St.
I-35 by Buck Hill in winter.
- idk
- Interchange at Hwy. 52 and County Rd. 46.
Intersection Hwy. 52 \& Hwy. 55.
- Interstate 35E

Lakeville FROM- large Road.

- LEFT ON 42 @ MN 55.
- Lexington South of Lexington.
- Lingston AVE.
- McAndrews.
- MN 3.
- MN 3.
- MN-3
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A. Pot Hole here and there.
- Nicollet and CR 42
- No.
- none
- None
- None that I use.
- NONE.
- NONE.
- NONE.
- NONE.
- NONE.
- NONE.
- Not aware.
- Not sure.

Not sure.

- Not sure.
- Not sure.
- Not sure.
- Not sure.
- Not sure.
- Not sure.
- OK.
- old Concord Blvd.
- ON ENTRY TO 55W on BURNSVILLE PKV.
- Pilot Knob Rd.
- Pilot Knob Rd.
- Pilot Knob Rd.
- Pilot Knob Rd. between Co. Rd. 40 \& 46; Co. Rd. 42 between Rosemount \& Savage.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob; Yankee Doodle.
- Robert Street (52).
- Robert Street.
- Robert TRAIL.
- Robert.
- Robert/ Highway 3.
- Roundabout at CR 56 \& Broderick Blvd. CR 42.
- SOUTHVIEW Blvd.
- The oil gas into Rosemount... forget.
- unknown
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unsure.
- Unsure.
- Unsure.
- Upper Hwy. 3.
- US Highway 52
- US Hwy. 52.
- Wentworth AveMendota Heights.


## - Yankee Doodle Hwy.

26. 

Question 26: Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements?

| - ? | -35E \& Cedar Ave. | - Cedar Ave/77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ? | - 35E. | - CEDAR Avenue. |
| - ? | - 35E. | - Cedar. |
| - ? | - 35E. | - Cedar. |
| - ? | - 35E. | - Cedar. |
| - ? | - 35E. | - Cedar. |
| - | - 35ES. | - Cedar. |
| - ? | - 35W! | - Cedar. |
| - | - 494 ;) | - CEDAR/ 77 |
| - ? | -77/ Cedar. | - Cliff \& Pilot Knob. |
| - | - All good. | - Cliff Rd. |
| - ? | - All of them! | - Cliff. |
| - ? | - Can't think of one. | - Co. Road |
| - ? | - Cedar | 46/47/Hastings. |
| - ? | - cedar ave | - CR42 |
| - ? | - CEDAR Ave, Apple | - CSAH 42-> It is AWFUL. |
| - ? | Valley. | - Cty. 23/ Cedar. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Cty. 3-Robert Street |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Cty. Rd. 42/ Dodd |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | Road. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Do Not know. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Dodd \& CEDAR light. |
| * | - CEDAR AVE. | - Dodd Blvd. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Dodd Road. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Dodd WEST of Cedar. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - Don't drive highways. |
| - ? | - CEDAR AVE. | - don't know |
| ? Most. | - CEDAR AVE. | Don't know (I don't like driving) |
| \&? | - CEDAR AVE. | driving). |
| - ?? Then I'm out it | - CEDAR AVE. | Dont know. |
| seems to be consistent. | - CEDAR AVE. | Dont know. |
| -160th truck traffic! | - cedar ave. | Don't know. |
| -3-Robert St. | - cedar ave. | - DON'T KNOW. |
| - 35E | - CEDAR AVE. | - Don't know. |
| - 35E | - Cedar Ave. | - Don't know. |
|  |  | - Don't know. |


| - Don't know. | - N/A. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Don't know. | - N/A. |
| - DON'T KNOW. | - N/A. |
| - Don't know. | - N/A. |
| - DON'T KNOW. | - N/A. |
| - Don't know. | - N/A. |
| - Don't Know. | - N/A. |
| - Don't know. | - N/A. Tolerable rush hour |
| - Don't know. |  |
| - Don't know. | - No. |
| - Don't know. | - none |
| - Don't know. | - NONE. |
| - Don't know. | - NONE. |
| - Don't know. | - NONE. |
| - F36 North. | - NONE. |
| - Good Good East WEST | - Not aware. |
| Roads. | - Not sure as I Do Not |
| - HAPPY. | commute to work. |
| - Highway | - Not sure. |
| 13/Burnsville. | - Not sure. |
| - Highway 3-South | - Not sure. |
| Robert Trail. | - Not sure. |
| - Highway 55-Hastings. | - Not sure. |
| - HWY. 3 FROM BUTLER | - Not sure. |
| TO MENDOTA RD. | - OK. |
| HWY. 55- FROM | - Pilot Knob |
| REFINERY TO | - Pilot Knob |
| HASTINGS. | - Pilot Knob \& Lexington. |
| - Hwy. 42/ 35E/ | - Pilot Knob Rd. |
| Corridor. | - Pilot Knob Rd. |
| - Hy. 77-Cedar Ave. | - Pilot Knob Road |
| - I don't know. | - Pilot Knob Road. |
| - I35W | - Pilot Knob. |
| - idk | - Pilot Knob. |
| - Interstate 35E | - Pilot Knob. |
| - Lingston Ave. More | - Pilot Knob. |
| Transit!!! | - Pilot Knob. |
| - Most of my driving is | - Pilot Knob. |
| North of Dakota CTY. \& out of state | - Pilot Knob. |
| N/A. | - Pilot Knob. |
|  | - Pilot Knob. |

- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Pilot Knob.
- Robert St.
- Robert St.
- Robert St.
- Robert Street.
- Robert Trail/ 3
- Robert.
- Same as above.
- Same thing.
- Same.
- Same.
- Same.
- Same.
- The County needs a limited access eastwest highway from Savage to US 5Z.
- Turn lanes.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unknown.
- Unsure.
- Unsure.
- Wakota Bridge Area.
- 62-494
- Cedar (77) in Apple Valley
- I-35
- County 42 \& I-35 E, I35 W .
-46/ 160th
- CR 46/ 52.
- 46/47
- 50/ 35W.
- 2nd St.

| - 3 | - Robert/ Highway 3. | - Yankee Doodle Hwy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 3 | - County Road 5. | 26. |
| - 3 | - 316/ 61 | - Don't know- 24, 25, 26. |
| - 3 | - 13 | - 31 |
| - 3 | -13 | - Pilot Knob (CSAH 31). |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - 3 | -13 | - 35 |
| - \#3. | - Cliff Rd. Hwy. 13. | - 35 |
| - county road 3 | - County 13 in Savage. | - 35 |
| - highway 3 | - County 13. | - 35 |
| - Highway 3. | - CR. 13. | - 35 |
| - Highway 3. | - Highway 13 | - (35W \& 35E South.) |
| - Highway 3. | - Highway 13. | - 35 E \& W. |
| - Highway 3. | - Highway 13. | - 35 E. |
| - Hiway \#3. | - Highway 13. | - 35 E. |
| - Hw. 3. | - Highway 13. | - 35 E. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Highway 13. | - 35 E/ Pilot Knob. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Highway 13. | - 35 SPLIT B-VILLE. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - HIGHWAY 13. | - 35 W . |
| - Hwy. 3. | AFTERNOON RUSH | - 35 W . |
| - Hwy. 3. | HEAR ACT RID OF | - 35W |
| - Hwy. 3. | WASHBURN. | -35w |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Hwy 13 | -35W exits to Hwy's. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Hwy 13. | 35W N North |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Hwy 13. | -35W North. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Hwy. 13. | -35W. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - HWY. 13. | - 35W. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - HWY. 13. | -35W. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Hwy. 13. | - 35W. |
| - Hwy. 3. | - Hwy. 13. | - 35W. |
| - MN 3. | - Hwy 13. | - 35W. |
| - Not sure. Weed. Hastings does not care | - Yankee Doodle and | - 35 W . |
| for kids at all. 3 year | Highway 13. | -35W |
| old using Meth so sad. | - 13 \& 5 to Nicolet. | 35W. |


| - 35W. | -42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -35W. | - 42 |
| - 35W. | -42 |
| -35W. | -42 |
| -35W. | -42 |
| -35W. | -42 |
| - 35W. | -42 |
| - 35W. | -42 |
| -35W. | - 42 |
| -35W. | -42 |
| -35W. 35E. | -42 |
| -35W/35E merge | -42 |
| - 35W/35E. | -42 |
| - CLIFF RD. @ 35W. | -42 |
| - Hwy. 35. | -42 |
| - Co. Rd. 40. | -42 |
| - 42 | -42 |
| -42 | -42 |
| - 42 | - 42 |
| -42 | -42 |
| -42 | -42 |
| -42 | -42 |
| -42 | -42 |
| -42 | -42 |
| - 42 | - \#42. |
| -42 | - \#42. |
| -42 | - \#42. |
| -42 | -42 @ Cedar. |
| -42 | -42 Cty. Rd. |
| -42 | - Cedar Ave Hwy. 42. |
| -42 | - Cnty. Rd. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. R. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |
| -42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |
| - 42 | - Co. Rd. 42. |

- Co. Rd. 42.
- Cord 42.
- County 42
- County 42
- county 42 in Burnsville / Apple valley
- County 42 in

Burnsville.

- County 42.
- County 42.
- County 42.
- County 42.
- County 42.
- County 42.
- COUNTY 42.
- County 42.
- COUNTY 42.
- County Rd 42
- County Rd 42
- County Rd 42.
- County Rd. \#42.
- COUNTY RD. 42
- COUNTY RD. 42.
- COUNTY RD. 42.
- County Rd. 42.
- County Rd. 42.
- County Rd. 42.
- County Rd. 42.
- County Road 42
- County road 42
- County Road 42
- County Road 42
- County road 42 (all cities)
- County Road 42.
- County Road 42.
- County Road 42.
- County Road 42.
- CR 42.
- CR 42.


## - CR 42.

- CR 42.
- CR. 42 Burnsville.
- CR. 42.
- CR. 42.
- Cty. 42.
- CTY. 42.
- CTY. 42.
- Cty. 42.
- Cty. 42.
- CTY. 42.
- CTY. 42.
- Cty. 42.
- Cty. 42.
- Cty. 42.
- CTY. 42 ?
- Cty. Rd. 42 Nicollet, entrance 35E. Mall Entrance- BV.
- CTY. RD. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- CTY. RD. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- Cty. Rd. 42.
- High 42.
- highway 42
- Highway 42
- Highway 42
- Highway 42.
- Highway 42.
- Highway 42.
- Hwy. 42.
- Hwy. 42.
- Hwy. 42.
- HWY. 42.
- Hwy. 42.

HWY. 42.

- HWY. 42.
- Hwy. 42.
- Hwy. 42.
- No sure County 42
(Rosemount West).
- NOT HIGHWAY BUT COUNTY RD. 42 IN BURNSVILLE.
- Cty. Rd. 45 near B'ville Center.
-46 at Diamond Path.
- county road 46
- CR 46.
- Cty. 46.
- HIGHWAY 46

ROSEMOUNT EAST.

- Hy. 46.
- 42 and 5.
- 35,13
- 50
- County 50.
- Cty. Rd. 50 \& Cedar.
- Cty. Rd. 50.
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52
- 52 @ Downtown St. Paul.
- 52 flashing lights by entries/exists might help.
- 52 going north.
- 52 over bridge.
- Highway 52.
- Hiway 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hwy. 52.
- Hy. 52.
- US Highway 52
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55
- 55 and Dodd.
- 55 from Hastings terrible in the morning

| - 55 to and from | - 77 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hastings along w/ | - 77 | Cty. 46. |
| interchange. | - 77 | - Diamond Path \& 140th. |
| - H/w. 55. | - "42" \& 35W. | Need a roundabout. |
| - Hwy. 55. | - 35E or 77. | - 149 |
| - Hwy. 55. in Eagan | - 42 \& 35 | - 149 |
| needs modernization. | -42, Cedar, 35W. | - 160 |
| US Hwy. 55 | - 77 and Cedar. | Hwy. 13 (Dodd 149 is |
| -61 | - 77 Cedar 35E. | next in line.) |
| - 61 | - 77S. | - Concord 80th, 78th, by 5 ft |
| - Hwy \#61. | - Cedar 77. | 5 ft |
| Hwy. 61 through Hastings. | - Cedar Ave (State Hwy. | - 62, old 110. |
| - Hwy. 61. | 77 portion). | - Hwy. 77 North from |
| - Hywy. 61. | - County Rd. 77 Cedar | 140th St in Apple |
| -62 | Ave. | Valley to Diffley Road in Eagan. |
| - 62 | - CR. 42 on \& off ramps | - 185 ST WEST TO 35W. |
| Hwy. 62. | from 35W. | - 316 \& 61 meet. |
| - Hwy. 62. | - Cty. Rd. 77. | - 61 \& 316 |
| - 70 | - Highway 77 | - 494 |
| - 35 \& 35W. | - Highway 77 | - 494 |
| - 70th- Robert. | - Highway 77. Cedar Ave. | - 494 |
| - Cty. Rd. 70 | - Hwy. 77. | - 494 |
| - Cty. Rd. 70. | - Hwy. 77. | - 494 |
| - Cty. Rd. 70. | - Hwy. 77. | - 494 |
| - HWY. 77 N out Ct. | - Hwy. 77. | - 494 |
| Apple Valley- 2 Lanes. | - Hwy. 77. | - 494 |
| - 77 | - Hwy. 77. | - 494 |
| - 77 | - Hwy 50 \& 35W. | -494 |
| - 77 | - County Road 42 \& 46 in | -494 |
| - 77 | Apple Valley. | - 494 |
| - 77 | - Co. Rd. 47 \& 46 | - 494 |
| - 77 | Hastings. | - 494 |
| - 77 | - Interstate 94. | - 494 |
| - 77 | - 35 \& 70 | - 494 |
| - 77 | - 35 E \& 35 W | - 494 |
| - 77 | INTERSECTION OF | -494 East |
| - 77 | CTY. RD. 42. | - 494 to Wakota Bridge |
| - 77 | - 77 or 42. | East. |
| - 77 | - 52 Ramp onto 70th. | Hwy. 494 especially west. |


| $\bullet$ The highway I use are | $\bullet 52 \& 494$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| fine. Freeway 494 | $\stackrel{52,494 .}{ }$ |
| brutal. | $\bullet 554$ 35E Connection. |
| $\bullet 494 \& 52$ | $\bullet 494 \& 35 W ; 52$. |

- South Robert Trail 494 135 W.
- 694 \& 94 (not sure if I hate Dakota).

Question 27: If you drive outside of Dakota County to get to your place of employment, please indicate which of the following factors apply to your situation. (other)

- 90 year old female. Don't work now.
- Am not employed.
- Cannot work.
- Disabled.
- Don't wk. I'm retired.
- Don't work or drive anymore.
- Don't work.
- Family and community ties keep us from moving.
- Freelance (art/tutor/music recording) in the county 24/7.
- Goal place of live, good school, close to everything.
- Home business.
- home office
- I am retired and love to live in Dakota County.
- I am retired.
- I am retired.
- I AM RETIRED.
- I am retired.
- I am retired.
- I am retired. But when I worked.
- I commuted for 20 years for good reasons - blue collar living wage is need or dying rapidly in Dakota county.
- I do not drive outside Dak. Cty. for employment.
- I don't commute to my workplace anymore.
- I don't drive anymore as elderly.
- I DON'T WANT TO LIVE INA CITY! PERIOD!
- I don't work.
- I home office so not applicable to me.
- I like living in a less congested area, closer to open space.
- I like my neighbors
- I move to W St. Paul after I retired.
- I run a business out of my residence.
- I take the bus.
- I use MVTA services from Burnsville Transit Center to get to work everyday support a strong commitment to improving \& increasing public transit options and more bike paths \& lanes.
- I work from home for a remote company
- I work from home.
- I work in Dakota county
- I work in Dakota County
- I worked in another county, but am now retired.
- I'm on SSD.
- I'm retired \& don't commute.
- I'm retired.
- I'm retired.
- I'M RETIRED.
- I'm retired.
- I'm retired.
- It's a little far, but I love living here.
- Jobs are sparse for my degree level.
- My employer is in Ramsey County, but I provide services to other Dakota County residents in their home.
- My employer is inside the country. I have some job assignments outside.
- MY FAMILY LIVES IN DAKOTA COUNTY (SISTER).
- My husband \& I are retired.
- My job can be remote- I don't think this counts as working in Dakota county.
- My job is NOT in a safe place to live - Lake \& Chicago, Mpls.
- My job takes me to many different places.
- My workplace is in the county. I would not move because of kids schools, quality of life, community amenities.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A.
- N/A. Retired.
- NO COMMENT.
- Not applicable.
- NOT EMPLOYED.
- OFFICE IN DAK CTY, BUT WORK IN S-STATE \& TRAVEL USA.
- RET.
- Retired
- Retired
- Retired \& don't drive.
- Retired not sure about moving.
- Retired- not working.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- RETIRED.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- RETIRED.
- RETIRED.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- RETIRED.
- Retired.
- Retired.

Retired.

- RETIRED.

Retired.

- Retired.
- Retired.

RETIRED.

- Retired.
- RETIRED.
- RETIRED.
- Retired.
- RETIRED.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.

RETIRED.

- Rtd.
- Those with adverse backgrounds are usually not considered.
- TTS HOME!
- Unemployed/retired.
- within Dakota County
- Work from home.
- Work from home.


# Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics 

## Understanding the Tables

For most of the questions, for ease of comparison, responses are shown as the average rating on the 100 -point scale. For more information about this metric, please see the explanation on page 199 in Appendix G: Survey Methodology.

Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each in comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant.

For each pair or set of subgroup ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table 59 on the following page, respondents in Districts 3, 4, 6 and 7 gave statistically higher ratings to the overall quality of life in Dakota County compared to respondents in Districts 1, 2 and 5 (which are designated as Columns A, B and E). This is indicated by an "A," "B" and "E" in each cell for Districts 3, 4, 6 and 7 . However, differences between those in District 3, 4, 6 and 7 are not statistically different from each other, as there is no letter to indicate this (i.e., the cells for District 6 does not contain a letter D, F or G). Ratings by those in Districts 1, 2 and 5 are also not statistically significant from each other, as none of these cells contains a letter from any of the other columns.

In some cases, survey results are displayed for subgroups within two characteristics, e.g., within sex and age of respondent. The lettering of the columns begins again on the next characteristic. So female is Column A, male is Column B, while age 18 to 34 years old is Column A again, followed by 35 to 54 years old in Column B and $55+$ years old in Column C. Obviously, the letters in the in the cells only refer to differences within that characteristic, not to differences within the other characteristics.

## Survey Results by District

Figure 37: Dakota County Commissioner Districts Commissioner districts


Table 59: Overall Quality of Life by Commissioner District

| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | 76 | 76 | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ \text { A B E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { A B E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 60: Ratings of Community Characteristics by Commissioner District

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Dakota County as a place to live | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { ABEG } \end{gathered}$ | $83$ | 71 | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { A B EG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Dakota County as a place to work | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 66 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { ABDEG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { B E G } \end{gathered}$ | 67 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 59 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & B E \end{aligned}$ | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ \text { ABDE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { BE } \end{gathered}$ |
| Sense of community | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { BE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 55 | 57 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ \mathrm{G} \end{gathered}$ | 59 | 58 | 56 | 57 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 75 | 70 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { ABEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \text { B E } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { B E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { B E } \end{gathered}$ | 54 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 64 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { B E } \end{gathered}$ | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { B E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 60 | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { ABEFG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \text { B E G } \end{gathered}$ | 55 | 59 | 53 |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 45 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { ABDEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |


| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Availability of affordable housing | 41 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { ABEF } \end{gathered}$ | 44 | 42 | 42 | 46 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 67 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ \text { A BE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ \text { A BE } \end{gathered}$ | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 61: Ratings of Problems by Commissioner District

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ not a problem, 100=major problem) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Crime | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { BCDEF } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \text { CDFG } \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 35 | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | 31 | 34 |
| Taxes | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ B C D E F \\ G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \text { BCDEG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| Traffic safety | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \text { CDEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Traffic congestion | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \mathrm{BCD} \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 29 | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { B C D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Poverty | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{CFG} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { CDFG } \end{gathered}$ | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | 26 | 33 |
| Homelessness | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ \text { CDFG } \end{gathered}$ | 22 | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 22 | 26 |

Table 62: Ratings of Safety by Commissioner District

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $100=$ very safe) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 72 | 69 | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | 71 | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ \text { A B DE } \end{gathered}$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 79 | 76 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | 57 | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \text { A B D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 52 | 55 | 57 | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { ABCDG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 59 |
| From domestic violence | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79 | 82 | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \text { A B C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ |
| From gang activity | 77 | 75 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 74 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 77 | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { A B G } \end{gathered}$ | 74 |
| While in your neighborhood | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79 | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 83 | $\begin{gathered} 92 \\ \text { ABCDE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \text { A B D E } \end{gathered}$ |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 88 | 87 | 91 | 89 | 90 | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 85 | 87 | 89 | 89 | 87 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 76 | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 63: Ratings of Health Concerns by Commissioner District

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $\mathbf{0}=$ not at all a concern, $\mathbf{1 0 0}=$ major concern) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ B C D F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & \mathrm{CF} \end{aligned}$ | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \text { CDEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & C E \end{aligned}$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ C D F \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ C D F \end{gathered}$ |
| Social isolation | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & \mathrm{DF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \text { CDEF } \end{gathered}$ | 35 | 31 | 36 | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & \text { DF } \end{aligned}$ |
| Underage alcohol use | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ C D E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & \mathrm{CE} \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 40 | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & C E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \mathrm{CE} \end{aligned}$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { CDEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ C D F \end{gathered}$ | 37 | 35 | 39 | 35 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ C D E F \end{gathered}$ |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { BCDEF } \\ G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & \mathrm{CF} \end{aligned}$ | 37 | 46 | 45 | 44 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| Bullying | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \text { CDEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & C E \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 49 | 46 | 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & \text { C E } \end{aligned}$ |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { BCDEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ |
| The health and support of older adults | 49 | 48 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & \mathrm{EFF} \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 44 | 42 | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ \text { EF } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { CDEFG } \end{gathered}$ | 42 | 46 | 41 | 41 | 44 |


| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, $100=$ major concern) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Overweight adults and children | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 55 | 49 | 50 | 53 | 51 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ C D F \end{gathered}$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & \text { C F } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ C E F \end{gathered}$ | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & C F \end{aligned}$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 64: Ratings of County Services by Commissioner District

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| County libraries | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { ADE } \end{gathered}$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 83 | 80 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { ABEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \\ \text { ABEF } \end{gathered}$ | 80 | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { B E } \end{gathered}$ |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 79 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { ABEFG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ \text { B E F G } \end{gathered}$ | 76 | 80 | 80 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { ABDG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 63 | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ \text { A F } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { AEF } \end{gathered}$ | 69 | 66 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { A F } \end{gathered}$ |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | 66 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { ABF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 56 | 56 | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ G \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 61 | 58 | 59 | 58 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 59 | 57 | 66 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 61 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 61 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 65: Ratings of County Services by Users by Commissioner District

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 53 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { AFG } \end{gathered}$ | 52 | 49 | 47 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \text { A EFG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { AEFG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { AEFG } \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 59 | 60 |
| Services for people with disabilities | 48 | 47 | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 55 | 58 | 59 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 37 | 47 | 42 | 48 | 51 | 51 | 48 |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children | 55 | 57 | 47 | 62 | 52 | 56 | 61 |
| Information available on the County website | 60 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { AEF } \end{gathered}$ | 62 | 60 | 62 | 66 |
| Services provided to older adults | 49 | 43 | 51 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 71 | 68 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { ABEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 66: Ratings of Accessing County Services by Commissioner District

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 69 | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ \text { AEF } \end{gathered}$ | 73 | 69 | 72 | 73 |
| Online access to County services | 68 | 70 | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 64 | 70 | 71 |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 70 | 69 | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 70 | 65 | 72 | 67 |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | 66 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { B G } \end{gathered}$ | 75 | 70 | 74 | 65 |

Table 67: Ratings of Government Performance by Commissioner District

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | District 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 61 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ \mathrm{G} \end{gathered}$ | 64 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 62 |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 51 | 53 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { ABEFG } \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 55 | 54 | 56 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 47 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { ABEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { AF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 44 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 65 <br> ABEFG | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { A F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 51 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 55 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 56 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 59 | 62 | 74 <br> ABEFG | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 65 |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 56 | 61 | $69$ <br> ABEFG | 62 | 58 | 61 | 61 |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { ABDEF } \\ \mathrm{G} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

## Survey Results by Age and Gender of Respondent

Table 68: Overall Quality of Life by Age and Sex of Respondent

| How would you rate your overall quality of life | $18-34$ <br> years old <br> in Dakota County? | $35-54$ <br> years old | $55+$ years <br> old | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life <br> in Dakota County? | 81 | 82 <br> C | 78 | 80 | 81 |

Table 69: Ratings of Community Characteristics by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | 18-34 <br> years old | 35-54 <br> years old | 55+ years old | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 79 | 81 | 80 |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 70 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 71 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 64 | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64 | 62 |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 77 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 78 | 80 | 79 |
| Sense of community | 64 | 62 | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 61 |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 61 | 57 | 59 | 58 | 60 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 77 | 76 | 75 | 76 | 76 |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 62 | 66 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 65 | 66 |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 66 | 66 | 68 | 65 | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 56 | 57 | 61 |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 46 | 51 | 50 | 43 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of affordable housing | 42 | 44 | 44 | 41 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 71 | 71 | 70 | 71 | 71 |

Table 70: Ratings of Problems by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ <br> the following is a problem in Dakota County. <br> years old | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ <br> years old | 55+ years <br> old | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating (0=not a problem, 100=major <br> problem) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |
| Crime | 33 | 33 | 43 | 40 | 33 |
| Taxes |  |  | A B | B |  |
| Traffic safety | 37 | 47 | 50 | 45 | 46 |
| Traffic congestion | 27 | 3 | A |  |  |
| Poverty | 38 | 35 | 43 | 35 | 32 |
| Homelessness | 22 | 35 | 42 | 38 | 36 |

Table 71: Ratings of Safety by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | 18-34 <br> years old | 35-54 <br> years old | 55+ years old | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating (0=very unsafe, 100=very safe) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 72 | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 78 | 81 | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 65 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 52 | 59 | 58 |
| From domestic violence | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 82 | 87 | 86 |
| From gang activity | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 73 | 82 | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 78 | 75 | 76 | 77 |
| While in your neighborhood | 86 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 85 | 85 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 87 | 90 | 91 |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | $\begin{gathered} 92 \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 86 | 88 | 89 |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & B C \end{aligned}$ | 80 | 77 | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 72: Ratings of Health Concerns by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18-34 } \\ \text { years old } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35-54 \\ \text { years old } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55+\text { years } \\ \text { old } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 33 |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 33 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 33 |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 47 | 47 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 43 |
| Social isolation | 33 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 32 |
| Underage alcohol use | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ A B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 34 |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 33 | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 35 |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | 34 | $46$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 41 |
| Bullying | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 44 |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 43 |
| The health and support of older adults | 32 | $43$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ A B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 41 |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 39 |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 38 | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $49$ | 42 |
| Overweight adults and children | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | 56 | 51 |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 37 | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 42 |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 39 |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 29 | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 37 | 36 |

Table 73: Ratings of County Services by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate each of the following services <br> provided by Dakota County. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{5 5 +}$ years <br> old | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| County libraries | 84 | 86 | 84 | 86 <br> B | 84 |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon <br> Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, | 85 | 87 | 84 | 86 | 85 |
| Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Misssissippi <br> River or Big Rivers trails | 81 | 83 | 80 | 82 | 81 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot <br> Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., <br> or County Road 42) | 65 | 67 | 65 | 64 | 67 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 62 | 68 | 70 | 65 | 69 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection <br> services | 66 | 73 | 71 | 68 | 73 |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 62 | 53 | 49 | 53 | 55 |
| A | 68 | A |  |  |  |
| Addressing important health issues in <br> communities | 63 | 58 | 58 | 60 | 59 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 67 | 61 | 58 | 59 | 65 |
| BC | 69 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 69 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota <br> County | 69 |  |  |  |  |

Table 74: Ratings of County Services by Users by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate each of the following services <br> provided by Dakota County only if you have <br> experienced them within the last two years. <br> Average rating (0=poor, $\mathbf{1 0 0}=$ =excellent) | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ <br> (A) | $\mathbf{5 5}+$ years old <br> old | (B) | (C) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center <br> services | 66 | 71 | 67 | (A) | (B) |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 47 | 52 | 59 | 55 | 71 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle <br> registration | 65 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| Services for people with disabilities | 51 | 56 | 55 | 52 | 58 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 61 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 51 |
| Services that protect neglected or abused <br> children | 56 | 58 | 53 | 52 | 60 |
| Information available on the County website | 65 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 63 |
| Services provided to older adults | 43 | 56 | 53 | 53 | 52 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 76 | 73 | 73 | 74 | 73 |

Table 75: Ratings of Accessing County Services by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota <br> County services. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{5 5 +}$ years <br> old | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 73 | 74 | 72 | 74 | 72 |
| Online access to County services | 69 | 69 | 72 | 68 | 72 <br> A |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 71 | 67 | 73 <br> B | 71 | 70 |
| Availability of language resources for access <br> to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language <br> materials or signage) | 73 | 66 | 73 | 70 | 70 |

Table 76: Ratings of Government Performance by Age and Sex of Respondent

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota <br> County government performance. <br> Average rating (0=poor, $\mathbf{1 0 0}=$ excellent) | $\mathbf{1 8}-\mathbf{3 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ <br> years old | $\mathbf{5 5}+$ years <br> old | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| The job Dakota County government does of <br> proving information to residents | 63 | 62 | 66 | 63 | 65 |
| The job Dakota County government does of <br> listening to residents | 59 | 53 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to <br> Dakota County | 62 | 55 | 57 | 56 | 59 |
| The job Dakota County government does at <br> managing tax dollars | 57 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 56 |
| The value of Dakota County services to the <br> quality of life in my neighborhood | 63 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the <br> community | 65 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 63 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 66 | 64 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Effectively planning for the future of the <br> county | 63 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 62 |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County <br> government | 63 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 63 |

## Survey Results by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

Table 77: Overall Quality of Life by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | Less than $\$ 35,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating ( $0=$ poor, 100=excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? | 75 | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ A B \end{gathered}$ | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 78: Ratings of Community Characteristics by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. | Less than $\$ 35,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 77 | 78 | 80 | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { A B C } \end{gathered}$ | 76 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 70 | 69 | 71 | 75 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 63 | 60 | 59 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 75 | 73 | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { A B C } \end{gathered}$ | 71 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Sense of community | 64 | 62 | 62 | 61 | 59 | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 58 | 56 | 57 | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 73 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 73 | 76 | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 68 | 65 | 61 | 61 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 68 | 64 | 65 | 68 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 56 | 55 | 60 | 60 | 52 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 48 | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 44 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of affordable housing | 43 | 39 | 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | 42 | 43 | 45 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 69 | 67 | 70 | 72 | 66 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 79: Ratings of Problems by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ not a problem, $100=$ major problem) | Less than $\$ 35,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| Crime | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 39 | 36 | 34 | 36 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Taxes | 45 | 39 | 44 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Traffic safety | 35 | 30 | 31 | 35 | 27 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Traffic congestion | 36 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 35 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Poverty | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | 37 | 30 | 34 | 29 | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Homelessness | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 80: Ratings of Safety by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Less than \$35,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, 100=very safe) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 75 | 72 | 76 | 74 | 75 | 75 | 72 |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 78 | 82 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { A B C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 81 |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | 65 | 67 | 70 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 72 | 68 | 69 |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | 58 | 57 | $62$ | 59 | 56 |
| From domestic violence | 77 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 86 | 85 |
| From gang activity | 77 | 81 | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { ABC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 79 |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 76 | 77 | 76 | 77 | 77 | 76 | 77 |
| While in your neighborhood | 81 | 80 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \text { A B C } \end{gathered}$ | 83 | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 91 | 90 | 89 |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 85 | 88 | 88 | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 89 | 89 | 88 |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 79 | 79 | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 78 | 79 |

Table 81: Ratings of Health Concerns by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) | Less than \$35,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 38 |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 36 | 37 | 35 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { ACD } \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 43 | 44 | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Social isolation | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { ACD } \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 32 | 36 | 37 | 38 |
| Underage alcohol use | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | 41 | 38 | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 47 | 39 | 39 | 34 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | 51 | 53 | 46 | 44 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Bullying | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 50 | 45 | 44 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | 47 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { ACD } \end{gathered}$ | 43 | 46 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| The health and support of older adults | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 41 | 42 | 42 | 45 | 47 |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 48 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 40 | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |


| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. | Less than \$35,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| Overweight adults and children | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | 51 | 51 | 43 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 38 | 39 | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { ACD } \end{gathered}$ | 38 | 39 | 37 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \mathrm{ACD} \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 34 | 31 | 33 | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ |

Table 82: Ratings of County Services by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Less than \$35,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| County libraries | 86 | 85 | 86 | 83 | 83 | 86 | 85 |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | 84 | 84 | 87 | 86 | 83 | 85 | 86 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 80 | 81 | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | 79 | 77 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 63 | 64 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 66 | 66 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 69 | 68 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 68 | 68 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | 69 | 69 | 70 | 73 | 70 | 72 | 70 |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 52 | 52 | 54 | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 50 |
| Addressing important health issues in communities | 61 | 57 | 61 | 57 | 61 | 60 | 58 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 62 | 66 | 62 | 61 | 63 | 62 | 61 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County | 68 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 68 | 67 | 68 | 68 |

Table 83: Ratings of County Services by Users by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \$ 35,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 56 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 65 | 71 | 69 |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 57 | 56 | 49 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 60 | 49 | 52 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 65 | 58 | 65 | 61 | 64 | 61 | 64 |
| Services for people with disabilities | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 44 | 55 | 58 | 55 | 52 | 56 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 47 | 53 | 53 | 44 | 51 | 48 | 46 |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children | 58 | 63 | 51 | 59 | 51 | 61 | 54 |
| Information available on the County website | 61 | 57 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63 | 63 | 62 | 64 |
| Services provided to older adults | 53 | 43 | 54 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 49 | 50 | 57 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 65 | 69 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 71 | 74 | 75 |

Table 84: Ratings of Accessing County Services by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. | Less than \$35,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 72 | 75 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 74 |
| Online access to County services | 66 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 70 | 68 | 70 | 69 | 71 |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 65 | 74 | 69 | 71 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 70 | 70 | 62 | 68 | 72 | 71 |

Table 85: Ratings of Government Performance by Annual Household Income and Length of Residency

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. | Less than $\$ 35,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more | 5 years or less | 6 to 20 years | More than 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (A) | (B) | (C) |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 60 | 67 | 64 | 65 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 49 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 54 | 53 | 56 | 56 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 54 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \text { A D } \end{gathered}$ | 58 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 57 |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 52 | 59 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 55 | 54 |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 61 | 59 | 63 | 63 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 64 | 64 | 61 | 64 | 64 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 62 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 63 | 65 | 66 |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { A D } \end{gathered}$ | 62 | 59 | 57 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 62 |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 60 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 60 | 62 | 63 |

## Survey Results by Housing Unit Type and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

Table 86: Overall Quality of Life by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

| How would you rate your overall quality of life in | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County? <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in | 82 <br> B | 78 | 78 | 81 |
| Dakota County? |  |  | A |  |

Table 87: Ratings of Community Characteristics by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent

| or Own) |  |  |  | Rent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please rate each of the following characteristics <br> of Dakota County. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | Detached SF | Other | Own |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 83 <br> B | 77 | 77 | 82 <br> A |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 72 | 71 | 70 | 72 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 63 | 65 | 64 | 64 |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 81 | 76 | 74 | 81 |
| B | 63 | 62 | 64 | 62 |
| Sense of community | 58 | 60 | 63 | 57 |
| Packness and acceptance of people with diverse <br> backgounds | 76 | 75 | 77 | 75 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota <br> County | 66 | 65 | 69 | 65 |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation <br> options | 67 | 67 | 69 | 66 |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 60 | 57 | 59 | 59 |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 48 | 51 | 50 | 49 |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 45 | 41 | 41 | 44 |
| Availability of affordable housing | 71 | 69 | 71 | 71 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County |  |  |  |  |

Table 88: Ratings of Problems by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of the <br> following is a problem in Dakota County. <br> Average rating (0=not a problem, 100=major <br> problem) | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crime | $\mathbf{( A )}$ | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| Taxes | 38 | 36 | 35 | 37 |
| Traffic safety | 48 | 41 | 37 | 48 |
| Traffic congestion | B |  |  | A |
| Poverty | 33 | 34 | 33 | 33 |
| Homelessness | 39 | 40 | 36 | 40 |

Table 89: Ratings of Safety by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $\mathbf{1 0 0}=$ very safe) | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 73 | 75 | 74 | 74 |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 84 | 83 | 83 | 84 |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | 69 | 70 | 72 | 69 |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 57 | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 57 |
| From domestic violence | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 83 | 83 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| From gang activity | 84 | 83 | 83 | 84 |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 77 | 76 | 77 | 77 |
| While in your neighborhood | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 84 | 82 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 88 | 89 | 89 | 88 |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 80 | 80 | 81 | 80 |

Table 90: Ratings of Health Concerns by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, 100=major concern) | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 37 | 35 | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 39 | 36 | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 47 | 49 | 47 | 48 |
| Social isolation | 36 | 39 | 36 | 37 |
| Underage alcohol use | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 39 | 35 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 41 | 41 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Bullying | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 48 | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 44 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| The health and support of older adults | 47 | 44 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 45 | 45 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 46 | 46 | 43 | 46 |
| Overweight adults and children | 53 | 54 | 53 | 53 |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 44 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 46 |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | 44 | 42 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 37 | 35 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 91: Ratings of County Services by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

| Please rate each of the following services <br> provided by Dakota County. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, <br> Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring <br> Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) | (B6 <br> B | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi <br> River or Big Rivers trails | 82 | 81 | 83 | 86 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot <br> Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or <br> County Road 42) | 66 | 65 | 65 | 66 |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 69 | 65 | 63 | 81 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection <br> services | 72 | 68 | 67 | 69 |
| Assessment process/property tax system | 52 | 58 | 65 | 52 |
| Addressing important health issues in <br> communities | 60 | 59 | 61 | 59 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes | 61 | 62 | 67 | 60 |
| Bverall quality of services provided by Dakota <br> County | 68 | 67 | 68 | 68 |

Table 92: Ratings of County Services by Users by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent

| or Own) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 68 | 68 | 67 | 69 |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 58 | 49 | 48 | 57 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 62 | 64 | 66 | 62 |
| Services for people with disabilities | 53 | 57 | 59 | 52 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 44 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 44 |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children | 52 | 58 | 61 | 52 |
| Information available on the County website | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 61 |
| Services provided to older adults | 52 | 54 | 54 | 52 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 71 | 71 | 75 |

Table 93: Ratings of Accessing County Services by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent

| or Own) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota <br> County services. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) <br>  | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 72 | (B) | (A) | (B) |
| Online access to County services | 69 | 73 | 73 | 72 |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 72 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Availability of language resources for access to <br> services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language <br> materials or signage) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |

Table 94: Ratings of Government Performance by Type of Housing Unit and Housing Tenure (Rent or Own)

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota <br> County government performance. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) | Detached SF | Other | Rent | Own |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) |  |
| (Be job Dakota County government does of <br> providing information to residents | 64 | 64 | 63 | 64 |
| The job Dakota County government does of <br> listening to residents | 55 | 57 | 56 | 55 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota <br> County | 56 | 59 | 62 | 56 |
| The job Dakota County government does at <br> managing tax dollars | 54 | 55 | 58 | 53 |
| The value of Dakota County services to the <br> quality of life in my neighborhood | 62 | 63 | 63 | 62 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the <br> community | 64 | 63 | 66 | 63 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 65 | 65 | 68 | 64 |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 62 | 60 | 63 | 61 |
| verall confidence in Dakota County government | 62 | 62 | 63 | 62 |

## Appendix D: Survey Results by Year

For most of the questions, for ease of comparison, responses are shown as the average rating on the 100 -point scale. For more information about this metric, please see the explanation on page 199 in Appendix G: Survey Methodology. Responses are shown where question wording from previous surveys was identical or similar to what was included on the 2019 survey. If the cells for a particular survey year are blank in any given table, that means the question was not asked that year. If the cells within a table contain an ".", that means that particular item was not asked on that year's survey. Where differences between years are four points or greater on the 100-point scale, they can be considered statistically significant.

Table 95: Question \#1 by Year of Survey

| How would you rate your overall quality of life in <br> Dakota County? <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| How would you rate your overall quality of life in <br> Dakota County? | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |

Table 96: Question \#2 by Year of Survey

| What one thing do you like most about living in <br> Dakota County? | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Location | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Rural character | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Parks/Lakes/Trails | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Quality of life in general | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| My neighborhood | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Schools | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Low taxes | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| People | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Other | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Open space | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 97: Question \#3 by Survey Year

| Please rate, to what degree, if at all, each of the <br> following is a problem in Dakota County. <br> Average rating (0=not a problem, 100=major <br> problem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Taxes | 37 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 44 | 38 |  |
| Traffic safety | 45 | 47 | 48 | 51 | 50 | . | 45 |  |
| Traffic congestion | 33 | 36 | 34 | 36 | . | . | . |  |
| Poverty | 39 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 50 | 52 |  |
| Homelessness | 34 | 40 | 39 | 36 | 32 | 36 | 33 |  |

Table 98: Question \#4 by Survey Year

| Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 80 | 78 | 77 | . | . | . | . |  |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 71 | 67 | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 64 | 58 | 60 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family | 79 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sense of community | 63 | 61 | 57 | . | . | . | . |  |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds | 59 | 57 | 58 | 60 | . | . | . |  |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County | 76 | 75 | 68 | 67 | . | . | . |  |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options | 66 | 64 | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 67 | 65 | 60 |  |  | . | . |  |
| Availability of employment opportunities | 59 |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care | 49 |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |
| Availability of affordable housing | 43 | 49 | 53 | 49 | 47 | 46 | 50 |  |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County | 71 | 68 | 66 | 67 | . | . | . |  |

Table 99: Question \#6 by Survey Year

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ very unsafe, $100=$ very safe) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 74 | 72 | 70 | 72 | 72 | 67 | 72 |  |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 83 | 81 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 73 | 79 |  |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs) | 69 | 68 | 66 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams) | 58 | 61 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| From domestic violence | 86 | 84 | 83 |  | . |  |  |  |
| From gang activity | 83 |  | . |  | . |  |  |  |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County | 76 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| While in your neighborhood | 87 | 83 | 80 | 75 | 83 | 84 | 86 | 78 |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County | 88 | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails | 80 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 76 | 77 | 78 |  |
| Other (please specify) | 81 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 100: Question \#7 by Survey Year

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County. Average rating ( $0=$ not at all a concern, $100=$ major concern) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste) | 36 | 36 | . | . |  | . | . |  |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) | 38 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 50 | 61 | 58 |  |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses | 48 | 47 | . | . |  |  |  |  |
| Social isolation | 37 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underage alcohol use | 43 | 47 | 48 | 55 | 70 | 71 | 66 |  |
| Alcohol abuse among adults | 41 | 44 | 44 | 48 | 55 | . | . |  |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) | 49 | 50 | 49 | 55 | 67 | 72 | . |  |
| Bullying | 52 | 48 | 49 | . |  | . |  |  |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids) | 49 | 44 | . | . |  | . | . |  |
| The health and support of older adults | 45 | 46 | 42 | 53 | 56 | 66 | . |  |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities | 45 | 45 | 41 | 49 |  | . | . |  |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 46 | 50 | 51 | 42 | 48 | 55 | 47 |  |
| Overweight adults and children | 54 | 56 | 58 | 59 | 67 | 64 | 63 |  |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 46 | 48 | 47 | 52 | 55 | 48 | 46 |  |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults | 44 | 45 | 43 | 49 |  | . | . |  |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 37 | 38 | 36 | . |  | . | . |  |

Table 101: Question \#8 by Year of Survey

| Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now? | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Much better | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 8\% |  |  |  |
| Somewhat better | 23\% | 24\% | 21\% | 19\% | 19\% |  |  |  |
| About the same | 63\% | 60\% | 55\% | 52\% | 55\% |  |  |  |
| Somewhat worse | 9\% | 10\% | 16\% | 16\% | 12\% |  |  |  |
| Much worse | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 8\% | 5\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  |

Table 102: Question \#9 by Survey Year

| Please rate each of the following services provided <br> by Dakota County. <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| County libraries | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, <br> Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring <br> Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 103: Question \#10 by Users by Survey Year

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last two years. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services | 68 | 59 | 51 | 49 | 51 |  | 58 |  |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 53 | 49 | 57 | . | . |  | . |  |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration | 63 | 67 | 65 | 66 | . |  | . |  |
| Services for people with disabilities | 54 | 56 | . | . | . |  |  |  |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness | 47 | 40 | . | . | . |  | . |  |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children | 56 | . | . | . | . |  | . |  |
| Information available on the County website | 63 | . | . | . | . |  | . |  |
| Services provided to older adults | 52 | 50 | 58 | . | . |  | . |  |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 74 | . | . | . | . |  | . |  |

Table 104: Question \#11 by Year of Survey

| To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain County services at their current levels? | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | 9\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 10\% | 10\% | 12\% |  |
| Somewhat support | 42\% | 40\% | 34\% | 44\% | 34\% | 36\% | 43\% |  |
| Somewhat oppose | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 23\% | 25\% | 27\% | 23\% |  |
| Strongly oppose | 20\% | 26\% | 30\% | 27\% | 32\% | 28\% | 22\% |  |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |

Table 105: Question \#11 by Year of Survey

| To what extent would you support or oppose an <br> increase in your County property tax if it were <br> needed to maintain County services at their current <br> levels? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average rating (0=strongly oppose, 100=strongly <br> support) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| To what extent would you support or oppose an <br> increase in your County property tax if it were <br> needed to maintain County services at their current <br> levels? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 106: Question \#12 by Year of Survey

| Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any <br> Dakota County government office within the last <br> 12 months? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| No | $36 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Total | $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |

Table 107: Question \#13 by Survey Year

| What was your impression of the employee(s) of <br> Dakota County in your most recent contact? (Please <br> rate each characteristic below.) <br> Average rating (0=poor, 100=excellent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 年 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 108: Question \#14 by Survey Year

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $\mathbf{1 0 0}=$ excellent) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations | 73 | 71 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Online access to County services | 70 | 69 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ease of paying for County services online | 70 | 68 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Availability of language resources for access to services (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) | 71 | 67 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 109: Question \#15 by Survey Year

| Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services. <br> Average rating ( $0=$ poor, $100=$ excellent) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 64 | 63 | 61 | 57 | 52 | 59 | 60 | 64 |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 55 | 52 | 54 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 47 |  |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 57 | 55 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 48 | 54 | 43 |
| The job Dakota Country government does at managing tax dollars | 54 | 54 | 52 | 44 | 45 | 48 | 51 | . |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | 62 | 60 | 60 | 55 | 64 | 53 | . |  |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 63 | 61 | 60 | . | . | . | . |  |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | 65 | 63 | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | 61 | 58 | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government | 62 | 60 | 58 | . | . | . | . | . |

Table 110: Question \#16 by Year of Survey

| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing? | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly approve | 22\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 27\% | 22\% | 22\% |  |
| Somewhat approve | 70\% | 67\% | 70\% | 70\% | 63\% | 68\% | 72\% |  |
| Somewhat disapprove | 6\% | 10\% | 8\% | 12\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% |  |
| Strongly disapprove | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% |  |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |

Table 111: Question \#16 by Year of Survey

| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the <br> job the Dakota County Board is doing? <br> Average rating (0=strongly disapprove, <br> 100=strongly approve) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the <br> job the Dakota County Board is doing? | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |

Table 112: Question \#20 by Survey Year

| How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services? <br> Average rating ( $0=$ not at all important, 100=essential) | 2019 | 2016 | 2013 | 2011 | 2008 | 2006 | 2004 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popular titles/current library materials | 74 | 71 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small business/economic development resources and services | 60 | 55 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers | 67 | 63 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Streaming digital materials (movies, music, e-books, e-audio books) | 62 | 35 | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access to computers and the Internet | 70 | 62 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access to creative maker technology, equipment, or classes | 56 | 33 | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community gathering space | 65 | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health and wellness programs and resources | 60 | 60 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| English as a second language resources and services | 61 | 55 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others) | 53 | 44 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (please specify) | 66 | 50 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix E: Survey Results Compared to Other Participating Minnesota Counties 

## Understanding the Tables

Questions asked by more than one Minnesota County in 2019 are included in the following tables for comparison. Only results from the "scientific survey;" that is, from households that were randomly selected to participate in the survey, are included for Scott and St. Louis Counties. For most of the questions, for ease of comparison, the average rating on the 100 -point scale is used. If the cells for a particular County are blank in any given table, that means the question was not asked on that County's survey. If the cells within a table contain a ".", that means that particular item was not asked on that County's survey.

Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant.

For each pair or set of subgroup ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table 113 on the next page, respondents in Dakota County (Column A) gave an average rating of 97 on the 100 - point scale to their quality of life, and that cell contains $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D . This means that the Dakota County rating is statistically significantly higher than the ratings for Olmsted County (Column B), Scott County (Column C) and St. Louis County (Column D). The cell for the Washington County rating also contains a B, C and D, indicating that the Washington County rating was also higher than Olmsted, Scott and St. Louis. However, differences between Washington and Dakota County were not statistically significant, as the Dakota County cell does not contain an E, nor the Washington County cell an A. Additional, the differences between ratings in Olmsted, Scott and St. Louis Counties were not statistically significantly different, as none of these cells contains a letter B, C or D.

Table 113: Overall Quality of Life by County

| How would you rate your overall quality <br> of life in \{this ${ }^{\star}$ County? | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average rating 100=excellent, 0=poor | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| How would you rate your overall quality of <br> life in \{this\} $\}^{\star}$ County? | 97 | 90 | 88 | 87 | 95 |

${ }^{*}$ Note: For each County's survey, this question used the name of the County. For this and subsequent tables in this appendix, the specific County name has been replaced with the generic \{this\} in brackets to avoid confusion.

Table 114: Overall Quality of Life by County

| How would you rate your overall quality <br> of life in \{this\} County? | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Excellent | $44 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
|  | BC D |  |  |  | BCD |
| Good | $53 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
|  |  |  | AE | A |  |
| Fair | $3 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
|  |  | AE | AE | AE |  |
| Poor | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  | AE |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 115: What Like Best by County

| What one thing do you like most about living in \{this\} County? (Please select only one.) | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Location | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { BE } \end{gathered}$ | 16\% | NA |  | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Access to Twin Cities | NA | NA | 19\% |  | NA |
| Small town feel | NA | NA | NA |  | 9\% |
| Rural/small town feel | 0\% | 10\% | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  | 0\% |
| Rural character | 7\% | NA | NA |  | NA |
| Open space/rural | NA | NA | NA |  | 11\% |
| Open space | 3\% | 4\% | NA |  | NA |
| Affordability | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% |  | NA |
| Parks/lakes | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & \text { BE } \end{aligned}$ | 6\% | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  | 8\% |
| Quality of life in general | 23\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | 0\% |  | 27\% |
| My neighborhood | 9\% | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 6\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Schools | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 1\% | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Low taxes | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| People | 2\% | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & \text { AE } \end{aligned}$ | NA |  | 2\% |
| Entertainment offerings | NA | NA | 5\% |  | NA |
| Suburban lifestyle | NA | NA | 17\% |  | NA |
| Job opportunities | NA | NA | 2\% |  | NA |
| Other | 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & \text { AE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & \text { AE } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  | 100\% |

Table 116: Quality of Life by County

| How would you rate \{this\} County . . Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ excellent, $0=$ poor | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| \{This\} County as a place to live | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | 86 | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | 84 | . |
| \{This\} County as a place to raise a family ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 79 | . |
| \{This\} County as a place to work | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 72 | . | . |
| \{This\} County as a place to retire | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ | 53 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 56 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{1}$ For Scott County, this was "as a place to raise children"

Table 117: Quality of Community Characteristics by County

| How would you rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to \{this\} County as a whole: <br> Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ excellent, $0=$ poor | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Openness and acceptance toward people of diverse backgrounds ${ }^{1}$ | 66 | 66 | 62 | . | 64 |
| Employment opportunities ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ C D E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ C D E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Educational opportunities ${ }^{3}$ | . | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | 41 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Availability of affordable housing ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 24 | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ B \end{gathered}$ |
| Availability of affordable quality child care ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | . | . |
| Availability of affordable health care | . | 47 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | . | . |
| Public transportation options (bus, rail, etc. ${ }^{6}$ | . | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { C E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | . | 33 |
| Sense of community | 71 | . | . | . | 67 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities ${ }^{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & C D \end{aligned}$ | . | 82 | 79 | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & \text { C D } \end{aligned}$ |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & \text { C E } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | . | 64 |
| Overall image or reputation of \{this\} County | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | . | . | 65 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{1}$ For Scott County, this was "Openness and acceptance of the community towards people of diverse backgrounds"
${ }^{2}$ For Dakota County, this was "Availability of employment opportunities"
${ }^{3}$ For Scott County, this was "Higher education opportunities for residents"
${ }^{4}$ For Scott County, this was "Availability of housing options for all incomes"
${ }^{5}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Availability of affordable child care"
${ }^{6}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Availability of public transportation options" while for Scott County it was
"Regional public transit or bus system":
${ }^{7}$ For St. Louis County, this was "Recreational opportunities"
${ }^{8}$ For Dakota County, this was "Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options" and for Washington County, it was "Bike and pedestrian transportation options"

Table 118: Feelings of Safety by County

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in \{this\} County. <br> Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ very safe, $0=$ very unsafe | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| While in county government buildings ${ }^{1}$ | 99 | 98 | . | . | 98 |
| While in your neighborhood | 96 | 95 | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | . | 96 |
| While using \{this\} County parks and/or trails ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 82 | . | . | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | 75 | . | 76 | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | 80 | . | 79 | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ \text { ABD } \end{gathered}$ |
| From illegal drug activity | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | . | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \text { ABD } \end{gathered}$ |
| From identity theft (e.g., fraud, scams, credit card theft) ${ }^{3}$ | 65 | 64 | . | . | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ \text { AB } \end{array}$ |
| From drunk or impaired drivers on County roads ${ }^{4}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | . | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ |
| From distracted drivers on roads in the county ${ }^{5}$ | . | 32 | . | 33 | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ \text { B D } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

${ }^{1}$ For Dakota County, this was "While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms, for Olmsted County it was
"While in Olmsted County Government buildings" and for Washington Count it was " In county government buildings (e.g., County Government Center, libraries)"
${ }^{2}$ For Washington County, this was "In Washington County regional parks or trails"
${ }^{3}$ For Dakota County, this was "From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams)"
${ }^{4}$ For St. Louis County, this was " Intoxicated or impaired drivers"
${ }^{5}$ For Olmsted County, this was "From distracted drivers on County roads (e.g., texting/talking on the phone, reading, changing music stations, eating/drinking)" and for St. Louis County it was "Distracted drivers"

Table 119: Problems by County

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in \{this\} County: <br> Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ major problem, $0=$ not at all a problem | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Crime | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 36 |
| Traffic safety ${ }^{1}$ | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \text { AF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \mathrm{AF} \end{gathered}$ | . | 36 |
| Traffic congestion | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | . | 41 |
| Poverty | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ A C E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ \mathrm{AE} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 34 |
| Homelessness | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { ACE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 26 |
| Availability of livable wage jobs | . | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{BCE} \end{gathered}$ | 44 |
| Taxes ${ }^{3}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { ADE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { ADE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | 47 |
| Affordability of housing | . | . | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | . | 48 |

${ }^{1}$ For Scott County, this was "Highway safety"
${ }^{2}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Lack of livable wage jobs" and for St. Louis County, it was "Lack of jobs" ${ }^{3}$ For Olmsted County, this was "High taxes"

Table 120: Most Serious Issue by County

| What would you say is the most serious issue facing \{this\} County at this time? <br> (Please select only one.) | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Crime |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | 3\% |
| Taxes |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | 16\% |
| Education/Schools ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 7\% |  | 6\% |
| Condition of roads |  |  | 4\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Traffic congestion |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | 11\% |
| Affordability of housing |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | 11\% |
| Availability of livable wage jobs |  |  | 9\% |  | NA |
| Jobs |  |  | NA |  | 4\% |
| Poverty |  |  | 1\% |  | NA |
| Homelessness |  |  | 1\% |  | NA |
| Aging of the population |  |  | 2\% |  | NA |
| Bike and pedestrian safety |  |  | 1\% |  | NA |
| Economic development |  |  | NA |  | 3\% |
| Too much growth/development |  |  | NA |  | 23\% |
| Not enough growth/development |  |  | NA |  | 1\% |
| Water quality |  |  | NA |  | 11\% |
| Other |  |  | NA |  | 3\% |
| Total |  |  | 100\% |  | 100\% |

${ }^{1}$ For Scott County, this was "Education," for Washington County, it was "Schools"

Table 121: Environmental Concerns by County

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is an environmental concern in \{this\} County: Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ major concern, $0=$ not at all a concern | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Exposure to radon |  | 35 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ B \end{gathered}$ |
| Quality of outdoor air |  | 30 |  |  | 32 |
| Safety of food in public establishments |  | 28 |  |  | 27 |
| Proper disposal of garbage |  | 30 |  |  | 31 |
| Quality of drinking water |  | 34 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Quality of water in lakes and streams |  | 47 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Quantity of useable water supply |  | 33 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 122: Health Concerns by County

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in \{this\} County: Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ major concern, $0=$ not at all a concern | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Bullying | 52 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 50 |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses ${ }^{1}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Social isolation/lack of community connections ${ }^{2}$ | 37 |  | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Suicide/attempted suicide |  | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & \mathrm{CE} \end{aligned}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { BCE } \end{gathered}$ | 48 |
| Environmental hazards (polluted water, toxic waste) | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Tobacco use (including e-cigarettes and chewing tobacco) ${ }^{3}$ | 38 | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ \mathrm{ACE} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \mathrm{ACE} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Underage alcohol use ${ }^{4}$ | 43 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Alcohol abuse among adults ${ }^{5}$ | 41 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ A B E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Illegal drug use ${ }^{6}$ | 49 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \text { ABE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Abuse of prescribed medications ${ }^{7}$ | 49 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Health and support of older adults ${ }^{8}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { ACE } \end{gathered}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 49 |
| Health and support of people with disabilities ${ }^{9}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 49 |
| The support of persons with mental health challenges ${ }^{10}$ | . | 62 | 61 | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ \text { BC } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | . |
| The adequacy of school readiness for children | . | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 34 | . | . |
| Spread of infectious diseases | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  | . | 38 |
| Overweight adults | . | 62 | . | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & \text { BE } \end{aligned}$ | 61 |
| Overweight children |  | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | 61 | 58 |

Report of Results (2019-05-15)

| Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in \{this\} County: <br> Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ major concern, $0=$ not at all a concern | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Overweight adults and children | 54 |  | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults | 44 | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ \mathrm{AE} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Abuse and neglect of children | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { ACE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \mathrm{AE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { ABCE } \end{gathered}$ | 45 |
| Domestic violence |  | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { BCE } \end{gathered}$ | 48 |
| Sexually transmitted diseases |  |  | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | . |
| Vaping (e-cigarettes) | . |  | . | 58 | 56 |

${ }^{1}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Depression, anxiety, or other mental health challenges," for St. Louis County it was "Depression and for Washington County it was "Depression/Anxiety"
${ }^{2}$ For Washington County, this was "Loneliness" and for Dakota County it was "Social isolation"
${ }^{3}$ For St. Louis County, this was "Tobacco use (smoking, chewing tobacco)" and for Washington County it was
"Tobacco use"
${ }^{4}$ For Scott County, this was "Alcohol and drug use by youth"
${ }^{5}$ For Scott County, this was "Alcohol and drug use by adults"
${ }^{6}$ For Dakota County, this was "Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine)"
${ }^{7}$ For Dakota County, this was "Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids)" and for Olmsted County it was "Illegal use of prescribed medications"
${ }^{8}$ For Olmsted County, this was "The support of older adults"
${ }^{9}$ For Olmsted County, this was "The support of persons with disabilities"
${ }^{10}$ For Scott County, this was "Mental illness/mental health issues," for St. Louis County it was "Availability of mental health services"
${ }^{11}$ For Dakota County, this was "Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults"

Table 123: Ratings of Government Performance by County

| Please rate the following categories of County government performance. Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ excellent, $0=$ poor | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| The job \{this\} County government does at informing residents | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | 45 | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ \text { B D } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| The job \{this\} County government does at listening to residents | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { BCD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ |
| The job \{this\} County government does at managing tax dollars | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \mathrm{BCDE} \end{gathered}$ | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to \{this\} County | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ B C D E \end{gathered}$ | 44 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 41 | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ |
| My knowledge of the work of the \{this\} County Board |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 36 |
| Generally acting in the best interests of the community | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 51 | . | . | . |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | . | 39 | . |
| The value of \{this\} County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { BCE } \end{gathered}$ | 54 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ B \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | . | . | . | 60 |
| Overall confidence in \{this\} County government | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . | . | 46 | . |

Table 124: Approval Rating of Board by County

| To what extent do you approve or <br> disapprove of the job \{this\} County <br> Board is doing? | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Strongly approve | $22 \%$ <br> D |  |  | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Somewhat approve | (B) |  |  |  | $63 \%$ |
| D |  |  | $70 \%$ |  |  |
| Somewhat disapprove | $6 \%$ |  |  | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Strongly disapprove | $2 \%$ |  |  | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 125: Ratings of County Services by County

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services provided by \{this\} County. <br> Average rating on a 100 -point scale; $100=$ excellent, $0=$ poor | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Overall quality of services provided by \{this\} County | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \text { BCDE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { BCD } \end{gathered}$ |
| Condition of County roads ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { BCDE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { BDE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | 54 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Recycling and drop-off services ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 64 | . | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ \mathrm{BC} \end{gathered}$ |
| County parks and recreation ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \text { BCE } \end{gathered}$ | 68 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning ${ }^{4}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 46 | . |
| Sheriff services ${ }^{5}$ | 70 | 68 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 70 | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \text { ABCD } \end{gathered}$ |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehicle registration ${ }^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 53 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Property records (plats, surveys, recording \& abstracting) ${ }^{7}$ | . | 66 | 66 | . | . |
| Assessment process/property tax system ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ B C D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 42 | . |
| Disaster preparedness and response ${ }^{9}$ | $\cdot$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 54 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Services to older adults | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 47 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { ABD } \end{gathered}$ |
| Services to children and families ${ }^{10}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | . | 42 | . |
| Services to veterans | . | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mental health services ${ }^{11}$ | 47 | 47 | 50 | . | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ A B \end{gathered}$ |
| Public health services | . | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | . | 52 | . |
| Protecting vulnerable adults | . | 52 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | . | . |
| Protecting children ${ }^{12}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { AB D } \end{gathered}$ | 44 | . |
| Information available on the County website ${ }^{13}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & \text { B D } \end{aligned}$ | 53 | . |


| Please rate the quality of each of the <br> following services provided by \{this\} <br> County. <br> Average rating on a 100-point scale; <br> 100=excellent, 0=poor | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries |  | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Trails and bikeways ${ }^{14}$ | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| Employment and training services ${ }^{15}$ | C E |  | 79 | . | 81 |
| Services for low income residents ${ }^{16}$ | 81 | . | 65 |  | 80 |
| Cervices for people with disabilities | 68 | . | 58 | 45 | 64 |
| 911 dispatch services | 53 | . | 54 | 44 | . |

${ }^{1}$ For Dakota County, this was "Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42)"; for Olmsted County, it was "Maintenance and repair of County roads"; for Scott County, it was "Surface condition of County roads" for St. Louis County it was "Maintenance of County roads" and for Washington County, it was "Condition of County roads such as Manning Avenue, Radio Drive, or Bailey Road"
${ }^{2}$ For Dakota County, this was "Services at the Recycling Zone"; for Olmsted County, it was "Recycling and drop-off services at the Recycling Center Plus": for Scott County it was "Recycling and household hazardous waste disposal" and for Washington County, it was "Recycling and drop-off services at the Environmental Center" ${ }^{3}$ For Dakota County, this was "County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville)"; for Olmsted County it was "County parks and recreation"; for Scott County it was "Regional parks" and for Washington County it was "County parks and recreation like Big Marine Park Reserve, Lake Elmo Park Reserve, or St. Croix Bluffs Regional Park"
${ }^{4}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Land use, planning and zoning, including permitting"; for Scott County it was "Inspections and zoning services" and for St. Louis County it was "Land use services, including building and conditional use permitting:
${ }^{5}$ For Dakota County, this was "Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services"; for Olmsted County it was
"Sheriff's Office services"; for Scott and St. Louis County it was "Sheriff patrol"
${ }^{6}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Vital records (birth/death/marriage certificates, driver's licenses)"; for Scott County it was "Birth/death/marriage records, licensing, and vehicle registration" and for St. Louis County it was "Records and vital statistics"
${ }^{7}$ For Scott County, this was "Land records and other property information"
${ }^{8}$ For Scott County, this was "Property assessment and taxpayer services"
${ }^{9}$ For Scott and Washington Counties, this was "Disaster preparedness"
${ }^{10}$ For St. Louis County, this was "Services to youth"
${ }^{11}$ For Dakota County, this was "Services for people experiencing mental illness": for Olmsted and Washington County, it was "Mental and chemical health services"
${ }^{12}$ For Dakota County, this was "Services that protect neglected or abused children"
${ }^{13}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Accessibility and functionality of County website"; for Scott County, it was "Selfservice options on the County website (e.g., property information, program registration, meeting agendas/materials)" and for St. Louis County it was "Accessibility and functionality of County website (e.g., property information, program registration, meeting agendas/materials)"
${ }^{14}$ For Dakota County, this was "Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails"; for Scott County this was "Trail and bikeway connectivity" and for Washington County it was "Trail and bikeway system like Hardwood Creek Regional Trail and Point Douglas Regional Trail"
${ }^{15}$ For Dakota County, this was "Employment support/Workforce Center services"; for St. Louis County, it was
"Employment support" and for Washington Count it was "Employment support/Workforce Center services" ${ }^{16}$ For Dakota County, this was "Financial assistance for low-income families"

Table 126: Support for or Opposition to a Property Tax Increase by County

| To what extent would you support or | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oppose an increase in your County <br> property tax if it were needed to <br> maintain County services at their current <br> levels? | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Strongly support | $9 \%$ |  | $8 \%$ |  |  |
| Somewhat support | $42 \%$ |  | $37 \%$ |  |  |
| Somewhat oppose | $29 \%$ |  | $30 \%$ |  |  |
| Strongly oppose | $20 \%$ |  | $26 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |

Table 127: Contact with County Employees by County

| Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| any County government office within the <br> last 12 months? | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Yes | $36 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
|  |  | A | A |  | A C D |
| No | $64 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
|  | BCE |  | E | E |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 128: Ratings of Contact with County Employees by County

| What was your impression of the | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| employee(s) in your most recent <br> contact? (Rate each characteristic <br> below.) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Average rating on a 100-point scale; <br> 100=excellent, 0=poor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knowledgeable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsive | 76 | 79 | 80 | 74 | 77 |
|  |  | D | A D |  |  |
| Courteous | 75 | 75 | 78 | 69 | 72 |
|  | D | D | DE |  | 76 |
| Overall impression | 78 | 79 | 83 | 72 | 76 |
|  | D | D | AD E |  | D |

Table 129: Preferred Way to Contact the County by County

| How would you most prefer to contact <br> \{this\} County for information, questions <br> or concerns in the future? (Please <br> check only one option.) | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Call a commissioner |  | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Call a staff person |  | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ <br> B | NA |  |
| Attend a public meeting |  | $36 \%$ <br> C | $21 \%$ | NA |  |
| Email a staff person |  | $3 \%$ | NA | NA |  |
| County website |  | $13 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $13 \%$ |  |
| Use the County's social media (such as |  | $39 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $31 \%$ |  |
| Facebook) |  | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $9 \%$ |  |
| In-person visit to a government office |  | NA | $8 \%$ | NA |  |
| Go to a public meeting |  | NA | $4 \%$ | NA |  |
| Fill out an online survey |  | NA | $4 \%$ | NA |  |
| Direct mail |  | NA | NA | $24 \%$ |  |
| I am not interested in learning more |  | NA | NA | $8 \%$ |  |
| about County services |  | NA | NA | $16 \%$ |  |
| No preference/don't know |  | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | NA |  |
| Other |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 130: Sources of Information by County

| Please rate the extent to which you use each of the following as sources of information about \{this\} County government, if at all. <br> Percent reporting "major" or "minor source" | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Daily Newspapers ${ }^{1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & \text { B C D } \end{aligned}$ |
| Other county residents (such as neighbors or friends) ${ }^{2}$ |  | 67\% | . | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { B E } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% |
| County employees |  | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | . |
| County web site |  | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Other online resources |  | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Public/Community meetings |  | 92\% | 92\% | 91\% | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & \text { B C D } \end{aligned}$ |
| Radio |  | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | . |
| Phone calls to \{this\} County |  | 81\% | . | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Reports, flyers or brochures |  | 85\% | . | 86\% | . |
| Television news broadcasts/cable TV |  | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { B D } \end{gathered}$ |
| Social Media (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, Nextdoor, etc.) |  | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| County Board meetings shown on cable access |  | . | 97\% | 94\% | . |
| Weekly community newspapers |  | - | 52\% | . | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| \{This\} County newsletter |  |  | 65\% |  | 65\% |

${ }^{1}$ For Olmsted County, this was "Newspapers" and for St. Louis County it was "Newspapers (print or online)"
${ }^{2}$ For Washington County, this was "Word of mouth (from friends, family, neighbors)"

Table 131: Importance of Park Activities and Services by County

| How important, if at all, is it for each of <br> the following park activities and <br> services to be provided by \{this\} County? <br> Average rating 100=essential, 0=not at <br> all important" | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment rentals such as canoes, <br> paddleboats, snowshoes, skis |  | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Community events |  | 47 |  |  | 46 |
| Programs for learning about nature and <br> outdoor recreation |  | 44 |  |  | 51 |
| Trail and greenway systems that connect <br> parks and recreation sites |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ For Washington County, this was "Renting equipment such as snowshoes, canoes, and bikes"
${ }^{2}$ For Washington County, this was "Off-road trail system that connects communities, county park system, and other destinations"

Table 132: Future Financial Situation by County

| Do you think that a year from now you | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and your household will be better off <br> financially, or worse off, or just about <br> the same as now? | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Much better | $4 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat better | $23 \%$ |  | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ |  |
| About the same | $63 \%$ |  | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat worse | $9 \%$ |  | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |
| Much worse | $1 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

Table 133: Why Not Work in County by County

| If you drive outside of \{this\} County to <br> get to your place of employment, please <br> indicate which of the following factors <br> apply to your situation. Please check all <br> that apply. | Dakota | Olmsted | Scott | St. Louis | Washington |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I am not employed, or my workplace is in <br> \{this\} County | (B) <br> (D) | (C) | (D) | (E) |  |
| I am satisfied with my employer and job <br> outside the County | $31 \%$ |  | $35 \%$ |  |  |
| When I moved to \{this\} County, my job <br> was in the County, but I have changed <br> jobs since then | $5 \%$ |  | $41 \%$ |  |  |
| Even though my job is outside of \{this\} <br> County, I choose to live in the County <br> because of the quality of life here or the <br> amenities in the community in which I | $29 \%$ |  | $3 \%$ |  |  |
| live |  |  | $30 \%$ |  |  |
| I feel committed to living in \{this\} County <br> (kids/schools, spouse job), even though <br> my job is outside the County | $21 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ |  |  |
| My skills don't fit the jobs available in <br> \{this\} County | $8 \%$ |  | $14 \%$ |  |  |
| Jobs matching my skills in \{this\} County <br> don't pay enough | $7 \%$ |  | $11 \%$ |  |  |
| I am not interested in moving to a new <br> home outside \{this\} County | $16 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| I would not move closer to my job <br> because of the high cost of living where <br> my employer/job is located | $9 \%$ |  | $18 \%$ |  |  |
| My commute time to my job outside the <br> County is reasonable | $24 \%$ |  | $12 \%$ |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons 

## Understanding the Benchmark Comparisons

Communities use the comparative information provided by benchmarks to help interpret their own resident survey results, to create or revise community plans, to evaluate the success of policy or budget decisions and to measure local government or organizational performance. Taking the pulse of the community has little meaning without knowing what pulse rate is too high and what is too low. When surveys of service satisfaction turn up "good" resident evaluations, it is necessary to know how others rate their services to understand if "good" is good enough or if most other communities are "excellent." Furthermore, in the absence of national or peer community comparisons, a community is left with comparing its sheriff services rating to its street maintenance rating. That comparison is unfair as street maintenance always gets lower ratings than sheriff services. More illuminating is how residents' ratings of sheriff services compare to opinions about sheriff services in other communities and to resident ratings over time.

A sheriff department that provides the fastest and most efficient service - one that closes most of its cases, solves most of its crimes, and keeps the crime rate low - still has a problem to fix if the residents in the county rate sheriff services lower than ratings given by residents in other counties with objectively "worse" departments. Benchmark data can help that sheriff department - or any County department - to understand how well citizens think it is doing.

While benchmarks provided a basis for evaluation, resident opinion should be used in conjunction with other sources of data about budget, population demographics, personnel and politics to help administrators respond to comparative results.

## Comparison Data

NRC has designed a method for quantitatively integrating the results of surveys that we have conducted with those that others have conducted. These integration methods have been described thoroughly in Public Administration Review, Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, and in NRC's first book on conducting and using citizen surveys, Citizen Surveys: How to Do Them, How to Use Them, What They Mean, published by the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). Scholars who specialize in the analysis of citizen surveys regularly have relied on NRC's work ${ }^{1,2}$. The method described in those publications is refined regularly and statistically tested on a growing number of citizen surveys in NRC's proprietary databases.

Communities in NRC's benchmark database are distributed geographically across the country and range from small to large in population size. Comparisons may be made to all

[^3]jurisdictions in the database or to subsets of jurisdictions (within a given region or population category or that meet select criteria outlined by the community).

Despite the differences in jurisdiction characteristics, all are in the business of providing local government services to residents. Though individual jurisdiction circumstances, resources, and practices vary, the objective in every community is to provide services that are so timely, tailored and effective that residents conclude the services are of the highest quality. High ratings in any jurisdiction, like SAT scores in any teen household, bring pride and a sense of accomplishment.

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in citizen surveys from more than 600 jurisdictions whose residents evaluated local government services and gave their opinion about the quality of community life. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each jurisdiction; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. Dakota County's survey results were compared to 61 other counties across the nation.

## Interpreting the Results

Average ratings were compared when questions similar to those asked in Dakota County's survey were included in NRC's database, and there were at least five peer jurisdictions in which the question was asked. Where comparisons were available, three numbers are provided in the tables starting on the next page. The first column is Dakota County's rating on the 100 -point scale. The second column is the rank assigned to Dakota County's rating among jurisdictions where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of jurisdictions that asked a similar question. The fourth column shows the comparison of Dakota County's average rating to the benchmark.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, Dakota County's results were noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably higher or lower than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much," (for example, "much lower" or "much higher"). These labels come from a statistical comparison of Dakota County's rating to the benchmark where a rating is considered "similar" if it is within five points of the average; "higher" or "lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is greater than five points; and "much higher" or "much lower" if the difference between Dakota County's rating and the benchmark is more than 10 points.

Comparisons for a number of items on the survey were not available in the benchmark database (e.g., some of the county services or aspects of government performance). These items are excluded from the benchmark tables.

## National County Benchmark Comparisons

Table 134: Overall Quality of Life Benchmark

| How would you rate your overall <br> quality of life in Dakota County? | Average rating | Rank | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| How would you rate your overall <br> quality of life in Dakota County? | 80 |  | 1 | 49 |

Table 135: Quality of Life Benchmarks

| Please rate each of the following <br> characteristics of Dakota County. | Average rating | Rank |  | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live | 80 | 6 | 40 | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| Dakota County as a place to work | 71 | 4 | 40 | Much higher higher |
| Dakota County as a place to retire | 64 | 12 | 39 | Higher |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a <br> family | 79 | 5 |  | 37 |

Table 136: Community Characteristics Benchmarks

| Please rate each of the following <br> characteristics of Dakota County. | Average rating | Rank |  | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sense of community | 63 | 8 | 30 | Higher |  |
| Openness and acceptance of people <br> with diverse backgrounds | 59 | 6 | 33 | Higher |  |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in <br> Dakota County | 76 | 3 | 32 | Much higher |  |
| Economic health of Dakota County | 67 | 1 | 28 | Much higher |  |
| Availability of employment <br> opportunities | 59 | 4 | 36 | Much higher |  |
| Availability of quality, affordable child <br> care | 49 | 5 | 28 | Higher |  |
| Availability of affordable housing | 43 | 10 | 34 | Much higher |  |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota <br> County | 71 | 5 | 36 | Much higher |  |

Table 137: Feelings of Safety Benchmarks

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County. | Average rating | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) | 74 | 1 | 6 | Much higher |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery) | 83 | 2 | 6 | Much higher |

Table 138: Overall Quality of Services Benchmarks

| Please rate each of the following |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| services provided by Dakota County. | Average rating | Rank |  | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison |
| Overall quality of services provided by <br> Dakota County | 68 |  | Comparison to <br> benchmark |  |

Table 139: Quality of Services Benchmarks

| Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County. | Average rating | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries | 85 | 1 | 33 | Much higher |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails | 81 | NA | NA | NA |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads | 67 | 3 | 23 | Much higher |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services | 70 | 17 | 43 | Similar |
| Financial assistance for low-income families | 53 | 2 | 5 | Similar |
| Services for people with disabilities | 54 | NA | NA | NA |
| Information available on the County website | 63 | 2 | 5 | Higher |
| Services provided to older adults | 52 | 6 | 9 | Similar |
| Services at the Recycling Zone | 74 | 3 | 33 | Much higher |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliff or Pilot Knob Roads, Kenwood Trail, Wentworth Ave., or County Road 42) | 66 | NA | NA | NA |

Table 140: Contact with County Employees Benchmarks

| Have you visited, telephoned, or <br> emailed any Dakota County <br> government office within the last 12 <br> months? |  |  | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have you visited, telephoned, or <br> emailed any Dakota County <br> government office within the last 12 <br> months? |  | Rank |  |  |

Table 141: County Employee Benchmarks

| What was your impression of the <br> employee(s) of Dakota County in your <br> most recent contact? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Please rate each characteristic <br> below.) | Average rating | Rank |  |  |
| Knowledgeable | 76 | 5 | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| Responsive | 75 | 4 | 8 | Similar |
| Courteous | 78 | 4 | 9 | Similar |
| Overall impression | 76 | 4 | 8 | Similar |

Table 142: Perception of County Government Benchmarks

| Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance. | Average rating | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents | 64 | 1 | 5 | Higher |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents | 55 | 1 | 8 | Higher |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County | 57 | 5 | 43 | Much higher |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars | 54 | 1 | 5 | Much higher |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 63 | 1 | 28 | Much higher |

## Communities Included in National County Benchmark Comparisons

## Shown below are the counties that were included in the benchmark comparisons for Dakota County, with their population from the 2010 Census.

Adams County, CO ..... 441,603
Albemarle County, VA ..... 98,970
Arapahoe County, CO ..... 572,003
Athens-Clarke County ..... 115,452
Baltimore County, MD ..... 805,029
Boone County, KY ..... 118,811
Broomfield city, CO ..... 55,889
Cabarrus County, NC ..... 178,011
Charles County, MD ..... 146,551
Charlotte County, FL ..... 159,978
Chesterfield County, VA ..... 316,236
Clackamas County, OR ..... 375,992
Dakota County, MN. ..... 398,552
Denver city, CO ..... 600,158
Durham County, NC ..... 267,587
El Dorado County, CA ..... 181,058
Escambia County, FL ..... 297,619
Gunnison County, CO ..... 15,324
Hanover County, VA ..... 99,863
Honolulu County, HI ..... 953,207
Horry County, SC ..... 269,291
Jackson County, MI ..... 160,248
James City County, VA ..... 67,009
Jefferson County, NY ..... 116,229
Jefferson Parish, LA ..... 432,552
Kansas City city, KS ..... 145,786
Lancaster County, SC ..... 76,652
Lane County, OR ..... 351,715
Larimer County, CO ..... 299,630
Los Alamos County, NM ..... 17,950
Loudoun County, VA ..... 312,311
Macomb County, MI ..... 840,978
Maricopa County, AZ ..... 3,817,117
Marin County, CA ..... 252,409
Mariposa County, CA ..... 18,251
Maui County, HI ..... 154,834
Mecklenburg County, NC ..... 919,628
Mesa County, CO ..... 146,723
Montgomery County, MD ..... 971,777
Nevada County, CA ..... 98,764
Olmsted County, MN ..... 144,248
Orleans Parish, LA ..... 343,829
Ottawa County, MI ..... 263,801
Pasco County, FL ..... 464,697
Pitkin County, CO ..... 17,148
Polk County, IA ..... 430,640
Powhatan County, VA ..... 28,046
Prince William County, VA ..... 402,002
Roanoke County, VA ..... 92,376
San Francisco city, CA ..... 805,235
Sangamon County, IL ..... 197,465
Santa Fe County, NM ..... 144,170
Sarasota County, FL ..... 379,448
Scott County, MN ..... 129,928
St. Louis County, MN ..... 200,226
Summit County, UT ..... 36,324
Sunnyvale city, CA ..... 140,081
Temecula city, CA ..... 100,097
Washington County, MN ..... 238,136
Washoe County, NV ..... 421,407
Wyandotte County, KS ..... 157,505
York County, VA ..... 65,464

## Appendix G: Survey Methodology

The Dakota County Resident Survey provides residents the opportunity to rate the quality of life in the county, as well as service delivery and satisfaction with County government. The survey also permits residents to provide feedback to the government about what is working well and what is not, and to share their priorities for community planning and resource allocation. The survey permits County staff and elected officials to hear from a broad range of the population. Dakota County funded this research. Please contact Jane Vanderpoel at 651-438-4423 or Jane.Vanderpoel@co.dakota.mn.us if you have any questions about the survey.

## Hallmarks of a "Scientific" Survey

The figure below displays the unique features of a scientific survey. The survey conducted by National Research Center, Inc. on behalf of Dakota County was a scientific survey, implemented using survey research best practices to provide a picture of the opinions of all adults living in this County.

Hallmarks of a "Scientific" Survey


## Developing the Questionnaire

The resident survey questionnaire for Dakota County was developed by starting with the version from the previous implementation in 2016. A list of topics was generated for new questions; items and questions were modified to find those that were the best fit for the 2019 project. In an iterative process between Dakota County staff, staff from the survey project partner counties, and NRC staff, the final questionnaire was created. A copy can be found in Appendix H: Survey Materials.

## Selecting Survey Recipients

The target population for the survey was adults who live within the geographic limits of Dakota County. The survey was designed as a mailed survey. The list from which survey recipients will be selected is referred to as a "sampling frame." A sampling frame is chosen that will provide high "coverage," meaning that almost every member of the target population has a chance of being selected.

Because local governments generally do not have inclusive lists of all the residences in the jurisdiction (tax assessor and utility billing databases often omit rental units), lists from the United States Postal Service (USPS), based on the Delivery Sequence File (DSF) used by the postal carriers to deliver the mail and updated every three months, usually provide the best representation of all households in a specific geographic location. A list of households within the zip codes serving Dakota County was purchased from Go-Dog Direct. They provided a list of addresses that were selected using a systematic selection, a procedure where every Nth item is chosen, a process which closely approximates a random selection.

A larger list than needed was sampled, as zip codes generally do not follow municipal boundaries and addresses outside of county limits would be eliminated. Each of the addresses purchased was geocoded, and identified as being inside or outside County boundaries, and if inside the County, assigned to one of the seven Districts. (A map of the Commissioner Districts can be found on the next page.)A random selection was made of 500 addresses within each District, with an additional 50 addresses sampled in District 7 and an additional 150 in Districts 2 and 5, as response rates in these Districts in the past had been lower. Multi-family addresses (identified as those including a unit number) oversampled at a rate of 5:3 compared to single family addresses. This oversampling is done as those who live in multi-family housing tend to respond to surveys at a lower rate than those in single family housing. A total of 3,850 household recipients were selected to be mailed the survey.

To ensure that survey results could be compared by District, a different color paper was used to print the survey for each District. A code for the color identifying the District was then data entered along with the responses to that survey. An individual within each household was randomly selected to complete the survey using the birthday method. ${ }^{3}$

## Administering the Survey

Households received three mailings each beginning in January 2019. Completed surveys were collected over the following weeks. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. A week after the prenotification postcard was sent, the first wave of the survey was sent. The second wave was sent one week after the first. The survey mailings contained a letter from the Chair of the County Board of Commissioners inviting the household to participate in the 2019 Resident Survey, a questionnaire and a postage-paid return envelope. The cover letter also contained a link to a URL where

[^4]recipients could choose to complete the survey online if they preferred that to doing a hard copy survey.

Figure 38: Map of Dakota County Commissioner Districts
Commissioner districts


A total of 974 completed surveys were received; 864 mailed hard copy surveys and 110 online surveys. About $3.4 \%$ of the surveys (132) were returned because they either had incorrect addresses or were received by vacant housing units. Of the estimated 3,718 remaining households, 974 completed the survey, providing a response rate of $26 \%$. This method of calculating the response rate is in accordance with the AAPOR's response rate \#2 for mailed surveys of unnamed persons. ${ }^{4}$ Typical response rates for a mailed resident survey range from $12 \%$ to $35 \%$.

Table 143: Dakota County 2019 Resident Survey Response Rate

| Commissioner <br> District | Number mailed | Undeliverable <br> postcards |  | Delivered <br> surveys | Returned surveys |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Response rate | R |
| :--- |
| District 1 |
| District 2 |

## Confidence Intervals

The 95\% confidence interval (or "margin of error") quantifies the "sampling error" or precision of the estimates made from the survey results. A 95\% confidence interval can be calculated for any sample size, and indicates that in 95 of 100 surveys conducted like this one, for a particular item, a result would be found that is within a certain number of percentage points of the result that would be found if everyone in the population of interest was surveyed. The practical difficulties of conducting any resident survey may introduce other sources of error in addition to sampling error. Despite the best efforts to boost participation and ensure potential inclusion of all households, some selected households will decline participation in the survey (referred to as non-response error) and some eligible households may be unintentionally excluded from the listed sources for the sample (referred to as coverage error). The margin of error for this survey, with 974 respondents, is $\pm 3.1 \%$.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Mailed surveys were returned to NRC directly via postage-paid business reply envelopes. Once received, staff assigned a unique identification number to each questionnaire. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the

[^5]respondent checked three; NRC staff would choose randomly two of the three selected items to be coded in the dataset.

Once all surveys were assigned a unique identification number, they were entered into an electronic dataset. This dataset was subject to a data entry protocol of "key and verify," in which survey data were entered twice into an electronic dataset and then compared. Discrepancies were evaluated against the original survey form and corrected. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

## Analyzing the Results

## Weighting the Data

The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey sample reflective of the larger population of the community. This is done by comparing the demographic profile of survey respondents to that of the target population, which is all adults living in Dakota County. Weighting is a statistical adjustment where more weight is given to groups who responded at a lower rate than other groups, and less weight is given to those who responded at a higher rate. For example, in almost all surveys, younger people respond at a lower rate than older people. Weighting rebalances the profile. The theory behind this weighting is that younger people (or other groups who tend to underrespond) who did participate in the survey are more like the younger people who did NOT participate than they are like the older people who did respond to the survey. ${ }^{5}$

Initial weights were calculated using an Iterative Proportional Fitting model via a python raking algorithm plug-in to SPSS. These initial weights were trimmed so that no case was given a weight greater than 5 . No adjustments were made for design effects. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the table on the next page. All the variables in that table were used in the weighting scheme.

[^6]|  | Percent in <br> Population | Percent in <br> Sample | Weight to <br> bring to 50\% | Unwt'd <br> Rating of <br> Parks | Parks rating with <br> proper weights |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristic | $50 \%$ | $70 \%$ | 0.714 | 80 | $(80 * .50)$ |
| Female | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ | 1.666 | 40 | $(40 * .50)$ |
| Male | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | ---- | 68 | 60 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 144: Weighting Table 2019

| Characteristic | Population Norm ${ }^{1}$ | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Rent home | 26\% | 16\% | 25\% |
| Own home | 74\% | 84\% | 75\% |
| Detached unit | 59\% | 66\% | 60\% |
| Attached unit | 41\% | 34\% | 40\% |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White alone, not Hispanic | 83\% | 88\% | 83\% |
| Hispanic and/or other race | 17\% | 12\% | 17\% |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |
| Female | 51\% | 56\% | 52\% |
| Male | 49\% | 44\% | 48\% |
| 18-34 years of age | 28\% | 8\% | 25\% |
| 35-54 years of age | 37\% | 26\% | 36\% |
| $55+$ years of age | 34\% | 66\% | 39\% |
| District* |  |  |  |
| District 1 | 12\% | 15\% | 12\% |
| District 2 | 13\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| District 3 | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| District 4 | 12\% | 14\% | 12\% |
| District 5 | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| District 6 | 23\% | 13\% | 21\% |
| District 7 | 13\% | 16\% | 14\% |

[^7]
## Putting Responses on a 100-Point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a four-point scale with four representing the best rating and one the worst, many of the results in this summary are reported on a common scale where zero is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone reported "excellent," then the result would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a "poor" rating, the result would be
 zero on the 100-point scale. If the average rating for quality of life was "good," then the result would be 67 on a 100-point scale; "fair" would be 33 on the 100 point scale. Use of this converted scale to show average ratings allows for comparison to other jurisdictions, where different question wording and response scales may have been used. This metric can sometimes be a little confusing. It is not the percent who rated the item as "excellent" or "good," but an average rating spread out over 100 points. This scale can be thought of like the United Way fundraising thermometer - the greater the average rating, the closer to 100.

An example is shown in the table below of how survey responses to any particular item can be converted to the average rating on a 100-point scale. In this example, $32 \%$ of respondents gave an excellent rating, $46 \%$ a good rating and so on. The first step is to look only at the evaluative responses and eliminate the don't know responses. The percents now total to $100 \%$ for just the excellent, good, fair and poor response options, as shown in the third column. The second step is to assign scale values to each response option. The third step is to create the average using these values - so taking the percent of respondents who gave each response, multiplying that by the value, and then summing them (in Step 4) to calculate the average rating. The thermometer image to the left represents how this rating can be considered like a United Way fundraising thermometer, where the average rating of 74 represents a thermometer that is about three-quarters full.

|  |  |  |  |  | Step 3: <br> Step1: Remove <br> the percent of <br> "don't know" <br> responses | Multiply the <br> Total without <br> "don't know" |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response by <br> option | Total with <br> "don't know" | Step 4: Sum to <br> Assign scale <br> values | scale <br> value | calculate the <br> average rating |  |  |
| Excellent | $32 \%$ | $=32 \div(100-11)=$ | $36 \%$ | 100 | $=36 \% \times 100=$ | 36 |
| Good | $46 \%$ | $=46 \div(100-11)=$ | $52 \%$ | 67 | $=52 \% \times 67=$ | 35 |
| Fair | $9 \%$ | $=9 \div(100-11)=$ | $10 \%$ | 33 | $=10 \% \times 33=$ | 3 |
| Poor | $2 \%$ | $=2 \div(100-11)=$ | $2 \%$ | 0 | $=2 \% \times 0=$ | 0 |
| Don't know | $11 \%$ |  | -- |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Statistical Analysis

The electronic dataset was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, frequency distributions and average (mean) ratings are presented in the body of the report. A complete set of frequencies for each survey question is presented in Appendix A: Responses to Survey Questions.

Also included are results by selected respondent characteristics (Appendix C: Survey Results by Respondent Characteristics). Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of selected survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. Where differences between subgroups are statistically significant, they have been marked in this appendix.

Dakota County has up to eight years of data about resident perceptions of quality of life and quality of services delivered by the County. These comparisons to previous survey results are shown in the body of the report and in Appendix D: Survey Results by Year. Tests of statistical significance were not conducted for comparisons of results by survey year. Instead, a "rule of thumb" using the margin of error for differences in the two samples was used. Given the sample sizes and typical amount of variation observed, differences between survey years were considered significant if they were six or more percentage points or four or more points on the average rating on a 100-point scale. Obviously, in some cases there was more or less variation than the average, but for simplicity's sake, this rule was used in all cases.

## Comparing to Previous Survey Results

Dakota County survey data were collected by telephone in 2001, 2004, 2006 and 2008. In 2011, the County switched data collection from telephone to mail and has continued with mail since 2013. Switching data collection from telephone to mail was done to save costs, allow for more precise geographic sampling, cost-efficiently include cell phone-only households, gather more candid feedback and avoid interrupting residents with unwanted telephone calls. The growing rate of county households with only a cell phone challenged the County to ensure their inclusion, which is easier, less expensive and more accurate by mail than telephone.

Research is clear that a change in the method of survey data collection, by itself, will result in a change in results if the shift is from telephone administration to self-administration or vice versa. The change occurs even without change in resident perspectives and is attributed to the different environment that a survey respondent confronts when providing answers to a person on the telephone compared to offering anonymous opinions in private. Questions by telephone elicit more positive, optimistic, socially-desirable responses than do the same questions asked on a written self-administered questionnaire. The selfadministered questionnaire brings out more candid responses.

As a consequence of the switch in methodology, a decline from 2008 to 2011 in virtually all ratings was both expected and observed. In the previous surveys by telephone in 2008, a
small sample of residents was surveyed by mail in order to explore the magnitude of the differences between telephone and mail survey responses in Dakota County. Using 2008 survey research conducted by NRC in Dakota County that compared mail and telephone responses, as well as NRC's analysis of national trends comparing telephone and mail responses, NRC adjusted the findings from 2001 to 2008 in order to allow comparability of results over time. This way the reported trendline data are not influenced by the decline that is attributable to the change in data collection mode from telephone to mail.

When results are reported as an average on the 100-point scale for a question that was asked similarly in previous years, a slight adjustment was made to permit direct comparison between telephone and mail results. (Results that are reported as percentages and compared over time were not adjusted.) While the adjusted findings for data prior to 2011 reasonably control for the expected change from telephone to mail data collection, the comparability of data over time does have some limitations. Not only is there sampling error in each survey administration, but also, the change in survey methods occurred after a three-year gap in surveying and some question wording was inconsistent among survey years. Important historic differences are noted in the appropriate tables and figures. (NRC also was able to introduce statistical adjustments for the data prior to 2011 to account for any question and scale differences when possible. These adjustments are based on NRC's analysis of resident surveys from across the nation.)

## Appendix H: Survey Materials

The following pages contain a copy of the postcards, cover letters and survey questionnaire. The cover letters and postcards have a placeholder (bit.ly/XX) for the web survey URL link. The mailhouse inserted the correct link for each District into the materials.

 in advance for providing us with your valued opinions!

Dear Dakota County Resident,
Your household has been randomly selected to participate

Your household has been randomly selected to participate
in an anonymous survey of residents about your community and local government.

You will receive a copy of the survey next week in the mail with instructions for completing and returning it. Thank you in advance for providing us with your valued opinions!

## Sincerely, <br> Chair, Dakota County Board of Commissioners

Dear Dakota County Resident,
Your household has been randomly selected to participate in an anonymous survey of residents about your community and local government.

You will receive a copy of the survey next week in the mail with instructions for completing and returning it. Thank you in advance for providing us with your valued opinions!

## Sincerely, <br> Sincerely



Chair, Dakota County Board of Commissioners

|  |
| :---: |




Dear Dakota County Resident:
Dakota County Commissioners want to know what you think about your community and local government programs and services. You have been randomly selected to participate in the 2019 Dakota County Resident Survey that was mailed with this letter.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed survey. Your answers will help Dakota County Commissioners and staff make decisions that affect you, your family, and your community.

Your participation is very important - especially since your household is one of only a small number receiving this survey. We cannot replace you with someone else to complete this survey. We do not track addresses or names; your responses are completely anonymous.

So that we will get a scientifically reliable sample of Dakota County residents, please have the adult (age 18 or older) in your household who most recently had a birthday complete this survey. The adult's year of birth does not matter. After the appropriate member of the household spends a few minutes answering all the questions, please return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or you can complete the survey online at:

## bit.ly/XX

If you have questions about this survey, please contact Dakota County's survey manager Jane Vanderpoel, at 651-438-4423, or by email at jane.vanderpoel@co.dakota.mn.us.

Please help us make Dakota County a great place to live! Thank you for your help and participation.

Sincerely,


Liz Workman
Chair, Dakota County Board of Commissioners


Dear Dakota County Resident:
About one week ago we sent you the enclosed survey that asks for your opinions about your community and local government. If you have already completed and returned the 2019 Dakota County Resident Survey, or you completed the survey online, we thank you and ask you to disregard this letter. Do not complete the survey a second time - please recycle this letter and survey form.

But -- if you haven't yet had a chance to get to the survey, please take a few minutes to complete it now. Your opinions are very important to us! Dakota County Commissioners sincerely want to know what you think, especially since your household is one of only a small number receiving this survey. You have been randomly selected to participate in this short survey; your responses are not tracked, and will remain completely anonymous.

To get a scientifically reliable sample of Dakota County residents, please have the adult (age 18 or older) in your household who most recently had a birthday complete this survey. The adult's year of birth does not matter.

After the appropriate member of the household spends a few minutes answering all the questions, please return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or you can complete the survey online at:

## bit.ly/XX

If you have questions about this survey, please contact Dakota County's survey manager, Jane Vanderpoel, at 651-438-4423, or by email at jane.vanderpoel@co.dakota.mn.us.

Please help us make Dakota County a great place to live! Thank you for your help and participation.
Sincerely,


Liz Workman
Chair, Dakota County Board of Commissioners

Please complete this questionnaire if you are the adult (age 18 or older) inthe household who mostrecently had a birthday. The adult's year of birth does not matter. Your responses are anonymous and will be reported in groupformonly. Thankyou.

1. How would you rate your overall quality of life in Dakota County? $\qquad$ Excellent Good $\qquad$ FairPoor
2. What one thing do you like most about living in Dakota County?

| $\square$ Location | $\square$ Quality of life in general | $\square$ Low taxes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Rural character | $\square$ Op neighborhood | $\square$ People |
| $\square$ Parks/Lakes/Trails | $\square$ Schools | $\square$ Other (please specify) |

3. Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a problem in Dakota County.

4. Please rate each of the following characteristics of Dakota County.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakota County as a place to live................................................ 1 | 2 | rer | 4 | 5 |
| Dakota County as a place to work .............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Dakota County as a place to retire............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Dakota County as a place to raise a family ................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sense of community.............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Openness and acceptance of people with diverse backgrounds ........ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Outdoor recreational opportunities in Dakota County .................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of bike and pedestrian transportation options ............... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Economic health of Dakota County............................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of employment opportunities.................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of quality, affordable child care.................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable housing.............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall image or reputation of Dakota County ............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

5. What do you feel is the most serious issue facing Dakota County at this time?
6. Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in Dakota County.

| Very safe | Somewhat safe | Somewhat unsafe | Very unsafe | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft) ............................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| From violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, robbery)........................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| From illegal drug activity (e.g., selling drugs).............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| From electronic crimes (e.g., identity theft, phone scams)............ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| From domestic violence........................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| From gang activity.............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| While driving on roads within Dakota County ............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| While in your neighborhood ................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| While in County office buildings, libraries, courtrooms................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| While in schools or places of worship in Dakota County................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| While using Dakota County parks and trails ............................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Other (please specify: _________ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

7. Please rate to what degree, if at all, each of the following is a health concern in Dakota County.

| Not at all a concern | Minor concern | Moderate concern | Major concern | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental hazards (e.g., polluted water or toxic waste)......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Tobacco use (including E-cigarettes and chewing tobacco).......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Depression, anxiety, and other mental illnesses........................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Social isolation................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Underage alcohol use......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Alcohol abuse among adults ................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Illegal drug use (e.g., heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine) ......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bullying.......................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Illegal use of prescribed medications (such as opioids)............... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The health and support of older adults................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The health and support of persons with disabilities.................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Quality of parenting skills of parents of children ages 0-17 .......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overweight adults and children ........................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Abuse and neglect of children.............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 5 |
| Abuse and neglect of older adults or vulnerable adults............... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Spread of infectious diseases............................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

8. Do you think that a year from now you and your household will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now?
$\square$ Much better $\square$ Somewhat better $\square$ About the same $\square$ Somewhat worse $\square$ Much worse Don't know
9. Please rate each of the following services provided by Dakota County.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County libraries...................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| County parks and recreation (e.g., Lebanon Hills, Thompson County |  |  |  |  |
| Park, Whitetail Woods, Spring Lake Park, Lake Byllesby, or Miesville).......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Trail and bikeway system like the Mississippi River or Big Rivers trails ....... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Condition of County roads (e.g., Cliffor Pilot Knob Roads, |  |  |  |  |
| Snow and ice removal on County roads ........................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sheriff deputies patrol and park protection services........................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Assessment process/property tax system ..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Addressing important health issues in communities........................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Prosecuting people accused of crimes......................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of services provided by Dakota County ................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

10. Please rate the following services provided by Dakota County only if you have experienced them within the last twoyears.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know/ not applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment support/Workforce Center services............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Financial assistance for low-income families ................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Records, vital statistics, licensing, and vehide registration................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Services for people with disabilities............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Services for people experiencing mental illness.............................. 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Services that protect neglected or abused children ......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Information available on the County website ................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Services provided to older adults ................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Services at the Recycling Zone ................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## 11. To what extent would you support or oppose an increase in your County property tax if it were needed to maintain County services at their current levels?

$\square$ Strongly supportSomewhat supportSomewhat opposeStrongly opposeDon't know

## Dakota County 2019 Residential Survey

12. Have you visited, telephoned, or emailed any Dakota County government office within the last 12 months?
$\square$ Yes $\rightarrow$ Go to question \#13 $\square$ No $\rightarrow$ Skip to question \#14
13. What was your impression of the employee(s) of Dakota County in your most recent contact? (Please rate each characteristic below.)

14. Please rate these aspects of accessing Dakota County services.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience of County facilities' locations ..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Online access to County services................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of paying for County services online ....................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of language resources for access to services <br> (e.g., interpreters or multi-language materials or signage) 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

15. Please rate the following categories of Dakota County government performance.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The job Dakota County government does of providing information to residents. $\qquad$ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The job Dakota County government does of listening to residents...... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Dakota County ................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The job Dakota County government does at managing tax dollars....... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The value of Dakota County services to the quality of life in my neighborhood. $\qquad$ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community .................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Supporting the quality of life in the county ..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Effectively planning for the future of the county.............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall confidence in Dakota County government.......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

16. To what extent do you approve or disapprove of the job the Dakota County Board is doing?
$\square$ Strongly approve $\square$ Somewhat approve $\square$ Somewhat disapprove $\square$ Strongly disapprove $\square$ Don't know
17. Please indicate which of the following methods, if any, you prefer as a way to receive information about Dakota County. (Please select up to three methods.)
O Local or neighborhood newspapers
The County's mailed newsletter
O Ealling Dakota County
Thail from Dakota County
Other:

O Television/cable TV
O County website (www.dakotacounty.us)
O Social media (Facebook, Twitter, NextDoor, etc.)
Text messages and alerts (sent to cell phones)
O None, I don't want or need any information from Dakota County
18. Please indicate what methods you believe Dakota County should use to reach residents to learn about their preferences for County services, activities, projects, decisions and plans. (Please select all that apply.)
O Publicmeetings/forums/open houses O Booths and staff at community festivals or events
O Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, NextDoor, etc.)
(e.g., County Fair orfarmers markets)

Opt-in online surveys or online forums
O Citizen advisory committees
O Other
19. What information on Dakota County's website is most valuable to you? (Please select all that apply.)

O I don't use the County's website
O Recycling information
O Information about parks
O Property sales/information
O Road/bridge construction projects
O County budget information

O Volunteer opportunities
O Information about services for older adults
O Information about the County Jail or its inmates
O Information about people charged with a crime
O Contact information for County departments or staff
O Other (please specify)
20. How important, if at all, is it to provide the following library programs and services?

| Essential | Very important | Somewhat important | Not at all important | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popular titles/current library materials................................ 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 |
| Small business/economic development resources and services. $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Information about jobs, skills, literacy, and careers ............... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Streaming digital materials (movies, music, e-books, e-audio books) $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Access to computers and the Internet ................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Access to creative maker technology, equipment, or classes..... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Community gathering space ............................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Health and wellness programs and resources ....................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| English as a second language resources and services............... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Library materials in other languages (Spanish, Somali, Russian, others) . 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Other (please specify:_______.... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

21. Since 2003, Dakota County and partners have preserved land outside of County parks for many public purposes. How important, if at all, is it to continue using County funds for these purposes?

| Essential | Very important | Somewhat important | Not at all important | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increasing public access for outdoor recreation ..................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Protecting and improving natural areas ............................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Protecting and improving land used for agriculture/other specialty crops. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Protecting and improving water quality................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Protecting andimproving wildlife habitat............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

22. What type of housing is most needed in your community? Choose up to three (3).
O market-rate housing for older adults (condos, townhouses)
O affordable housing for older adults
O market-rate stand-alone single-family housing
O affordable family housing
O market-rate apartments
O workforce housing (housing affordable for working people that is close to theirjob) for single-person households
O assisted living or supportive housing
(with services for any age)
O homeless shelters

O None of these is needed in my community
23. Dakota County operates the Recycling Zone facility (in Eagan). If you have NOT used this facility in the last 12 months, please indicate the ONE reason that best describes why you do not.
$\square$ I do use the Recycling ZoneI am not familiar with the Recycling Zone
It is open during hours that are not convenientThe Recycling Zone is too far away
It is open on days that are not convenient
Other/none of these
24. Dakota County is working on a long-range Groundwater Plan to protect and improve groundwater resources, the source of our drinking water. Please indicate which, if any, of these programs/regulations you support:
O Stretching groundwater supplies by restricting use of irrigation systems (on farms, on suburban lawns, at sports fields, at commercial buildings)
O Using County funds to reduce the cost of in-home drinking water systems for private well owners
O Using County funds to keep cities' drinking water sources free of contaminants (which lowers consumer costs)
O Using County funds to protect land to limit contamination of groundwater supplies
O I don't support any of these
25. Which highway in Dakota County needs the most safety improvements
26. Which highway in Dakota County needs the most congestion improvements?
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27. If you drive outside of Dakota County to get to your place of employment, please indicate which of the following factors apply to your situation. Please check all that apply.
O My workplace is inside the County, or I am not employed
O I am satisfied with my employer and job outside the County
O When I moved to Dakota County, my job was in the County, but I have changed jobs since then
O Even though my job is outside of Dakota County, I choose to live in the County because of the quality of life here or the amenities in the community in which Ilive
O I feel committed to living in Dakota County (kids/schools, spouse job), even though my job is outside the County
O My skills don't fit the jobs available in Dakota County
O Jobs matching my skills in Dakota County don't pay enough
O I am not interested in moving to a new home outside of Dakota County
O I would not move closer to my job because of the high cost of living where my employer/job is located
O My commute time to my job outside the County is reasonable
O Other
Our last questions are about you and your household. Again, all of your responses to this survey are completely anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

D1. How long have you lived in Dakota County?

| $\square$ Less than 2 years | $\square 11$ to 15 years |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square 2$ to 5 years | $\square 0$ to 20 years |
| $\square 6$ to 10 years | $\square 0 v e r 20$ years |

D2. Which of the following best describes you?
$\square$ Employed full-time
Employed part-time
Employed, seeking better job or more hours
$\square$ Homemaker $\rightarrow$ go to question \#D5
Retired $\rightarrow$ go to question \#D5
$\square$ Unemployed, looking forwork $\rightarrow$ goto question \#D5
$\square$ Student $\rightarrow$ go to question \#D5
D3. On average, how long does it take you to travel to work each day (from home)?

| $\square 0$ to 14 minutes | $\square 30$ to 44 minutes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square 15$ to 19 minutes | $\square 45$ to 60 minutes |
| $\square 20$ to 29 minutes | $\square 60$ or more minutes |
| $\square$ Not sure/Don't know |  |

D4. How long would the same trip take, if there was no traffic congestion at all?

| $\square 0$ to 14 minutes | $\square 30$ to 44 minutes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square 15$ to 19 minutes | $\square 45$ to 60 minutes |
| $\square 20$ to 29 minutes | $\square 60$ or more minutes |
| $\square$ Not sure/Don't know |  |

D5. Which category contains your age?

| $\square 18-24$ | $\square 35-44$ | $\square 55-64$ | $\square 75-84$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square 25-34$ | $\square 45-54$ | $\square 65-74$ | $\square 85+$ |

D6. Which gender do you identify with most closely?
$\square$ Female Male $\square$ Identify another way
D7. Which best describes the building you live in?
$\square$ One family house detached from any other houses
House attached to one or more houses (e.g., a duplex or townhome)

Building with two or more apartments or condos
Manufactured or mobile home
$\square$ Other

D8. Is this house, apartment or mobile home...
$\square$ Rented
$\square$ Owned (including with an outstanding mortgage)
Please respond to both questions \#D9 and \#D10:
D9. Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?
$\square$ No, notSpanish, Hispanic or Latino
Yes, I considermyself to be Spanish, Hispanicor Latino
D10. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)

```
\(\square\) American Indian or Alaskan Native
\(\square\) Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander
Black or African American
\(\square\) White
\(\square\) Other
```

D11. How many of each of the following, including yourself, live in your household?

Children age 17 years and under ..... $\qquad$
Adults under age 65 years. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Adults age 65 years and over
D12. Please indicate your household's annual income:

| $\square$ Under $\$ 15,000$ | $\square \$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square \$ 15,000 \$-\$ 24,999$ | $\square \$ 100,000-\$ 149,999$ |
| $\square \$ 25,000-\$ 34,999$ | $\square \$ 150,000-\$ 199,999$ |
| $\square \$ 35,000-\$ 49,999$ | $\square \$ 200,000$ or more |
| $\square \$ 50,000-74,999$ |  |

[^8]National Research Center, Inc.,
PO Box 549, Belle Mead, NJ 08502


[^0]:    * In 2016, totals add to more than 100\%, as respondents could choose up to three items.

[^1]:    * Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three options.

[^2]:    * Totals exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select up to three methods.
    ** Respondents were also given the option to rate an "other" information source and write in a response. These responses can be found in in Appendix B: Verbatim Responses to Survey Questions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kelly, J. \& Swindell, D. (2002). Service quality variation across urban space: First steps towards a model of citizen satisfaction, Journal of Urban Affairs, 24, 271-288.
    ${ }^{2}$ Van Ryzin, G., Muzzio, D., Immerwahr, S., Gulick, L. \& Martinez, E. (2004). Drivers and consequences of citizen satisfaction: An application of the American Customer Satisfaction Index Model to New York City, Public Administration Review, 64, 331-341.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ The birthday method selects a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ See AAPOR's Standard Definitions here: http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions(1).aspx for more information

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ An example of how weighting works may be helpful. Hypothetically, suppose the population norm for gender was $50 \% / 50 \%$, but $70 \%$ of the surveys we received were from females, and $30 \%$ were from males. The weights we would need to apply to make our sample representative of the population would be 0.7143 for females (thereby giving each response less weight in the overall ratings) and 1.6667 for males (giving each response more weight overall). Let's further suppose that these two groups had very different ratings of parks; females felt very favorably, with $80 \%$ of females giving a positive rating, and males felt much less favorable, with only $40 \%$ giving a positive rating. Given that we had more responses from women, if we did NOT weight the results, we would be left with a rosier picture of the perception of parks by residents than if we did weight the data. The unweighted average rating is $68 \%(80 \% x 70 \%+40 \% x 30 \%)$, while the weighted average is $60 \%(80 \% x 50 \%+40 \% x 50 \%)$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: 5-year estimates from the 2017 American Community Survey
    *Source: Sample list purchased from Go-Dog Direct

[^8]:    Thank you very much!
    Please return the completed survey in the postage-paid envelopeto:

