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## A. Purpose

The Dakota County Transportation Department, along with the City of Eagan, has determined that the intersection of County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 32 (Cliff Road) and Dodd Road be assessed to determine the need and timeline of intersection traffic control and/or geometric improvement. In addition, residential complaints have been received in regard to the traffic operations at this location. The most common complaints have been in regard to making right turns from CSAH 32 onto Dodd Road and making left turns from Dodd Road onto CSAH 32. Specifically, motorists on CSAH 32 do not anticipate or feel unjustifiably slowed down by other drivers on CSAH 32 turning right onto Dodd Road. This often results in "tail-gating" making the turning drivers feel uncomfortable or the through vehicle uses the left turn lane to quickly move around the turning vehicle. Conversely on Dodd Road, drivers turning left onto CSAH 32 feel the wait time for an appropriate gap in traffic on CSAH 32 to complete their turning movement is too long. The high peak period volumes at this intersection combined with the citizen complaints make it a candidate to be reviewed for proper control and capacity. Consistent with the goals and strategies of the Dakota County Transportation Plan, this study examines the intersection to determine:

- The existing and projected operations under the current characteristics and traffic control
- The need for improved geometry or traffic control, either now or in the future


## B. Description of Location

The intersection of CSAH 32 and Dodd Road is located in the City of Eagan, Dakota County. The intersection is approximately $1 / 2$ mile west of the CSAH 32 and TH 3 intersection. Figure 1 shows the location of this intersection.

## C. Traffic Volumes

Intersection turning movement counts and hourly approach counts were collected by the County on two separate occasions: July 6 - 8, 2011, and September 28 - 30, 2011. The September counts were taken due to the potential of seasonal fluctuations in traffic volumes at this location. After comparing the two counts, three hours of the September count ( 6 a.m. to 9 a.m.) were used in place of those hours in the July count. This composite represents a conservative approach of analysis, capturing the highest volumes from each count. The full data from each count is provided in the Appendix. See Figure 2 for the existing peak hour and daily counts for this study intersection.

Projected daily traffic for the year 2030 was also provided by the County, except for the south leg of the intersection. The City of Eagan provided the expected growth rate for that approach. Using the ratio of expected traffic increase from existing to 2030, the projected peak hour volumes for year 2030 were also determined. Figure 3 shows the projected 2030 volumes for this study intersection.



FIGURE 2
EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES


FIGURE 3
2030 PROJECTED VOLUMES

## D. Existing Conditions

CSAH 32 is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway designated as an A Minor Arterial. The posted speed limit is 50 mph . Dodd Road is a north-south, two-lane, undivided roadway. It is designated as a Minor Collector by the City. The posted speed limit is 40 mph to the north of CSAH 32 and 30 mph to the south of CSAH 32.

At the intersection of these two roadways, Dodd Road is under stop sign control with CSAH 32 traffic able to proceed without stopping. Each approach to the intersection provides one exclusive left-turn lane and one combined through/right-turn lane.

A trail is provided on the east and west sides of Dodd Road to the north of CSAH 32 and on the west side to the south of CSAH 32. Although not marked, crossings are generally expected on the north and west sides of the intersection. ADA-compliant ramps are provided on the north side of the intersection where the trails reach the roadways.

The intersection is primarily surrounded by residences. Dakota County Lebanon Hills Regional Park is located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection.

Operations at the intersection were observed on September 27, 2011, during evening peak period and on September 28, 2011, during the morning peak period. The key observations include:

- Vehicles on CSAH 32 arrived in bunches, resulting in a range of delay to motorists stopped on Dodd Road from zero seconds to over one minute depending on when they arrived.
- Pedestrian/bicycle wait time to cross CSAH 32 similarly varied depending upon when they arrived at the intersection.
- The left-turn lane was often used as a right-turn bypass lane by motorists on CSAH 32.

The complete intersection observations are provided in the Appendix.
In addition to observations of the intersection, the existing volumes, safety, and operations are reviewed below.

The review of volumes uses information from the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices outlines thresholds when it may be appropriate for a traffic control device at an intersection. The criteria, also called warrants, are applicable for all-way stop control and traffic signal control. Generally, one or more warrants must be satisfied before all-way stop or traffic signal control is considered, although meeting one or more warrants does not in itself require installation of a traffic control device.

For this analysis, the major roadway is CSAH 32 and the minor roadway is Dodd Road. Two or more lanes are assumed for every approach. The posted speed of 50 mph on CSAH 32 results in a 70 percent reduction in warrant volume thresholds. Dakota County uses the method of 100 percent reduction to right-turning volume from the side street, reflecting ease of this movement in comparison with the through and left-turning movements. So, all right-turning volume on Dodd Road has been removed for the analysis.

The traffic signal warrants were first examined using existing traffic and the results are shown in Table 1. No volume warrants were satisfied under these conditions. The full warrant analysis is provided in the Appendix.

Table 1: CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Existing Warrant Analysis Summary

| Warrant | Existing Volumes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Warrant 1 - <br> 8 -Hour Volume | No (4 of 8 hrs) |
| Warrant 2 - <br> 4-Hour Volume | No (1 of 4 hrs) |
| Warrant 3 - <br> Peak Hour Volume | No (0 of 1 hr ) |

Note: Yes or No indicates whether the warrant is satisfied or not. The parentheses indicate how many of the required hours are met.

Crashes for the years 2008 to 2010 were provided by the County and examined. The crash diagram for the intersection is provided in the Appendix.

Seven State reported crashes occurred at this intersection during the three study years. Table 2 presents the crash rate for this intersection. The average side-street stop control intersection crash rates for $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ Metro District and the state are presented for comparison.

In addition to the average rates, the critical crash rate is presented. This is a statistically adjusted crash rate designed to account for the random nature of crashes. A crash rate above the calculated critical crash rate identifies the intersection as potentially hazardous, with a statistically significant higher rate of crashes. The critical crash rate shown is calculated to a 90 percent confidence level.

Table 2: CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Crash Rate Comparison

|  | Crash Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| CSAH 32 and Dodd Road | $0.59 / \mathrm{MEV}$ |
| Intersection |  |
| Benchmarks |  |
| Metro District: Urban - Rural | $0.20 / \mathrm{MEV}-0.20 / \mathrm{MEV}$ |
| State: Urban - Rural | $0.24 / \mathrm{MEV}-0.29 / \mathrm{MEV}$ |
| Critical Crash Rate | $0.41 / \mathrm{MEV}$ |

Note: MEV stands for Million Entering Vehicles
Finally, the study intersection was analyzed with the existing peak hour volumes and characteristics. The Synchro/SimTraffic software package was used for this analysis and provided results in terms of levels of service (LOS), delay times, and expected vehicle queues. LOS is a qualitative measurement designed as a report card assessment of traffic operations. LOS A represents the best operations with little to no delay, while LOS F represents the worst operations with excessive congestion. Generally, an intersection LOS D is considered acceptable. Table 3 shows the results of the existing peak hour analysis.

Table 3: CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Existing Operations Analysis

|  | Overall Intersection Results |  | Worst Individual Movement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay (sec.) | App. | LOS | Delay (sec.) | Ave. Queue (ft) | 95 \%ile Queue $(\mathrm{ft})^{1}$ |
| Existing Volumes AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & 4.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NBL } \\ & \text { SBL } \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & 16.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ |

95 \%ile Queue represents a distance that vehicle stacking is at or below 95 percent of the time.
The traffic model was calibrated to reflect actual observed conditions. As shown, the intersection currently operates at an acceptable level of service. It is important to note that the delay times are an average. Individual motorists will have delays above or below this time. The full results are provided in the Appendix.

## E. Analysis of Alternatives

The analysis of alternatives takes into consideration several elements including traffic volumes, overall intersection operations, geometrics, and safety. Methods used to evaluate these include analysis of warrants, crash data, and vehicle delay.

## Warrant Analysis

As mentioned earlier, warrants are available for all-way stop control and traffic signal control. Currently, no warrants exist for the installation of roundabouts, which can be considered both a traffic control device and a roadway feature. However, the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) states in its Intersection Control Evaluation procedures that roundabouts are considered warranted if traffic volumes meet the criteria for either all-way stop or traffic signal control.

Using an assumption of straight-line growth from existing to projected 2030 volumes, the warrants were reexamined to determine what year each volume warrant would be satisfied. Table 4 shows the results of these analyses along with the earlier results using the existing volumes for comparison.

Table 4: CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Warrant Analysis Summary

| Warrant | Existing Volumes | Projected 2016 Volumes | Projected 2019 Volumes | Projected 2023 Volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant 1 -8-Hour Volume | No (4 of 8 hrs ) | No (5 of 8 hrs ) | No (6 of 8 hrs ) | Yes (8 of 8 hrs ) |
| Warrant 2 -4-Hour Volume | No (1 of 4 hrs ) | No (3 of 4 hrs ) | Yes (4 of 4 hrs ) | Yes (5 of 8 hrs ) |
| Warrant 3 Peak Hour Volume | No (0 of 1 hr ) | Yes (1 of 1 hr ) | Yes (1 of 1 hr ) | Yes (2 of 1 hr ) |

Note: Yes or No indicates whether the warrant is satisfied or not. The parentheses indicate how many of the required hours are met.

Based on the above assumptions and analyses, the intersection of CSAH 32 and Dodd Road will not begin to meet the volume warrants until 2016, with the Eight-Hour Warrant not satisfied until 2023.

Although not meeting warrants does not necessarily exclude a change in traffic control, it does suggest that the current intersection control is adequate for the existing volumes. The full warrant analyses for projected years 2016, 2019, and 2023 are provided in the Appendix.

## Safety Analysis

A safety analysis generally consists of presenting past crash history and future crash potential. As mentioned, seven State reported crashes occurred at this intersection during the three year study period. Table 2 previously presented the crash rate for this intersection and several benchmark comparisons. That table shows that the crash rate is higher than the expected average and the calculated critical crash rate for this type of intersection. This indicates a potential issue that could be correctable. Examining the crashes, the most common type of crash (four of the seven crashes) was a right-angle crash between a southbound vehicle and a westbound vehicle. Two of those four crashes occurred when the southbound vehicle was unable to stop due to ice and snow. Another was the result of a driver losing control of their vehicle.

A further review of the sight distance for a southbound vehicle looking to the east found it to be acceptable. Based upon site observations and the review of sight lines, no site characteristics that could lead to these crashes were found and, therefore, no engineering solutions are readily apparent. Therefore, the number of crashes in 2009 appears to be an anomaly due to factors other than intersection design. The crashes at the intersection should continue to be monitored to confirm this conclusion.

The potential future crashes for different types of traffic control were examined using several different methods:

- The actual crash and severity rates from years 2008 to 2010, as presented earlier.
- The Mn/DOT State Aid "Green Sheets," which present average crash and severity rates for different types of intersections within the Metro area.
- The Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Reduction Factors as presented in "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors."
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 572, which presents intersection-level safety prediction models for roundabouts.

Using these different methods, the projected crashes for each type of traffic control can be examined. Table 5 shows this information for the study intersection.

Table 5: Crash Expectations by Traffic Control Type for the CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Intersection

| Scenario | Fatal | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \text { Injury } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Property <br> Damage | Total | Crash <br> Rate | Severity Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Existing* } \\ \text { Existing Volumes } \\ 2030 \text { Volumes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0-1 \\ & 0-1 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2-3 \\ & 3-4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & 0.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & 0.93 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mn/DOT Averages** <br> 2030 No Build (Side Street Stop) <br> 2030 Signal Option <br> 2030 Roundabout Option | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-1 \\ & 0-1 \\ & 0-1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0-1 \\ 1 \\ 0-1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2-3 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-2 \\ & 3-4 \\ & 2-3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.60 \\ & 0.37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 0.54 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| FHWA Reduction Factors*** 2030 Signal Option (range) | 0 | 0 | 0-1 | 1 | 1.2-1.7 | 2-3 | 0.33-0.47 | 0.51-0.74 |
| NCHRP 572**** <br> 2030 Roundabout Option |  |  | -1 |  | 3 | 3-4 | 0.55 | 0.81 |

* Crash Rate and Severity Rate based on actual crash data from years 2008 to 2010.
** Mn/DOT Averages reflect the Mn/DOT Metro average Crash and Severity Rates.
*** FHWA Reduction Factors reflect changes in the existing crashes from the "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors."
**** NCHRP Report 572, Intersection-level safety prediction models.
As shown, side street stop control should have the lowest number of crashes based on the average for the Metro area. Between traffic signal and roundabout control, the expected results switch depending upon which criteria are used. However, in general, roundabouts would be expected to have fewer and less severe crashes.


## Operational Analysis

The study intersection analysis results using the existing peak hour volumes and characteristics were shown previously in this report. As shown, the intersection currently operates at an acceptable level of service.

Another analysis was then accomplished examining the existing geometry and traffic control with future volumes. Three timeframes were sought: the year an individual movement is expected to have a poor LOS, the year the intersection as a whole is expected to have a poor LOS, and the expected operations at year 2030. As with the warrant analysis, a straight-line growth from existing to 2030 projected volumes was assumed. Table 6 shows the results of these analyses with the existing results for comparison purposes.

Table 6: CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Operations Analyses with Existing Traffic Control

|  | Overall <br> Intersection <br> Results |  | Worst Individual Movement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec.) | App. | LOS | Delay <br> (sec.) | Ave. <br> Queue (ft) | 95 \%ile <br> Queue (ft) $)^{1}$ |  |
| Existing Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | A | 4.9 | NBL | B | 13.9 | 33 | 58 |
| PM Peak Hour | A | 4.9 | SBL | C | 16.4 | 24 | 61 |
| Proj. 2023 Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | A | 8.5 | NBL | C | 20.9 | 43 | 79 |
| PM Peak Hour | A | 10.0 | SBT | E | 35.3 | 95 | 184 |
| Proj. 2029 Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | B | 13.2 | NBT | E | 36.0 | 96 | 189 |
| PM Peak Hour | D | 33.3 | SBT | F | 148.0 | 369 | 827 |
| Proj. 2030 Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | B | 14.4 | NBT | E | 42.1 | 110 | 211 |
| PM Peak Hour | F | 56.1 | SBT | F | 260.1 | 659 | 1,091 |

${ }^{1} 95$ \%ile Queue represents a distance that vehicle stacking is at or below 95 percent of the time.

As shown, traffic operations are expected to be satisfactory until year 2023, when individual movements on Dodd Road begin to experience higher than desired delays. In projected year 2029, the poor operations on Dodd Road are to cause the entire intersection to have a LOS D in the p.m. peak hour. Considering that the traffic on CSAH 32 does not stop, the side street delays are extremely high in this case. Projected year 2030 is similar to 2029, except that the delays continue to increase. The full results of these analyses are provided in the Appendix.

A final analysis was undertaken examining appropriate different types of intersection traffic control and geometrics for the intersection. For this study, two different scenarios were reviewed:

- Traffic signal control assuming three lanes on every approach: one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one right-turn lane
- Roundabout control assuming single lane entry, a circulating lane, and an exit lane for every direction

Noticeably missing from the above list is all-way stop sign control. The majority of traffic during the existing and projected year peak hours is on CSAH 32 (approximately 74 percent of existing traffic). With this heavily unbalanced traffic flow between CSAH 32 and Dodd Road, adding all-way stop sign control would result in a large delay to most traffic on CSAH 32. During nonpeak times, most traffic is again on CSAH 32 and would be delayed, with little or no traffic on Dodd Road. Studies have shown that many drivers feel the need to increase speed to make up time after a stop they feel is not warranted or unnecessary. These same studies have suggested that, over time, drivers conclude that traffic from the side street is never present, resulting in a failure to come to a complete stop and potentially increasing the risk of crashes at an intersection. This behavior can
also breed disobedience at other all-way stop controlled locations. Given the unbalanced traffic flows, expected increases in delay on CSAH 32, and potential safety issues, allway stop control was not appropriate for this intersection and was not evaluated further.

Similarly, adding right-turn lanes to the intersection without a change in traffic control would not be expected to significantly improve traffic operations. The right-turn movement is generally easier to make and has less delay compared with through or leftturn movements. The added lanes would also require drivers at the stop signs on Dodd Road to track more vehicles, counterintuitively adding delay to some movements. Therefore, a simple improvement in geometry was not evaluated further in this study.

The traffic signal control scenario was examined using Synchro/SimTraffic for each peak hour analysis. For the roundabout scenario, the software RODEL was used. Table 7 shows the results of these analyses.

Table 7: CSAH 32 and Dodd Road Operations Analyses with Different Traffic Control

|  | Overall Intersection Results |  | Worst Individual Movement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (sec.) | App. | LOS | Delay (sec.) | Ave. Queue (ft) | $95 \%$ ile Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Existing Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | A | 4.9 | NBL | B | 13.9 | 33 | 58 |
| PM Peak Hour | A | 4.9 | SBL | C | 16.4 | 24 | 61 |
| Proj. 2030 Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | B | 14.4 | NBT | E | 42.1 | 110 | 211 |
| PM Peak Hour | F | 56.1 | SBT | F | 260.1 | 659 | 1,091 |
| Traf. Signal Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | B | 14.4 | WBT | B | 18.2 | 90 | 165 |
| PM Peak Hour | B | 14.2 | WBL | B | 18.7 | 5 | 19 |
| Proj. 2030 Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | B | 18.8 | WBT | C | 24.3 | 147 | 253 |
| PM Peak Hour | B | 20.0 | SBL | C | 24.0 | 38 | 74 |
| Roundabout Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | A | 4.1 | WB | A | 4.8 | 0 | 36 |
| PM Peak Hour | A | 4.9 | EB | A | 5.4 | 25 | 68 |
| Proj. 2030 Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | A | 5.8 | WB | A | 7.2 | 25 | 87 |
| PM Peak Hour | A | 9.1 | EB | B | 13.2 | 75 | 253 |

As shown, either traffic signal or roundabout control would provide improved traffic operations for minor movements, but overall LOS would decrease in some cases because traffic on CSAH 32 would now be required to stop instead of having free flow movements. The roundabout would provide slightly better results in comparison with traffic signal control. However, the eastbound approach during the PM peak hour with projected 2030 volumes is approaching capacity. If traffic volumes were to continue to grow beyond 2030, another eastbound through lane would likely be necessary.

## F. Pedestrian/Bicycle Considerations

As detailed earlier, trails are provided to the north and south of this study intersection. Although unmarked, pedestrians could cross on any of the four options. If crossing eastwest, vehicles are under stop control and should be stopped for pedestrians or bicyclists to cross. North-south crossings are more challenging as vehicles are not required to stop by the traffic control. Pedestrians and bicyclists need to wait for an appropriate gap in traffic on CSAH 32, like other vehicles on Dodd Road.

Based on counts of the intersection and observations, pedestrian and bicycle movements through the intersection are light. Of the traffic counts and observations of the intersection, a maximum of 14 individual crossings were recorded in one hour. Of those, only five were across CSAH 32. The land use around the intersection suggests that these crossings are likely to remain relatively minor into the future, as only recreational crossings between residences or to/from the regional park are expected.

Of the crossings that do occur, crash data suggests that they occur relatively safely, with no pedestrian/bicycle and vehicle collisions in the three years examined. Observations also suggest that acceptable crossing gaps do occur frequently during the peak periods, although a pedestrian or bicyclist may have some delay waiting for that gap.

Based on today's conditions, additional crossing improvements do not appear warranted. However, it should be noted that the trail ramp on the south side of the intersection should be reconstructed to the most current ADA design guidelines, including truncated domes, at the time of a road or trail improvement project.

If traffic control is changed in the future, both traffic signal and roundabout control offer benefits to pedestrian and bicycle crossings. Under traffic signal control, all crossings would have positive guidance as to when a pedestrian or bicyclist has the right-of-way to cross. Specific guidelines for the traffic signal timing would also allow for sufficient time for the crossing movement.

Using roundabout control for the intersection, crosswalks would be set back from the intersection, and pedestrians and bicyclists would need to wait for appropriate gaps in traffic. Roundabouts do reduce driving speeds and pedestrians and bicyclists would be required to cross only one lane at a time. The location of the crosswalk farther back from the intersection and the presence of a refuge splitter island would allow pedestrians and bicyclists to focus on traffic from one direction only, further reducing vehicular exposure and improving safety.

## G. Recommended Alternative

## Present

Based on this analysis, presented in detail in this report, the existing traffic control at the intersection of CSAH 32 (Cliff Road) and Dodd Road is appropriate for today's traffic and into the near future with assumed traffic growth. Operations are acceptable during the peak travel time periods and no signal warrants are satisfied. Although the existing crash rate is higher than expected, no specific intersection design issues were identified by the crash data and site reviews.

## Future

Traffic signal warrants are not currently satisfied, and the peak hour volume warrant is not expected to be met until at least year 2016. The most substantial volume warrants, Four-Hour and Eight-Hour, are not expected to be met until year 2019 or later.

The intersection should continue to be reviewed, both crashes and operations. With traffic volume growth and/or safety issues as defined by the crash record, the traffic control may need to be changed in the future. The Eight Hour Vehicular Volume Warrant, the warrant most used to justify a traffic signal by Dakota County practices, is not expected to be met until at least year 2019. When it has been determined by the County that a change is needed, two alternatives for improvement should be considered: roundabout control or traffic signal control. Each would be expected to provide satisfactory traffic operations into the future and to maintain or improve the safety of the operations. Roundabout control would have less delay with fewer anticipated crashes as compared to traffic signal control. Both options would improve pedestrian/bicycle movements at the intersection. A reevaluation at the time of need could further explore the differences between traffic signal and roundabout control, including off-peak operations and benefit-cost analyses.

Trail ramps to the intersection crossings should be reconstructed to the most current ADA design guidelines with an associated trail or roadway improvement project.

## APPENDIX

Intersection Observations

## Intersection Observations

CSAH 32 (Cliff Road) and Dodd Road Eagan, Dakota County, MN

Tuesday, September 27, 2011, 4:40 p.m. to 5:15 p.m.
Overcast with periodic light rain
Side-street stop control on Dodd Road (CSAH 32 able to proceed without stopping)

## General -

Vehicles arrived in bunches, particularly on the eastbound and westbound directions Side street delay varied greatly from no delay to approximately 62 seconds Eastbound and westbound volumes were clearly heavier than the side street Southbound volumes heavier than northbound volumes Pedestrians/bicyclists crossing ability depended upon arrival time Left turn lane often used as a right turn by-pass lane, eastbound and westbound

Maximum Queues -
Northbound Left - 1 vehicle Southbound Left -2 vehicles
Northbound Thru/Right - 2 vehicles Southbound Thru/Right - 3 vehicles
Eastbound Left - 2 vehicles
Eastbound Thru/Right - 6 vehicles

Westbound Left - 3 vehicles
Westbound Thru/Right - 2 vehicles

## Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossings -

Bicycle on east crossing, south to north
Bicycle in southbound thru lane (25 seconds delay)
Gaps in Eastbound and Westbound Traffic -
8 seconds, 17 seconds, 20 seconds, 30 seconds, 31 seconds, 68 seconds

Sight Distance to East
Timed from when a vehicle’s headlights are seen to when it reaches the intersection 9.9, 8.4, 9.3, 10.6, 8.5, 9.2, 10.4, 10.0, 8.7, 9.2 (seconds)

## Intersection Observations

CSAH 32 (Cliff Road) and Dodd Road Eagan, Dakota County, MN

Wednesday, September 28, 2011, 7:10 a.m. to 7:45 a.m.
Sunny and clear
Side-street stop control on Dodd Road (CSAH 32 able to proceed without stopping)

## General -

Vehicles arrived in bunches, particularly on the eastbound and westbound directions Side street delay varied greatly from no delay to approximately 46 seconds Eastbound and westbound volumes were clearly heavier than the side street Southbound volumes appeared only slightly heavier than northbound volumes Left turn lane often used as a right turn by-pass lane, eastbound and westbound

## Maximum Queues -

Northbound Left - 3 vehicles
Northbound Thru/Right - 4 vehicles
Eastbound Left - 2 vehicles
Eastbound Thru/Right - 2 vehicles

Southbound Left - none
Southbound Thru/Right - 4 vehicles
Westbound Left - 3 vehicles
Westbound Thru/Right - 2 vehicles

Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossings -
Ped on west crossing, north to south
Gaps in Eastbound and Westbound Traffic -
16 seconds, 20 seconds, 21 seconds, 26 seconds, 28 seconds, 52 seconds, 56 seconds
Sight Distance to East
Timed from when a vehicle's headlights are seen to when it reaches the intersection 9.0, 9.6, 10.3, 9.5, 8.3, 7.9, 8.8, 8.4, 8.5, 9.9 (seconds)

## APPENDIX

## Traffic Counts

# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

Location : CSAH 32 and Dodd Rd
Date : July 7th, Thursday
Time : 6:30-8:30 AM
Weather: Partly Cloudy, 70 F

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd AM
Site Code : 07071101
Start Date : 7/7/2011
Page No : 1



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION 

TMC TRAFFIC DATA
File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd AM
Site Code : 07071101
Start Date : 7/7/2011
Page No : 2

|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westhound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | sep Toas | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Asp. Tast | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{pe}$ c. -oral | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Aes. Tetal | Int Tetal |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 78 | 9 | 0 | 89 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 13 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 53 | 183 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 91 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 30 | 12 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 209 |
| 07:45 AM | 6 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 74 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 13 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 158 |
| 08:00 AM | 5 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 12 | 40 | 9 | 0 | 61 | 148 |
| Total Volume | 17 | 10 | 55 | 4 | 86 | 3 | 280 | 25 | 0 | 308 | 51 | 24 | 6 | 4 | 85 | 50 | 158 | 21 | 0 | 229 | 708 |
| of App. Total | 19.8 | 11.6 | 64 | 4.7 |  | 1 | 90.9 | 8.1 | 0 |  | 60 | 28.2 | 7.1 | 4.7 |  | 21.8 | 69 | 9.2 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 708 | . 625 | . 764 | . 500 | . 796 | 375 | 843 | . 694 | 000 | . 846 | . 797 | . 600 | . 500 | . 500 | . 708 | . 662 | . 898 | . 583 | . 000 | 939 | . 847 |



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

Location : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd
Date : July 7th, 2011 Thursday
Time $: 3: 30-6: 30 \mathrm{PM}$
Weather: Mostly Sunny, 85 F

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd PM
Site Code : 07071103
Start Date : 7/7/2011
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed-Trucks and Cars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thra | Right | Peds | Ape Telal | Left | Thra | Right | Peds | Apz Toral | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | R 20 Toxal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | $\therefore \mathrm{ap}$ Toma | Iat Total |
| 03:30 PM | 2 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 58 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 61 | 10 | 0 | 86 | 182 |
| 03:45 PM | 11 | 14 | 11 | 2 | 38 | 1 | 54 | 10 | 1 | 66 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 87 | 11 | 0 | 110 | 225 |
| Total | 13 | 27 | 19 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 101 | 20 | 1 | 124 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 27 | 148 | 21 | 0 | 196 | 407 |
| 04:00 PM | 10 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 64 | 11 | 0 | 78 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 10 | 79 | 11 | 3 | 103 | 237 |
| 04:15 PM | 14 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 53 | 10 | 2 | 66 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 73 | 11 | 1 | 95 | 203 |
| 04:30 PM | 5 | 12 | 17 | 1 | 35 | 2 | 75 | 14 | 0 | 91 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 89 | 15 | 2 | 113 | 251 |
| 04:45 PM | 11 | 10 | 14 | 1 | 36 | 2 | 78 | 3 | 0 | 83 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 15 | 117 | 21 | 0 | 153 | 288 |
| Total | 40 | 45 | 55 | 3 | 143 | 8 | 270 | 38 | 2 | 318 | 23 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 54 | 42 | 358 | 58 | 6 | 464 | 979 |
| 05:00 PM | 7 | 18 | 22 | 2 | 49 | 1 | 69 | 15 | 0 | 85 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 9 | 102 | 14 | 0 | 125 | 283 |
| 05:15 PM | 15 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 53 | 3 | 71 | 6 | 1 | 81 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 18 | 101 | 17 | 0 | 136 | 287 |
| 05:30 PM | 10 | 17 | 20 | 5 | 52 | 2 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 90 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 26 | 95 | 15 | 0 | 136 | 296 |
| 05:45 PM | 12 | 10 | 17 | 1 | 40 | 4 | 73 | 10 | 1 | 88 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 25 | 69 | 19 | 0 | 113 | 255 |
| Total | 44 | 64 | 78 | 8 | 194 | 10 | 294 | 38 | 2 | 344 | 35 | 27 | 7 | 4 | 73 | 78 | 367 | 65 | 0 | 510 | 1121 |
| 06:00 PM | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 51 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 17 | 91 | 18 | 0 | 126 | 219 |
| 06:15 PM | 10 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 47 | 6 | 1 | 58 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 23 | 61 | 9 | 1 | 94 | 201 |
| Grand Total | 115 | 153 | 168 | 13 | 449 | 25 | 758 | 106 | 6 | 895 | 83 | 79 | 20 | 11 | 193 | 187 | 1025 | 171 | 7 | 1390 | 2927 |
| Apprch \% | 25.6 | 34.1 | 37.4 | 2.9 |  | 2.8 | 84.7 | 11.8 | 0.7 |  | 43 | 40.9 | 10.4 | 5.7 |  | 13.5 | 73.7 | 12.3 | 0.5 |  |  |
| Total \% | 3.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 0.9 | 25.9 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 30.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 35 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 47.5 |  |



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd PM
Site Code : 07071103
Start Date : 7/7/2011
Page No : 2

|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | 2pa Tisal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Pape. Tora | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | sip tosa | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | sap. Tetal | Int Tesal |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 03:30 PM to 06:15 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 11 | 10 | 14 | 1 | 36 | 2 | 78 | 3 | 0 | 83 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 15 | 117 | 21 | 0 | 153 | 288 |
| 05:00 PM | 7 | 18 | 22 | 2 | 49 | 1 | 69 | 15 | 0 | 85 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 9 | 102 | 14 | 0 | 125 | 283 |
| 05:15 PM | 15 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 53 | 3 | 71 | 6 | 1 | 81 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 18 | 101 | 17 | 0 | 136 | 287 |
| 05:30 PM | 10 | 17 | 20 | 5 | 52 | 2 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 90 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 26 | 95 | 15 | 0 | 136 | 296 |
| Total Volume | 43 | 64 | 75 | 8 | 190 | 8 | 299 | 31 | 1 | 339 | 31 | 31 | 8 | 5 | 75 | 68 | 415 | 67 | 0 | 550 | 1154 |
| \% App. Total | 22.6 | 33.7 | 39.5 | 4.2 |  | 2.4 | 88.2 | 9.1 | 0.3 |  | 41.3 | 41.3 | 10.7 | 6.7 |  | 12.4 | 75.5 | 12.2 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 717 | . 842 | . 852 | . 400 | . 896 | . 667 | . 923 | 517 | 250 | 942 | . 705 | . 775 | . 333 | 417 | 781 | . 654 | 887 | 798 | . 000 | . 899 | 975 |



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION 

TRAFFIC
TRAFFIC COUNT DATA


# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION 

TRAFFIC
TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

| Road: <br> Location: <br> Notes: | : CSAH 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site: <br> Date: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011156 } \\ & 07: 04 / 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : East | Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : Approach |  |  | DirectiorwB |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interyat | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun | Weekday | Week |
| Begin | $7 / 4$ | $7 / 5$ | 716 | $7 / 7$ | $7: 8$ | 7\%9 | $7 / 10$ | Avg | Avg |
| 12:AM | * | * | * | 18 | 24 | * | * | 21 | 21 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | , | 12 | * | * | 10 | 10 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | 4 | 14 | * | * | 9 | 9 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | 16 | 21 | * | * | 18 | 18 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | 20 | 24 | * | * | 22 | 22 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | 75 | 74 | * | * | 74 | 74 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | 233 | 216 | * | * | 224 | 224 |
| 7:00 | * | * | 303 | 325 | 281 | * | * | 303 | 303 |
| 8:00 | * | * | 246 | 256 | 229 | * | * | 243 | 243 |
| 9:00 | * | * | 212 | 216 | * | * | * | 214 | 214 |
| 10:00 | * | * | 202 | 220 | * | * | * | 211 | 211 |
| 11:00 | * | * | 216 | 259 | * | * | * | 237 | 237 |
| 12:PM | * | * | 264 | 275 | * | * | * | 269 | 269 |
| 1:00 | * | * | 234 | 234 | * | * | * | 234 | 234 |
| 2:00 | * | * | 213 | 203 | * | * | * | 208 | 208 |
| 3:00 | * | * | 276 | 268 | * | * | * | 272 | 272 |
| 4:00 | * | * | 298 | 326 | * | * | * | 312 | 312 |
| 5:00 | * | * | 332 | 358 | * | * | * | 345 | 345 |
| 6:00 | * | * | 281 | 232 | * | * | * | 256 | 256 |
| 7:00 | * | * | 192 | 192 | * | * | * | 192 | 192 |
| 8:00 | * | * | 162 | 166 | * | * | * | 164 | 164 |
| 9:00 | * | * | 148 | 136 | * | * | * | 142 | 142 |
| 10:00 | * | * | 72 | 82 | * | * | * | 77 | 77 |
| 11:00 | * | * | 53 | 36 | * | * | * | 44 | 44 |
| Totals | 0 | 0 | 3,704 | 4,159 | 895 | 0 | 0 | 4,101 | 4,101 |
| AM Peak | * | * | 7:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 | * | * | 7:00 | 7:00 |
| Volume | * | * | 303 | 325 | 281 | * | * | 303 | 303 |
| PM Peak | * | * | 5:00 | 5:00 | * | * | * | 5:00 | 5:00 |
| Volume | * | * | 332 | 358 | * | * | * | 345 | 345 |

# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION 

TRAFFIC
TRAFFIC COUNT DATA


# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION 

TRAFFIC
TRAFFIC COUNT DATA


# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

Location: CSAH 32 and Dodd Rd
Date : Sept 28, 2011 Wednesday
Time : 6:30 to 8:30 AM
Weather: Sunny, 60F

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd AM (1) Site Code : 09281101
Start Date : 9/28/2011
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Trucks, Cars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Anp. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App, Tolal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 06:30 AM | 5 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 54 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 4 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 172 |
| 06:45 AM | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 67 | 12 | 0 | 79 | 19 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 47 | 10 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 72 | 209 |
| Total | 10 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 121 | 19 | 0 | 140 | 38 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 74 | 14 | 116 | 6 | 0 | 136 | 381 |
| 07:00 AM | 3 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 87 | 17 | 0 | 104 | 17 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 15 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 240 |
| 07:15 AM | 6 | 11 | 29 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 77 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 13 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 61 | 215 |
| 07:30 AM | 6 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 87 | 22 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 8 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 233 |
| 07:45 AM | 8 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 84 | 8 | 0 | 92 | 13 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 24 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 97 | 242 |
| Total | 23 | 25 | 81 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 314 | 46 | 0 | 360 | 72 | 76 | 7 | 0 | 155 | 60 | 219 | 7 | 0 | 286 | 930 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 64 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 63 | 161 |
| 08:15 AM | 5 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 136 |
| Grand Total | 39 | 37 | 113 | 0 | 189 | 3 | 539 | 73 | 0 | 615 | 121 | 124 | 13 | 1 | 259 | 106 | 413 | 26 | 0 | 545 | 1608 |
| Apprch \% | 20.6 | 19.6 | 59.8 | 0 |  | 0.5 | 87.6 | 11.9 | 0 |  | 46.7 | 47.9 | 5 | 0.4 |  | 19.4 | 75.8 | 4.8 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.4 | 2.3 | 7 | 0 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 33.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 38.2 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 16.1 | 6.6 | 25.7 | 1.6 | 0 | 33.9 |  |



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

Location: CSAH 32 and Dodd Rd
Date : Sept 28, 2011 Wednesday
Time : 6:30 to 8:30 AM
Weather : Sunny, 60F

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd AM (1)
Site Code : 09281101
Start Date : 9/28/2011
Page No : 2

|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App, Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 3 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 87 | 17 | 0 | 104 | 17 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 15 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 240 |
| 07:15 AM | 6 | 11 | 29 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 77 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 13 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 61 | 215 |
| 07:30 AM | 6 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 87 | 22 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 8 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 233 |
| 07:45 AM | 8 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 84 | 8 | 0 | 92 | 13 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 24 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 97 | 242 |
| Total Volume | 23 | 25 | 81 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 314 | 46 | 0 | 360 | 72 | 76 | 7 | 0 | 155 | 60 | 219 | 7 | 0 | 286 | 930 |
| \% App. Total | 17.8 | 19.4 | 62.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 87.2 | 12.8 | 0 |  | 46.5 | 49 | 4.5 | 0 |  | 21 | 76.6 | 2.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | 719 | . 568 | . 698 | . 000 | . 701 | . 000 | . 902 | . 676 | . 000 | 865 | 818 | 704 | . 583 | . 000 | . 745 | . 625 | . 782 | . 583 | . 000 | . 737 | . 961 |



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

Location: CSAH 32 and Dodd Rd
Date : Sept 28, 2011 Wednesday
Time : 3:30 to 6:30 PM
Weather: Sunny, 75F

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd PM (1) Site Code : 09280903
Start Date : 9/28/2011
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Trucks, Cars

|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 03:30 PM | 6 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 77 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 11 | 79 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 221 |
| 03:45 PM | 16 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 70 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 71 | 15 | 1 | 100 | 240 |
| Total | 22 | 19 | 41 | 0 | 82 | 3 | 138 | 12 | 0 | 153 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 24 | 150 | 23 | 3 | 200 | 461 |
| 04:00 PM | 8 | 11 | 22 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 54 | 11 | 1 | 69 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 85 | 9 | 1 | 104 | 220 |
| 04:15 PM | 6 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 62 | 10 | 0 | 75 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 16 | 86 | 14 | 0 | 116 | 238 |
| 04:30 PM | 4 | 21 | 12 | 1 | 38 | 2 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 11 | 88 | 22 | 1 | 122 | 265 |
| 04:45 PM | 12 | 16 | 28 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 47 | 14 | 2 | 65 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 86 | 16 | 4 | 122 | 261 |
| Total | 30 | 56 | 77 | 1 | 164 | 10 | 236 | 43 | 3 | 292 | 32 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 64 | 52 | 345 | 61 | 6 | 464 | 984 |
| 05:00 PM | 11 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 84 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 84 | 19 | 4 | 119 | 272 |
| 05:15 PM | 6 | 20 | 22 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 79 | 8 | 2 | 90 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 13 | 97 | 23 | 4 | 137 | 290 |
| 05:30 PM | 12 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 83 | 17 | 0 | 102 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 104 | 20 | 0 | 140 | 306 |
| 05:45 PM | 10 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 78 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 17 | 86 | 12 | 1 | 116 | 266 |
| Total | 39 | 65 | 73 | 0 | 177 | 6 | 324 | 44 | 2 | 376 | 30 | 31 | 6 | 2 | 69 | 58 | 371 | 74 | 9 | 512 | 1134 |
| 06:00 PM | 6 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 26 | 2 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 77 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 72 | 10 | 1 | 92 | 212 |
| 06:15 PM | 6 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 57 | 8 | 0 | 66 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 20 | 88 | 6 | 1 | 115 | 227 |
| Grand Total | 103 | 159 | 217 | 2 | 481 | 22 | 825 | 112 | 5 | 964 | 86 | 79 | 19 | 6 | 190 | 163 | 1026 | 174 | 20 | 1383 | 3018 |
| Apprch \% | 21.4 | 33.1 | 45.1 | 0.4 |  | 2.3 | 85.6 | 11.6 | 0.5 |  | 45.3 | 41.6 | 10 | 3.2 |  | 11.8 | 74.2 | 12.6 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 3.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 15.9 | 0.7 | 27.3 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 34 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 45.8 |  |



# DAKOTA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION <br> TMC TRAFFIC DATA 

Location: CSAH 32 and Dodd Rd
Date : Sept 28,2011 Wednesday
Time : 3:30 to 6:30 PM
Weather: Sunny, 75F

File Name : CSAH 32 \& Dodd Rd PM (1)
Site Code : 09280903
Start Date : 9/28/2011
Page No : 2

|  | Dodd Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Dodd Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | CSAH 32 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Totat | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toas | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Tolal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 03:30 PM to 06:15 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 11 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 84 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 84 | 19 | 4 | 119 | 272 |
| 05:15 PM | 6 | 20 | 22 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 79 | 8 | 2 | 90 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 13 | 97 | 23 | 4 | 137 | 290 |
| 05:30 PM | 12 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 83 | 17 | 0 | 102 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 104 | 20 | 0 | 140 | 306 |
| 05:45 PM | 10 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 78 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 17 | 86 | 12 | 1 | 116 | 266 |
| Total Volume | 39 | 65 | 73 | 0 | 177 | 6 | 324 | 44 | 2 | 376 | 30 | 31 | 6 | 2 | 69 | 58 | 371 | 74 | 9 | 512 | 1134 |
| \% App. Total | 22 | 36.7 | 41.2 | 0 |  | 1.6 | 86.2 | 11.7 | 0.5 |  | 43.5 | 44.9 | 8.7 | 2.9 |  | 11.3 | 72.5 | 14.5 | 1.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 813 | . 813 | . 830 | 000 | . 922 | . 750 | . 964 | . 647 | . 250 | . 922 | . 625 | . 705 | . 500 | . 500 | . 750 | . 853 | . 892 | . 804 | . 563 | . 914 | . 926 |
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## APPENDIX

Warrant Analyses

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

Count Date: July 2011
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Major Street Approaches:
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Eastbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32) } \\ \text { Number of Lanes: } & 2+ \\ \text { Approach Speed: } & 50 \\ \text { Total App. Vehicles: } & 5,312 \\ \text { Rt Turn Percentage: } & 100 \%\end{array}$
Westbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32)
Number of Lanes: 2+
Approach Speed: $\quad 50$
Total App. Vehicles: 4,191
Rt Turn Percentage: 100\%
Analysis of Warrant 1: 8-Hour Volumes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hour } \\ \text { Begin } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major } \\ \text { (Total) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Minor Street |  |  | Condition A <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition B <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ <br> Meets Criteria? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Direction | Rank |  |  |  |
| 12 AM | 64 | 9 | NB | 20 |  |  |  |
| 1 AM | 26 | 3 | SB | 23 |  |  |  |
| 2 AM | 25 | 3 | NB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 3 AM | 41 | 2 | SB | 24 |  |  |  |
| 4 AM | 47 | 6 | NB | 21 |  |  |  |
| 5 AM | 144 | 18 | NB | 19 |  |  |  |
| 6 AM | 453 | 83 | NB | 5 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 7 AM | 665 | 133 | NB | 1 | Major St | -- -BOTH-- |  |
| 8 AM | 494 | 52 | NB | 14 | Major St |  |  |
| 9 AM | 419 | 56 | NB | 12 |  |  |  |
| 10 AM | 432 | 52 | NB | 14 | Major St |  |  |
| 11 AM | 476 | 65 | NB | 8 | Major St |  |  |
| 12 PM | 551 | 67 | NB | 7 | Major St |  |  |
| 1 PM | 511 | 57 | NB | 10 | Major St |  |  |
| 2 PM | 530 | 53 | NB | 13 | Major St |  |  |
| 3 PM | 644 | 65 | NB | 8 | Major St | Major St |  |
| 4 PM | 797 | 94 | SB | 3 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 5 PM | 900 | 99 | SB | 2 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 6 PM | 656 | 91 | NB | 4 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - |  |
| 7 PM | 500 | 75 | NB | 6 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 8 PM | 463 | 57 | NB | 10 | Major St |  |  |
| 9 PM | 359 | 47 | NB | 16 |  |  |  |
| 10 PM | 200 | 28 | NB | 17 |  |  |  |
| 11 PM | 106 | 21 | NB | 18 |  |  |  |

Condition A is the Minimum Vehicular Volume Warrant.
Condition B is the Interruption of Continuous Traffic Warrant.
Condition A+B is the combination of Conditions A and B at $80 \%$.

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

## Traffic Signal Warrant Summary:

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

## Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 0 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street 420 Minor Street 140
Condition B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 4 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street $630 \quad$ Minor Street 70
Condition A+B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 0 hours. Requires volumes to meet 80
percent of requirement of A and of B for eight hours, not necessary the same eight hours. $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Criteria - Major Street } & 480 & 720 & \text { Minor Street } & 160 & 80\end{array}$

Warrant 2 - Four Hour Vehicular Volume
Not satisfied. Required values reached for 1 hour. Four hours required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Minor street delay requirement not met.
Criteria - Total Approach Volume: 800

- Minor Street High Side Volume: 150
- Minor Street High Side Delay: 5 vehicle-hours

Condition B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 0 hours. One hour required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume
Not examined.
Criteria - Pedestrian volume crossing the major street is at least 100 per hour for any 4 hours or at least 190 during any one hour.

Warrant 5 - School Crossing

## Not examined.

Criteria - At least 20 students crossing during the highest crossing hour.

- Consider implementing other measures, such as warning signs and flashers, school speed zones, school crossing guards, or a grade-separated crossing.
- Do not apply at locations where distance to nearest signal is less than 300 feet.

Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

## Not examined

Criteria - Adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning.

- Proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation.
- Warrant should not be used where resultant spacing of traffic control signals would be less than 1,000 feet.


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (cont.):
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Warrant 7 - Crash Experience
Crash requirements not met.
Criteria - 5 or more correctable crashes, and

- Vehicular volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 1 condition A or B, or.
- Pedestrian volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 4 conditions.

Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

## Not examined.

Criteria - Total existing entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hour of a typical weekday.

- 5-year projected traffic volumes that meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday.
- Common intersection of two or more major routes.

Multiway Stop Warrant Summary
Warrant Condition A - Traffic Signal Warrant

## Traffic signal warrants are not met.

Criteria - One or more traffic signal warrants are satisfied.

- Multiway stop may be used as an interim control before traffic signal installation if this warrant is met.

Warrant Condition B - Crash Experience

## Not satisfied.

Criteria - 5 or more correctable crashes in a twelve month period.

Warrant Condition C - Intersection Volume \& Delay
Delay and volume criteria not satisfied.
Criteria - Average delay to minor street vehicular traffic of at least 21 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour.

- Total volume from the major approaches of at least 210 vehicles per hour and total volume from the minor approaches of at least 140 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day.

Warrant Condition D - Combination Volume, Crash Experience, \& Delay
Volume, crash, and delay criteria not satisfied.
Criteria - 4 or more correctable crashes in a twelve month period.

- Average delay to minor street vehicular traffic of at least 24 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour.
- Total volume from the major approaches of at least 240 vehicles per hour and total volume from the minor approaches of at least 160 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day.


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

Traffic Signal Warrant Graphs:
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Figure 4C-2
Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume


Figure 4C-4
Warrant 3 - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Major Street Approaches:
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Eastbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32) } \\ \text { Number of Lanes: } & 2+ \\ \text { Approach Speed: } & 50 \\ \text { Total App. Vehicles: } & 5,855 \\ \text { Rt Turn Percentage: } & 100 \%\end{array}$
Westbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32)
Number of Lanes:
2+
Approach Speed: $\quad 50$
Total App. Vehicles: 4,745
Rt Turn Percentage: 100\%

Minor Street Approaches:
Northbound: Dodd Road Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 30
Total App. Vehicles: 1,503
Rt Turn Percentage: $0 \%$
Southbound: Dodd Road
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 40
Total App. Vehicles: 1,329
Rt Turn Percentage: 0\%

Analysis of Warrant 1: 8-Hour Volumes

| Hour <br> Begin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major } \\ \text { (Total) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Minor Street |  |  | Condition A <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition B <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ <br> Meets Criteria? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Direction | Rank |  |  |  |
| 12 AM | 75 | 14 | NB | 20 |  |  |  |
| 1 AM | 35 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2 AM | 35 | 5 | NB | 24 |  |  |  |
| 3 AM | 55 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 4 AM | 55 | 9 | NB | 21 |  |  |  |
| 5 AM | 165 | 23 | NB | 19 |  |  |  |
| 6 AM | 505 | 102 | NB | 5 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 7 AM | 740 | 162 | NB | 1 | - - -BOTH- -- | - - -BOTH- - | ---A + B- - |
| 8 AM | 550 | 65 | NB | 13 | Major St |  |  |
| 9 AM | 465 | 69 | NB | 12 | Major St |  |  |
| 10 AM | 485 | 65 | NB | 13 | Major St |  |  |
| 11 AM | 530 | 79 | NB | 9 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 12 PM | 615 | 83 | NB | 8 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 1 PM | 570 | 74 | SB | 10 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 2 PM | 590 | 65 | NB | 13 | Major St |  |  |
| 3 PM | 710 | 88 | SB | 7 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - |  |
| 4 PM | 880 | 132 | SB | 3 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 5 PM | 995 | 138 | SB | 2 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 6 PM | 730 | 111 | NB | 4 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 7 PM | 555 | 93 | NB | 6 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 8 PM | 515 | 72 | SB | 11 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 9 PM | 400 | 60 | NB | 16 |  |  |  |
| 10 PM | 225 | 37 | NB | 17 |  |  |  |
| 11 PM | 120 | 28 | NB | 18 |  |  |  |

Condition A is the Minimum Vehicular Volume Warrant.
Condition B is the Interruption of Continuous Traffic Warrant.
Condition A+B is the combination of Conditions A and B at $80 \%$.

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

## Traffic Signal Warrant Summary:

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 1 hour. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street 420 Minor Street 140
Condition B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 5 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street $630 \quad$ Minor Street 70
Condition A+B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 1 hour. Requires volumes to meet 80
percent of requirement of A and of B for eight hours, not necessary the same eight hours. $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Criteria - Major Street } & 480 & 720 & \text { Minor Street } & 160 & 80\end{array}$

Warrant 2 - Four Hour Vehicular Volume
Not satisfied. Required values reached for 3 hours. Four hours required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Not examined.
Criteria - Total Approach Volume: 800

- Minor Street High Side Volume: 150
- Minor Street High Side Delay: 5 vehicle-hours

Condition B: Satisfied. Required values reached for 1 hour. One hour required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume
Not examined.
Criteria - Pedestrian volume crossing the major street is at least 100 per hour for any 4 hours or at least 190 during any one hour.

Warrant 5 - School Crossing

## Not examined.

Criteria - At least 20 students crossing during the highest crossing hour.

- Consider implementing other measures, such as warning signs and flashers, school speed zones, school crossing guards, or a grade-separated crossing.
- Do not apply at locations where distance to nearest signal is less than 300 feet.

Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

## Not examined

Criteria - Adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning.

- Proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation.
- Warrant should not be used where resultant spacing of traffic control signals would be less than 1,000 feet.


# Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road 

Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (cont.):
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Warrant 7 - Crash Experience
Not examined.
Criteria - 5 or more correctable crashes, and - Vehicular volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 1 condition A or B, or. - Pedestrian volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 4 conditions.

Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

## Not examined.

Criteria - Total existing entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hour of a typical weekday.

- 5-year projected traffic volumes that meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday.
- Common intersection of two or more major routes.


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

Traffic Signal Warrant Graphs:
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Figure 4C-2
Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume


Figure 4C-4
Warrant 3 - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Major Street Approaches:
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Eastbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32) } \\ \text { Number of Lanes: } & 2+ \\ \text { Approach Speed: } & 50 \\ \text { Total App. Vehicles: } & 6,090 \\ \text { Rt Turn Percentage: } & 100 \%\end{array}$
Westbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32)
Number of Lanes:
2+
Approach Speed: $\quad 50$
Total App. Vehicles: 5,015
Rt Turn Percentage: 100\%

Minor Street Approaches:
Northbound: Dodd Road Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 30
Total App. Vehicles: 1,628
Rt Turn Percentage: $0 \%$
Southbound: Dodd Road
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 40
Total App. Vehicles: 1,544
Rt Turn Percentage: 0\%

Analysis of Warrant 1: 8-Hour Volumes

| Hour <br> Begin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major } \\ \text { (Total) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Minor Street |  |  | Condition A <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition B <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ <br> Meets Criteria? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Direction | Rank |  |  |  |
| 12 AM | 75 | 14 | NB | 20 |  |  |  |
| 1 AM | 35 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2 AM | 35 | 5 | NB | 24 |  |  |  |
| 3 AM | 55 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 4 AM | 60 | 9 | NB | 21 |  |  |  |
| 5 AM | 170 | 23 | NB | 19 |  |  |  |
| 6 AM | 530 | 111 | NB | 5 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 7 AM | 780 | 176 | NB | 1 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH- - | - - - + + - - |
| 8 AM | 575 | 69 | NB | 14 | Major St |  |  |
| 9 AM | 490 | 74 | SB | 12 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 10 AM | 505 | 69 | NB | 14 | Major St |  |  |
| 11 AM | 555 | 88 | NB | 8 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 12 PM | 645 | 88 | NB | 8 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - |  |
| 1 PM | 595 | 85 | SB | 10 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 2 PM | 620 | 74 | SB | 12 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 3 PM | 750 | 105 | SB | 6 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 4 PM | 925 | 154 | SB | 3 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 5 PM | 1045 | 160 | SB | 2 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 6 PM | 760 | 120 | NB | 4 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 7 PM | 580 | 97 | NB | 7 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 8 PM | 535 | 83 | SB | 11 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 9 PM | 420 | 65 | NB | 16 | Major St |  |  |
| 10 PM | 235 | 39 | SB | 17 |  |  |  |
| 11 PM | 130 | 28 | NB | 18 |  |  |  |

Condition A is the Minimum Vehicular Volume Warrant.
Condition B is the Interruption of Continuous Traffic Warrant.
Condition A+B is the combination of Conditions A and B at $80 \%$.

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

## Traffic Signal Warrant Summary:

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 3 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street 420 Minor Street 140
Condition B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 6 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street $630 \quad$ Minor Street 70
Condition A+B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 1 hour. Requires volumes to meet 80
percent of requirement of A and of B for eight hours, not necessary the same eight hours. $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Criteria - Major Street } & 480 & 720 & \text { Ainor Street } & 160 & 80\end{array}$

Warrant 2 - Four Hour Vehicular Volume
Satisfied. Required values reached for 4 hours. Four hours required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Not examined.
Criteria - Total Approach Volume: 800

- Minor Street High Side Volume: 150
- Minor Street High Side Delay: 5 vehicle-hours

Condition B: Satisfied. Required values reached for 1 hour. One hour required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume
Not examined.
Criteria - Pedestrian volume crossing the major street is at least 100 per hour for any 4 hours or at least 190 during any one hour.

Warrant 5 - School Crossing

## Not examined.

Criteria - At least 20 students crossing during the highest crossing hour.

- Consider implementing other measures, such as warning signs and flashers, school speed zones, school crossing guards, or a grade-separated crossing.
- Do not apply at locations where distance to nearest signal is less than 300 feet.

Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

## Not examined

Criteria - Adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning.

- Proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation.
- Warrant should not be used where resultant spacing of traffic control signals would be less than 1,000 feet.


# Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road 

Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (cont.):
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Warrant 7 - Crash Experience
Not examined.
Criteria - 5 or more correctable crashes, and - Vehicular volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 1 condition A or B, or. - Pedestrian volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 4 conditions.

Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

## Not examined.

Criteria - Total existing entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hour of a typical weekday.

- 5-year projected traffic volumes that meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday.
- Common intersection of two or more major routes.


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

Traffic Signal Warrant Graphs:
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Figure 4C-2
Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume


Figure 4C-4
Warrant 3 - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Major Street Approaches:
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Eastbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32) } \\ \text { Number of Lanes: } & 2+ \\ \text { Approach Speed: } & 50 \\ \text { Total App. Vehicles: } & 6,445 \\ \text { Rt Turn Percentage: } & 100 \%\end{array}$
Westbound: Cliff Road (CSAH 32)
Number of Lanes:
2+
Approach Speed: $\quad 50$
Total App. Vehicles: 5,390
Rt Turn Percentage: 100\%

Minor Street Approaches:
Northbound: Dodd Road Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 30
Total App. Vehicles: $\quad 1,808$
Rt Turn Percentage: $0 \%$
Southbound: Dodd Road
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 40
Total App. Vehicles: 1,915
Rt Turn Percentage: 0\%

Analysis of Warrant 1: 8-Hour Volumes

| Hour <br> Begin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major } \\ \text { (Total) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Minor Street |  |  | Condition A <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition B <br> Meets Criteria? | Condition $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ <br> Meets Criteria? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Direction | Rank |  |  |  |
| 12 AM | 85 | 17 | SB | 20 |  |  |  |
| 1 AM | 35 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2 AM | 35 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 3 AM | 55 | 6 | SB | 22 |  |  |  |
| 4 AM | 65 | 9 | NB | 21 |  |  |  |
| 5 AM | 180 | 28 | NB | 19 |  |  |  |
| 6 AM | 565 | 125 | NB | 6 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 7 AM | 830 | 194 | NB | 2 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH--- | ---A + B-- |
| 8 AM | 615 | 80 | SB | 14 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 9 AM | 525 | 91 | SB | 13 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 10 AM | 540 | 80 | SB | 14 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 11 AM | 595 | 97 | NB | 10 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 12 PM | 685 | 97 | NB | 10 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - |  |
| 1 PM | 635 | 107 | SB | 8 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - |  |
| 2 PM | 655 | 94 | SB | 12 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - |  |
| 3 PM | 800 | 129 | SB | 5 | Major St | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 4 PM | 985 | 190 | SB | 3 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH- - | ---A + B--- |
| 5 PM | 1110 | 198 | SB | 1 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH- - | ---A + B--- |
| 6 PM | 815 | 143 | SB | 4 | - - -BOTH- - | - - -BOTH- - | B ONLY |
| 7 PM | 620 | 111 | NB | 7 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 8 PM | 570 | 102 | SB | 9 | Major St | Minor St |  |
| 9 PM | 450 | 69 | NB | 16 | Major St |  |  |
| 10 PM | 250 | 47 | SB | 17 |  |  |  |
| 11 PM | 135 | 32 | NB | 18 |  |  |  |

Condition A is the Minimum Vehicular Volume Warrant.
Condition B is the Interruption of Continuous Traffic Warrant.
Condition A+B is the combination of Conditions A and B at $80 \%$.

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

## Traffic Signal Warrant Summary:

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 4 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street 420 Minor Street 140
Condition B: Satisfied. Required values reached for 8 hours. Eight hours required. Criteria - Major Street $630 \quad$ Minor Street 70
Condition A+B: Not satisfied. Required values reached for 3 hours. Requires volumes to meet 80
percent of requirement of A and of B for eight hours, not necessary the same eight hours. $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Criteria - Major Street } & 480 & 720 & \text { Minor Street } & 160 & 80\end{array}$

Warrant 2 - Four Hour Vehicular Volume
Satisfied. Required values reached for 5 hours. Four hours required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour Vehicular Volume
Condition A: Not examined.
Criteria - Total Approach Volume: 800

- Minor Street High Side Volume: 150
- Minor Street High Side Delay: 5 vehicle-hours

Condition B: Satisfied. Required values reached for 2 hours. One hour required.
See chart for criteria.
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume
Not examined.
Criteria - Pedestrian volume crossing the major street is at least 100 per hour for any 4 hours or at least 190 during any one hour.

Warrant 5 - School Crossing

## Not examined.

Criteria - At least 20 students crossing during the highest crossing hour.

- Consider implementing other measures, such as warning signs and flashers, school speed zones, school crossing guards, or a grade-separated crossing.
- Do not apply at locations where distance to nearest signal is less than 300 feet.

Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

## Not examined

Criteria - Adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning.

- Proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation.
- Warrant should not be used where resultant spacing of traffic control signals would be less than 1,000 feet.


# Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road 

Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (cont.):
TKDA Project No.: 14957.000

Warrant 7 - Crash Experience
Not examined.
Criteria - 5 or more correctable crashes, and - Vehicular volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 1 condition A or B, or. - Pedestrian volumes meeting 80 percent of Warrant 4 conditions.

Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

## Not examined.

Criteria - Total existing entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hour of a typical weekday.

- 5-year projected traffic volumes that meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday.
- Common intersection of two or more major routes.


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Cliff Road (CSAH 32) and Dodd Road

## Traffic Signal Warrant Graphs:

TKDA Project No.: 14957.000
Figure 4C-2
Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume


Figure 4C-4
Warrant 3 - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume


## APPENDIX

Collision Diagram

## Dakota County Highway Department



## APPENDIX

## Traffic Analyses

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Spal Del/Veh (s) | 6.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 6.2 | 13.1 | 13.8 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 6.1 | 9.0 | 13.5 |
| Total Stops | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 78 | 6 | 23 | 24 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 37 | 48 | 44 | 41 | 45 | 41 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 22 |
| Vehicles Entered | 57 | 224 | 6 | 1 | 321 | 47 | 69 | 77 | 6 | 24 | 24 |
| Vehicles Exited | 57 | 223 | 6 | 1 | 321 | 48 | 69 | 78 | 6 | 23 | 24 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 57 | 223 | 6 | 1 | 321 | 48 | 69 | 78 | 6 | 23 | 24 |
| Input Volume | 60 | 219 | 7 | 1 | 314 | 46 | 72 | 76 | 7 | 23 | 25 |
| \% of Volume | 95 | 102 | 86 | 100 | 102 | 104 | 96 | 103 | 86 | 100 | 96 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.9 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 1.0 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 4.0 |
| Total Stops | 312 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 6.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 36 |
| Vehicles Entered | 942 |
| Vehicles Exited | 942 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 942 |
| Input Volume | 931 |
| \% of Volume | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Existing AM Peak
Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 50 | 5 | 78 | 88 | 43 | 92 |
| Average Queue (t) | 16 | 0 | 33 | 39 | 16 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (t) | 40 | 5 | 58 | 70 | 40 | 70 |
| Link Distance (tt) |  |  |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (t) | 300 | 300 | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.7 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 4.9 | 16.4 | 16.1 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 15.8 |
| Total Stops | 21 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 40 | 61 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 38 | 44 | 41 | 38 | 46 | 42 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 20 |
| Vehicles Entered | 72 | 422 | 67 | 9 | 295 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 8 | 40 | 60 |
| Vehicles Exited | 71 | 423 | 67 | 8 | 295 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 40 | 61 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 71 | 423 | 67 | 8 | 295 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 40 | 61 |
| Input Volume | 68 | 415 | 67 | 8 | 299 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 8 | 43 | 64 |
| \% of Volume | 104 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 93 | 95 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.9 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 1.2 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 3.8 |
| Total Stops | 267 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 8.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 38 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1135 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1135 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1135 |
| Input Volume | 1140 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 45 | 6 | 30 | 13 | 43 | 58 | 61 | 108 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 25 | 24 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 5 | 19 | 10 | 45 | 52 | 52 | 86 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1466 |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 1 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.2 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 13.4 | 21.6 | 20.2 | 13.1 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 4.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 16.9 | 19.7 | 12.9 | 17.4 | 19.7 | 12.7 |
| Total Stops | 41 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 89 | 143 | 11 | 32 | 42 | 115 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 35 | 47 | 42 | 38 | 42 | 38 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 20 |
| Vehicles Entered | 83 | 277 | 10 | 4 | 396 | 72 | 90 | 143 | 11 | 32 | 42 | 115 |
| Vehicles Exited | 83 | 277 | 10 | 4 | 394 | 72 | 89 | 143 | 11 | 32 | 42 | 115 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 83 | 277 | 10 | 4 | 394 | 72 | 89 | 143 | 11 | 32 | 42 | 115 |
| Input Volume | 85 | 275 | 10 | 5 | 390 | 70 | 90 | 140 | 10 | 35 | 45 | 115 |
| \% of Volume | 98 | 101 | 100 | 80 | 101 | 103 | 99 | 102 | 110 | 91 | 93 | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 3.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.5 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 2.6 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 7.4 |
| Total Stops | 478 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 10.5 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 31 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1275 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1272 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1272 |
| Input Volume | 1270 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
2023 AM Peak-No Improvements
Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 58 | 20 | 28 | 109 | 164 | 69 | 152 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 1 | 3 | 43 | 63 | 22 | 59 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 7 | 15 | 79 | 117 | 53 | 115 |
| ink Distance (ft) |  |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.8 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 25.2 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 28.5 | 35.3 | 23.3 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Speed Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 21.4 | 21.9 | 11.9 | 24.3 | 34.7 | 22.8 |
| Total Stops | 39 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 56 | 16 | 62 | 109 | 107 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.9 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 36 | 42 | 38 | 35 | 44 | 41 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 15 |
| Vehicles Entered | 94 | 522 | 80 | 14 | 361 | 45 | 40 | 56 | 16 | 61 | 109 | 107 |
| Vehicles Exited | 95 | 522 | 80 | 14 | 362 | 45 | 40 | 56 | 16 | 61 | 108 | 107 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 95 | 522 | 80 | 14 | 362 | 45 | 40 | 56 | 16 | 61 | 108 | 107 |
| Input Volume | 95 | 515 | 85 | 15 | 370 | 45 | 40 | 60 | 15 | 65 | 115 | 105 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 101 | 94 | 93 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 107 | 94 | 94 | 102 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 10.0 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 3.7 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 8.8 |
| Total Stops | 438 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 13.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 31 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1505 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1506 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1506 |
| Input Volume | 1525 |
| \% of Volume | 99 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 20 | 26 | 12 | 68 | 88 | 136 | 230 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 27 | 40 | 42 | 95 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 8 | 23 | 6 | 57 | 75 | 97 | 184 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1466 |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sotal Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 28.3 | 36.0 | 27.6 | 28.5 | 32.6 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 5.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 24.4 | 35.2 | 26.8 | 24.2 | 32.1 |
| Total Stops | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 102 | 173 | 14 | 37 | 59 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 34 | 46 | 41 | 36 | 42 | 38 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 13 |
| Vehicles Entered | 95 | 317 | 8 | 3 | 439 | 81 | 101 | 172 | 14 | 36 | 59 |
| Vehicles Exited | 95 | 316 | 8 | 3 | 438 | 81 | 100 | 173 | 13 | 36 | 59 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 95 | 316 | 8 | 3 | 438 | 81 | 100 | 173 | 13 | 36 | 59 |
| Input Volume | 95 | 300 | 10 | 5 | 430 | 80 | 100 | 180 | 15 | 40 | 60 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 105 | 80 | 60 | 102 | 101 | 100 | 96 | 87 | 90 | 98 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 13.2 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 4.9 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 12.0 |
| Total Stops | 577 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 13.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 26 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1452 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1449 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1449 |
| Input Volume | 1445 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 4 | 20 | 36 | 173 | 251 | 98 | 194 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 53 | 96 | 28 | 80 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 55 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 110 | 189 | 69 | 163 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1466 |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  | 11 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 4 | 18 |  | 4 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sotal Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 6.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.9 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 8.9 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 35.3 | 26.6 | 19.4 | 107.3 | 148.0 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 5.7 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 4.5 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 31.5 | 26.2 | 19.0 | 98.7 | 140.2 |
| Total Stops | 51 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 70 | 17 | 140 | 196 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.0 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 6.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 35 | 41 | 37 | 34 | 43 | 39 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 5.8 | 4 |
| Vehicles Entered | 106 | 572 | 96 | 18 | 397 | 54 | 45 | 70 | 17 | 77 | 141 |
| Vehicles Exited | 106 | 571 | 96 | 18 | 398 | 54 | 46 | 70 | 17 | 75 | 141 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 106 | 571 | 96 | 18 | 398 | 54 | 46 | 70 | 17 | 75 | 141 |
| Input Volume | 110 | 565 | 95 | 15 | 410 | 55 | 45 | 75 | 15 | 75 | 150 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 101 | 101 | 120 | 97 | 98 | 102 | 93 | 113 | 100 | 94 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 16.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 33.3 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 14.7 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 30.4 |
| Total Stops | 716 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 26.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 18 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1720 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1719 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1719 |
| Input Volume | 1730 |
| \% of Volume | 99 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 3 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
2029 PM Peak-No Improvements
Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 32 | 32 | 13 | 72 | 113 | 219 | 688 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 48 | 119 | 369 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 17 | 27 | 7 | 66 | 88 | 265 | 827 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1466 |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 69 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 52 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 29.7 | 42.1 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 30.7 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 5.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 25.6 | 41.3 | 31.6 | 28.2 | 30.2 |
| Total Stops | 59 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 107 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 69 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 34 | 46 | 41 | 36 | 41 | 38 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Vehicles Entered | 97 | 322 | 12 | 4 | 423 | 90 | 102 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 70 |
| Vehicles Exited | 96 | 322 | 12 | 4 | 422 | 89 | 102 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 69 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 96 | 322 | 12 | 4 | 422 | 89 | 102 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 69 |
| Input Volume | 100 | 305 | 10 | 5 | 435 | 85 | 100 | 190 | 15 | 45 | 65 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 106 | 120 | 80 | 97 | 105 | 102 | 97 | 107 | 93 | 106 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.4 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 5.5 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 13.2 |
| Total Stops | 618 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 14.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 25 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1494 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1490 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1490 |
| Input Volume | 1490 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 70 | 26 | 32 | 219 | 251 | 81 | 183 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 1 | 5 | 63 | 110 | 30 | 82 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 55 | 11 | 23 | 146 | 211 | 64 | 152 |
| ink Distance (ft) |  |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 2 | 25 | 0 | 11 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 4 | 25 | 1 | 5 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sotal Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 11.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.3 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 42.8 | 28.8 | 22.0 | 212.8 | 260.1 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 11.0 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 4.9 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 38.8 | 28.4 | 21.6 | 196.7 | 250.3 |
| Total Stops | 55 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 78 | 16 | 199 | 285 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.1 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 12.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 34 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 43 | 39 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 3.6 | 2 |
| Vehicles Entered | 112 | 600 | 93 | 15 | 414 | 47 | 43 | 77 | 16 | 76 | 153 |
| Vehicles Exited | 112 | 600 | 93 | 15 | 414 | 48 | 43 | 78 | 16 | 72 | 148 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 112 | 600 | 93 | 15 | 414 | 48 | 43 | 78 | 16 | 72 | 148 |
| Input Volume | 115 | 575 | 95 | 15 | 415 | 55 | 45 | 80 | 15 | 80 | 160 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 104 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 87 | 96 | 98 | 107 | 90 | 92 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 27.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 56.1 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 26.1 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 52.5 |
| Total Stops | 919 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 38.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 12 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1768 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1754 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1754 |
| Input Volume | 1775 |
| \% of Volume | 99 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 6 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 27 | 33 | 27 | 84 | 110 | 219 | 989 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 34 | 51 | 160 | 659 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 71 | 94 | 303 | 1091 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1466 |  | 1463 |  | 964 |  | 966 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 7 | 92 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 20 | 74 |

## SimTraffic Performance Report

## Existing AM Peak-3 Approach Lanes, Traffic Signal

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sotal Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.0 | 11.4 | 6.5 | 12.3 | 18.2 | 7.2 | 17.4 | 13.4 | 8.3 | 16.1 | 15.1 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 12.7 | 10.9 | 1.9 | 7.6 | 17.6 | 2.9 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 4.1 | 11.7 | 14.4 |
| Total Stops | 46 | 103 | 3 | 1 | 190 | 29 | 49 | 44 | 5 | 16 | 13 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 27 | 32 | 39 | 32 | 26 | 35 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 21 |
| Vehicles Entered | 57 | 224 | 6 | 1 | 322 | 47 | 69 | 77 | 6 | 24 | 24 |
| Vehicles Exited | 58 | 223 | 6 | 1 | 321 | 48 | 69 | 78 | 6 | 23 | 24 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 58 | 223 | 6 | 1 | 321 | 48 | 69 | 78 | 6 | 23 | 24 |
| Input Volume | 60 | 219 | 7 | 1 | 314 | 46 | 72 | 76 | 7 | 23 | 25 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 102 | 86 | 100 | 102 | 104 | 96 | 103 | 86 | 100 | 96 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 3.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.4 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 3.4 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 12.7 |
| Total Stops | 557 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 9.3 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 26 |
| Vehicles Entered | 943 |
| Vehicles Exited | 943 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 943 |
| Input Volume | 931 |
| \% of Volume | 101 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 136 | 13 | 12 | 215 | 39 | 78 | 99 | 25 | 47 | 44 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 32 | 32 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 97 | 8 | 5 | 165 | 25 | 63 | 76 | 17 | 34 | 33 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1454 |  |  | 1451 |  |  | 955 |  |  | 954 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Existing PM Peak-3 Approach Lanes, Traffic Signal
200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.2 | 13.6 | 7.2 | 18.7 | 16.5 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 13.8 | 8.6 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 9.2 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 11.3 | 12.3 | 2.4 | 14.5 | 15.9 | 2.9 | 14.0 | 13.4 | 4.6 | 14.0 | 16.9 | 4.6 |
| Total Stops | 53 | 179 | 33 | 7 | 166 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 4 | 27 | 36 | 48 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.8 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 28 | 31 | 36 | 25 | 27 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 26 |
| Vehicles Entered | 72 | 422 | 67 | 9 | 295 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 8 | 40 | 60 | 72 |
| Vehicles Exited | 71 | 422 | 67 | 8 | 296 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 40 | 61 | 72 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 71 | 422 | 67 | 8 | 296 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 40 | 61 | 72 |
| Input Volume | 68 | 415 | 67 | 8 | 299 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 8 | 43 | 64 | 75 |
| \% of Volume | 104 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 93 | 95 | 96 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.2 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 3.9 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 12.2 |
| Total Stops | 614 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 11.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 28 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1136 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1135 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1135 |
| Input Volume | 1140 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 76 | 184 | 36 | 27 | 188 | 40 | 45 | 53 | 24 | 56 | 80 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 87 | 11 | 5 | 82 | 7 | 18 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 22 | 18 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 152 | 26 | 19 | 151 | 23 | 45 | 42 | 17 | 45 | 59 | 43 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1454 |  |  | 1451 |  |  | 955 |  |  | 954 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.2 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 20.3 | 24.3 | 9.6 | 23.2 | 20.5 | 9.6 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 13.5 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 16.5 | 12.2 | 2.1 | 15.4 | 23.3 | 4.9 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 16.4 | 20.9 | 8.7 |
| Total Stops | 83 | 137 | 5 | 3 | 277 | 55 | 82 | 126 | 11 | 34 | 48 | 97 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.2 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 24 | 31 | 37 | 24 | 23 | 32 | 15 | 16 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 22 |
| Vehicles Entered | 97 | 322 | 12 | 4 | 422 | 90 | 102 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 70 | 132 |
| Vehicles Exited | 97 | 321 | 12 | 4 | 421 | 89 | 102 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 69 | 131 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 97 | 321 | 12 | 4 | 421 | 89 | 102 | 185 | 16 | 42 | 69 | 131 |
| Input Volume | 100 | 305 | 10 | 5 | 435 | 85 | 100 | 190 | 15 | 45 | 65 | 135 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 105 | 120 | 80 | 97 | 105 | 102 | 97 | 107 | 93 | 106 | 97 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 7.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.8 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 6.9 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 16.6 |
| Total Stops | 958 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 16.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 23 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1494 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1489 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1489 |
| Input Volume | 1490 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 105 | 173 | 14 | 26 | 307 | 63 | 105 | 166 | 29 | 67 | 82 | 87 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 73 | 2 | 3 | 147 | 17 | 48 | 76 | 8 | 22 | 31 | 34 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 78 | 140 | 10 | 14 | 253 | 42 | 91 | 138 | 27 | 50 | 70 | 67 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1454 |  |  | 1451 |  |  | 955 |  |  | 954 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sotal Delay (hr) | 0.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.8 | 20.8 | 9.2 | 23.1 | 22.1 | 8.1 | 23.8 | 20.6 | 12.3 | 24.0 | 22.9 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 16.3 | 18.3 | 3.7 | 18.8 | 21.3 | 3.7 | 19.5 | 20.1 | 8.2 | 19.1 | 21.5 |
| Total Stops | 92 | 311 | 44 | 14 | 253 | 29 | 36 | 54 | 12 | 60 | 100 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.5 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 24 | 26 | 34 | 23 | 24 | 34 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 17 |
| Vehicles Entered | 112 | 600 | 93 | 15 | 414 | 47 | 43 | 77 | 17 | 77 | 155 |
| Vehicles Exited | 112 | 601 | 93 | 15 | 412 | 48 | 43 | 78 | 16 | 77 | 156 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 112 | 601 | 93 | 15 | 412 | 48 | 43 | 78 | 16 | 77 | 156 |
| Input Volume | 115 | 575 | 95 | 15 | 415 | 55 | 45 | 80 | 15 | 80 | 160 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 105 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 87 | 96 | 98 | 120 | 96 | 98 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32) Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 10.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 20.0 |
| Speed Delay (hr) | 8.6 |
| Speed Del/Veh (s) | 17.3 |
| Total Stops | 1090 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 20.3 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 23 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1774 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1775 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1775 |
| Input Volume | 1775 |
| \% of Volume | 100 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 |

Intersection: 200: Dodd Road \& Cliff Road (CSAH 32)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 406 | 53 | 46 | 263 | 36 | 71 | 104 | 38 | 86 | 140 | 85 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 43 | 164 | 15 | 9 | 131 | 9 | 28 | 39 | 8 | 38 | 58 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 79 | 297 | 33 | 29 | 224 | 24 | 59 | 81 | 28 | 74 | 109 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1454 |  |  | 1451 |  |  | 955 |  |  | 954 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 |





[^0]:    * Data File: 32 E of Dodd Rd AC

