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## 1. Purpose and Need

The intersection of Dakota County State Aid Highway 73 (Oakdale Avenue) and Dakota County State Aid Highway 8 (Wentworth Avenue), called CSAH 73/CSAH 8 hereafter, in West St. Paul, MN is one of the busiest intersections under Dakota County's jurisdiction controlled with all-way stop signs. The purpose of this Feasibility Study is twofold: (1) to determine the most appropriate long term level of traffic control for the intersection and (2) if a change in traffic control is needed, to determine in what year that change should occur. The traffic control alternatives are analyzed in the existing, near term (Year 2010), and long term horizons (Year 2030).

## 2. Description of Location

The City of West St. Paul is located south of St. Paul and is situated in the northern portion of Dakota County. Figure 2.1 shows the location of the study intersection as well as its proximity to major intersections. According to the US Census Bureau, the 2000 population of West St. Paul was estimated to be 19,405.

Figure 2.1 - CSAH 73/CSAH 8 Location Map


## 3. Existing Conditions

## a. Intersection Geometry

CSAH 73
CSAH 73 is a two lane, undivided roadway (plus turn lanes) with a 30 mph posted speed limit north of CSAH 8 and 35 mph posted speed limit south of CSAH 8. It is designated as a collector roadway by Dakota County. The 2007 Average Annual Daily Traffic volume on CSAH 73 is 7,600 vehicles per day north of CSAH 8 and 9,900 vehicles per day south of CSAH 8. The stop sign controlled northbound and southbound approaches currently each have one exclusive left turn lane, one shared through/right lane and one parking lane. During congested periods motorists use the parking lanes as right turn lanes.

## CSAH 8

CSAH 8 is a two lane, undivided roadway (plus turn lanes) with a 35 mph posted speed limit at the intersection with CSAH 73. It is designated as a B-Minor Arterial by Dakota County. The 2007 Average Annual Daily Traffic volume on CSAH 8 is 9,600 vehicles per day west of CSAH 73 and 10,000 vehicles per day east of CSAH 73. The stop sign controlled westbound and eastbound approaches currently each have one exclusive left turn lane, one through lane, and one exclusive right turn lane. There are Metro Transit bus stops on CSAH 73 both north and south of CSAH 8 approximately thirty feet upstream from the intersection.

The Trunk Highway 52/CSAH 8 interchange, approximately 1,200 feet east of the CSAH 73/CSAH 8 intersection, will be improved in 2009 through a Mn/DOT project. The intersections at the interchange will have roundabout control. The interchange is far enough away from the CSAH 73/CSAH 8 intersection that platoons of vehicles going along the CSAH 8 corridor will not adversely impact the operation of the intersections at CSAAH 73 or the Highway 52 interchange.

## b. Traffic Data

Hourly traffic volumes for the existing northbound, southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches of the existing CSAH 73/ CSAH 8 intersection are contained in Appendix A in Table A-1. The existing turning movement volumes for the intersection are shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1-2008 Turning Movement Peak Hour Volumes

|  | SB CSAH 73 |  |  | WB CSAH 8 |  |  | NB CSAH 73 |  |  | EB CSAH 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lt | Thru | Rt | Lt | Thru | Rt | Lt | Thru | Rt | Lt | Thru | Rt |
| AM | 42 | 129 | 64 | 58 | 240 | 72 | 36 | 118 | 38 | 31 | 122 | 15 |
| PM | 75 | 231 | 79 | 76 | 317 | 105 | 56 | 205 | 94 | 85 | 382 | 74 |

Source: Dakota County

## c. Crash Data

There were twelve State reported crashes at the intersection from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 (six right angle crashes, three rear end crashes, two left turn crashes, and one head on crash). A crash diagram is included in Appendix $B$ and a summary is shown in Figure 3.1. Eight of the twelve crashes occurred in 2007. Because 2/3 of the crashes at the intersection from 2005 through 2007 occurred in 2007, the intersection should be monitored by Dakota County Transportation staff in 2008 and in future years to determine if the high number of crashes in 2007 is an anomaly or the beginning of a trend.


Figure 3.1 - 2005 through 2007 Crash Summary
Based on the 2008 average daily entering volumes, the intersection had 0.41 crashes per million entering vehicles on average from 2005 through 2007. However, the intersection had 0.99 crashes per million entering vehicles in 2007. Based on Mn/DOT's 2008 Traffic Safety Fundamentals Handbook, it is expected all way stop sign controlled intersections have 0.60 crashes per million entering vehicles on average and signal controlled intersections have 0.70 crashes per million entering vehicles on average. Because signalized intersections have higher average crash rates than intersections with all way stop sign control, traffic signal installation is not considered a safety improvement. The CSAH 73/CSAH 8 intersection had a lower crash rate than the average all way stop sign controlled intersection from 2005 through 2007, however the 2007 crash rate was higher than average.

## d. Intersection Observations

The existing CSAH 73/CSAH 8 intersection is controlled with all-way stop signs. The intersection was observed for an hour during the morning, midday, and evening peak periods. The eastbound queue built to 16 vehicles and the southbound queue built to 11 vehicles at about 5:15 p.m., but dissipated by 5:20 p.m. Queues quickly dissipated in the morning and midday hours, but were steady during the evening rush hour. Semi-trucks took wide turns at the intersection. The parking lot on the southeast quadrant of the intersection is used as a park and ride lot. The morning bus stops did not impact the operation of the intersection, however the evening bus stops did. At 5:25 and 5:52 p.m. buses stopped and dropped off approximately 20 passengers. This platoon of pedestrians took control of the intersection as they used the crosswalks to go from the northeast quadrant of the intersection to the southeast quadrant of the intersection. A detailed report of the observations is included in Appendix C.

## e. Warrant Analyses

A traffic signal warrant analysis was conducted for the existing intersection per the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. Mn/DOT has developed a procedure for reducing the right turn volumes used in a warrant analysis based on the amount of traffic that conflicts with the right turn movement. If there is little conflicting volume for a right turn movement, the right turn movement does not benefit from installation of traffic signal control. Dakota County uses the right turn reduction procedure developed by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$. Following the procedure resulted in 100\% of the right turn volumes being removed from the minor street approach volumes in the warrant analysis. The full warrant analyses are contained in Appendix D. Warrant 1 a \& c (Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes) and Warrant 2 (Four Hour Volumes) are currently met.

## f. Capacity Analyses

The Highway Capacity Manual documents procedures for determining the performance of different traffic control measures at intersections. Intersections are assigned a "Level of Service" letter grade for the peak hour of traffic based on the number of lanes at the intersection, traffic volumes, and traffic control. Level of Service A (LOS A) represents light traffic flow (free flow conditions) while Level of Service F (LOS F) represents heavy traffic flow (over capacity conditions). LOS D is considered acceptable in urban conditions.

In conjunction with City and County staff, it was determined to use micro-simulation to evaluate the stop sign controlled intersection because it will give the most accurate assessment of operations.

The existing conditions and traffic volumes were entered into the model SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. The simulation software was seeded with a random number seed of 0 , a seeding duration of 1 minute, and a recording duration of 60 minutes. Then the simulation software was run and recorded five times with random number seeds of $1,2,3,4$, and 5 ; using a seeding duration of 1 minute and a recording duration of 60 minutes. The model predicts there is 9.1 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS A) in the a.m. peak hour and 22.1 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS C) in the p.m. peak hour. The detailed SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ reports are included in Appendix E.

## 4. Future Conditions

## a. Traffic Forecasts

Dakota County provided Average Annual Daily traffic volumes for the years 2007 and 2030 (forecasts) as shown in Table 4.1. Based on these daily traffic volumes, the annual compounded growth rates shown in Table 4.1 were developed for each leg of the intersection.

Table 4.1 - Compounded Growth Rates

| Intersection Leg | Existing <br> AADT | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ <br> AADT | Compound Growth Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 8 -West | 9,600 | 14,100 | $1.685 \%$ |
| CSAH 8 -East | 10,000 | 12,300 | $0.904 \%$ |
| CSAH 73 - South | 9,900 | 13,300 | $1.292 \%$ |
| CSAH 73 - North | 7,600 | 10,200 | $1.288 \%$ |

The turning movement peak hour volumes from Table 3.1 were factored by approach leg with the compounded growth rates in Table 4.1 to develop the turning movement volume forecasts shown in Table 4.2. Likewise, the daily approach volumes in Table A-1 were factored to develop the 2010 and 2030 approach volumes in Appendix A Tables A-2 and A-3.

Table 4.2 - Future Turning Movement Peak Hour Volumes

|  | SB CSAH 73 |  |  | WB CSAH 8 |  |  | NB CSAH 73 |  |  | EB CSAH 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lt | Thru | Rt | Lt | Thru | Rt | Lt | Thru | Rt | Lt | Thru | Rt |
| 2010 AM | 50 | 130 | 80 | 60 | 240 | 70 | 40 | 120 | 40 | 40 | 120 | 20 |
| 2030 AM | 60 | 180 | 90 | 70 | 280 | 100 | 50 | 150 | 50 | 50 | 170 | 20 |
| 2010 PM | 80 | 230 | 80 | 80 | 320 | 110 | 60 | 220 | 100 | 90 | 400 | 90 |
| 2030 PM | 110 | 300 | 120 | 90 | 390 | 130 | 70 | 280 | 120 | 120 | 550 | 110 |

## b. Future Intersection Configuration Alternatives

Based on discussions with City and County staff, the following lane configurations are to be studied in conjunction with each traffic control strategy.

All Way Stop Sign Control
The current all way stop intersection already has turn lanes on every approach. It was determined a roadway widening project without traffic control upgrades would not be adequate.

## Roundabout Control

- Northbound CSAH 73: single entry
- Southbound CSAH 73: single entry
- Westbound CSAH 8: single entry
- Eastbound CSAH 8: single entry
- The roundabout will be a single lane roundabout
- or -


## Traffic Signal Control (with existing lane configurations)

- Northbound CSAH 73: one left turn lane and one shared through/right turn lane
- Southbound CSAH 73: one left turn lane and one shared through/right turn lane
- Westbound CSAH 8: one left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Eastbound CSAH 8: one left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Protected/Permitted (left turns allowed on the green arrow or green circle indications) phasing will be assumed for all left turn movements. The County will determine left turn phasing with implementation of an improvement project.


## 5. Analysis of Alternatives

## a. All Way Stop

The existing conditions, as described in Section 3a along with the turning movement volume forecasts from Table 4.2 were also entered into the SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ model. The model was seeded with a random number seed of 0 , a seeding duration of 1 minute, and a recording duration of 60 minutes. Then the simulation software was run and recorded five times with random number seeds of $1,2,3,4$, and 5 ; using a seeding duration of 1 minute and a recording duration
of 60 minutes. The overall intersection delay is shown in Table 5.1 and detailed SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ reports are included in Appendix E.

The existing conditions, as described in Section 3a along with the turning movement volume forecasts from Table 4.2 were entered into the SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ model. There will be 9.3 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS A) in the 2010 a.m. peak hour and 23.2 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS C) in the 2010 p.m. peak hour. There will be 11.5 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS B) in the 2030 a.m. peak hour and 269.2 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS F) in the 2030 p.m. peak hour. Table 5.1 also shows the forecasted delay the existing intersection configuration will experience with the future volumes compared with the delay results from other traffic control alternatives. The detailed SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ reports are included in Appendix E.

The intersection will need to be improved as traffic volumes continue to grow at the intersection. Based on an iterative SimTraffic ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ analysis, it is forecast the existing intersection will operate unacceptably at the Level of Service D/E boundary ( 35 seconds per vehicle of overall intersection delay) in 2014.

## b. Traffic Control Signal

## Warrant Analysis

A traffic signal warrant analysis was conducted for the intersection per the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. The warrant analysis is based on the following assumptions:

- The approach volumes shown in Tables A-2 and A-3 were factored to remove the right turning volumes on the minor street approaches.
- All legs have $2+$ legs.
- The Mn/DOT procedure for right turn reduction was used as the County uses this practice. Following the procedure resulted in $100 \%$ of the right turn volumes being removed from the minor street approach volumes in the warrant analysis.

The full warrant analyses are contained in Appendix D. Warrant 1 a \& c (Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes), Warrant 2 (Four Hour Volumes), and Warrant 3 b (Peak Hour Volumes) will be met in 2010. Warrant 1 a, b, \& c (Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes), Warrant 2 (Four Hour Volumes), and Warrant 3 b (Peak Hour Volumes) will be met in 2030.

## Capacity Analyses

An intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the intersection as a traffic signal controlled intersection per the Highway Capacity Manual. The analysis was performed using Synchro ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software.

The lane configuration noted for the traffic signal control scenario in Section 4b was used along with the peak hour volumes from Table 4.2 to determine the overall delay. There will be 14.4 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS B) in the 2010 a.m. peak hour and 20.9 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS C) in the 2010 p.m. peak hour. There will be 17.5 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS B) in the 2030 a.m. peak hour and 30.1 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS C) in the 2030 p.m. peak hour. Table 5.1 also shows the forecasted delay this configuration will experience with the future volumes compared with the delay results from other traffic control alternatives. The full results are contained in Appendix F.

## c. Roundabout

An intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the intersection as a roundabout controlled intersection using Rodel ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software. The lane configuration noted for the roundabout control scenario in Section 4b was used along with the peak hour volumes from Table 4.2 to determine the overall delay. There will be 8.8 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS A) in the 2010 a.m. peak hour and 22.6 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS C) in the 2010 p.m. peak hour. There will be 12.0 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS B) in the 2030 a.m. peak hour and 120.0 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS F) in the 2030 p.m. peak hour. Table 5.1 also shows the forecasted delay this configuration will experience with the future volumes compared with the delay results from other traffic control alternatives. The full results are contained in Appendix G.

The single lane roundabout experiences LOS F in the 2030 p.m. peak hour, so an alternative analysis was done for a double lane roundabout. The results from the 2030 p.m. peak hour, double lane roundabout scenario are contained in Appendix G and a summary of the results are shown in Table 5.1. The double lane roundabout will operate acceptably in the 2030 p.m. peak hour with 32.2 seconds of delay per vehicle (LOS D).

The Insurance Institute for Highway Safety found in their March 2000 report titled "Crash Reductions Following Installation of Roundabouts in the United States" that less crashes occur at intersections controlled with roundabouts versus traffic signals. This is likely due to the lower speed of the vehicles going through the intersection, the requirement for approaching vehicles to yield to vehicles within the intersection, and the lower likelihood of right angle or head on collisions. There were a significant amount of crashes at the intersection in 2007, however it isn't clear if this is an anomaly or a trend.

## d. Non-Traditional Intersection

The additional right-of-way required for the different non-traditional intersections is not justified at the CSAH 73/CSAH 8 intersection. The traditional all-way stop, roundabout, or traffic signal will provide adequate capacity.

## e. Access Management Treatments

Access management treatments are not feasible at the study intersection.

## f. Grade Separation

The forecasted traffic volumes at the study intersection do not warrant the expense of an interchange.

Table 5.1 - 2010 \& 2030 Capacity Analyses

| Scenario | All Way Stop <br> (existing) | Single Lane <br> Roundabout | Double Lane <br> Roundabout | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 AM | 9.3 | 8.8 | - | 14.4 |
| 2030 AM | 11.5 | 12.0 | - | 17.5 |
| 2010 PM | 23.2 | 22.6 | - | 20.9 |
| 2030 PM | 269.2 | 120.0 | 32.2 | 30.1 |

Notes: All way stop delay from SimTraffic, Roundabout delay from Rodel, Signal delay from Synchro HCM. Delay is measured in seconds per vehicle.

## 6. Preliminary Design

A preliminary design has been prepared for the double lane roundabout and the traffic signal alternatives. They are included in Appendix H. Included in Appendix I is a detailed, preliminary cost break down for each alternative. These cost estimates are for comparison purposes and don't contain costs for final design items such as right-of-way acquisition, storm sewer, utility relocation, signing, temporary easements, or contingencies. They do not It is anticipated the traffic signal alternative will cost approximately $\$ 260,000$ and the double lane roundabout will cost approximately $\$ 970,000$. For reference, single lane roundabouts typically cost approximately $\$ 750,000$.

## 7. Recommended Alternative

It is recommended the CSAH 73/CSAH 8 intersection be controlled with a traffic control signal for the following reasons:

- The intersection will operate acceptably at Level of Service C through 2030 with traffic signal control.
- The traffic signal is approximately one fourth the price of the double lane roundabout.
- Delays to motorists caused by construction will be minimal because no roadway widening is needed for the traffic signal.

It is recommended the traffic control signal project be implemented by approximately 2014 when the existing intersection is predicted to operate unacceptably at Level of Service E.

There was one State reported crash at the intersection in 2005, three crashes in 2006, and eight crashes in 2007. The State reported crash history should continue to be monitored. If the high State reported crashes experienced in 2007 is an anomaly, the traffic signal is appropriate. If the intersection experiences high crashes in the future, the traffic control decision should be revisited. Roundabouts are proven to provide significantly safer traffic control than traffic signals and the added expense of roundabout control may be determined to be justified.

## 8. Appendix

## A. Approach Volumes

B. 2005 to 2007 Crash Diagram
C. Intersection Observations
D. Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses
E. Level of Service for All-Way Stop (SimTraffic)
F. Level of Service for Traffic Control Signal (Synchro)
G. Level of Service for Roundabout (Rodel)
H. Preliminary Layouts
I. Preliminary Cost

## Appendix A - Approach Volumes

Table A-1: 2007 Approach Volumes

|  | DAILY AVERAGES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 73 south of <br> CSAH 8 | CSAH 73 north <br> of CSAH 8 | CSAH 8 west of <br> CSAH 73 | CSAH 8 east of <br> CSAH 73 |
| Interval Begin | NB | SB | EB | WB |
| 12:00 AM | 23 | 17 | 36 | 29 |
| 1:00 AM | 15 | 16 | 22 | 16 |
| $\mathbf{2 : 0 0 ~ A M ~}$ | 15 | 12 | 21 | 15 |
| 3:00 AM | 6 | 4 | 8 | 15 |
| 4:00 AM | 14 | 11 | 14 | 19 |
| 5:00 AM | 41 | 63 | 38 | 65 |
| 6:00 AM | 126 | 145 | 122 | 191 |
| 7:00 AM | 202 | 229 | 190 | 356 |
| 8:00 AM | 180 | 209 | 230 | 354 |
| 9:00 AM | 248 | 238 | 330 | 327 |
| 10:00 AM | 283 | 264 | 378 | 346 |
| 11:00 AM | 323 | 327 | 497 | 403 |
| 12:00 PM | 381 | 332 | 545 | 406 |
| 1:00 PM | 327 | 330 | 471 | 341 |
| 2:00 PM | 326 | 334 | 468 | 362 |
| 3:00 PM | 369 | 355 | 529 | 400 |
| 4:00 PM | 408 | 401 | 597 | 432 |
| 5:00 PM | 445 | 375 | 576 | 441 |
| 6:00 PM | 346 | 295 | 483 | 392 |
| 7:00 PM | 269 | 251 | 401 | 298 |
| 8:00 PM | 222 | 203 | 366 | 254 |
| 9:00 PM | 162 | 135 | 255 | 156 |
| 10:00 PM | 77 | 69 | 153 | 100 |
| 11:00 PM | 52 | 40 | 75 | 49 |
| Totals | 4,860 | 4,655 | 767 |  |

## Appendix A - Approach Volumes

Table A-2: 2010 Approach Volumes

|  | DAILY AVERAGES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 73 south of <br> CSAH 8 | CSAH 73 north <br> of CSAH 8 | CSAH 8 west of <br> CSAH 73 | CSAH 8 east of <br> CSAH 73 |
| Interval Begin | NB | SB | EB | WB |
| 12:00 AM | 20 | 20 | 40 | 30 |
| 1:00 AM | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 2:00 AM | 20 | 10 | 20 | 20 |
| 3:00 AM | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 |
| 4:00 AM | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| 5:00 AM | 40 | 70 | 40 | 70 |
| 6:00 AM | 130 | 150 | 130 | 200 |
| 7:00 AM | 210 | 240 | 200 | 370 |
| 8:00 AM | 190 | 220 | 240 | 360 |
| 9:00 AM | 260 | 250 | 350 | 340 |
| 10:00 AM | 290 | 270 | 400 | 360 |
| 11:00 AM | 340 | 340 | 520 | 410 |
| 12:00 PM | 400 | 340 | 570 | 420 |
| 1:00 PM | 340 | 340 | 500 | 350 |
| 2:00 PM | 340 | 350 | 490 | 370 |
| 3:00 PM | 380 | 370 | 560 | 410 |
| 4:00 PM | 420 | 420 | 630 | 440 |
| 5:00 PM | 460 | 390 | 610 | 450 |
| 6:00 PM | 360 | 310 | 510 | 400 |
| 7:00 PM | 280 | 260 | 420 | 310 |
| 8:00 PM | 230 | 210 | 380 | 260 |
| 9:00 PM | 170 | 140 | 270 | 160 |
| 10:00 PM | 80 | 70 | 160 | 100 |
| 11:00 PM | 50 | 40 | 50 | 50 |
| Totals | 5,050 | 4,840 | 940 |  |

## Appendix A - Approach Volumes

Table A-3: 2030 Approach Volumes

|  | DAILY AVERAGES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 73 south of <br> CSAH 8 | CSAH 73 north <br> of CSAH 8 | CSAH 8 west of <br> CSAH 73 | CSAH 8 east of <br> CSAH 73 |
| Interval Begin | NB | SB | EB | WB |
| 12:00 AM | 30 | 20 | 50 | 40 |
| 1:00 AM | 20 | 20 | 30 | 20 |
| 2:00 AM | 20 | 20 | 30 | 20 |
| 3:00 AM | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| 4:00 AM | 20 | 10 | 20 | 20 |
| 5:00 AM | 60 | 80 | 60 | 80 |
| 6:00 AM | 170 | 190 | 180 | 230 |
| 7:00 AM | 270 | 310 | 280 | 440 |
| 8:00 AM | 240 | 280 | 340 | 440 |
| 9:00 AM | 330 | 320 | 480 | 400 |
| 10:00 AM | 380 | 350 | 560 | 430 |
| 11:00 AM | 430 | 440 | 730 | 500 |
| 12:00 PM | 510 | 450 | 800 | 500 |
| 1:00 PM | 440 | 440 | 690 | 420 |
| 2:00 PM | 440 | 450 | 690 | 450 |
| 3:00 PM | 500 | 480 | 780 | 490 |
| 4:00 PM | 550 | 540 | 880 | 530 |
| 5:00 PM | 600 | 500 | 850 | 540 |
| 6:00 PM | 460 | 400 | 710 | 480 |
| 7:00 PM | 360 | 340 | 590 | 370 |
| 8:00 PM | 300 | 270 | 540 | 310 |
| 9:00 PM | 220 | 180 | 370 | 190 |
| 10:00 PM | 100 | 90 | 220 | 120 |
| 11:00 PM | 70 | 50 | 110 | 60 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{6 , 5 3 0}$ |  | 240 | 100 |

## Appendix B-2005 to 2007 Crash Diagram

Dakota County Highway Department


## Appendix C - Intersection Observations

# INTERSECTION OBSERVATION BY MIKE SPACK, PE <br> CSAH 73 (Oakdale Ave) \& CSAH 8 (Wentworth Ave) <br> West St. Paul, Dakota County, MN <br> Tuesday, July 29, 2008, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. <br> Weather - Clear with daily high between 85 and 90 degrees 

General Observations

1. Intersection operated at approximately LOS A (little overall delay)
2. Queues dissipated quickly
3. Little pedestrian or bicycle traffic
4. Semi-trucks took wide turns because of small radii

Maximum Queues (vehicles per lane):
$N B=4$ vehicles, $\mathrm{WB}=3$ vehicles, $\mathrm{SB}=3$ vehicles, and $\mathrm{EB}=3$ vehicles
Time Log of Observations:
8:03 SB pedestrian
8:15 52 vehicles in park and ride lot on SE quadrant (capacity is approximately 150 vehicles)
8:22 SB bicycle
8:29 WB to NB bicycle
8:30 SB pedestrian on eastside of CSAH 73
8:31 NB to EB right turn using parking lane
8:37 SB to WB roller blader
8:38 WB to NB pedestrian
8:44 EB to SB pedestrian
8:49 SB bicycle
8:54 SB to WB wheelchair on street
8:56 two vehicle queue using parking lane as right turn lane

## Appendix C - Intersection Observations

# INTERSECTION OBSERVATION BY MIKE SPACK, PE <br> CSAH 73 (Oakdale Ave) \& CSAH 8 (Wentworth Ave) <br> West St. Paul, Dakota County, MN <br> Tuesday, July 29, 2008, from 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m. Weather - Clear with daily high between 85 and 90 degrees 

General Observations

1. Intersection operated at approximately LOS A or B
2. Queues dissipated quickly
3. Little pedestrian or bicycle traffic

Maximum Queues (vehicles per lane):
$N B=5$ vehicles, $\mathrm{WB}=4$ vehicles, $\mathrm{SB}=6$ vehicles, and $\mathrm{EB}=5$ vehicles
Time Log of Observations:
12:00 NB 4 bicycles
12:10 SB to WB right using parking lane
12:11 NB pedestrian on west side
12:20 EB to NB bike on sidewalk
12:21 SB to WB right using parking lane
12:22 SB pedestrian on west side
12:28 NB to EB right using parking lane +7 mph roll-through
12:44 NB to EB right using parking lane
12:53 NB 4 pedestrians on west side

## Appendix C - Intersection Observations

# INTERSECTION OBSERVATION BY MIKE SPACK, PE <br> CSAH 73 (Oakdale Ave) \& CSAH 8 (Wentworth Ave) <br> West St. Paul, Dakota County, MN <br> Tuesday, July 29, 2008, from 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. <br> Weather - Clear with daily high between 85 and 90 degrees 

General Observations

1. Intersection operated at approximately LOS C
2. Approximately five minute period with large EB queue at $5: 15$ Vehicles making
3. Soutbound bus stopping at intersection causes queuing and then the 15-25 pedestrians cause queuing walking through intersection
4. NB and SB right turns used parking lanes almost exclusively (didn't keep track in time log)
Maximum Queues (vehicles per lane):
$N B=6$ vehicles, $W B=7$ vehicles, $\mathrm{SB}=11$ vehicles, and $\mathrm{EB}=16$ vehicles
Time Log of Observations:
5:05 EB Bicycle
5:06 SB Ambulance and EB to SB Fire Engine
5:15 EB pedestrian
5:19 SB pedestrian
5:19 WB to SB bicycle
5:20 EB bicycle
5:21 No queues
5:23 SB bicycle
5:25 SB bus ( 24 pedestrians NW to SE quadrant, caused SB queue of 11 - maximum 6 otherwise)
5:32 EB pedestrian
5:37 WB to NB pedestrian
5:40 SB to WB pedestrian
5:41 WB pedestrians (2)
5:49 NB pedestrian
5:50 EB to SB bicycle
5:52 SB bus (18 pedestrians NW to SW quadrant)
5:54 EB to NB bicycles (2)
5:54 NB to EB bicycle

CSAH 73 \& CSAH 8<br>2007 Signal Warrant Analysis<br>Right Turns Removed from Minor Leg<br>City of West St. Paul, Dakota County, MN

Signal Warrants - Summary

## Major Street Approaches

Eastbound: CSAH 8
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 0
Total Approach Volume: 6,805
Westbound: CSAH 8
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 0
Total Approach Volume: 5,767

## Minor Street Approaches

Northbound: CSAH 73
Number of Lanes: 2

Total Approach Volume: 3,694
Southbound: CSAH 73
Number of Lanes: 2

Total Approach Volume: 3,583

## Warrant Summary (Urban values apply.)

Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes ..... Satisfied
Warrant 1A - Minimum Vehicular Volume ..... Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 10 hours, 8 are needed
c Not Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 5 hours, 8 are needed

$\qquad$
Required volumes reached for 9 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 2 - Four Hour Volumes ..... Satisfied
Number of hours (6) volumes exceed minimum >= minimum required (4).
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour ..... Not Satisfied
Warrant 3A - Peak Hour Delay ..... Not Satisfied
Warrant 3B - Peak Hour Volumes Not Satisfied
Volumes do not exceed minimums for any hour.
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volumes Not SatisfiedRequired 4 Hr pedestrian volume reached for 0 hour(s) and the single hour volume for 0 hour(s)
Warrant 5 - School Crossing Not SatisfiedNumber of gaps $>.0$ seconds $(0)$ exceeds the number of minutes in the crossing period ( 0 ).
Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System ..... Not SatisfiedNo adjacent coordinated signals are present
Warrant 7 - Crash Experience Not SatisfiedNumber of accidents ( -1 ) is less than minimum (5). Volume minimums are met.
Warrant 8 - Roadway Network ..... Not Satisfied
Major Route conditions not met. One or more volume requirement met.

# Appendix D - Signal Warrant Analyses 

## CSAH 73 \& CSAH 8 <br> 2007 Signal Warrant Analysis <br> Right Turns Removed from Minor Leg

Signal Warrants - Summary


Analysis of 8-Hour Volume Warrants:

| Hour <br> Begin | Major <br> Total | Higher Minor |  | War-1A |  |  | War-1B |  |  | War-1A\&B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Vol | Dir | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? |
| 00:00 | 65 | 17 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 01:00 | 38 | 12 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 02:00 | 36 | 11 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 03:00 | 23 | 5 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 04:00 | 33 | 11 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 05:00 | 103 | 49 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 06:00 | 313 | 112 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 07:00 | 546 | 176 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 08:00 | 584 | 161 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 09:00 | 657 | 188 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-No | Major | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 10:00 | 724 | 215 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 11:00 | 900 | 252 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 12:00 | 951 | 290 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 13:00 | 812 | 254 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 14:00 | 830 | 257 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 15:00 | 929 | 280 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 16:00 | 1,029 | 310 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 17:00 | 1,017 | 338 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 18:00 | 875 | 263 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 19:00 | 699 | 204 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 20:00 | 620 | 169 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-No | Major | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 21:00 | 411 | 123 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 22:00 | 253 | 59 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 23:00 | 124 | 40 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |

# Appendix D - Signal Warrant Analyses 

## CSAH 73 \& CSAH 8

2010 Signal Warrant Analysis
Right Turns Removed from Minor Leg
City of West St. Paul, Dakota County, MN
Signal Warrants - Summarity

## Major Street Approaches

Eastbound: CSAH 8<br>Number of Lanes: 2<br>Approach Speed: 0<br>Total Approach Volume: 7,160<br>Westbound: CSAH 8<br>Number of Lanes: 2<br>Approach Speed: 0<br>Total Approach Volume: 5,940

## Minor Street Approaches

Northbound: CSAH 73
Number of Lanes: 2

Total Approach Volume: 3,838
Southbound: CSAH 73
Number of Lanes: 2

Total Approach Volume: 3,726

Warrant Summary (Urban values apply.)
Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes .
Warrant 1A - Minimum Vehicular Volume Satisfied

Required volumes reached for 10 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 1B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic
Not Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 6 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 1 A\&B - Combination of Warrants
Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 10 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 2 - Four Hour Volumes ...................................................................................................................... Satisfied

Number of hours (8) volumes exceed minimum >= minimum required (4).
$\qquad$
Warrant 3A - Peak Hour Delay ....................................................................................................... Not Satisfied
Total approach volumes and delays on minor street do not exceed minimums for any hour.
Warrant 3B - Peak Hour Volumes ................................................................................................... Satisfied
Volumes exceed minimums for at least one hour.
$\qquad$
Required 4 Hr pedestrian volume reached for 0 hour(s) and the single hour volume for 0 hour(s)
$\qquad$
Number of gaps > . 0 seconds ( 0 ) exceeds the number of minutes in the crossing period (0).

Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System ................................................................................................. Not Satisfied
No adjacent coordinated signals are present
$\qquad$
Number of accidents (-1) is less than minimum (5). Volume minimums are met.
Warrant 8 - Roadway Network .......................................................................................................... Not Satisfied
Major Route conditions not met. One or more volume requirement met.

# Appendix D - Signal Warrant Analyses 

## CSAH 73 \& CSAH 8 <br> 2010 Signal Warrant Analysis <br> Right Turns Removed from Minor Leg

Signal Warrants - Summarity


Analysis of 8-Hour Volume Warrants:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hour } \\ & \text { Begin } \end{aligned}$ | Major <br> Total | Higher Minor |  | War-1A |  |  | War-1B |  |  | War-1A\&B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Vol | Dir | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? |
| 00:00 | 70 | 15 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 01:00 | 40 | 15 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 02:00 | 40 | 15 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 03:00 | 30 | 8 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 04:00 | 30 | 8 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 05:00 | 110 | 54 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 06:00 | 330 | 115 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 07:00 | 570 | 185 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 08:00 | 600 | 169 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-No | Major | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 09:00 | 690 | 198 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-No | Major | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 10:00 | 760 | 220 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 11:00 | 930 | 262 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 12:00 | 990 | 304 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 13:00 | 850 | 262 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 14:00 | 860 | 269 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 15:00 | 970 | 289 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 16:00 | 1,070 | 323 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 17:00 | 1,060 | 350 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 18:00 | 910 | 274 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 19:00 | 730 | 213 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 20:00 | 640 | 175 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-No | Major | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 21:00 | 430 | 129 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 22:00 | 260 | 61 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 23:00 | 130 | 38 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |

CSAH 73 \& CSAH 8<br>2030 Signal Warrant Analysis<br>Right Turns Removed from Minor Leg<br>City of West St. Paul, Dakota County, MN

Signal Warrants - Summairy

## Major Street Approaches

Eastbound: CSAH 8
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 0
Total Approach Volume: 10,000
Westbound: CSAH 8
Number of Lanes: 2
Approach Speed: 0
Total Approach Volume: 7,100

## Minor Street Approaches

Northbound: CSAH 73
Number of Lanes: 2

Total Approach Volume: 4,963
Southbound: CSAH 73
Number of Lanes: 2

Total Approach Volume: 4,804

## Warrant Summary (Urban values apply.)

Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes Satisfied
Warrant 1A - Minimum Vehicular Volume ..... Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 14 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 1B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic ........................................................................... Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 10 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 1 A\&B - Combination of Warrants ..... Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 14 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 2 - Four Hour Volumes
Number of hours (11) volumes exceed minimum >= minimum required (4).
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour ..... Satisfied
Warrant 3A - Peak Hour Delay ........................................................................................................... Not Satisfied
Total approach volumes and delays on minor street do not exceed minimums for any hour.
Warrant 3B - Peak Hour Volumes ................................................................................................. Satisfied
Volumes exceed minimums for at least one hour.
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volumes Not Satisfied
Required 4 Hr pedestrian volume reached for 0 hour(s) and the single hour volume for 0 hour(s)
Warrant 5 - School Crossing Not SatisfiedNumber of gaps $>.0$ seconds (0) exceeds the number of minutes in the crossing period (0).
Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System Not SatisfiedNo adjacent coordinated signals are present
Warrant 7 - Crash Experience Not Satisfied
Number of accidents ( -1 ) is less than minimum (5). Volume minimums are met.
Warrant 8 - Roadway Network Not Satisfied
Major Route conditions not met. One or more volume requirement met.

# Appendix D - Signal Warrant Analyses 

## CSAH 73 \& CSAH 8 <br> 2030 Signal Warrant Analysis <br> Right Turns Removed from Minor Leg

Signal Warrants - Summary


Analysis of 8-Hour Volume Warrants:

| Hour Begin | Major <br> Total | Higher Minor |  | War-1A |  |  | War-1B |  |  | War-1A\&B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Vol | Dir | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? |
| 00:00 | 90 | 23 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 01:00 | 50 | 15 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 02:00 | 50 | 15 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 03:00 | 30 | 8 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 04:00 | 40 | 15 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 05:00 | 140 | 62 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 06:00 | 410 | 146 | SB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 07:00 | 720 | 239 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 08:00 | 780 | 216 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 09:00 | 880 | 251 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 10:00 | 990 | 289 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 11:00 | 1,230 | 339 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 12:00 | 1,300 | 388 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 13:00 | 1,110 | 339 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 14:00 | 1,140 | 346 | SB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 15:00 | 1,270 | 380 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 16:00 | 1,410 | 418 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 17:00 | 1,390 | 456 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 18:00 | 1,190 | 350 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 19:00 | 960 | 274 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-Yes | 100-Yes | Both | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 20:00 | 850 | 228 | NB | 600-Yes | 200-Yes | Both | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-Yes | 160-Yes | Both |
| 21:00 | 560 | 167 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-Yes | Minor | 720-No | 160-Yes | Minor |
| 22:00 | 340 | 76 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |
| 23:00 | 170 | 53 | NB | 600-No | 200-No | --- | 900-No | 100-No | --- | 720-No | 160-No | --- |

## Appendix E - LOS for All-Way Stop

SimTraffic Performance Report
All Way Stop
2008 A.M. Peak Hour
8/13/2008

## 2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 7.2 | 9.3 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 7.3 |
| Total Stops | 29 | 132 | 17 | 57 | 239 | 74 | 36 | 113 | 40 | 40 | 131 | 64 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 27 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Vehicles Entered | 30 | 134 | 17 | 58 | 241 | 75 | 35 | 114 | 40 | 40 | 132 | 64 |
| Vehicles Exited | 29 | 132 | 17 | 57 | 239 | 73 | 36 | 113 | 40 | 40 | 131 | 64 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 29 | 132 | 17 | 57 | 239 | 73 | 36 | 113 | 40 | 40 | 131 | 64 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2.5 |
| Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.1 |
| Total Stops | 972 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 18.7 |
| Avg Speed $(\mathrm{mph})$ | 25 |
| Vehicles Entered | 980 |
| Vehicles Exited | 971 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 971 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

## Appendix E - LOS for All-Way Stop

SimTraffic Performance Report
All Way Stop
2008 P.M. Peak Hour
8/13/2008

## 2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 13.5 | 39.8 | 22.0 | 11.8 | 23.0 | 13.6 | 9.8 | 20.4 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 14.9 |
| Total Stops | 90 | 401 | 107 | 74 | 311 | 119 | 58 | 201 | 100 | 71 | 227 | 76 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2.6 | 13.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 8.5 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Avg Speed $(\mathrm{mph})$ | 25 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Vehicles Entered | 91 | 391 | 80 | 74 | 311 | 108 | 58 | 202 | 100 | 71 | 288 | 78 |
| Vehicles Exited | 89 | 385 | 80 | 73 | 308 | 108 | 58 | 201 | 100 | 70 | 285 | 76 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 89 | 385 | 80 | 73 | 308 | 108 | 58 | 201 | 100 | 70 | 285 | 76 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 11.3 |
| Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 22.1 |
| Total Stops | 1835 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 43.0 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 21 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1852 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1833 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1833 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

## Appendix E - LOS for All-Way Stop

SimTraffic Performance Report
All Way Stop
2010 A.M. Peak Hour
8/13/2008

## 1: CR 6 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.5 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 12.6 | 8.2 | 19.7 | 23.3 | 19.2 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 14.0 |
| Total Stops | 128 | 42 | 79 | 230 | 46 | 49 | 86 | 39 | 37 | 98 | 834 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.1 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 9.2 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 23.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 28 |
| Vehicles Entered | 128 | 43 | 81 | 232 | 46 | 49 | 140 | 39 | 38 | 97 | 893 |
| Vehicles Exited | 128 | 42 | 79 | 230 | 46 | 49 | 141 | 39 | 37 | 98 | 889 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 128 | 42 | 79 | 230 | 46 | 49 | 141 | 39 | 37 | 98 | 889 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 7.9 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 9.7 | 10.7 |
| Total Stops | 41 | 116 | 9 | 62 | 239 | 72 | 40 | 116 | 40 | 51 | 138 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.1 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 20 |
| Vehicles Entered | 41 | 119 | 10 | 62 | 241 | 72 | 40 | 116 | 41 | 52 | 140 |
| Vehicles Exited | 41 | 116 | 9 | 62 | 239 | 72 | 40 | 116 | 40 | 51 | 138 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 41 | 116 | 9 | 62 | 239 | 72 | 40 | 116 | 40 | 51 | 138 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.6 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 9.3 |
| Total Stops | 1005 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 18.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 25 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1016 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1005 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1005 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

## Appendix E - LOS for All-Way Stop

SimTraffic Performance Report
All Way Stop
2010 P.M. Peak Hour
8/14/2008

## 1: CR 6 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 3.6 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 11.7 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 42.8 | 38.4 | 24.7 | 30.6 | 27.0 | 1.5 | 19.0 | 16.3 | 12.5 | 16.7 | 13.8 | 26.5 |
| Total Stops | 304 | 130 | 100 | 250 | 66 | 62 | 236 | 134 | 56 | 228 | 23 | 1589 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 9.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 10.5 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 43.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 21 | 22 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Vehicles Entered | 305 | 127 | 103 | 254 | 65 | 60 | 236 | 135 | 56 | 228 | 24 | 1593 |
| Vehicles Exited | 300 | 128 | 100 | 249 | 65 | 60 | 235 | 133 | 56 | 227 | 23 | 1576 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 300 | 128 | 100 | 249 | 65 | 60 | 235 | 133 | 56 | 227 | 23 | 1576 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

|  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 13.7 | 40.2 | 21.8 | 11.5 | 22.5 | 12.9 | 9.9 | 27.1 | 22.7 | 13.0 | 16.4 | 15.4 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 87 | 386 | 129 | 70 | 302 | 125 | 51 | 222 | 93 | 78 | 232 | 83 |
| Total Stops | 2.5 | 13.4 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 25 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Avg Speed $(\mathrm{mph})$ | 89 | 381 | 97 | 70 | 305 | 115 | 51 | 223 | 93 | 77 | 294 | 84 |
| Vehicles Entered | 87 | 375 | 95 | 70 | 300 | 114 | 50 | 221 | 92 | 77 | 289 | 83 |
| Vehicles Exited | 87 | 375 | 95 | 70 | 300 | 114 | 50 | 221 | 92 | 77 | 289 | 83 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 12.0 |
| Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 23.2 |
| Total Stops | 1858 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 43.7 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 21 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1879 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1853 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1853 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

## Appendix E - LOS for All-Way Stop

SimTraffic Performance Report
All Way Stop
2030 A.M. Peak Hour
8/14/2008

## 1: CR 6 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 14.5 | 10.7 | 24.2 | 29.4 | 27.3 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 9.0 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 17.0 |
| Total Stops | 160 | 48 | 99 | 303 | 46 | 54 | 139 | 49 | 51 | 133 | 9 | 1091 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.9 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 12.8 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 30.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 27 |
| Vehicles Entered | 159 | 48 | 102 | 313 | 47 | 54 | 212 | 50 | 51 | 133 | 9 | 1178 |
| Vehicles Exited | 160 | 48 | 99 | 302 | 46 | 54 | 212 | 49 | 51 | 133 | 9 | 1163 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 160 | 48 | 99 | 302 | 46 | 54 | 212 | 49 | 51 | 133 | 9 | 1163 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 8.9 | 12.1 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 14.5 | 10.6 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 10.7 | 13.1 |
| Total Stops | 52 | 169 | 10 | 75 | 292 | 108 | 51 | 155 | 53 | 57 | 181 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.4 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 19 |
| Vehicles Entered | 52 | 170 | 10 | 75 | 294 | 110 | 52 | 155 | 53 | 58 | 182 |
| Vehicles Exited | 52 | 169 | 10 | 75 | 291 | 108 | 51 | 155 | 53 | 57 | 181 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 52 | 169 | 10 | 75 | 291 | 108 | 51 | 155 | 53 | 57 | 181 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.1 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 11.5 |
| Total Stops | 1283 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 25.4 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 24 |
| Vehicles Entered | 1293 |
| Vehicles Exited | 1282 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1282 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 |

## Appendix E - LOS for All-Way Stop

SimTraffic Performance Report
All Way Stop
2030 P.M. Peak Hour
8/14/2008

## 1: CR 6 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 24.5 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 46.7 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 268.0 | 265.1 | 36.4 | 47.4 | 41.9 | 15.1 | 30.5 | 26.7 | 15.9 | 30.4 | 24.1 | 91.1 |
| Total Stops | 480 | 188 | 164 | 305 | 77 | 88 | 241 | 145 | 72 | 288 | 33 | 2081 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 31.4 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 84.9 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 7 | 7 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 14 |
| Vehicles Entered | 352 | 138 | 154 | 306 | 76 | 83 | 245 | 147 | 68 | 288 | 34 | 1891 |
| Vehicles Exited | 305 | 119 | 150 | 297 | 74 | 82 | 241 | 144 | 68 | 287 | 33 | 1800 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 305 | 119 | 150 | 297 | 74 | 82 | 241 | 144 | 68 | 287 | 33 | 1800 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 9.4 | 85.2 | 24.7 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 13.2 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 6.3 | 2.4 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 322.0 | 665.5 | 1056.6 | 21.1 | 134.5 | 131.5 | 21.1 | 178.5 | 167.4 | 46.9 | 67.4 | 77.9 |
| Total Stops | 544 | 2084 | 357 | 113 | 504 | 272 | 92 | 308 | 138 | 167 | 301 | 122 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 12.0 | 96.5 | 26.8 | 2.4 | 22.1 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 16.0 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 9.0 | 3.4 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 6 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 8 |
| Vehicles Entered | 118 | 539 | 107 | 89 | 387 | 128 | 66 | 278 | 126 | 102 | 341 | 113 |
| Vehicles Exited | 93 | 383 | 61 | 88 | 365 | 123 | 65 | 256 | 116 | 99 | 332 | 109 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 93 | 383 | 61 | 88 | 365 | 123 | 65 | 256 | 116 | 99 | 332 | 109 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2: CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Delay (hr) | 167.6 |
| Delay / Veh (s) | 269.2 |
| Total Stops | 5002 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 205.8 |
| Avg Speed (mph) | 5 |
| Vehicles Entered | 2394 |
| Vehicles Exited | 2090 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 2090 |
| Denied Entry Before | 0 |
| Denied Entry After | 12 |

## Appendix F - LOS for Signal

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「' | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「' | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1793 |  | 1770 | 1756 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.54 | 1.00 |  | 0.65 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1027 | 1863 | 1583 | 1184 | 1863 | 1583 | 1009 | 1793 |  | 1207 | 1756 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 40 | 120 | 10 | 60 | 240 | 70 | 40 | 120 | 40 | 50 | 130 | 80 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 43 | 130 | 11 | 65 | 261 | 76 | 43 | 130 | 43 | 54 | 141 | 87 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 43 | 130 | 3 | 65 | 261 | 25 | 43 | 153 | 0 | 54 | 191 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  |  | pm+pt |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 |  | 17.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.6 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 21.6 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 18.6 | 15.8 |  | 18.2 | 15.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.29 |  | 0.33 | 0.28 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 404 | 569 | 484 | 505 | 603 | 512 | 380 | 515 |  | 426 | 498 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.07 |  | c0.01 | c0.14 |  | 0.01 | 0.09 |  | c0.01 | c0.11 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 |  | 0.00 | 0.04 |  | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.30 |  | 0.13 | 0.38 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 11.7 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 15.3 |  | 12.7 | 15.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.75 | 0.82 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 |  | 0.1 | 2.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 11.8 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 10.7 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 16.7 |  | 9.7 | 15.3 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 13.8 |  |  | 14.0 |  |  | 15.9 |  |  | 14.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  | B |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 14.4 | HCM Level of Service |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.34 |  | 12.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 55.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $46.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - LOS for Signal

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | \% | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.96 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1775 |  | 1770 | 1791 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.35 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 647 | 1863 | 1583 | 525 | 1863 | 1583 | 741 | 1775 |  | 596 | 1791 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 80 | 400 | 90 | 70 | 310 | 110 | 50 | 220 | 100 | 80 | 230 | 80 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 87 | 435 | 98 | 76 | 337 | 120 | 54 | 239 | 109 | 87 | 250 | 87 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 87 | 435 | 31 | 76 | 337 | 35 | 54 | 321 | 0 | 87 | 317 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm | +pt |  | Perm | m+pt |  |  | pm+pt |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 22.3 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 18.8 | 15.8 |  | 21.2 | 17.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.9 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 21.3 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 20.4 | 16.6 |  | 22.4 | 17.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.28 |  | 0.37 | 0.29 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 353 | 584 | 496 | 265 | 543 | 462 | 317 | 491 |  | 316 | 525 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | c0.23 |  | 0.02 | 0.18 |  | 0.01 | c0.18 |  | c0.02 | 0.18 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.08 |  | 0.02 | 0.08 |  | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.65 |  | 0.28 | 0.60 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 11.9 | 18.5 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 18.4 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 19.2 |  | 12.9 | 18.2 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 6.7 |  | 0.5 | 5.1 |  |
| Delay (s) | 12.2 | 23.6 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 20.6 | 15.5 | 13.9 | 25.8 |  | 13.4 | 23.3 |  |
| Level of Service | B | C | B | B | C | B | B | C |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 20.6 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 24.2 |  |  | 21.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 20.9 | HCM Level of Service | C |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.57 |  | 12.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 60.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $60.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - LOS for Signal

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1793 |  | 1770 | 1777 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.40 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 1.00 |  | 0.58 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 750 | 1863 | 1583 | 1120 | 1863 | 1583 | 888 | 1793 |  | 1083 | 1777 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 50 | 170 | 10 | 70 | 280 | 100 | 50 | 150 | 50 | 60 | 180 | 80 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 54 | 185 | 11 | 76 | 304 | 109 | 54 | 163 | 54 | 65 | 196 | 87 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 54 | 185 | 3 | 76 | 304 | 30 | 54 | 199 | 0 | 65 | 259 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | m+pt |  |  | pm+pt |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.7 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 22.3 | 19.1 |  | 22.3 | 19.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 20.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 20.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 23.9 | 19.9 |  | 23.5 | 19.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.40 | 0.33 |  | 0.39 | 0.33 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 322 | 506 | 430 | 422 | 506 | 430 | 413 | 595 |  | 468 | 583 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.10 |  | c0.01 | c0.16 |  | 0.01 | 0.11 |  | c0.01 | c0.15 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.05 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 |  | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.33 |  | 0.14 | 0.44 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 13.8 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 13.7 | 19.0 | 16.2 | 11.3 | 15.1 |  | 11.5 | 15.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.04 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 |  | 0.1 | 2.4 |  |
| Delay (s) | 14.0 | 18.1 | 16.0 | 13.9 | 21.0 | 16.3 | 11.5 | 16.6 |  | 11.1 | 18.8 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B | B | B | C | B | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 17.1 |  |  | 18.9 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 17.5 | HCM Level of Service | B |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.45 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 60.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $50.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - LOS for Signal

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | F |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.96 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1779 |  | 1770 | 1783 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.29 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.23 | 1.00 |  | 0.26 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 547 | 1863 | 1583 | 266 | 1863 | 1583 | 428 | 1779 |  | 491 | 1783 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 120 | 550 | 110 | 90 | 390 | 130 | 70 | 280 | 120 | 110 | 300 | 120 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 130 | 598 | 120 | 98 | 424 | 141 | 76 | 304 | 130 | 120 | 326 | 130 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 130 | 598 | 60 | 98 | 424 | 49 | 76 | 416 | 0 | 120 | 439 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | m+pt |  |  | pm+pt |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 31.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 31.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 29.8 | 25.8 |  | 29.8 | 25.8 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 32.8 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 32.8 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 31.4 | 26.6 |  | 31.0 | 26.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.33 |  | 0.39 | 0.33 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 298 | 652 | 554 | 199 | 652 | 554 | 249 | 592 |  | 264 | 588 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.03 | c0.32 |  | c0.03 | 0.23 |  | 0.02 | 0.23 |  | c0.03 | c0.25 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.15 |  | 0.04 | 0.17 |  | 0.03 | 0.10 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.44 | 0.92 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.70 |  | 0.45 | 0.75 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.1 | 24.9 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 21.9 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 23.3 |  | 17.3 | 23.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.29 | 1.24 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.0 | 17.7 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 6.8 |  | 0.9 | 6.4 |  |
| Delay (s) | 17.1 | 42.6 | 17.6 | 19.9 | 24.2 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 30.1 |  | 23.2 | 36.0 |  |
| Level of Service | B | D | B | B | C | B | B | C |  | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 35.2 |  |  | 22.1 |  |  | 28.2 |  |  | 33.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 30.1 | HCM Level of Service | C |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.78 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 80.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 1 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $75.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## Appendix G - LOS for Roundabout

CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 - 2010 A.M. Peak Hour (Single Lane)


CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 - 2030 A.M. Peak Hour (Single Lane)


CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 - 2010 P.M. Peak Hour (Single Lane)


CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 - 2030 P.M. Peak Hour (Single Lane)


Appendix G - LOS for Roundabout
CSAH 8 \& CSAH 73 - 2030 P.M. Peak Hour (Double Lane)




## Appendix I - Prelliminary Costs

| STREET CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE--CSAH 73 AND CSAH 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

