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## Executive Summary

Background: Three existing traffic signals in the City of Burnsville are close to the end of their service life; CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, and CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway. The goal of this project is to examine each intersection, determine the most appropriate type of control for today and into the future using objective criterion, and then provide the preliminary design of that preferred alternative.

Results: The principle findings of this traffic study are:

- No significant operational issues were observed at any of the study intersections.
- All three study intersections and all movements at those intersections are operating acceptably.
- A safety review suggests the intersections are reasonably safe today.
- Two or three alternatives were developed for each study intersection.
- Evaluation matrices were developed for the study intersection alternatives, comparing:
- LOS operations with existing and future volumes
- Critical indices for overall crashes and severe crashes
- Impacts to pedestrian and bicycle crossings
- Right-Of-Way needs
- Construction costs
- B-C ratios
- Two open house meetings were held, one on September 7, 2016 and one on February 1, 2017, to discuss the project, its findings, and its recommendations.

Recommendations: The following is recommended based on the evaluations and findings presented in this study:

- CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway: Signalized intersection with added Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) phasing for left turn movements and northbound and southbound exclusive right turn lanes.
- CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street: Signalized intersection with added FYA phasing for left turn movements and westbound right turn lane.
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway: Signalized intersection with added FYA phasing for left turn movements and conversion of the eastbound shared through/right turn lane to an exclusive right turn lane. However, a multi-lane roundabout is a viable option and could be implemented if construction costs are reduced, additional funding is provided, or conditions change causing the evaluations to be revised. This option will be reassessed prior to programming a project at this location.

Signal justification reports are provided in the Appendix for each study intersection.
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## 1. Introduction

## a. Purpose of Study

Three existing traffic signals in the City of Burnsville are close to the end of their service life, ranging in age from 27 to 37 years old. Rather than simply remove and replace the signals, Dakota County and the City of Burnsville wanted to take this opportunity to review the intersections, determine the appropriate traffic control and assess the intersection geometry needs.

The three intersections and their signal turn on dates are:

- County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 5/Burnsville Parkway (12/30/1979)
- CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street ( $1 / 18 / 1989$ )
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway (10/10/1986)


## b. Study Objectives

The goal of this project is to examine each intersection, determine the most appropriate type of control for today and into the future using objective criterion, and then provide the preliminary design of that preferred alternative.

To accomplish this goal, we have laid out the following study objectives:
i. Document how the study intersections are operating with today's volumes and existing characteristics.
ii. Compare and contrast different traffic control options for each intersection with an evaluation matrix.
iii. Recommend the most appropriate traffic control for each intersection based on the evaluation matrix, along with input from the County, the City, and the public.
iv. Prepare preliminary geometric layouts of the preferred alternative for each intersection.
v. Prepare justification reports or letters for each intersection.

This report documents the process, methodology, results, and recommendations of the study, including the preliminary design of the preferred alternative for each intersection.

## 2. Background

## a. Intersection Locations

The three study intersections are signalized intersections located in the City of Burnsville at:

- CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway

These locations are shown in Figure 1 and in the Appendix.


Figure 1 - Location Map

## b. Transportation Network Characteristics

Dakota CSAH 5 is a four-lane, divided, north-south road with a $45-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit in the study area. CSAH 5 is classified as an A Minor Arterial-Expander in the study area. CSAH 5 extends from Trunk Highway (TH) 13 in Burnsville to Interstate 35 in Lakeville. Southeast of I-35, CSAH 5 becomes CSAH 50. CSAH 5 directly serves mostly residential areas with some commercial areas, especially near CSAH 42.

Dakota CSAH 11 is a four-lane, undivided, north-south road with a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit in the study area. CSAH 11 is classified as an A Minor ArterialExpander in the study area. CSAH 11 extends from TH 13 in Burnsville to

CSAH 38 in Apple Valley. North of TH 13, CSAH 11 becomes a city street and south of CSAH 38, CSAH 11 becomes a city street. CSAH 11 directly serves mostly residential areas with some commercial areas, especially near TH 13 and I-35E.

Burnsville Parkway also known as Burnsville Municipal State Aid Street (MSAS) 102 is a four-lane, divided, east-west road with a 35 -mph speed limit west of CSAH 5 and east of CSAH 11 and a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit between CSAH 5 and CSAH 11. Burnsville Parkway is classified as a Collector road west of CSAH 5 as well as east of CSAH 11, and classified as an A Minor Arterial-Reliever between CSAH 5 and CSAH 11. Burnsville Parkway extends from CSAH 42 in Burnsville to Slater Road in Burnsville. Burnsville Parkway serves mostly residential areas with some commercial areas especially near I-35W and some industrial areas near CSAH 42.

West $136^{\text {th }}$ Street is Burnsville MSAS 130 east of CSAH 5. West of CSAH 5, the road is classified as a local road. $136^{\text {th }}$ Street is a two-lane, undivided, east-west road with a $30-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit in the study area. $136^{\text {th }}$ Street is approximately $3 / 4$ of a mile in length and extends from Burnsville Parkway to just west of l-35W serving residential areas.

The CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection is currently signalized. CSAH 5 runs north-south through the intersection and Burnsville Parkway runs northeast-southwest through the intersection making it a skewed intersection. Exclusive left turn lanes are provided on all approaches and channelized right turns are provided on Burnsville Parkway. The channelizing islands are substandard based on current design guidelines. The existing signal operates under eight phases, providing protected left turn phasing only. Although striped crosswalks are only provided on three of the four crossings due to the geometrics, pedestrian pushbuttons and indications are provided for all crossings.

The CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection is currently signalized. CSAH 5 runs north-south through the intersection and $136^{\text {th }}$ Street runs east-west through the intersection. Northbound and southbound exclusive left turn lanes are provided. The eastbound approach flares out at the intersection to provide space for a right turning vehicle. The existing signal operates with six phases, providing protected left turn phasing only for the northbound and southbound left turn movements. Pedestrian crosswalks are striped for three of the four crossings. Pedestrian pushbuttons and indications are provided for all four crossings.

The CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection is currently under signal control. CSAH 11 runs north-south through the intersection and Burnsville Parkway runs east-west through the intersection. Exclusive left turn lanes are provided on all approaches. Exclusive right turn lanes or other types of
channelization are not provided at this intersection. The existing signal operates with six phases, providing protected left turn phasing only for the northbound and southbound left turn movements. Striped pedestrian crossings, pushbuttons, and indications are provided for all four crossings of the intersection.

Existing traffic control and travel lanes for the study intersections are shown in Figure 2 and in the Appendix.

Sidewalks/trails exists on all sides of each study intersection with the exceptions of the north side of $136^{\text {th }}$ Street west of CSAH 5 and the north side of Burnsville Parkway around CSAH 11.

There are transit stops on southbound CSAH 5 on the south side of both the Burnsville Parkway and $136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections. Burnsville Parkway has a westbound transit stop west of CSAH 5 and an eastbound transit stop east of CSAH 5. These transit stops are in the right hand through lane, except for the stop on CSAH 5 south of $136^{\text {th }}$ Street which has a bus pull-out on the right side of the road. These transit stops are for express bus routes that provide service from Savage and Burnsville to Downtown Minneapolis and the Mall of America.


Figure 2 - Existing Lanes \& Traffic Control

## c. Existing Traffic Volumes

Intersection video was collected at each study intersection under normal weekday conditions in August of 2016 with clear weather. Using these videos, 24 -hour turning movement counts were collected at the three study intersections. Based on these counts, the peak hours were found to be from 7:15 to 8:15 a.m. and 4:45 to 5:45 p.m. at all the study intersections. The 2016 turning movement count data for each study intersection is contained in 15 -minute intervals in the Appendix. The peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 3 and in the Appendix.


Figure 3 - Existing Volumes

Previously collected daily traffic volumes are also available from the Minnesota Department of Transportation's (MnDOT's) Traffic Mapping. These volumes are annual average daily traffic volumes (AADTs) which provide just the total number of vehicles on a road as opposed to turning movement volumes. The AADT vehicle volumes near the study intersections were collected in 2014 and 2015 and are:

- At CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway: 15,100 on the north leg, 14,800 on the south leg, 9,700 on the west leg and 12,700 on the east leg.
- At CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street: 14,800 on the north and south legs, and 1,750 on the east leg. No data is available on the west leg.
- At CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway: 10,400 on the north leg, 13,400 on the south leg, 7,600 on the west leg and 3,300 on the east leg.

These daily volumes are in line with the volumes from the turning movement counts.

## 3. Analysis of Existing Conditions

## a. Field Observations

A field review of existing operations was conducted at each of the three study intersections during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours in July of 2016. These reviews observed no significant operational issues at any of the study intersections. All vehicle queues were observed to clear during green phases and no significant queues that stretch beyond turn lane lengths or excessive delays (such as waiting through two or more signal cycles) were noted.

Other important notes included:

- Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) to provide protective/permissive left turn operation appears to have potential at each currently protected left turn movement. Observed gaps in the conflicting traffic flow and sufficient sight lines suggest this is a viable option.
- Very few pedestrians and bicyclists were observed to use the study intersections in both the field review and the traffic counts.
- Operations did not appear to have any issues on approaches that do not provide exclusive right turn lanes.
- The CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection primarily rests in a green phase for the northbound and southbound traffic. This limits the interaction and potential vehicle platooning that could develop between this and the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection.
- Traffic volumes on $136^{\text {th }}$ Street appear low compared to other movements and intersections. If these volumes are low enough compared to the discounted thresholds of the traffic signal warrants, the signal may no longer be justified at this location.
- Although the westbound left turn movement on Burnsville Parkway at the CSAH 11 intersection appears to have a sight distance issue due to a slight grade change to the west, sufficient sight distance is available to safely and efficiently complete this turn.

The full field notes from our intersection observations are provided in the Appendix.

## b. Existing Operational Analysis

An intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the existing intersections per the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010. Intersections are assigned a "Level of Service" (LOS) letter grade for the peak hour of traffic based on the number of lanes at the intersection, traffic volumes, and traffic control. LOS A represents light traffic flow (free flow conditions) while LOS F represents heavy traffic flow (over capacity conditions). LOS D is considered acceptable at most intersections. Individual movements are also assigned LOS grades. At busy intersections, one or more individual movements may operate at a
lower LOS when the overall intersection is operating acceptably at LOS C or D. This situation often occurs for movements with relatively low volumes and/or a relatively high overall traffic signal cycle length.

Related to LOS is vehicle delay. The analysis software provides an estimate for the overall average delay at the intersection. This volume-weighted average provides the delay associated with the traffic control that could be expected by a driver for any approach and movement to that intersection.

A summary of the LOS and delay results for the existing operations is shown in Table 1. These are based on the existing traffic control and lane configurations as shown in Figure 1. These calculations were completed with the $\mathrm{VISTRO}^{\text {TM }}$ software package, which uses the methodology detailed in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010. Signal timings were provided by Dakota County and the model was calibrated to match observations made in the field. The full LOS calculations are provided in the Appendix. Also, included in the Appendix is a guide explaining the Level of Service grade concept.

Table 1 - Existing Peak Hour Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway | C (d) | 21 | C (d) | 24 |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | A (c) | 10 | B (c) | 12 |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway | B (d) | 13 | B (c) | 18 |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the worst operating movement
${ }^{2}$ Average delay for the entire intersection based upon a volume weighted average of each movements' delay, rounded up and presented in seconds.

As shown in Table 1, all three study intersections and all movements at those intersections are operating acceptably. These computer results match the field observations of the intersection operations during a.m. and p.m. peak hours. All vehicle queues at the intersections cleared during the green phases and no significant existing operational issues were identified.

## c. Warrant Analysis

The decision to install a traffic signal should include a review of the traffic signal warrants, which present thresholds that indicate when traffic signal control is beneficial. Traffic control signals should not be installed until one or more of these warrants are met, but the meeting of a warrant does not alone justify its installation. Engineering judgement based on data beyond satisfying the traffic signal warrants is necessary for its justification.

Using the turning movement counts, the volume signal warrants (Warrants 13) were reviewed for the study intersections using the methodology from the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MN-MUTCD).

Although three warrants are examined in this study, MnDOT generally focuses on Warrant 1 only, the Eight Hour Warrant. This warrant accounts for traffic volumes over a longer period, ensuring the installation decision reflects operations over the course of an entire day.

Several factors can impact the results of a warrant analysis, such as whether to use the existing or future lane configuration (the number of lanes impacts the warrant thresholds), whether to include right turning traffic (which generally would be able to find gaps and not need a signal to complete their movement), and whether to use the posted or $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed ( 45 mph or greater applies a reduction factor to the volume warrant thresholds).

For the purposes of this warrant analysis, the following factors were applied:

- Eliminating the right turning movement volumes from the analysis.
- Removing any existing right turn lanes from consideration in the analysis.
- Considering whether actual vehicle speeds are higher than the posted speed limit.

A summary of the warrant results is shown in Table 2.
Table 2 - Volume Warrant Analysis Summary with Existing Volumes

| Intersection | Warrant 1 <br> $\mathbf{8}$ Hrs Required | Warrant 2 <br> 4 Hrs Required | Warrant 3 <br> 1 Hr Required |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway | Met (16 Hrs) | Met (15 Hrs) | Met (10 Hrs) |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | Not Met (3 Hrs) | Not Met (1 Hrs) | Met (1 Hr) |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway |  |  |  |
| 45 mph vehicle travel speeds |  |  |  |
| 40 mph posted speed | Not Met (7 Hrs) | Met (5 Hrs) | Met (2 Hrs) |
| Not Met (2 Hrs) | Not Met (0 Hrs) | Not Met (0 Hrs) |  |

As shown, only the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection meets all three volume based warrants. The CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street satisfies the Peak Hour Volume Warrant only, falling short on the other warrants. The CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection satisfies two of the three warrants if actual vehicle speeds are considered, not the posted speed limit. Full results of the warrant analyses are included in the Appendix.

The satisfaction of one or more warrants suggests that traffic signal control may be appropriate at these intersections. In many areas, satisfaction of the traffic signal warrants also indicates the potential for roundabout control of an intersection. However, satisfaction of the warrants by itself does not indicate that traffic signal or roundabout control is justified.

## d. Crash History

Crash data at the existing study intersections was collected from MnDOT's Minnesota Crash Mapping Analysis Tool. Data was collected for the ten most recent years available, years 2006 through 2015. These crashes are categorized into five different severity types: fatal (K), incapacitating injury (A), non-incapacitating injury (B), possible injury (C) and property damage (PD).

Using previously collected intersection volumes, the crash data was translated into rates, allowing for an 'apples-to-apples' comparison between intersections of different types and volumes. An overall crash rate accounts for all crashes evenly. The severity rate applies different weights to the type of crash (fatal crashes having an impact five times greater than property damage crashes). These rates were determined for each location and present in Table 3. Crash rates are presented in units of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV).

Table 3 - Existing Conditions Crash Rates

| Intersection | Overall Crash Rate <br> per MEV |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | 0.39 | Severity Rate <br> per MEV |
| CSAH 5/136 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ MEV $=$ Million Entering Vehicles
The critical index is a metric that compares an intersection's observed rate to the critical rate, which is a statistically-valid rate based on the average crash rates for similar intersections statewide throughout Minnesota (similar in terms of volumes, speeds, and traffic control). If the critical index is below 1.00 , it suggests the intersection operates within the expected, normal range of crashes. A rate above 1.0 indicates a potential safety issue that deserves additional scrutiny. Table 4 shows the average crashes per year and the critical index for each intersection.

Table 4 - Existing Conditions Crash Statistics

|  | Overall Crashes |  | Severe Crashes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. Per <br> Intersection | Critical <br> Index | Avg. Per <br> Year | Critical <br> Index |
|  | 3.70 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.45 |
| CSAH 5/136 | St | 1.50 | 0.31 | 0.20 |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Pkwy | 4.80 | 0.94 | 0.20 | 1.15 |

As shown, two study intersections show a critical severity index above 1.0, indicating a potential issue. However, a deeper examination of the crash data reveals only two severe non-fatal crashes at each intersection during the ten-
year study period. This relatively low number of severe crashes is not considered an issue despite the higher than desired critical index.

In addition, the crash data was reviewed for potential trends of characteristics that could indicate an issue (i.e. most crashes occurring at night, in adverse weather, of a certain type like rear end, etc.). This review did not reveal any particular pattern or points of interest. While these intersections should continue to be watched, this safety review suggests the intersections are reasonably safe.

## 4. Intersection Alternatives

Within the universe of alternatives for traffic control exists options for traditional, nontraditional, access management, and grade separated solutions. Each category contains several possibilities that could be considered for each study intersection. Due to the close spacing of approximately 900 feet, alternatives at the two intersections on CSAH 5 will need to be considered together. The impacts of potential changes at one intersection may have an impact on potential changes at the other.

An initial review of the existing information and characteristics narrowed this universe of alternatives. For instance, grade-separated or other alternatives that involve elevated approaches or lanes (bridges) were deemed too expensive. This initial evaluation identified four potential intersection options for each of the three study intersections. Table 5 shows the potential options for each intersection based on generic MnDOT criterion. Currently, all three intersections are under signal control.

Table 5 - Initial Intersection Options using MnDOT Criterion

| Intersection Location | Intersection Control Option |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic Signal | Roundabout | Offset "T"s | Indirect Left Turn | Access Manage -ment* | Side Street Stop | Split <br> Intersections |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | X | X |  |  | X | X |  |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Pkwy | X | X |  | X |  |  | X |

* Access Management refers to options that limit the intersection movements. Example include extending a center median on the mainline to limit the side street to right in/right out only.

Meeting with the City and County, this list was further reduced, eliminating options due to consideration of the existing volumes, movements, operations, and surrounding area. For instance, with acceptable traffic operations at the intersections today, several non-traditional options were eliminated from consideration. Table 6 shows the resulting alternatives identified for evaluation.

Table 6 - Intersection Alternatives for Study

| Intersection | Signal | Roundabout | Limited <br> Access* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | X | X |  |
| CSAH 5/136 St St | X | X | X |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Pkwy | X | X |  |

* Limit Access refers to eliminating the left turn and through movements from the side street approaches.

These alternatives were carried forward for more detailed analysis and evaluation.

Although the traffic control types were determined, the exact lane configuration could be adjusted to better serve the traffic volumes. Using an iterative process, different intersection geometries were evaluated considering the existing configurations, existing and projected volumes, and surrounding roadway network. This was not a full capacity analysis, but an exercise to determine the concept designs for the full capacity analysis. Table 7 shows the initial lane geometry for evaluation in this study. The appendix contains the concept-level layouts of the proposed alternatives.

Table 7 - Intersection Alternatives Lane Initial Configurations

| Intersection | Signal ${ }^{1}$ | Roundabout | Limited Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | NB \& SB: L,T,T/R <br> or L,T,T,R <br> EB \& WB: L,T,T,R |  <br> WBR bypass lanes | N/A |
|  | NB \& SB: L,T,T/R <br> EB \& WB: T/L, R | NB \& SB: 2 lanes <br> EB \& WB: 1 lane | NB \& SB: L,T,T/R <br> EB \& WB: R |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Pkwy | NB \& SB \& WB: <br> L,T,T/R <br> EB: L,T,R | NB \& SB: 2 lanes <br> WB: 1 lane <br> EB: L/T, R | N/A |

${ }^{1}$ Modifications to signalized intersections include the addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows. NB = Northbound Approach, SB = Southbound Approach, EB Approach, WB Approach $\mathrm{L}=$ Left Turn Lane, $\mathrm{T}=$ Thru Lane, $\mathrm{R}=$ Right Turn Lane

In addition to the geometric changes, new traffic signal systems would use flashing yellow arrows (FYA) to provide for protective/permissive operations on the mainline during non-peak periods.

## 5. Analysis of Future Conditions

## a. Traffic Volume Forecasting

To ensure the preferred alternative for each study intersection accommodated existing and future conditions, two time periods were chosen for review; existing and the year 2036. Existing volumes were obtained through intersection videos in August of 2016.

To project the existing volumes to the year 2036, traffic forecasts from the Dakota County Transportation Plan and the City of Burnsville Comprehensive Plan Update were utilized. These documents, which generally coincide, show the expected growth in traffic from year 2006 or 2007 to year 2030. Using the forecasted volumes for the two sets of years, general growth rates were established on the roadways surrounding the study intersections.

Forecasts were not available for $136^{\text {th }}$ Street, a local road with limited access to other areas/roads. Due to the potential for area development on the west side of CSAH 5, the general growth rate for this portion of $136^{\text {th }}$ Street was assumed to match the general growth rate of CSAH 5. With the area east of CSAH 5 largely built-out with few development opportunities, this assumption would lead to an overly high estimate of traffic volumes. Instead, the general growth rate for this section was assumed at 0.5 percent per year, reflecting a slow but steady increase in traffic.

Customized growth rates for every turning movement were then developed. The overall growth rates used on the existing traffic at the study intersections to model 2036 forecast conditions are shown in Figure 4 and in the Appendix. Figure 3, also in the Appendix, show the existing and projected 2036 turning movement volumes for each study intersection used in the analyses.


Figure 4 - Growth Rates for 2036 Projections
b. Future Operational Analysis

As before, an intersection capacity analysis was conducted in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual, using the Vistro software package to assign "Level of Service" letter grades. Signal timings, provided by Dakota County, were adjusted as necessary to reflect the change in geometry and the increase in future volumes. The capacity analysis results for the lane configurations are shown in Table 8. The full calculations for each study scenario, including Level of Service (LOS) grades and queue lengths, are included in the Appendix.

Table 8 - Forecast Peak Hour Operations ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Signal |  | Roundabout |  | Limited Access |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing | 2036 | Existing | 2036 | Existing | 2036 |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway | $C(B), B(B)^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})^{2}$ | A (B) | E (F) | N/A | N/A |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway | B (C) | B (C) | A (A) | A (A) | N/A | N/A |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection in the a.m. peak hour. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the intersection in the p.m. peak hour. The configurations from Table 5 are used in these analyses.
${ }^{2}$ The first set of letters is for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of L,T,T/R and the second set of letters if for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{R}$.

As a check on the roundabout operations at the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection, the entry lane volumes were compared against the entry lane capacity from the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 672, Roundabouts: An Informational Guide, Second Edition. The diagram below shows this comparison.


As shown, in the morning peak the traffic for the northbound approach is just above the capacity of the roundabout. In the afternoon peak, traffic on the southbound approach is well above the capacity. The concentration of volume on these approaches means more traffic than expected gaps in traffic, leading to the capacity issues identified.

## c. Forecast Crash Analysis

Changes to the intersection geometry and traffic control will impact the above rates and impact the safety of the intersections. To determine these projected safety impacts, crash modification factors (CRFs), compiled by the Federal Highway Administration, were consulted. CRFs represent the expected impact to crashes based on a vast collection of studies evaluating the before and after of different changes. Multiplying the existing crash and severity rates by the CRF forecasts the expected rates if the change is implemented.

The crash modification factors used in this analysis were:

- FYA: 0.901 for all crashes, 0.926 for severe crashes
- Right Turn Lanes: 0.920 for all crashes
- Multi-lane Roundabout: 1.062 for all crashes, 0.367 for severe crashes
- Signal Removal: 0.760 for all crashes
- $3 / 4$ Access Conversion: 0.560 for all crashes

These CRFs were applied to the rates for the study intersections based on the expected changes, as shown in the concept layouts. For one CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway alternative, two CRFs were applied reflecting both the FYA and the addition of right turn lanes. For the CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street alternative of a limited access intersection both the signal removal and $3 / 4$ access conversion factors were used.

Using the crash modification factors combined with the traffic volume forecasts for the study intersections, the existing and future crashes and critical rates were established for each alternative. Table 9 shows this information.

As shown, the critical indices for overall crashes are at or below 1.00 using existing and forecast volumes. This suggests that the intersections operate within the expected, normal range of overall crashes.

The critical indices for severe crashes are above 1.00 for the signalized options at CSAH 5/136th Street and CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway. However, as previously mentioned, each of these study intersections experienced two severe crashes over the past ten years. This relatively low amount of severe crashes does not represent a significant issue. In addition, the addition of Flashing Yellow Arrow is expected to reduce the critical index for severe crashes compared to the existing conditions.

Table 9 - Forecast Conditions Crash Statistics ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Intersection Configuration | Overall Crashes |  | Severe Crashes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index |
| CSAH 5/ Burnsville Pkwy | Existing | 3.70 (5.55) | 0.51 (0.44) | 0.10 (0.15) | 0.45 (0.47) |
|  | $\mathrm{FYA}^{2}$ | 3.33 (5.00) | 0.46 (0.40) | 0.09 (0.14) | 0.42 (0.44) |
|  | FYA \& RTL ${ }^{3}$ | 3.28 (4.92) | 0.45 (0.39) | 0.09 (0.14) | 0.41 (0.42) |
|  | Multi-Lane RA ${ }^{4}$ | 3.93 (5.90) | 0.54 (0.47) | 0.04 (0.06) | 0.17 (0.17) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CSAH 5/ } \\ & 136^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \end{aligned}$ | Existing | 1.50 (2.79) | 0.31 (0.28) | 0.20 (0.37) | 1.15 (1.37) |
|  | FYA ${ }^{2}$ | 1.35 (2.51) | 0.28 (0.25) | 0.19 (0.34) | 1.06 (1.27) |
|  | Multi-Lane RA ${ }^{4}$ | 1.59 (2.96) | 0.33 (0.30) | 0.07 (0.14) | 0.42 (0.50) |
|  | Limited Access ${ }^{5}$ | 0.93 (1.72) | 0.19 (0.17) | 0.12 (0.23) | 0.71 (0.85) |
| CSAH 11/ <br> Burnsville Pkwy | Existing | 4.80 (6.92) | 0.94 (0.80) | 0.20 (0.29) | 1.11 (1.18) |
|  | FYA ${ }^{2}$ | 4.32 (6.24) | 0.85 (0.72) | 0.19 (0.27) | 1.03 (1.09) |
|  | Multi-Lane RA ${ }^{4}$ | 5.10 (7.35) | 1.00 (0.85) | 0.07 (0.11) | 0.41 (0.43) |

${ }^{1}$ Data presented as: 2016 volumes (2036 traffic volumes)
${ }^{2}$ Signalized intersection with addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows
${ }^{3}$ Signalized intersection with addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows plus northbound and southbound right turn lanes
${ }^{4}$ Conversion to a multi-lane roundabout
${ }^{5}$ Conversion to an unsignalized limited access intersection

## d. Intersection Interaction

Related to, but separate from the capacity analysis, is the relationship between operations at adjacent intersections. In this case, the CSAH 5 intersections with Burnsville Parkway and with $136^{\text {th }}$ Street are approximately 900 feet apart. Operations at one intersection could have impacts on the other intersection. Based on the capacity analyses, the vehicle queues do not stretch back between intersections. Therefore, from a pure capacity point of view, operations at one intersection do not influence the other.

Another type of interaction is the redirection of traffic due to the limited access alternative of the CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection. With the elimination of left turn and through movements from $136^{\text {th }}$ Street across or onto CSAH 5, these movements will be forced to other intersections. Many of these movements will redirect to the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway. This intersection was examined assuming the additional traffic and found to have sufficient capacity to accommodate this extra traffic. However, some drivers will want to complete a U-turn movement. For this movement, a roundabout at the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection would best accommodate both passenger car and truck U-turn movements.

Beyond the study intersections, the elimination of several movements will cause drivers to re-route to other neighborhood roads. The traffic counts show approximately 1,000 vehicles impacted over the course of an average 24 -hour day. A portion of these vehicles will redirect to the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection, resulting in minimal impact on other area roads. Some, however, will use other surrounding roads resulting in increases in
neighborhood roads by up to a couple hundred vehicles per day in some cases.

Capacity-wise, these roads are able to accommodate this increase in traffic volume. However, the increase would be expected to be noticeable by the residents, particularly if these drivers drive faster in an attempt to "make up" lost time due to the re-route. Therefore, the limited access alternative is expected to have a negative impact on surrounding roads not explicitly studied in this evaluation.

## e. Pedestrian and Bicyclist Impacts

With the different intersection control types, pedestrians are handled differently. At the signalized intersections, crosswalk users have a single stage crossing with active control. This means crossing the length of the street, both directions of traffic, at once when presented with the WALK signal, or green light for pedestrians. The benefit is clear communication to both pedestrians and drivers for when crossings should occur. A shortcoming is the potential for pedestrians to wait a long time, particularly if crossing the mainline, before being allowed to cross even in the absence of vehicular traffic.

At a roundabout, pedestrians are presented a two-stage crossing with passive control. Two-stage means crossing one direction of traffic at a time while passive means the pedestrian looks for gaps in traffic on their own, without assistance from a traffic signal. Benefits to this type of crossing include not having to wait for a signal, but instead crossing when a gap is present or when drivers stop to allow the crossing. In addition, crossing one direction of traffic flow at a time means cars approaching only from one way, reducing the complexity of watching for cars from multiple directions. The drawback of roundabout crossings is often having to wait for drivers to stop, which is a mixed experience in the Twin Cities. Many drivers are focused on their movements only and do not observe or stop for pedestrian crossings.

For the limited access intersection, the marked pedestrian crossings are limited to the side street with the mainline crossing removed. Specifically, for the CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection, pedestrians would need to detour approximately 900 feet north to the Burnsville Parkway crossing for the next available intersection crossing of CSAH 5.

Bicyclists are able to proceed through an intersection operating as either a car, riding in traffic, or a pedestrian, dismounting and walking in the crosswalk. As a pedestrian, the impacts on bicycle travel would match those described above. As a car, vehicle speeds are higher with a traffic signal compared to a roundabout. In addition, left turn movements can be more difficult for a bicyclist when operating in the driving lanes due to switching
lanes. For any alternative, many drivers are not accustomed to bicyclists operating in the driving lane.

## f. Right-of-Way Needs

Based on the concept layouts for each intersection alternative, the amount of right-of-way and temporary easement needs were determined. Right-of-way is the permanent area necessary to accommodate the alternative. Temporary easement is space needed for construction which would return to the property owner after construction is completed.

Both types cost money to acquire and can be difficult and time-consuming process to obtain depending upon the property owner, current use of the area needed, and many other factors. Decreasing these needs is therefore desirable to minimize disruption to area residents and businesses as well as keep the cost of the alternative lower. The estimated right-of-way and easement needs are presented in Table 10 based on the concept drawings.

Table 10 - Estimated Easement and Right-of-Way Needs (sq. ft.)

| Intersection | Signal | Roundabout | Limited <br> Access |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | $120-8,327^{1}$ | 23,556 | N/A |
| CSAH 5/136 |  |  |  |
| CSA | 0 | 4,722 | 3,027 |

${ }^{1}$ The first number is for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of $L, T, T / R$ and the second number is for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of $L, T, T, R$.

## g. Construction Costs

Concept construction costs were determined from the concept layouts prepared for each study alternative. Included in the concept cost estimates are the material and construction costs as well as estimates for:

- Removals
- Utilities
- Drainage
- Lighting
- Signing and striping
- Landscaping
- Erosion control/turf establishment
- Permanent Right-of-Way and temporary easements
- Risk and contingency lump sums

The costs for each intersection alternative are shown in Table 11.

Table 11 - Estimated Construction Costs ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Signal | Roundabout | Limited <br> Access |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | $\$ 350,000$ <br> $\$ 500,000^{2}$ | $\$ 1,050,000$ | N/A |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | $\$ 350,000$ | $\$ 550,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Pkwy | $\$ 350,000$ | $\$ 950,000$ | n/a |

${ }^{1}$ Costs are rounded to the nearest $\$ 50,000$.
${ }^{2}$ The first number is for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of L,T,T/R and the second number is for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{R}$.

## h. Benefit to Cost Ratios

As described by MnDOT, a benefit-cost analysis is an evaluation method to systematically compare the economic benefits to the drawbacks. The primary objective is to translate the expected advantages of an alternative into monetary terms for comparison against the expected cost. The two benefits for this study include the expected savings based on improved operations and a reduction in crashes. For this study, the total estimated benefits across the 20-year analysis period were compared to the project construction.

This information is then translated into a Benefit-Cost Ratio (B-C ratio) where a result above 1.0 indicates a project that provides overall economic benefit and a result at or below 1.0 indicates a project that cost as much or more than the expected economic benefits.

It should be noted that this calculation does not consider the magnitude of cost to an agency. For instance, an intersection to be constructed into a grade-separated interchange may provide a large benefit in terms of increased capacity and reduced crashes resulting in a very high B-C ratio. However, that agency will still need to provide the millions of dollars necessary to construct the interchange, which may or may not be possible.

The concept construction estimates were presented earlier and represent the project costs portion of the B-C ratio. The next step is the first benefit, vehicle operating cost savings. To calculate this value, additional capacity analyses were completed for each intersection alternative, including a base no-build alternative if no construction or changes occurred. The average vehicle delay was determined for four sets of traffic volumes, both in the year 2016 and year 2036, representing four different periods throughout the day (morning peak, afternoon peak, off-peak, and overnight). Through a series of calculations and using the MnDOT recommended standard values for use in a B-C ratio examination, annual costs were determined for each intersection alternative, including the base. Subtracting the costs of each study alternative from the cost of the base scenario, factoring in present value, and summing for all 20 years provided the total operating and emissions cost benefit for each intersection alternative. To calculate the safety benefits, the crash
differences for each severity crash from the alternatives and the base scenarios were found. The crash rates were iterated for the 20-year period using volume forecasts. Crashes were split amongst severities using Minnesota state averages as well as factors from the National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 672. Dollar amounts were assigned to each crash severity per MnDOT recommended standards values. Subtracting the costs of the alternatives from the cost of the base scenario at each intersection, factoring in present value, and summing for all 20 years gave a safety cost savings for each alternative.

These three components; project costs, vehicle operating cost savings, and safety cost savings costs (summing the vehicle operating and safety cost savings) were then used to calculate the B-C ratios for each intersection alternative. Table 12 these ratios.

Table 12 - Benefit to Cost Ratios

| Intersection | Signal | Roundabout | Limited <br> Access |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Pkwy | $5.68-7.72^{1}$ | 8.65 | n/a |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | 3.37 | 13.13 | 32.65 |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Pkwy | 2.58 | 9.75 | n/a |

${ }^{1}$ The first number is for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of $L, T, T / R$ and the second number if for the northbound and southbound approaches with the layout of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{R}$.

As shown in the table, all alternatives have positive $B-C$ ratios meaning an economic benefit is expected under any option. As mentioned earlier, it is important to note the B-C ratio is an economic tool only that does not account for other factors, such as the magnitude of cost to an agency or impact of an alternative on the surrounding residents and businesses.

## i. Evaluation Matrices

Using the analysis presented, evaluation matrices were developed to provide a comparison between alternatives for each study intersections. These matrices show the different criteria for each alternative side-by-side. Included in the evaluation matrices are:

- LOS operations with existing and future volumes
- Critical indices for overall crashes and severe crashes
- Impacts to pedestrian and bicycle crossings
- Right-Of-Way needs
- Construction costs
- B-C ratios

The matrix for each intersection is provided below.

Matrix 1 - CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway Alternative Comparison

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Existing (for } \\ \text { comparison } \\ \text { only) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Traffic Signal (FYA) | Traffic Signal (FYA \& Rt Turn Lanes) | Multi-Lane Roundabout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operations <br> LOS for Existing Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | C (C) | C (B) | B (B) | A (B) |
| Operations <br> LOS for Future Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | C (C) | C (C) | C (C) | E (F) |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (All Crashes) | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ |
| Critical Index (K/A Crashes) | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ |
| $\frac{\text { Bicycle/Pedestrians }}{\text { Crossings }}$ | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Passive Control Two Stage |
| Right-of-Way Needs Less Impact is Desired | N/A | Minor | Minor | Major |
| Construction Costs | N/A | \$350,000 | \$500,000 | \$1,050,000 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio Positive Result is Desired | N/A | + | + | + |

For the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection, the recommendation is a traffic signal control with added FYA as well as northbound and southbound right turn lanes.

The addition of the turn lanes will have some vehicular operational and safety benefits. The desire for right turn lanes was also brought up by the public in meetings (see next section). Only minor impacts to the adjacent pond were assumed with the northbound right turn lane. If major impacts are identified through final design, construction of this turn lane will be reconsidered.

The skew of the intersection did not lend itself to a roundabout, leading to less than ideal design elements, such as higher entry speeds and an inability to fully meet driver expectations. Combined with higher costs and right-of-way needs, the roundabout was dropped from consideration.

Matrix 2 - CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street Alternative Comparison

|  | Existing (for <br> comparison <br> only) | Traffic Signal <br> (FYA \& WB Rt <br> Turn Lane) | Multi-Lane <br> Roundabout | Limited <br> Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOS for Existing Volumes <br> AM Peak (PM Peak) | A (B) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) |
| Operations <br> LOS for Future Volumes <br> AM Peak (PM Peak) | A (B) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (All Crashes) | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (K/A Crashes) | $>1.0$ | $>1.0$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ |
| Bicycle/Pedestrians <br> Crossings | Active Control <br> Single Stage | Active Control <br> Single Stage | Passive Control <br> Two Stage | Passive Control <br> No CSAH 5 <br> Crossing |
| $\frac{\text { Right-of-Way Needs }}{\text { Less Impact is Desired }}$ | N/A | None | Major | Minor |
| Construction Costs | N/A | $\$ 350,000$ | $\$ 550,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio <br> Positive Result is Desired | N/A | + | + | ++ |

For the CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection, the recommendation is traffic signal control with added FYA as well as a westbound right turn lane.

The westbound right turn lane will allow turning vehicles to proceed after stopping on a red light. This will, in turn, help maximize green time devoted to CSAH 5 by reducing the number of times the side street needs green time.

A multi-lane roundabout had concerns due to a high imbalance between the north-south and east-west traffic. This alternative also had concerns from the potential for mixing traffic control, which impacts driver expectations.

A limited access intersection would restrict movements along a collector road without providing an acceptable alternative route. In addition, this alternative raised concerns regarding U-turn movements at the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway and other adjacent intersections. The removal of a pedestrian crossing of CSAH 5 was also a concern. Finally, public comments from the community were not favorable to this alternative (see next section).

Matrix 3 - CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway Alternative Comparison

|  | Existing (for comparison only) | Traffic Signal (FYA \& EB Rt Turn Lane) | Multi-Lane Roundabout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Operations } \\ \text { LOS for Existing Volumes } \\ \text { AM Peak (PM Peak) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B (B) | B (C) | A (A) |
| Operations LOS for Future Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | B (C) | B (C) | A (A) |
| Critical Index (All Crashes) | 0.85-1.0 | 0.85-1.0 | 0.85-1.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Safety }}{\text { Critical Index (K/A Crashes) }}$ | > 1.0 | $>1.0^{2}$ | < 0.85 |
| Bicycle/Pedestrians Crossings | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Passive Control Two Stage |
| Right-of-Way Needs Less Impact is Desired | N/A | None | Major |
| Construction Costs | N/A | \$350,000 | \$950,000 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio Positive Result is Desired | N/A | + | + |

For the CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection, the recommendation is a traffic signal with added FYA and adjustment to provide an exclusive eastbound right turn lane.

The primary reason for the selection of the traffic signal alternative is the construction cost. At approximately three times the cost of the signal, and without a substantial safety benefit (two severe crashes in ten years is not considered a concern even if the severe critical index is higher than desired), the roundabout does not have a compelling reason.

However, the roundabout does provide satisfactory operations and would be a good fit for the intersection. Though cost prohibitive now, conditions could change in the future that might bring the cost down, provide appropriate funding, or result in other factors to change the evaluation results. For these reasons, the roundabout remains an acceptable alternative and should be reevaluated in the future before completing final design and construction of the traffic signal option.

## 6. Public Input

Public input is viewed as a critical component to this study's process. Although not a 'popularity contest' where each alternative could be voted up or down by the public, understanding and buy-in was sought. This input was a factor considered in the evaluation of the alternatives even if not a direct part of the evaluation matrices. Two open houses were held for the public; the first to provide an opportunity to learn about the project and provide insight into the existing operations and concerns, the second to present the draft results and recommendations for discussion.

The first open house was held at the Burnsville City Council Chambers on Wednesday, September 7, 2016. Existing information about the study intersections was presented along with the opportunity to discuss with the project team and provide comments. Comments were also received around this time through direct communication with the County via phone and email.

Over 50 people attended the open house and 57 comments were received from the meeting as well as submitted to the County before or after the meeting. The general themes of the comments included:

- Concerns regarding roundabouts and their operations
- A need to address 'cut-thru' traffic in the neighborhood.
- A desire for Flashing Yellow Arrow for the left turn phases.
- Concerns regarding pedestrian and bicycle crossings.
- A desire for exclusive right turn lanes.

Specific comments were also obtained for each study intersection included and their study alternatives. The top comment for the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection was concerns about roundabout operations. However, equal support was also expressed in favor of a traffic signal and a roundabout.

At the CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection, the top comment was concerns about changes due to the limited access alternative. All three alternatives received about equal positive endorsement. Concerns about roundabout operations were outweighed by concerns about the limited access alternative.

The top comment regarding the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection was in favor of roundabout operations. At the same time, concerns about roundabout operations were greater than support for the traffic signal alternative.

The meeting materials and comments are provided in the Appendix.
The second public meeting was also held at the Burnsville City Council Chambers on Wednesday, February 1, 2017. Results of the study analyses along with the draft recommendations for each study intersection were presented.

About 35 people attended this meeting. Most comments provided were verbal, expressing relief that the limited access alternative was not being pursued. Four other written comments were received:

- Concerns regarding 'cut-thru' traffic in neighborhoods during construction of the preferred alternatives, particularly for the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection.
- Support for the signal at the $136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection.
- Support for a roundabout at the CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection, if additional funding can be found.
- Concerns regarding the condition of the existing sidewalks, particularly on the east side of CSHA 5, south of the $136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection.

The meeting materials and comments received for this second public meeting are also provided in the Appendix.

## 7. Preliminary Layouts

Following receipt of all input, the traffic signal alternative concepts were updated to provide preliminary layouts. These layouts are a more accurate representation of the recommended alternatives and allowed for development of improved cost estimates compared to the concept-level ones. The preliminary layouts are provided in the Appendix. The updated, rounded cost estimates for the preliminary layouts are:

- CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway Traffic Signal Alternative - \$518,000
- CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street Traffic Signal Alternative - \$ 358,000
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway Traffic Signal Alternative - \$348,000

The full preliminary layout cost estimates are provided in the Appendix.

## 8. Conclusions and Recommendations

The three signalized study intersections were analyzed for different traffic control and geometric alternatives. Through the analysis and evaluation of the different study alternatives for each intersection, the following was found:

- No significant operational issues were observed at any of the study intersections. All vehicle queues were observed to clear during green phases and no significant queues that stretch beyond turn lane lengths or excessive delays were noted.
- All three study intersections and all movements at those intersections are operating acceptably.
- A safety review suggests the intersections are reasonably safe today.
- Alternatives were developed for each study intersection, including:
- CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway; traffic signal with FYA, traffic signal with FYA and northbound-southbound exclusive right turn lanes, and multi-lane roundabout.
- CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street; traffic signal with FYA and westbound exclusive right turn lane, multi-lane roundabout, and limited access (eliminating the thru and left turn movement from the $136{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street side streets.
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway; traffic signal with FYA and exclusive eastbound right turn lane and multi-lane roundabout.
Updated pedestrian facilities would be included as a part of the reconstruction of any of these intersections.
- Evaluation matrices were developed for the study intersection alternatives, comparing:
- LOS operations with existing and future volumes
- Critical indices for overall crashes and severe crashes
- Impacts to pedestrian and bicycle crossings
- Right-Of-Way needs
- Construction costs
- B-C ratios
- Two open house meetings were held September 7, 2016 and February 1, 2017. These provided residents, businesses, and others the opportunity to learn more about the project, express their concerns or issues regarding each intersection (meeting \#1) and present initial findings and the draft preferred alternative for each study intersection alternative (meeting \#2).

Based on the evaluations and findings presented in this study, the recommended intersection alternatives are:

- CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway: Signalized intersection with added FYA phasing for left turn movements and northbound and southbound exclusive right turn lanes.
- CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street: Signalized intersection with added FYA phasing for left turn movements and westbound right turn lane.
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway: Signalized intersection with added FYA phasing for left turn movements and conversion of the eastbound shared through/right turn lane to an exclusive right turn lane. However, a multi-lane roundabout is a viable option and could be implemented if construction costs are reduced, additional funding is provided, or conditions change causing the evaluations to be revised.

The updated, rounded cost estimates based on the preliminary layouts are:

- CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway Traffic Signal Alternative - \$518,000
- CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street Traffic Signal Alternative - \$ 358,000
- CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway Traffic Signal Alternative - \$348,000

Signal justification reports are provided in the Appendix for each study intersection.
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# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

File Name : CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 1

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 36 |
| 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 38 |
| 12:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 34 |
| 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 10 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 131 |
| 01:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 27 |
| 01:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 01:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 |
| Total | 0 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 80 |
| 02:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 02:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 49 |
| 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 03:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| 03:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 63 |
| 04:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 16 |
| 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 34 |
| 04:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 35 |
| 04:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 44 |
| Total | 0 | 5 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 129 |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 59 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 88 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 12 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 9 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 146 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 10 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 7 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 148 |
| Total | 0 | 16 | 58 | 5 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 12 | 32 | 20 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 31 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 23 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 441 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 16 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 4 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 177 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 12 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 18 | 1 | 98 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 59 | 252 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 9 | 40 | 5 | 2 | 56 | 1 | 17 | 37 | 13 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 82 | 20 | 2 | 106 | 0 | 24 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 105 | 335 |

# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy
Burnsville, MN

File Name : CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date: 8/9/2016
Page No : 2

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 14 | 54 | 9 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 11 | 39 | 12 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 25 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 18 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 106 | 411 |
| Total | 0 | 35 | 153 | 20 | 4 | 212 | 1 | 36 | 126 | 45 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 3 | 353 | 79 | 3 | 438 | 0 | 51 | 262 | 2 | 2 | 317 | 1175 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 13 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 22 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 4 | 140 | 26 | 1 | 171 | 0 | 28 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 400 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 15 | 60 | 13 | 1 | 89 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 27 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 30 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 43 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 163 | 557 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 17 | 62 | 12 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 49 | 20 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 3 | 180 | 36 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 25 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 117 | 506 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 13 | 85 | 17 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 11 | 62 | 26 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 165 | 30 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 19 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 118 | 528 |
| Total | 0 | 58 | 248 | 49 | 1 | 356 | 0 | 39 | 179 | 95 | 0 | 313 | 1 | 8 | 683 | 122 | 1 | 815 | 0 | 115 | 386 | 5 | 1 | 507 | 1991 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 9 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 23 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 25 | 73 | 3 | 0 | 101 | 414 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 9 | 70 | 7 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 7 | 95 | 25 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 19 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 78 | 347 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 20 | 50 | 11 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 17 | 35 | 20 | 2 | 74 | 0 | 6 | 84 | 24 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 17 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 81 | 350 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 15 | 73 | 10 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 18 | 42 | 11 | 0 | 71 | 2 | 2 | 71 | 19 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 12 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 72 | 336 |
| Total | 0 | 56 | 267 | 42 | 1 | 366 | 0 | 59 | 152 | 53 | 2 | 266 | 2 | 16 | 373 | 91 | 1 | 483 | 0 | 73 | 252 | 6 | 1 | 332 | 1447 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 15 | 73 | 9 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 18 | 38 | 24 | 0 | 80 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 20 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 11 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 327 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 8 | 53 | 8 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 12 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 5 | 67 | 22 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 10 | 59 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 288 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 9 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 16 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 26 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 14 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 285 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 11 | 82 | 4 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 13 | 33 | 14 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 2 | 62 | 16 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 8 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 297 |
| Total | 0 | 43 | 278 | 26 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 59 | 131 | 54 | 0 | 244 | 3 | 9 | 258 | 84 | 1 | 355 | 0 | 43 | 204 | 3 | 1 | 251 | 1197 |
| 10:00 AM | 1 | 14 | 84 | 12 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 17 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 3 | 62 | 12 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 7 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 48 | 312 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 64 | 9 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 77 | 14 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 9 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 283 |
| 10:30 AM | 1 | 14 | 54 | 16 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 11 | 1 | 61 | 2 | 3 | 51 | 17 | 1 | 74 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 55 | 275 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 13 | 61 | 14 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 22 | 33 | 14 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 16 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 308 |
| Total | 2 | 46 | 263 | 51 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 66 | 132 | 57 | 2 | 257 | 3 | 9 | 268 | 64 | 2 | 346 | 0 | 40 | 168 | 3 | 2 | 213 | 1178 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 14 | 65 | 11 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 21 | 44 | 12 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 2 | 55 | 24 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 9 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 62 | 310 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 9 | 69 | 12 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 16 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 20 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 301 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 14 | 87 | 20 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 22 | 43 | 13 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 5 | 78 | 21 | 1 | 105 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 347 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 12 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 21 | 48 | 23 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 2 | 68 | 18 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 11 | 45 | 3 | 4 | 63 | 349 |
| Total | 0 | 49 | 304 | 53 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 80 | 176 | 62 | 1 | 319 | 1 | 11 | 272 | 83 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 35 | 168 | 6 | 5 | 214 | 1307 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 21 | 101 | 14 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 24 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 7 | 83 | 22 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 12 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 393 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 73 | 19 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 18 | 38 | 25 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 28 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 15 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 352 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 85 | 13 | 2 | 110 | 0 | 26 | 40 | 15 | 2 | 83 | 1 | 2 | 84 | 25 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 14 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 61 | 367 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 15 | 103 | 27 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 17 | 43 | 15 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 18 | 1 | 101 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 2 | 3 | 62 | 384 |
| Total | 0 | 53 | 362 | 73 | 3 | 491 | 1 | 85 | 160 | 77 | 2 | 325 | 1 | 15 | 326 | 93 | 3 | 438 | 0 | 53 | 179 | 7 | 3 | 242 | 1496 |

# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy
Burnsville, MN

File Name: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 3

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 26 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 79 | 1 | 1 | 90 | 27 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 17 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 58 | 360 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 26 | 72 | 11 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 22 | 41 | 16 | 0 | 79 | 1 | 2 | 84 | 23 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 363 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 14 | 81 | 16 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 23 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 4 | 103 | 16 | 2 | 125 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 363 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 16 | 110 | 10 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 18 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 5 | 80 | 32 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 10 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 394 |
| Total | 0 | 70 | 341 | 49 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 91 | 162 | 64 | 0 | 317 | 2 | 12 | 357 | 98 | 2 | 471 | 0 | 60 | 162 | 9 | 1 | 232 | 1480 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 18 | 96 | 22 | 1 | 137 | 0 | 26 | 48 | 18 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 2 | 85 | 19 | 2 | 108 | 0 | 15 | 42 | 2 | 1 | 60 | 397 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 10 | 101 | 17 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 23 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 82 | 1 | 7 | 72 | 28 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 47 | 365 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 17 | 94 | 16 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 27 | 56 | 7 | 0 | 90 | 1 | 6 | 103 | 28 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 56 | 411 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 13 | 98 | 17 | 1 | 129 | 0 | 32 | 52 | 13 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 88 | 29 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 9 | 46 | 2 | 1 | 58 | 404 |
| Total | 0 | 58 | 389 | 72 | 2 | 521 | 0 | 108 | 198 | 55 | 0 | 361 | 2 | 18 | 348 | 104 | 2 | 474 | 0 | 46 | 163 | 10 | 2 | 221 | 1577 |


| 03:00 PM | 0 | 15 | 112 | 16 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 28 | 63 | 15 | 1 | 107 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 28 | 4 | 111 | 0 | 13 | 48 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 424 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:15 PM | 1 | 19 | 114 | 10 | 2 | 146 | 0 | 22 | 68 | 18 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 19 | 1 | 133 | 0 | 16 | 52 | 1 | 3 | 72 | 459 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 20 | 142 | 16 | 2 | 180 | 0 | 27 | 55 | 12 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 29 | 1 | 148 | 0 | 18 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 98 | 521 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 21 | 143 | 20 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 26 | 72 | 27 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 6 | 113 | 30 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 21 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 537 |
| Total | 1 | 75 | 511 | 62 | 4 | 653 | 0 | 103 | 258 | 72 | 2 | 435 | 0 | 12 | 417 | 106 | 6 | 541 | 0 | 68 | 233 | 7 | 4 | 312 | 1941 |


| 04:00 PM | 1 | 15 | 154 | 32 | 2 | 204 | 0 | 40 | 100 | 16 | 0 | 156 | 1 | 7 | 117 | 21 | 3 | 149 | 0 | 22 | 59 | 1 | 2 | 84 | 593 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 35 | 170 | 30 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 32 | 92 | 28 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 8 | 128 | 30 | 2 | 168 | 0 | 14 | 64 | 2 | 1 | 81 | 636 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 29 | 193 | 28 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 33 | 97 | 21 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 30 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 18 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 104 | 669 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 19 | 192 | 39 | 0 | 250 | 1 | 39 | 86 | 33 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 7 | 108 | 27 | 1 | 143 | 0 | 16 | 83 | 3 | 1 | 103 | 655 |
| Total | 1 | 98 | 709 | 129 | 2 | 939 | 1 | 144 | 375 | 98 | 0 | 618 | 1 | 24 | 485 | 108 | 6 | 624 | 0 | 70 | 289 | 9 | 4 | 372 | 2553 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 24 | 203 | 35 | 2 | 264 | 0 | 53 | 104 | 32 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 4 | 119 | 34 | 1 | 159 | 0 | 23 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 112 | 725 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 39 | 202 | 29 | 2 | 272 | 0 | 36 | 115 | 37 | 2 | 190 | 1 | 11 | 107 | 26 | 5 | 150 | 0 | 19 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 85 | 697 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 33 | 207 | 38 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 36 | 89 | 19 | 0 | 144 | 1 | 3 | 133 | 41 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 103 | 703 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 23 | 158 | 33 | 1 | 216 | 2 | 43 | 79 | 18 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 4 | 95 | 30 | 5 | 134 | 0 | 15 | 62 | 4 | 5 | 86 | 578 |
| Total | 1 | 119 | 770 | 135 | 5 | 1030 | 2 | 168 | 387 | 106 | 3 | 666 | 3 | 22 | 454 | 131 | 11 | 621 | 0 | 77 | 295 | 9 | 5 | 386 | 2703 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 16 | 164 | 27 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 33 | 79 | 26 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 32 | 2 | 153 | 1 | 10 | 54 | 2 | 5 | 72 | 570 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 29 | 125 | 19 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 36 | 60 | 20 | 2 | 118 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 34 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 11 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 497 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 115 | 12 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 29 | 47 | 16 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 3 | 104 | 24 | 2 | 134 | 0 | 8 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 415 |
| 06:45 PM | 1 | 20 | 78 | 17 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 15 | 40 | 17 | 0 | 72 | 1 | 3 | 99 | 30 | 3 | 136 | 0 | 11 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 387 |
| Total | 1 | 78 | 482 | 75 | 0 | 636 | 0 | 113 | 226 | 79 | 2 | 420 | 2 | 13 | 421 | 120 | 7 | 563 | 1 | 40 | 193 | 11 | 5 | 250 | 1869 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 101 | 18 | 1 | 129 | 0 | 21 | 51 | 15 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 1 | 81 | 31 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 6 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 53 | 382 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 12 | 93 | 13 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 17 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 4 | 91 | 26 | 3 | 124 | 1 | 11 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 376 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 76 | 10 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 27 | 50 | 11 | 2 | 90 | 1 | 2 | 68 | 16 | 4 | 91 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 39 | 317 |
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CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

File Name : CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date: 8/9/2016
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|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 71 | 9 | 1 | 90 | 0 | 7 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 3 | 82 | 26 | 2 | 113 | 0 | 13 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 306 |
| Total | 0 | 38 | 341 | 50 | 5 | 434 | 0 | 73 | 190 | 54 | 3 | 320 | 1 | 10 | 322 | 99 | 9 | 441 | 1 | 47 | 132 | 6 | 0 | 186 | 1381 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 55 | 9 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 18 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 18 | 2 | 104 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 33 | 273 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 8 | 42 | 16 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 16 | 39 | 13 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 4 | 60 | 14 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 2 | 1 | 46 | 260 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 67 | 14 | 2 | 93 | 1 | 16 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 7 | 82 | 17 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 303 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 11 | 40 | 11 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 10 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 21 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 6 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 234 |
| Total | 0 | 35 | 204 | 50 | 2 | 291 | 2 | 60 | 148 | 43 | 1 | 254 | 1 | 13 | 280 | 70 | 2 | 366 | 0 | 25 | 124 | 6 | 4 | 159 | 1070 |
| 09:00 PM | 0 | 16 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 17 | 47 | 11 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 4 | 63 | 25 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 270 |
| 09:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 9 | 32 | 9 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 15 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 214 |
| 09:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 47 | 7 | 0 | 59 | 1 | 10 | 42 | 11 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 3 | 53 | 11 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 217 |
| 09:45 PM | 0 | 6 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 19 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 156 |
| Total | 0 | 34 | 159 | 22 | 0 | 215 | 1 | 45 | 143 | 36 | 0 | 225 | 3 | 8 | 221 | 70 | 2 | 304 | 0 | 23 | 85 | 3 | 2 | 113 | 857 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 10 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 13 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 173 |
| 10:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 7 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 126 |
| 10:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 122 |
| 10:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 102 |
| Total | 0 | 23 | 95 | 17 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 35 | 107 | 27 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 41 | 0 | 169 | 1 | 6 | 39 | 3 | 1 | 50 | 523 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 99 |
| 11:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 77 |
| 11:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 73 |
| 11:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 60 |
| Total | 0 | 11 | 50 | 12 | 0 | 73 | 1 | 20 | 71 | 21 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 2 | 51 | 23 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 9 | 35 | 1 | 2 | 47 | 309 |
| Grand Total | 6 | 1014 | 6074 | 1008 | 30 | 8132 | 9 | 1422 | 3413 | 1142 | 18 | 6004 | 26 | 211 | 6243 | 1655 | 59 | 8194 | 3 | 917 | 3544 | 107 | 46 | 4617 | 26947 |
| Apprch \% | 0.1 | 12.5 | 74.7 | 12.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 23.7 | 56.8 | 19 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 | 2.6 | 76.2 | 20.2 | 0.7 |  | 0.1 | 19.9 | 76.8 | 2.3 | 1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 3.8 | 22.5 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 30.2 | 0 | 5.3 | 12.7 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 22.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 23.2 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 30.4 | 0 | 3.4 | 13.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 17.1 |  |
| Cars + | 6 | 995 | 5878 | 943 | 15 | 7837 | 9 | 1360 | 3338 | 1109 | 15 | 5831 | 26 | 200 | 6002 | 1599 | 38 | 7865 | 3 | 883 | 3466 | 103 | 34 | 4489 | 26022 |
| \% Cars + | 100 | 98.1 | 96.8 | 93.6 | 50 | 96.4 | 100 | 95.6 | 97.8 | 97.1 | 83.3 | 97.1 | 100 | 94.8 | 96.1 | 96.6 | 64.4 | 96 | 100 | 96.3 | 97.8 | 96.3 | 73.9 | 97.2 | 96.6 |
| Trucks | 0 | 19 | 196 | 65 | 15 | 295 | 0 | 62 | 75 | 33 | 3 | 173 | 0 | 11 | 241 | 56 | 21 | 329 | 0 | 34 | 78 | 4 | 12 | 128 | 925 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 50 | 3.6 | 0 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 16.7 | 2.9 | 0 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 35.6 | 4 | 0 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 26.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
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TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
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CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy
Burnsville, MN

|  | CSAH 5 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 15 | 60 | 13 | 1 | 89 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 27 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 30 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 43 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 163 | 557 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 17 | 62 | 12 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 49 | 20 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 3 | 180 | 36 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 25 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 117 | 506 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 13 | 85 | 17 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 11 | 62 | 26 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 165 | 30 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 19 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 118 | 528 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 9 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 23 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 25 | 73 | 3 | 0 | 101 | 414 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 1 | 395 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 | 0 | 320 | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 791 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 499 | 2005 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 14.4 | 71.1 | 14.2 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 12.2 | 62.2 | 25.6 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 0.6 | 84.2 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 | 22.4 | 76 | 1.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 838 | . 826 | . 824 | . 250 | . 859 | . 000 | . 813 | . 802 | . 759 | . 000 | . 808 | . 250 | . 417 | . 841 | . 826 | . 000 | . 867 | . 000 | . 651 | . 796 | . 667 | . 000 | . 765 | . 900 |

## Appendix B - Traffic Counts
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## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 8

|  | CSAH 5 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 21 | 101 | 14 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 24 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 7 | 83 | 22 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 12 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 393 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 73 | 19 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 18 | 38 | 25 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 28 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 15 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 352 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 85 | 13 | 2 | 110 | 0 | 26 | 40 | 15 | 2 | 83 | 1 | 2 | 84 | 25 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 14 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 61 | 367 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 15 | 103 | 27 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 17 | 43 | 15 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 18 | 1 | 101 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 2 | 3 | 62 | 384 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 53 | 362 | 73 | 3 | 491 | 1 | 85 | 160 | 77 | 2 | 325 | 1 | 15 | 326 | 93 | 3 | 438 | 0 | 53 | 179 | 7 | 3 | 242 | 1496 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 10.8 | 73.7 | 14.9 | 0.6 |  | 0.3 | 26.2 | 49.2 | 23.7 | 0.6 |  | 0.2 | 3.4 | 74.4 | 21.2 | 0.7 |  | 0 | 21.9 | 74 | 2.9 | 1.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 631 | . 879 | . 676 | . 375 | . 841 | . 250 | . 817 | . 930 | . 770 | . 250 | . 956 | . 250 | . 536 | . 970 | . 830 | . 750 | . 969 | . 000 | . 883 | . 973 | . 583 | . 250 | . 976 | . 952 |
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## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy
Burnsville, MN

Page No : 10

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 11:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 19 | 192 | 39 | 0 | 250 | 1 | 39 | 86 | 33 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 7 | 108 | 27 | 1 | 143 | 0 | 16 | 83 | 3 | 1 | 103 | 655 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 24 | 203 | 35 | 2 | 264 | 0 | 53 | 104 | 32 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 4 | 119 | 34 | 1 | 159 | 0 | 23 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 112 | 725 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 39 | 202 | 29 | 2 | 272 | 0 | 36 | 115 | 37 | 2 | 190 | 1 | 11 | 107 | 26 | 5 | 150 | 0 | 19 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 85 | 697 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 33 | 207 | 38 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 36 | 89 | 19 | 0 | 144 | 1 | 3 | 133 | 41 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 103 | 703 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 4 | 1064 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 | 3 | 683 | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 7 | 630 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 403 | 2780 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 10.8 | 75.6 | 13.3 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 24 | 57.7 | 17.7 | 0.4 |  | 0.5 | 4 | 74.1 | 20.3 | 1.1 |  | 0 | 19.4 | 78.4 | 2 | 0.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 737 | . 971 | . 904 | . 500 | . 957 | . 250 | . 774 | . 857 | . 818 | . 375 | . 899 | . 750 | . 568 | . 878 | . 780 | . 350 | . 885 | . 000 | . 848 | . 898 | . 667 | . 250 | . 900 | . 959 |

## Appendix B - Traffic Counts
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# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Burnsville, MN
Page No : 1

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| 12:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 12:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 12:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| Total | 1 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 87 |
| 01:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 01:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 47 |
| 02:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 38 |
| 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 03:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 03:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 04:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 04:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| 04:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 33 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 75 |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 38 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 83 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 79 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 149 | 3 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 253 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 101 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 156 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 2 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 199 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 151 | 0 | 1 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 254 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 193 | 3 | 1 | 201 | 0 | 30 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 6 | 423 | 2 | 1 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 710 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 156 | 1 | 1 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 237 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 225 | 8 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 339 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 205 | 2 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 322 |

# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Burnsville, MN
Page No : 2

Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks

| Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 5 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 4 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 161 | 5 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 298 |
| Total | 1 | 10 | 274 | 4 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 45 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 17 | 747 | 16 | 1 | 781 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 41 | 1196 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 146 | 5 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 272 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 5 | 1 | 135 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 245 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 202 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 90 | 5 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 212 |
| Total | 0 | 8 | 318 | 3 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 59 | 0 | 23 | 464 | 16 | 1 | 504 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 33 | 1 | 39 | 931 |
| 09:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 215 |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 85 | 3 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 191 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 85 | 7 | 1 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 206 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 89 | 1 | 1 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 73 | 5 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 212 |
| Total | 3 | 6 | 327 | 4 | 1 | 341 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 20 | 3 | 58 | 0 | 24 | 332 | 17 | 2 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 41 | 0 | 50 | 824 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 97 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 73 | 8 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 215 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 80 | 2 | 1 | 84 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 8 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 209 |
| 10:30 AM | 1 | 6 | 57 |  | 0 | 65 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 80 | 8 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 185 |
| 10:45 AM | 1 | 4 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 89 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 217 |
| Total | 2 | 12 | 314 | 3 | 3 | 334 | 0 | 34 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 31 | 327 | 22 | 2 | 382 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 0 | 54 | 826 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 5 | 73 | 9 | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 206 |
| 11:15 AM | 1 | 5 | 79 | 3 | 1 | 89 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 90 | 7 | 1 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 224 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 98 | 3 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 107 | 7 | 2 | 126 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 255 |
| 11:45 AM | 2 | 3 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 83 | 9 | 1 | 110 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 268 |
| Total | 3 | 12 | 363 | 11 | 1 | 390 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 69 | 2 | 36 | 353 | 32 | 5 | 428 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 54 | 0 | 66 | 953 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 115 | 2 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 15 | 103 | 7 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 21 | 285 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 10 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 245 |
| 12:30 PM | 1 | 2 | 105 | 2 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 9 | 99 | 8 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 265 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 118 | 1 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 94 | 6 | 1 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 275 |
| Total | 1 | 17 | 428 | 8 | 0 | 454 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 25 | 0 | 76 | 3 | 44 | 396 | 31 | 1 | 475 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 65 | 1070 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 119 | 9 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 270 |
| 01:15 PM | 1 | 5 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 111 | 9 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 263 |
| 01:30 PM | 1 | 7 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 13 | 109 | 9 | 1 | 132 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 279 |
| 01:45 PM | 1 | 5 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 112 | 6 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 283 |
| Total | 3 | 18 | 402 | 6 | 1 | 430 | 0 | 37 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 50 | 451 | 33 | 1 | 536 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 55 | 0 | 66 | 1095 |
| 02:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 117 | 2 | 4 | 127 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 108 | 5 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 274 |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 7 | 118 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 111 | 5 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 290 |
| 02:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 13 | 124 | 9 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 296 |
| 02:45 PM | 2 | 6 | 126 | 4 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 101 | 8 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 283 |

# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 
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| Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 5 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 03:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 135 | 5 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 10 | 105 | 8 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 299 |
| 03:15 PM | 1 | 6 | 128 | 5 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 12 | 134 | 11 | 1 | 158 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 18 | 333 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 6 | 167 | 1 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 12 | 120 | 8 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 31 | 1 | 36 | 369 |
| 03:45 PM | 3 | 12 | 147 | 4 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 146 | 12 | 1 | 180 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 21 | 384 |
| Total | 8 | 25 | 577 | 15 | 0 | 625 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 21 | 2 | 68 | 2 | 54 | 505 | 39 | 2 | 602 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 69 | 6 | 90 | 1385 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 189 | 3 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 15 | 152 | 16 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 421 |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 7 | 210 | 2 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 14 | 153 | 6 | 1 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 440 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 7 | 214 | 3 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 23 | 138 | 9 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 444 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 8 | 205 | 6 | 4 | 224 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 138 | 10 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 438 |
| Total | 2 | 31 | 818 | 14 | 4 | 869 | 0 | 39 | 14 | 23 | 5 | 81 | 1 | 72 | 581 | 41 | 1 | 696 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 77 | 0 | 97 | 1743 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 238 | 7 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 24 | 149 | 24 | 3 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 27 | 506 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 16 | 241 | 3 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 20 | 144 | 13 | 1 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 488 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 14 | 208 | 4 | 2 | 228 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 24 | 136 | 14 | 4 | 178 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 31 | 465 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 8 | 201 | 3 | 1 | 213 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 17 | 128 | 19 | 2 | 166 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 26 | 437 |
| Total | 1 | 47 | 888 | 17 | 3 | 956 | 0 | 63 | 21 | 27 | 4 | 115 | 1 | 85 | 557 | 70 | 10 | 723 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 69 | 2 | 102 | 1896 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 199 | 2 | 4 | 212 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 14 | 131 | 9 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 399 |
| 06:15 PM | 1 | 13 | 142 | 6 | 4 | 166 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 121 | 16 | 1 | 151 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 29 | 365 |
| 06:30 PM | 2 | 7 | 125 | 2 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 17 | 130 | 6 | 1 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 24 | 330 |
| 06:45 PM | 3 | 6 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 111 | 13 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 22 | 277 |
| Total | 6 | 33 | 563 | 11 | 8 | 621 | 0 | 30 | 12 | 20 | 2 | 64 | 1 | 56 | 493 | 44 | 2 | 596 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 73 | 0 | 90 | 1371 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 109 | 5 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 12 | 105 | 16 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 289 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 99 | 4 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 12 | 114 | 10 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 284 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 228 |
| 07:45 PM | 1 | 10 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 102 | 11 | 2 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 245 |
| Total | 1 | 25 | 376 | 17 | 0 | 419 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 47 | 396 | 47 | 2 | 493 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 44 | 1 | 72 | 1046 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 95 | 9 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 232 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 176 |
| 08:30 PM | 2 | 6 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 90 | 13 | 1 | 116 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 223 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 164 |
| Total | 2 | 19 | 230 | 13 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 22 | 2 | 71 | 2 | 33 | 336 | 35 | 1 | 407 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 28 | 2 | 53 | 795 |
| 09:00 PM | 1 | 7 | 57 | 2 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 82 | 6 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 183 |
| 09:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 9 |  | 17 | 173 |
| 09:30 PM | 0 | 6 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 129 |
| 09:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 51 | 11 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 |
| Total | 1 | 18 | 184 | 4 | 1 | 208 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 33 | 2 | 20 | 264 | 32 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 24 | 2 | 42 | 601 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 7 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 124 |
| 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 85 |
| 10:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 89 |

Appendix B - Traffic Counts

File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
CSAH 5 \& 136th St Burnsville, MN

| Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 5 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 10:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 59 |
| Total | 0 | 8 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 19 | 152 | 19 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 14 | 357 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 60 |
| 11:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 51 |
| 11:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 47 |
| 11:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 35 |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 72 | 17 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 193 |
| Grand Total | 41 | 327 | 7085 | 144 | 28 | 7625 | 0 | 545 | 215 | 350 | 31 | 1141 | 21 | 677 | 7568 | 550 | 32 | 8848 | 0 | 57 | 174 | 813 | 16 | 1060 | 18674 |
| Apprch \% | 0.5 | 4.3 | 92.9 | 1.9 | 0.4 |  | 0 | 47.8 | 18.8 | 30.7 | 2.7 |  | 0.2 | 7.7 | 85.5 | 6.2 | 0.4 |  | 0 | 5.4 | 16.4 | 76.7 | 1.5 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.2 | 1.8 | 37.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 40.8 | 0 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 40.5 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 47.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 5.7 |  |
| Cars + | 41 | 314 | 6849 | 135 | 20 | 7359 | 0 | 530 | 205 | 334 | 27 | 1096 | 20 | 666 | 7327 | 535 | 25 | 8573 | 0 | 56 | 167 | 800 | 11 | 1034 | 18062 |
| \% Cars + | 100 | 96 | 96.7 | 93.8 | 71.4 | 96.5 | 0 | 97.2 | 95.3 | 95.4 | 87.1 | 96.1 | 95.2 | 98.4 | 96.8 | 97.3 | 78.1 | 96.9 | 0 | 98.2 | 96 | 98.4 | 68.8 | 97.5 | 96.7 |
| Trucks | 0 | 13 | 236 | 9 | 8 | 266 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 11 | 241 | 15 | 7 | 275 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 26 | 612 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 4 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 28.6 | 3.5 | 0 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 12.9 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 21.9 | 3.1 | 0 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.6 | 31.2 | 2.5 | 3.3 |

File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016

CSAH 5 \& 136th St Burnsville, MN


## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Burnsville, MN

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 225 | 8 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 339 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 205 | 2 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 322 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 4 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 161 | 5 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 298 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 146 | 5 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 272 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 26 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 0 | 778 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 44 | 1231 |
| \% App. Total | 0.3 | 4 | 94.8 | 0.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 31.3 | 16.9 | 51.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 2.7 | 94.7 | 2.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 90.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 813 | . 868 | . 375 | . 000 | . 867 | . 000 | . 542 | . 700 | . 768 | . 000 | . 769 | . 000 | . 656 | . 819 | . 625 | . 000 | . 824 | . 000 | . 500 | . 500 | . 588 | . 000 | . 647 | . 908 |

## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 7

CSAH 5 \& 136th St Burnsville, MN


Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAEFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Burnsville, MN

|  | CSAH 5 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 136th St } \\ \text { Eastbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | Inters | tion Be | ins at | :00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 119 | 9 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 270 |
| 01:15 PM | 1 | 5 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 111 | 9 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 263 |
| 01:30 PM | 1 | 7 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 13 | 109 | 9 | 1 | 132 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 279 |
| 01:45 PM | 1 | 5 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 112 | 6 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 283 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 18 | 402 | 6 | 1 | 430 | 0 | 37 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 50 | 451 | 33 | 1 | 536 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 55 | 0 | 66 | 1095 |
| \% App. Total | 0.7 | 4.2 | 93.5 | 1.4 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 58.7 | 15.9 | 25.4 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 9.3 | 84.1 | 6.2 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 83.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 643 | . 889 | . 500 | . 250 | . 896 | . 000 | . 771 | . 625 | . 667 | . 000 | . 788 | . 250 | . 893 | . 947 | . 917 | . 250 | . 944 | . 000 | . 313 | . 375 | . 809 | . 000 | . 868 | . 967 |

## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16 Site Code
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CSAH 5 \& 136th St Burnsville, MN


## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 5 \& 136th St, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016

CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Burnsville, MN

Page No : 10

|  | CSAH 5 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | 136th St <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 5 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 136th St } \\ \text { Eastbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 11:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 8 | 205 | 6 | 4 | 224 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 138 | 10 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 438 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 238 | 7 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 24 | 149 | 24 | 3 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 27 | 506 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 16 | 241 | 3 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 20 | 144 | 13 | 1 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 488 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 14 | 208 | 4 | 2 | 228 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 24 | 136 | 14 | 4 | 178 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 31 | 465 |
| Total Volume | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 6 | 967 | 0 | 54 | 17 | 24 | 2 | 97 | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 8 | 725 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 2 | 108 | 1897 |
| \% App. Total | 0.2 | 4.9 | 92.2 | 2.1 | 0.6 |  | 0 | 55.7 | 17.5 | 24.7 | 2.1 |  | 0.1 | 12.1 | 78.2 | 8.4 | 1.1 |  | 0 | 2.8 | 25.9 | 69.4 | 1.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 734 | . 925 | . 714 | . 375 | . 926 | . 000 | . 844 | . 607 | . 667 | . 250 | . 808 | . 250 | . 917 | . 951 | . 635 | . 500 | . 906 | . 000 | . 375 | . 583 | . 750 | . 250 | . 844 | . 937 |

## Appendix B - Traffic Counts
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# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

File Name : CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16
Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 1

|  | CSAH 11 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 11 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 29 |
| 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 27 |
| 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 25 |
| 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 20 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 29 | 101 |
| 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 61 |
| 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 12 |
| 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 38 |
| 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| 03:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 46 |
| 04:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| 04:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| 04:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 25 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 73 |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 40 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 59 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 85 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 27 | 105 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 46 | 8 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 32 | 100 | 5 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 49 | 1 | 70 | 289 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 22 | 117 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 62 | 3 | 1 | 83 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 37 | 170 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 26 | 70 | 2 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 42 | 189 |

# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

## CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Burnsville, MN

File Name : CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16
Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 2

|  | CSAH 11 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 11 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 33 | 6 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 127 | 4 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 43 | 0 | 63 | 276 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 115 | 12 | 1 | 129 | 0 | 23 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 89 | 299 | 11 | 2 | 401 | 0 | 37 | 12 | 114 | 1 | 164 | 752 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 27 | 132 | 2 | 1 | 162 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 29 | 1 | 48 | 274 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 48 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 45 | 328 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 50 | 12 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 55 | 160 | 6 | 1 | 222 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 44 | 0 | 76 | 387 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 51 | 10 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 55 | 155 | 11 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 22 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 65 | 373 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 186 | 32 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 44 | 44 | 8 | 3 | 99 | 0 | 185 | 601 | 21 | 2 | 809 | 0 | 72 | 21 | 140 | 1 | 234 | 1362 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 35 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 61 | 114 | 9 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 44 | 299 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 69 | 123 | 6 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 25 | 0 | 49 | 325 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 43 | 10 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 42 | 87 | 4 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 28 | 0 | 48 | 275 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 52 | 79 | 8 | 2 | 141 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 52 | 283 |
| Total | 0 | 5 | 160 | 34 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 50 | 59 | 20 | 5 | 134 | 0 | 224 | 403 | 27 | 2 | 656 | 0 | 55 | 37 | 101 | 0 | 193 | 1182 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 49 | 64 | 5 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 24 | 2 | 40 | 238 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 29 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 44 | 218 |
| 09:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 30 | 47 | 8 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 30 | 1 | 48 | 208 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 12 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 36 | 63 | 12 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 46 | 256 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 200 | 35 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 36 | 51 | 7 | 2 | 96 | 0 | 144 | 234 | 30 | 1 | 409 | 0 | 33 | 37 | 105 | 3 | 178 | 920 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 34 | 12 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 28 | 0 | 52 | 202 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 53 | 11 | 2 | 88 | 0 | 14 | 18 | 37 | 0 | 69 | 232 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 23 | 60 | 11 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 35 | 223 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 51 | 11 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 69 | 8 | 2 | 102 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 28 | 0 | 55 | 241 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 181 | 43 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 10 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 92 | 234 | 36 | 4 | 366 | 0 | 47 | 46 | 118 | 0 | 211 | 898 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 36 | 53 | 10 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 29 | 0 | 64 | 233 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 49 | 13 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 19 | 45 | 14 | 2 | 80 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 32 | 1 | 53 | 221 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 60 | 9 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 29 | 51 | 6 | 1 | 87 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 229 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 40 | 41 | 11 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 49 | 231 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 208 | 32 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 56 | 43 | 1 | 4 | 104 | 0 | 124 | 190 | 41 | 3 | 358 | 1 | 44 | 44 | 119 | 1 | 209 | 914 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 60 | 12 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 69 | 13 | 1 | 116 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 36 | 0 | 65 | 271 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 51 | 17 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 67 | 16 | 1 | 114 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 52 | 257 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 57 | 7 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 32 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 45 | 0 | 69 | 250 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 58 | 11 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 47 | 67 | 7 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 44 | 0 | 67 | 292 |
| Total | 0 | 11 | 226 | 47 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 43 | 45 | 7 | 7 | 102 | 0 | 142 | 244 | 42 | 3 | 431 | 0 | 46 | 51 | 156 | 0 | 253 | 1070 |
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# Appendix B - Traffic Counts 

## CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Burnsville, MN

File Name : CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16
Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
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| Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 11 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 11 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 35 | 11 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 28 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 24 | 1 | 44 | 215 |
| Total | 0 | 10 | 166 | 45 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 34 | 56 | 5 | 11 | 106 | 0 | 116 | 209 | 41 | 11 | 377 | 1 | 50 | 42 | 118 | 1 | 212 | 916 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 31 | 42 | 15 | 2 | 90 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 28 | 0 | 46 | 209 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 50 | 13 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 29 | 1 | 59 | 223 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 46 | 7 | 3 | 74 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 33 | 176 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 27 | 13 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 43 | 157 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 168 | 28 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 29 | 34 | 5 | 9 | 77 | 0 | 89 | 165 | 48 | 5 | 307 | 0 | 43 | 41 | 96 | 1 | 181 | 765 |
| 09:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 37 | 9 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 32 | 161 |
| 09:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 30 | 11 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 144 |
| 09:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 36 | 130 |
| 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 107 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 130 | 24 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 43 | 0 | 79 | 110 | 30 | 1 | 220 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 74 | 0 | 123 | 542 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 36 | 120 |
| 10:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 22 | 106 |
| 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 91 |
| 10:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 79 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 76 | 22 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 68 | 83 | 13 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 58 | 0 | 98 | 396 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 84 |
| 11:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 52 |
| 11:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 55 |
| 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 26 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 44 | 44 | 7 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 55 | 217 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 90 | 4040 | 773 | 3 | 4907 | 2 | 723 | 735 | 132 | 70 | 1662 | 0 | 2478 | 4570 | 739 | 67 | 7854 | 6 | 931 | 803 | 2507 | 27 | 4274 | 18697 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 1.8 | 82.3 | 15.8 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 43.5 | 44.2 | 7.9 | 4.2 |  | 0 | 31.6 | 58.2 | 9.4 | 0.9 |  | 0.1 | 21.8 | 18.8 | 58.7 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0.5 | 21.6 | 4.1 | 0 | 26.2 | 0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 0 | 13.3 | 24.4 | 4 | 0.4 | 42 | 0 | 5 | 4.3 | 13.4 | 0.1 | 22.9 |  |
| Cars + | 1 | 86 | 3936 | 762 | 0 | 4785 | 2 | 704 | 721 | 129 | 45 | 1601 | 0 | 2440 | 4451 | 719 | 31 | 7641 | 6 | 921 | 790 | 2461 | 18 | 4196 | 18223 |
| \% Cars + | 100 | 95.6 | 97.4 | 98.6 | 0 | 97.5 | 100 | 97.4 | 98.1 | 97.7 | 64.3 | 96.3 | 0 | 98.5 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 46.3 | 97.3 | 100 | 98.9 | 98.4 | 98.2 | 66.7 | 98.2 | 97.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 4 | 104 | 11 | 3 | 122 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 25 | 61 | 0 | 38 | 119 | 20 | 36 | 213 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 46 | 9 | 78 | 474 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 100 | 2.5 | 0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 35.7 | 3.7 | 0 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 53.7 | 2.7 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 33.3 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
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## CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Burnsville, MN
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|  | CSAH 11 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 11 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 48 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 45 | 328 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 50 | 12 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 55 | 160 | 6 | 1 | 222 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 44 | 0 | 76 | 387 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 51 | 10 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 55 | 155 | 11 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 22 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 65 | 373 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 35 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 61 | 114 | 9 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 44 | 299 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 49 | 42 | 14 | 2 | 107 | 0 | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 831 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 0 | 230 | 1387 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0.5 | 83.6 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 | 45.8 | 39.3 | 13.1 | 1.9 |  | 0 | 26.4 | 70.2 | 3.4 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 30.9 | 12.6 | 56.5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 250 | . 897 | . 729 | . 000 | . 883 | . 000 | . 875 | . 750 | . 500 | . 250 | . 955 | . 000 | . 898 | . 911 | . 636 | . 250 | . 936 | . 000 | . 807 | . 659 | . 739 | . 000 | . 757 | . 896 |
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TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name : CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016

## CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Burnsville, MN
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|  | CSAH 11 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 11 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 60 | 12 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 69 | 13 | 1 | 116 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 36 | 0 | 65 | 271 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 51 | 17 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 67 | 16 | 1 | 114 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 52 | 257 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 57 | 7 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 32 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 45 | 0 | 69 | 250 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 58 | 11 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 47 | 67 | 7 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 44 | 0 | 67 | 292 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 11 | 226 | 47 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 43 | 45 | 7 | 7 | 102 | 0 | 142 | 244 | 42 | 3 | 431 | 0 | 46 | 51 | 156 | 0 | 253 | 1070 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 3.9 | 79.6 | 16.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 42.2 | 44.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 0 | 32.9 | 56.6 | 9.7 | 0.7 |  | 0 | 18.2 | 20.2 | 61.7 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 550 | . 942 | . 691 | . 000 | . 959 | . 000 | . 717 | . 750 | . 438 | . 438 | . 729 | . 000 | . 755 | . 884 | . 656 | . 750 | . 883 | . 000 | . 885 | . 797 | . 867 | . 000 | . 917 | . 916 |
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## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAFFIC DATA INS.
File Name : CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16 Site Code :
Start Date : 8/9/2016
CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy
Burnsville, MN

|  | CSAH 11 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 11 Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 11:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 111 | 22 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 50 | 73 | 17 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 26 | 28 | 80 | 0 | 134 | 447 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 137 | 15 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 47 | 83 | 16 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 72 | 2 | 108 | 440 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 136 | 13 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 54 | 92 | 17 |  | 164 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 71 | 0 | 124 | 479 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 131 | 17 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 22 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 40 | 75 | 13 | 1 | 129 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 72 | 0 | 130 | 445 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 0 | 591 | 0 | 75 | 61 | 9 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 191 | 323 | 63 | 2 | 579 | 0 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 2 | 496 | 1811 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 1.5 | 87.1 | 11.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 51.7 | 42.1 | 6.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 33 | 55.8 | 10.9 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 19 | 21.2 | 59.5 | 0.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 450 | . 940 | . 761 | . 000 | . 966 | . 000 | . 694 | . 803 | . 563 | . 000 | . 884 | . 000 | . 884 | . 878 | . 926 | . 500 | . 883 | . 000 | . 870 | . 772 | . 922 | . 250 | . 925 | . 945 |

## Appendix B - Traffic Counts

TRAFFIC DATA INC.
File Name: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy, 8-9-16
Site Code
Start Date : 8/9/2016
Page No : 11


# Appendix C - Field Review Notes 

Burnsville Aging Signals Field Review<br>Conducted 7/20/2016 during AM and PM peak hour

## CSAH 5 and Burnsville Parkway

1. $A M$ Peak ( $7: 25-7: 40 \mathrm{AM})$
a. Barely any pedestrians, only observed one crossing during this time.
b. Northbound through movement had highest volume and largest queues, consistent with a morning commuter pattern toward the downtowns.
c. Signal operated well with all queues clearing during their green phase.
d. Potential to install Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) phasing due to the number of gaps in traffic flow. There were plenty of spaces for vehicles to make a permissive left turn movement but were held in queue due to the current protected signal.
e. Observed queues:
i. Mainline thru: $3-6$ vehicles SB, $7-10$ vehicles NB
ii. Mainline left turn: $2-6$ vehicles SB, 1-3 vehicles NB
iii. Cross Street: $1-3$ vehicles WB, $3-7$ vehicles EB
iv. Cross Street left turn: 1-2 vehicles WB, 2-5 vehicles EB
2. PM Peak (5:05-5:20 PM)
a. Noticeably higher traffic overall compared to observed traffic during the AM Peak. Southbound through movement had the highest volume and longest queues, again consistent with commuter patterns.
b. Signal operated well with all queues clearing during their green phase.
c. Cross street volume appears to support FYA due to noticeable gaps in east-west traffic flow.
d. Fewer gaps available in north-south traffic, but FYA may still provide a small benefit if implemented.
e. Very few pedestrians, again only observed a couple crossings during this time.
f. Observed queues:
i. Mainline thru: $8-15$ vehicles $S B, 5-10$ vehicles NB
ii. Mainline left turn: 2-3 vehicles SB, 1-2 vehicles NB
iii. Cross Street: $3-8$ vehicles WB, 3-8 vehicles EB
iv. Cross Street left turn: 4-7 vehicles WB, 2-5 vehicles EB
3. General Notes
a. No operational concerns during the peak periods.
b. The adjacent signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street primarily rests in the north-south green phase, meaning little chance for platooning between intersections.
c. The lack of northbound and southbound right turn lanes did not appear to impact operations.
d. The right turn channelizing islands for the eastbound-westbound approaches helped to correctly position vehicles for their turning movement.

# Appendix C - Field Review Notes 

Burnsville Aging Signals Field Review<br>Conducted 7/20/2016 during AM and PM peak hour

## CSAH 5 and $136^{\text {th }}$ Street

1. $A M$ Peak (7:45-8:00 AM)
a. Only a small number of eastbound-westbound vehicles observed compared to the CSAH 5 traffic.
b. No pedestrians were observed during this time.
c. The signal primarily rested in a green phase for northbound-southbound traffic on CSAH 5.
d. The signal operated well with all queues clearing during their green phase.
e. The left turn movements on CSAH 5 could benefit from FYA operation due to noticeable gaps in traffic flow. Currently during these times, left turn traffic is held in the queue with the protected only left turn phasing.
f. Observed queues:
i. Mainline thru: 1-3 vehicles SB, 4-7 vehicles NB
ii. Mainline left turn: 1-2 vehicles SB, 1-2 vehicles NB
iii. Cross Street: $1-3$ vehicles WB, 1 vehicles EB
iv. Cross Street left turn: 1-3 vehicles WB, 1 vehicles EB
2. PM Peak (5:25-5:40 PM)
a. The wide westbound approach on the $136^{\text {th }}$ Street operates as two lanes (one left turn/thru lane and one right turn lane).
b. The signal primarily rests in a green phase for northbound-southbound traffic on CSAH 5.
c. Majority of right turning traffic on $136^{\text {th }}$ Street can complete their movement on the red and do not need a green light to proceed.
d. The signal operated well with all queues clearing during their green phase.
e. Observed queues:
i. Mainline thru: 3-5 vehicles SB, 2-7 vehicles NB
ii. Mainline left turn: 1-3 vehicles SB, 1-2 vehicles NB
iii. Cross Street: 1-2 vehicles WB, 1-2 vehicles EB
iv. Cross Street left turn: 1-2 vehicles WB, 2-4 vehicles EB
3. General Notes
a. No operational concerns during the peak periods.
b. A small platooning effect noticeable for southbound traffic from the adjacent CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection. However, since this signal primarily rests in the north-south green phase, there is little operational benefit from this platooning between intersections.
c. The lack of northbound and southbound right turn lanes did not appear to impact operations.
d. Due to low volumes on $136^{\text {th }}$ Street, a traffic signal does not appear justified at this location. There appears to be available gaps in the CSAH 5 traffic flow to allow for sidestreet turning movements across the intersection. Volumes on $136^{\text {th }}$ Street are also low, with few calls for a $136^{\text {th }}$ Street green light.

## CSAH 11 and Burnsville Parkway

1. $A M$ Peak (7:00-7:15 AM)
a. CSAH 11 could benefit from FYA operation due to plenty of gaps for left turning vehicles. Under the current protected only operation, cars are held in a queue instead of being able to proceed during these gaps.
b. Only one observed pedestrian crossing during this time.
c. Generally low volumes (compared to CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway) with all queues clearing during their green phase.
d. Observed queues:
i. Mainline thru: 1-3 vehicles
ii. Mainline left turn: 2-7 vehicles
iii. Cross Street: 1-3 vehicles
iv. Cross Street left turn: 1-4 vehicles
2. PM Peak (4:45-5:00 PM)
a. Higher traffic volume compared to the AM peak period.
b. All vehicle queues can clear during their green phase.
c. Volume appears to support FYA operation due to sufficient gaps in the through traffic flow for permissive left turn movements.
d. Three pedestrian crossings observed during this time.
e. Observed queues:
i. Mainline thru: 1-7 vehicles
ii. Mainline left turn: 3-9 vehicles
iii. Cross Street: 2-5 vehicles
iv. Cross Street left turn: 1-4 vehicles
3. General Notes
a. No operational concerns during the peak periods.
b. The lack of dedicated right turn lanes did not appear to impact operations.
c. Sight distance for the westbound left turn movement appears limited by a slight grade change on the west approach to the intersection. However, sufficient sight distance is available to complete the left turn movement safely. Drivers were observed easily completing this movement without appearing to have issues and driving the movement firsthand felt safe and did not raise issues.

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM Existing.pdf

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 |  | 0.396 | 20.4 | C |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SB Left | 0.294 | 9.2 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SB Left | 0.270 | 12.2 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

| Delay (sec / veh): | 20.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.396 |

## Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  | А |  |  |  | Y\\|N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 89 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 42 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 41 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 1 | 185 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 11 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 6 | 740 | 99 | 0 | 63 | 312 | 47 | 0 | 124 | 421 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 221 | 46 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Spack
Version 4.00-05
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | 90 |
| Offset [s] | Free Running |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-05

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 1 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 26 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 10 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.01 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1732 | 1829 | 1754 | 2200 | 1841 | 1752 | 1745 | 4200 | 1557 | 1733 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 16 | 655 | 628 | 117 | 740 | 704 | 161 | 858 | 318 | 73 | 547 | 242 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 31.56 | 13.76 | 13.76 | 29.10 | 9.72 | 9.73 | 28.51 | 22.60 | 20.39 | 30.23 | 24.50 | 23.66 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 6.61 | 3.98 | 4.15 | 2.87 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 2.96 | 1.58 | 0.06 | 2.79 | 1.74 | 1.36 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.43 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.77 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 38.17 | 17.74 | 17.92 | 31.97 | 10.34 | 10.40 | 31.48 | 24.18 | 20.45 | 33.01 | 26.24 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | B | B | C | B | B | C | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.13 | 4.12 | 3.98 | 0.94 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 1.88 | 2.78 | 0.05 | 0.66 | 1.51 |
| 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.21 | 102.90 | 99.52 | 23.49 | 30.43 | 29.71 | 46.94 | 69.43 | 1.23 | 16.55 | 37.86 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.23 | 7.41 | 7.17 | 1.69 | 2.19 | 2.14 | 3.38 | 5.00 | 0.09 | 1.19 | 2.73 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.78 | 185.21 | 179.14 | 42.29 | 54.77 | 53.47 | 84.49 | 124.97 | 2.22 | 29.79 | 68.14 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 38.17 | 38.17 | 17.81 | 17.92 | 31.97 | 31.97 | 10.37 | 10.40 | 31.48 | 31.48 | 24.18 | 20.45 | 33.01 | 33.01 | 26.24 | 25.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | B | B | C | C | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 17.99 |  |  |  | 13.60 |  |  |  | 25.80 |  |  |  | 27.00 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 20.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 9.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.294 |

## Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | fi! |  |  |  | $\text { f } \\|$ |  |  |  | $H$ |  |  | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 25.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 26 | 14 | 32 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 6 | 203 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 812 | 17 | 1 | 14 | 340 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 29 | 15 | 35 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Spack
Version 4.00-05
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | 90 |
| Offset [s] | Free Running |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-05
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | C | R | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 1 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.03 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| (v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1781 | 1841 | 1828 | 1744 | 1839 | 1836 | 1746 | 1590 | 1336 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 54 | 761 | 756 | 36 | 743 | 741 | 373 | 205 | 307 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 17.45 | 8.14 | 8.14 | 17.58 | 5.45 | 5.45 | 13.92 | 14.09 | 14.75 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 1.99 | 1.31 | 1.32 | 2.80 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.53 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp , platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 19.44 | 9.45 | 9.46 | 20.38 | 5.78 | 5.79 | 13.94 | 14.36 |  |
| Lane Group LOS | B | A | A | C | A | A | B | B |  |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | B |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.18 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 0.12 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.15 |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.39 | 38.85 | 38.63 | 3.09 | 10.55 | 10.54 | 0.63 | 3.71 | 0.54 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.32 | 2.80 | 2.78 | 0.22 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 13.46 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 7.91 | 69.94 | 69.54 | 5.57 | 18.98 | 18.97 | 1.14 | 6.68 | 0.97 |

Appendix D - Capacity Analysis Backup

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 19.44 | 19.44 | 9.45 | 9.46 | 20.38 | 20.38 | 5.78 | 5.79 | 13.94 | 13.94 | 14.36 | 15.28 | 15.28 | 15.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A | A | C | C | A | A | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.72 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  |  |  | 14.30 |  |  | 15.28 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes
Delay (sec / veh):
12.2

Level Of Service:
B Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.270

## Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\neg \hat{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow \hat{\square}$ |  |  | $\rightarrow \hat{F}$ |  |  | $\rightarrow \\|$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 175.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 21 | 1 | 183 | 26 | 71 | 29 | 97 | 49 | 42 | 10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 61 | 163 | 6 | 0 | 51 | 7 | 20 | 8 | 27 | 14 | 12 | 3 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 244 | 651 | 23 | 1 | 204 | 29 | 79 | 32 | 108 | 55 | 47 | 11 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with <br> PTV VISTRO

Spack
Version 4.00-05
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | 90 |
| Offset [s] | Free Running |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 40 | 65 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-05
Spack
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 8 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1783 | 1852 | 1828 | 1733 | 1852 | 1773 | 1352 | 1870 | 1590 | 1236 | 1865 | 1747 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 305 | 879 | 868 | 3 | 565 | 541 | 298 | 302 | 257 | 227 | 301 | 282 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 17.36 | 5.29 | 5.29 | 23.41 | 12.10 | 12.12 | 19.88 | 16.79 | 17.71 | 21.74 | 16.77 | 16.78 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 1.85 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 24.47 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 1.10 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.80 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.24 | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 19.20 | 5.88 | 5.89 | 47.88 | 12.75 | 12.83 | 20.35 | 16.95 | 18.81 | 22.29 | 16.91 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | A | A | D | B | B | C | B | B | C | B |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.10 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.26 | 0.96 | 0.56 | 0.24 |
| 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 52.49 | 27.44 | 27.16 | 0.73 | 20.48 | 20.27 | 18.36 | 6.49 | 23.91 | 14.01 | 6.08 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 3.78 | 1.98 | 1.96 | 0.05 | 1.47 | 1.46 | 1.32 | 0.47 | 1.72 | 1.01 | 0.44 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 94.48 | 49.39 | 48.89 | 1.32 | 36.87 | 36.48 | 33.04 | 11.68 | 43.03 | 25.22 | 10.95 |

Burnsville Aging Signals
Scenario 1: 1: AM Existing

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 19.20 | 5.89 | 5.89 | 47.88 | 12.79 | 12.83 | 20.35 | 16.95 | 18.81 | 22.29 | 16.92 | 16.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | A | A | D | B | B | C | B | B | C | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.43 |  |  | 12.94 |  |  | 19.09 |  |  | 19.53 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 12.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Version 4.00-05
Lane Configuration and Traffic Control



Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | NEB Left | 0.459 | 23.4 | C |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Signalized | HCM 2010 | NB Left | 0.397 | 11.3 | B |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SB Left | 0.425 | 17.5 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy
Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
23.4

C
0.459

## Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  | А |  |  |  | Y\\|N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 96 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 106 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 4 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 7 | 122 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 210 | 28 | 0 | 20 | 82 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 103 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 26 | 487 | 100 | 0 | 120 | 838 | 111 | 0 | 81 | 330 | 4 | 1 | 171 | 411 | 63 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Spack
Version 4.00-05
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | 90 |
| Offset [s] | Free Running |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-05
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 2 | 24 | 24 | 5 | 27 | 27 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 15 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1729 | 1829 | 1711 | 2200 | 1841 | 1760 | 1745 | 4200 | 1557 | 1734 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 54 | 619 | 579 | 167 | 706 | 675 | 105 | 640 | 237 | 214 | 763 | 338 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 33.56 | 15.16 | 15.21 | 31.18 | 14.15 | 14.17 | 32.89 | 27.70 | 25.59 | 30.29 | 24.73 | 22.77 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 3.13 | 2.15 | 2.36 | 4.22 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.37 | 2.33 | 0.10 | 2.66 | 2.14 | 0.95 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 36.69 | 17.32 | 17.57 | 35.40 | 18.40 | 18.67 | 37.26 | 30.03 | 25.69 | 32.95 | 26.87 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | B | B | D | B | B | D | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.50 | 3.11 | 2.99 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.84 | 1.44 | 2.64 | 0.06 | 2.82 | 3.04 |
| 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 12.48 | 77.70 | 74.64 | 49.99 | 124.89 | 121.08 | 35.89 | 65.98 | 1.53 | 70.42 | 76.07 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.90 | 5.59 | 5.37 | 3.60 | 8.66 | 8.45 | 2.58 | 4.75 | 0.11 | 5.07 | 5.48 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 22.47 | 139.86 | 134.35 | 89.99 | 216.53 | 211.30 | 64.60 | 118.76 | 2.75 | 126.76 | 136.93 |

Burnsville Aging Signals
Scenario 2: 2: PM Existing

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 36.69 | 36.69 | 17.41 | 17.57 | 35.40 | 35.40 | 18.52 | 18.67 | 37.26 | 37.26 | 30.03 | 25.69 | 32.95 | 32.95 | 26.87 | 23.73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | B | B | D | D | B | B | D | D | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 18.35 |  |  |  | 20.43 |  |  |  | 31.40 |  |  |  | 28.18 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 23.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.459 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St
Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 11.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.397 |

## Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | f\\| |  |  |  | tit |  |  |  | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{t}{4}$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 25.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 46 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 15 | 3 | 28 | 37 | 54 | 17 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 151 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 238 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 94 | 605 | 49 | 2 | 50 | 952 | 16 | 3 | 30 | 39 | 58 | 18 | 19 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Spack
Version 4.00-05
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | 90 |
| Offset [s] | Free Running |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-05
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | C | R | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 3 | 19 | 19 | 2 | 18 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| (v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1780 | 1841 | 1786 | 1743 | 1839 | 1827 | 1816 | 1571 | 1202 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 141 | 800 | 776 | 95 | 754 | 749 | 362 | 237 | 312 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 19.89 | 8.66 | 8.67 | 20.06 | 7.80 | 7.81 | 16.30 | 16.41 | 17.53 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 2.11 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 1.83 | 1.97 | 1.99 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.66 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp , platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.68 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 22.00 | 9.39 | 9.43 | 21.89 | 9.78 | 9.80 | 16.43 | 16.80 | 18.19 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | A | A | C | A | A | B | B |  |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | B |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.86 | 1.53 | 1.50 | 0.47 | 2.14 | 2.14 | 0.27 | 0.33 |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 21.53 | 38.34 | 37.57 | 11.80 | 53.61 | 53.40 | 6.68 | 8.20 | 0.86 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.55 | 2.76 | 2.70 | 0.85 | 3.86 | 3.84 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 21.42 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 38.76 | 69.00 | 67.62 | 21.24 | 96.50 | 96.11 | 12.02 | 14.76 | 1.54 |

Appendix D - Capacity Analysis Backup

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 22.00 | 22.00 | 9.41 | 9.43 | 21.89 | 21.89 | 9.79 | 9.80 | 16.43 | 16.43 | 16.80 | 18.19 | 18.19 | 18.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | A | A | C | C | A | A | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.01 |  |  |  | 10.41 |  |  |  | 16.63 |  |  | 18.19 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 11.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.397 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
17.5

B
0.425

## Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\rightarrow \hat{F}$ |  |  | $\rightarrow \hat{F}$ |  |  | $4 \\|$ |  |  | $\rightarrow \\|$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 175.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 47 | 9 | 515 | 50 | 94 | 105 | 221 | 75 | 61 | 7 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 51 | 85 | 12 | 2 | 136 | 13 | 25 | 28 | 58 | 20 | 16 | 2 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 202 | 342 | 50 | 10 | 545 | 53 | 99 | 111 | 234 | 79 | 65 | 7 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with <br> PTV VISTRO

Spack
Version 4.00-05
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | 90 |
| Offset [s] | Free Running |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 40 | 65 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-05
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 8 | 23 | 23 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1783 | 1852 | 1764 | 1733 | 1852 | 1794 | 1335 | 1870 | 1588 | 1025 | 1865 | 1802 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 253 | 782 | 745 | 23 | 543 | 526 | 406 | 479 | 407 | 224 | 478 | 462 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 21.58 | 7.61 | 7.62 | 27.01 | 16.46 | 16.47 | 18.77 | 16.22 | 17.89 | 24.68 | 15.56 | 15.56 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 2.18 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 4.97 | 3.23 | 3.37 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 1.29 | 0.94 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.80 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 23.77 | 7.98 | 8.01 | 31.98 | 19.69 | 19.84 | 19.08 | 16.46 | 19.18 | 25.62 | 15.62 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | A | A | C | B | B | B | B | B | C | B |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.25 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.15 | 3.20 | 3.13 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 2.35 | 0.98 | 0.31 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 56.15 | 24.62 | 23.82 | 3.74 | 79.90 | 78.28 | 24.41 | 24.52 | 58.78 | 24.56 | 7.84 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 4.04 | 1.77 | 1.72 | 0.27 | 5.75 | 5.64 | 1.76 | 1.77 | 4.23 | 1.77 | 0.56 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 101.07 | 44.32 | 42.88 | 6.73 | 143.81 | 140.91 | 43.93 | 44.14 | 105.80 | 44.21 | 14.12 |

Burnsville Aging Signals
Scenario 2: 2: PM Existing

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 23.77 | 7.99 | 8.01 | 31.98 | 19.76 | 19.84 | 19.08 | 16.46 | 19.18 | 25.62 | 15.63 | 15.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | A | A | C | B | B | B | B | B | C | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 13.36 |  |  | 19.97 |  |  | 18.48 |  |  | 20.86 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 17.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Version 4.00-05
Lane Configuration and Traffic Control



## Appendix E - Warrant Analyses

SPACK
ACADEMY

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)

| Project Name | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 227-16-03 |
| Scenario | Existing |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Major Street (N/S Road) | CSAH 5 | Minor Street (E/W Road) | Burnsville Parkway |
| Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes | Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes |
| Total Approach Volume | 16205 vehicles | Total Approach Volume | 10545 vehicles |
| Total Ped/Bike Volume | 89 crossings | Total Ped/Bike Volume | 64 crossings |
| Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied | Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied |

Reduction applied to Volume Warrant thresholds due to high speeds on CSAH 5.

| Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Condition A | Condition B | Condition A+B* |  |
| Required values reached for | Satisfied | Satisfied | Satisfied |  |
| Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) | 16 hours | 11 hours | 16 (Cond. A) \& 15 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) | 420 | 630 | 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) |  |

* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.

| Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Condition Satisfied? |  |


| Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume |  | Condition B |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not Examined | Satisfied |
| Required values reached for |  | 10 hours |
| Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) | 800 | See Figure Below |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) | 150 |  |

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


Spack Academy is part of the Spack Enterprise family of companies

둥ㄴㄴNNㄷING

## Appendix E - Warrant Analyses

SPACK
ACADEMY

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)

| Project Name | CSAH 5 \& 136th Street |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 227-16-03 |
| Scenario | Existing |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Major Street (N/S Road) | CSAH 5 | Minor Street (E/W Road) | 136th Street |
| Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes | Analyzed with | 1 Approach Lane |
| Total Approach Volume | 16351 vehicles | Total Approach Volume | 2154 vehicles |
| Total Ped/Bike Volume | 60 crossings | Total Ped/Bike Volume | 47 crossings |
| Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied | Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied |

Reduction applied to Volume Warrant thresholds due to high speeds on CSAH 5.

| Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Condition A | Condition B | Condition A+B* |  |
| Required values reached for | 0 hours | Not satisfied | Not satisfied |  |
| Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) | 420 | 3 hours | 1 (Cond. A) \& 10 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) | 105 | 630 | 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) |  |

* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.

| Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condition Satisfied? |  |


| Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume |  | Condition B |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not Examined | Satisfied |
| Required values reached for |  | 1 hour |
| Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) | 650 | See Figure Below |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) | 100 |  |

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


Spack Academy is part of the Spack Enterprise family of companies
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## Appendix E - Warrant Analyses

SPACK
ACADEMY

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)

| Project Name | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 227-16-03 |
| Scenario | August 2016 |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Major Street (N/S Road) | CSAH 11 | Minor Street (E/W Road) | Burnsville Parkway |
| Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes | Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes |
| Total Approach Volume | 12690 vehicles | Total Approach Volume | 5831 vehicles |
| Total Ped/Bike Volume | 70 crossings | Total Ped/Bike Volume | 97 crossings |
| Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied | Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied |

Reduction applied to Volume Warrant thresholds due to high speeds on CSAH 11.

| Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condition A | Condition B | Condition A+B* |  |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not satisfied | Not satisfied | Not satisfied |  |
| Required values reached for | 2 hours | 7 hours | 4 (Cond. A) \& 12 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) | 420 | 630 | 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) | 140 | 70 | 112 (Cond. A) \& 56 (Cond. B) |  |

* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.

| Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Condition Satisfied? | Satisfied |  |
| Required values reached for | 5 hours |  |
| Criteria | See Figure Below |  |


| Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume |  | Condition A |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not Examined | Satisfied |
| Required values reached for |  | 2 hours |
| Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) | 800 | See Figure Below |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) | 150 |  |

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


Spack Academy is part of the Spack Enterprise family of companies

## Appendix E - Warrant Analyses

SPACK
ACADEMY

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)

| Project Name | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 227-16-03 |
| Scenario | August 2016 |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Major Street (N/S Road) | CSAH 11 | Minor Street (E/W Road) | Burnsville Parkway |
| Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes | Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes |
| Total Approach Volume | 12690 vehicles | Total Approach Volume | 5831 vehicles |
| Total Ped/Bike Volume | 70 crossings | Total Ped/Bike Volume | 97 crossings |
| Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied | Right turn reduction of | 100 percent applied |

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.

| Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Condition A | Condition B | Condition A+B* |  |
| Required values reached for | 0 hours | Not satisfied | Not satisfied |  |
| Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) | 600 | 2 hours | 2 (Cond. A) \& 5 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) | 200 | 900 | 480 (Cond. A) \& 720 (Cond. B) |  |

* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.

| Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condition Satisfied? |  |


| Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume |  | Condition B |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not Examined | Not Satisfied |
| Required values reached for |  |  |
| Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) | 0 hours |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) | 800 | See Figure Below |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) | 150 |  |

Figure 4C-1 (Warrant 2) \& Figure 4C-3 (Warrant 3)


Spack Academy is part of the Spack Enterprise family of companies
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## CSAH 5 \& BURNSVILLE PKWY TRAFFIC SIGNAL

Burnsville Aging Signals


CSAH 5 \& BURNSVILLE PKWY TRAFFIC SIGNAL (NB \& SB RTL)


CSAH 5 \& BURNSVILLE PKWY 170' DIAMETER ROUNDABOUT





# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM Existing Signals.pdf

## Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SWB Left | 0.397 | 21.0 | C |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Signalized | HCM 2010 | WB Left | 0.322 | 8.4 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | EB Right | 0.446 | 14.1 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):

21.0

C
0.397

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | A1 |  |  |  | A1 |  |  |  | I\\|r |  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{A \\|}$ |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 26 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 27 | 19 | 666 | 89 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 42 | 0 | 113 | 381 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 41 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 5 | 185 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 106 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 11 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 30 | 21 | 740 | 99 | 0 | 63 | 312 | 47 | 0 | 126 | 423 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 221 | 46 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 3 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 23 | 23 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 10 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1772 | 1829 | 1754 | 2200 | 2200 | 2200 | 1745 | 4200 | 1557 | 1733 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 84 | 655 | 628 | 117 | 801 | 801 | 164 | 864 | 320 | 73 | 545 | 242 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 29.68 | 13.83 | 13.83 | 29.24 | 11.36 | 11.33 | 28.57 | 22.66 | 20.47 | 30.37 | 24.65 | 23.81 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 2.66 | 3.98 | 4.15 | 2.84 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 2.83 | 1.56 | 0.10 | 2.74 | 1.76 | 1.37 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.77 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 32.35 | 17.80 | 17.97 | 32.08 | 11.88 | 11.83 | 31.40 | 24.22 | 20.57 | 33.10 | 26.42 | 25.19 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | B | B | C | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.76 | 4.14 | 4.00 | 0.94 | 1.35 | 1.31 | 1.91 | 2.80 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 1.52 | 0.65 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 18.95 | 103.41 | 99.96 | 23.58 | 33.79 | 32.66 | 47.72 | 69.96 | 2.17 | 16.60 | 38.08 | 16.27 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.36 | 7.45 | 7.20 | 1.70 | 2.43 | 2.35 | 3.44 | 5.04 | 0.16 | 1.20 | 2.74 | 1.17 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 34.11 | 186.14 | 179.93 | 42.44 | 60.83 | 58.78 | 85.90 | 125.93 | 3.91 | 29.89 | 68.54 | 29.29 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 32.35 | 32.35 | 17.87 | 17.97 | 32.08 | 32.08 | 11.86 | 11.83 | 31.40 | 31.40 | 24.22 | 20.57 | 33.10 | 33.10 | 26.42 | 25.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | B | B | C | C | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 18.72 |  |  |  | 14.87 |  |  |  | 25.80 |  |  |  | 27.16 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 20.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.397 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 8.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.322 |

8.4
0.322

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | T1F |  |  |  | গ! |  |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 26 | 14 | 32 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 6 | 203 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 812 | 17 | 1 | 14 | 340 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 29 | 15 | 35 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | C | R | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 21 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.02 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1184 | 1841 | 1828 | 845 | 1839 | 1836 | 869 | 1590 | 473 | 1544 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 949 | 778 | 772 | 684 | 759 | 758 | 246 | 175 | 219 | 170 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 3.19 | 7.72 | 7.72 | 2.34 | 5.11 | 5.11 | 14.27 | 14.38 | 15.09 | 14.51 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.00 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.72 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.02 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 3.19 | 8.94 | 8.95 | 2.37 | 5.43 | 5.43 | 14.30 | 14.77 | 15.63 | 15.23 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.01 | 1.42 | 1.41 | 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.25 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.35 | 35.56 | 35.36 | 0.24 | 9.60 | 9.60 | 0.65 | 3.78 | 7.52 | 6.17 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.03 | 2.56 | 2.55 | 0.02 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.54 | 0.44 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.64 | 64.01 | 63.65 | 0.44 | 17.29 | 17.27 | 1.17 | 6.81 | 13.54 | 11.10 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with
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Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 3.19 | 3.19 | 8.95 | 8.95 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 5.43 | 5.43 | 14.30 | 14.30 | 14.77 | 15.63 | 15.63 | 15.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.79 |  |  |  | 5.30 |  |  |  | 14.69 |  |  | 15.45 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 8.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 14.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.446 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | $7 \mid$ |  |  | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | $4 \Gamma$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 175.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 21 | 1 | 183 | 26 | 71 | 29 | 97 | 49 | 42 | 10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 61 | 163 | 6 | 0 | 51 | 7 | 20 | 8 | 27 | 14 | 12 | 3 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 244 | 651 | 23 | 1 | 204 | 29 | 79 | 32 | 108 | 55 | 47 | 11 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
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Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Free Running |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 40 | 65 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | Yes |  | No | Yes |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 31 | 26 | 26 | 31 | 19 | 19 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1362 | 1852 | 1540 | 858 | 1852 | 1593 | 1551 | 1870 | 1586 | 1521 | 1865 | 1579 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 816 | 821 | 682 | 436 | 621 | 534 | 562 | 232 | 197 | 564 | 210 | 178 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 4.71 | 9.80 | 6.67 | 8.45 | 14.38 | 13.03 | 16.53 | 22.60 | 23.83 | 16.30 | 23.38 | 22.95 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.43 | 3.74 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 2.37 | 0.03 | 0.53 | 0.14 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.30 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 5.15 | 13.54 | 6.71 | 8.45 | 15.49 | 13.19 | 16.64 | 22.87 | 26.20 | 16.32 | 23.91 | 23.10 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | A | A | B | B | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.80 | 4.47 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.88 | 0.24 | 0.72 | 0.37 | 1.37 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.13 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 19.90 | 111.81 | 2.60 | 0.11 | 46.88 | 5.99 | 18.05 | 9.16 | 34.32 | 12.52 | 14.20 | 3.26 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.43 | 7.94 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 3.38 | 0.43 | 1.30 | 0.66 | 2.47 | 0.90 | 1.02 | 0.23 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 35.82 | 198.52 | 4.68 | 0.19 | 84.39 | 10.78 | 32.48 | 16.50 | 61.78 | 22.54 | 25.57 | 5.87 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with
PTV VISTRO

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 5.15 | 13.54 | 6.71 | 8.45 | 15.49 | 13.19 | 16.64 | 22.87 | 26.20 | 16.32 | 23.91 | 23.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | B | A | A | B | B | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.14 |  |  | 15.18 |  |  | 22.26 |  |  | 20.14 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 14.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 7: AM Existing - Signal with Rights with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM Existing Signal with Rights.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SWB Thru | 0.346 | 16.9 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 16.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.346 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | AII |  |  |  | A\\| |  |  |  | $4 \\|$ |  |  |  | 4\\|r |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 89 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 42 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 41 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 1 | 185 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 11 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 6 | 740 | 99 | 0 | 63 | 312 | 47 | 0 | 124 | 421 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 221 | 46 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 31 | 23 | 23 | 31 | 26 | 26 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 10 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.49 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1118 | 3482 | 1562 | 2200 | 4400 | 2200 | 1384 | 4200 | 1557 | 1156 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 676 | 1244 | 558 | 915 | 1764 | 882 | 554 | 865 | 321 | 460 | 574 | 254 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 5.83 | 13.45 | 11.45 | 5.88 | 9.52 | 9.13 | 15.87 | 22.56 | 20.34 | 15.27 | 24.11 | 23.29 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.00 | 1.65 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.73 | 1.54 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 1.54 | 1.22 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 5.83 | 15.11 | 11.99 | 5.99 | 9.70 | 9.22 | 16.60 | 24.11 | 20.38 | 15.30 | 25.65 | 24.52 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | B | A | A | A | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.03 | 3.17 | 0.76 | 0.27 | 0.96 | 0.29 | 1.31 | 2.78 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 1.49 | 0.64 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.68 | 79.28 | 18.94 | 6.85 | 23.94 | 7.18 | 32.63 | 69.38 | 0.92 | 9.70 | 37.31 | 15.93 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.05 | 5.71 | 1.36 | 0.49 | 1.72 | 0.52 | 2.35 | 5.00 | 0.07 | 0.70 | 2.69 | 1.15 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 1.22 | 142.70 | 34.10 | 12.32 | 43.09 | 12.92 | 58.74 | 124.88 | 1.66 | 17.46 | 67.16 | 28.68 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 5.83 | 5.83 | 15.11 | 11.99 | 5.99 | 5.99 | 9.70 | 9.22 | 16.60 | 16.60 | 24.11 | 20.38 | 15.30 | 15.30 | 25.65 | 24.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | B | B | A | A | A | A | B | B | C | C | B | B | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 14.67 |  |  |  | 9.09 |  |  |  | 22.39 |  |  |  | 24.05 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 16.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control
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Traffic Volume - Base Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...IPM Existing Signals.pdf
Scenario 2: PM Existing - Signals

11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | NEB Thru | 0.386 | 18.5 | B |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Signalized | HCM 2010 | WB Left | 0.349 | 9.1 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | EB Right | 0.530 | 21.1 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 18.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.386 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | A1 |  |  |  | A1 |  |  |  | $4 \\|$ |  |  |  | 1/1P |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 96 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 106 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 4 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 7 | 122 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 210 | 28 | 0 | 20 | 82 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 103 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 26 | 487 | 100 | 0 | 120 | 838 | 111 | 0 | 81 | 330 | 4 | 1 | 171 | 411 | 63 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 33 | 23 | 23 | 33 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 14 | 14 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 755 | 1829 | 1711 | 2200 | 2200 | 2200 | 1192 | 4200 | 1557 | 1325 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 432 | 624 | 584 | 977 | 828 | 828 | 467 | 699 | 259 | 538 | 742 | 329 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 7.52 | 14.28 | 14.33 | 6.55 | 13.16 | 13.01 | 15.81 | 25.56 | 23.61 | 16.52 | 23.97 | 22.07 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.02 | 2.09 | 2.30 | 0.20 | 2.38 | 2.16 | 0.64 | 1.79 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 2.34 | 1.02 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 7.54 | 16.38 | 16.62 | 6.75 | 15.53 | 15.17 | 16.44 | 27.35 | 23.70 | 16.65 | 26.31 | 23.09 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.13 | 2.91 | 2.79 | 0.59 | 4.37 | 4.14 | 0.87 | 2.42 | 0.06 | 1.75 | 2.92 | 0.85 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.20 | 72.63 | 69.80 | 14.67 | 109.37 | 103.53 | 21.74 | 60.55 | 1.41 | 43.63 | 73.01 | 21.23 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.23 | 5.23 | 5.03 | 1.06 | 7.80 | 7.45 | 1.57 | 4.36 | 0.10 | 3.14 | 5.26 | 1.53 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.76 | 130.73 | 125.64 | 26.41 | 195.12 | 186.35 | 39.14 | 108.99 | 2.54 | 78.54 | 131.41 | 38.21 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.54 | 7.54 | 16.47 | 16.62 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 15.38 | 15.17 | 16.44 | 16.44 | 27.35 | 23.70 | 16.65 | 16.65 | 26.31 | 23.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | B | B | A | A | B | B | B | B | C | C | B | B | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 16.08 |  |  |  | 14.39 |  |  |  | 25.19 |  |  |  | 23.42 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 18.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.386 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 9.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.349 |

A
0.349

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | T1F |  |  |  | গ! |  |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 46 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 15 | 3 | 28 | 37 | 54 | 17 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 151 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 238 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 94 | 605 | 49 | 2 | 50 | 952 | 16 | 3 | 30 | 39 | 58 | 18 | 19 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
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Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
5 Sack
Version 4.00-04
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | C | R | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 26 | 19 | 19 | 26 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.62 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 871 | 1841 | 1786 | 960 | 1839 | 1827 | 1370 | 1567 | 1355 | 1505 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 688 | 823 | 799 | 752 | 776 | 771 | 263 | 196 | 317 | 188 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 4.09 | 8.00 | 8.01 | 1.89 | 7.10 | 7.10 | 16.76 | 16.85 | 17.41 | 16.64 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.03 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 1.77 | 1.79 | 0.26 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.28 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.14 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 4.12 | 8.68 | 8.72 | 1.98 | 8.87 | 8.89 | 17.01 | 17.44 | 17.87 | 16.92 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.10 | 1.37 | 1.34 | 0.04 | 1.90 | 1.89 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 0.16 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 2.60 | 34.27 | 33.58 | 1.02 | 47.55 | 47.36 | 6.79 | 8.33 | 16.17 | 3.98 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.19 | 2.47 | 2.42 | 0.07 | 3.42 | 3.41 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 1.16 | 0.29 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.68 | 61.68 | 60.45 | 1.83 | 85.59 | 85.25 | 12.22 | 14.99 | 29.10 | 7.17 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 4.12 | 4.12 | 8.70 | 8.72 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 8.88 | 8.89 | 17.01 | 17.01 | 17.44 | 17.87 | 17.87 | 16.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.12 |  |  |  | 8.53 |  |  |  | 17.25 |  |  | 17.68 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 21.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.530 |

,
0.530

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \mid \Gamma$ |  |  | $7 \mid \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $7!$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 175.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 47 | 9 | 515 | 50 | 94 | 105 | 221 | 75 | 61 | 7 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 51 | 85 | 12 | 2 | 136 | 13 | 25 | 28 | 58 | 20 | 16 | 2 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 202 | 342 | 50 | 10 | 545 | 53 | 99 | 111 | 234 | 79 | 65 | 7 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Free Running |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 40 | 65 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | Yes |  | No | Yes |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 39 | 33 | 33 | 39 | 27 | 27 | 23 | 14 | 14 | 23 | 13 | 13 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1079 | 1852 | 1540 | 1084 | 1852 | 1588 | 1491 | 1870 | 1582 | 1423 | 1865 | 1579 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 492 | 827 | 688 | 619 | 696 | 597 | 569 | 347 | 294 | 522 | 334 | 283 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 10.36 | 9.67 | 8.41 | 8.57 | 20.22 | 14.76 | 18.56 | 25.82 | 28.50 | 18.42 | 25.56 | 24.78 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 1.17 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 6.87 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 4.92 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.03 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.80 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 11.53 | 10.38 | 8.50 | 8.58 | 27.10 | 15.00 | 18.70 | 26.34 | 33.42 | 18.47 | 25.84 | 24.81 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | B | A | A | C | B | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.02 | 2.45 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 8.55 | 0.55 | 1.14 | 1.61 | 4.03 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.10 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 25.40 | 61.24 | 7.86 | 1.59 | 213.66 | 13.77 | 28.62 | 40.23 | 100.71 | 22.78 | 23.48 | 2.45 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.83 | 4.41 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 13.34 | 0.99 | 2.06 | 2.90 | 7.25 | 1.64 | 1.69 | 0.18 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 45.71 | 110.23 | 14.15 | 2.86 | 333.52 | 24.79 | 51.51 | 72.41 | 181.28 | 41.01 | 42.27 | 4.41 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 11.53 | 10.38 | 8.50 | 8.58 | 27.10 | 15.00 | 18.70 | 26.34 | 33.42 | 18.47 | 25.84 | 24.81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A | A | C | B | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 10.61 |  |  | 25.74 |  |  | 28.37 |  |  | 21.94 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 21.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 8: PM Existing - Signal with Rights with Limited Access Alt.vistro
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Report File: C:\...\PM Existing Signal with Rights.pdf } & \text { 11/10/2016 }\end{array}$

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | NEB Thru | 0.357 | 17.4 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Signalized HCM 2010 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
17.4

B
0.357

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | A! |  |  |  | A!! |  |  |  | A\\|r |  |  |  | 4\\|r |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 96 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 106 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 7 | 122 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 210 | 28 | 0 | 20 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 103 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 26 | 487 | 100 | 0 | 120 | 838 | 111 | 0 | 81 | 330 | 0 | 1 | 171 | 411 | 63 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 33 | 24 | 24 | 33 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 14 |
| g/C, Green / Cycle | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.21 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.12 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 807 | 3482 | 1535 | 2200 | 4400 | 2200 | 1192 | 4200 | 1557 | 1326 | 3540 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 467 | 1202 | 530 | 1037 | 1671 | 835 | 464 | 696 | 258 | 536 | 740 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 7.08 | 13.80 | 12.80 | 6.50 | 12.61 | 10.93 | 16.00 | 25.85 | 0.00 | 16.73 | 24.21 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.85 | 0.26 | 0.64 | 1.82 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 2.36 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 7.10 | 14.60 | 13.42 | 6.68 | 13.46 | 11.19 | 16.65 | 27.67 | 0.00 | 16.86 | 26.57 | 23.32 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | B | C | A | B | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.13 | 2.14 | 0.86 | 0.58 | 3.42 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 2.45 | 0.00 | 1.77 | 2.95 | 0.86 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.19 | 53.52 | 21.55 | 14.57 | 85.40 | 20.35 | 22.03 | 61.27 | 0.00 | 44.26 | 73.83 | 21.46 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.23 | 3.85 | 1.55 | 1.05 | 6.15 | 1.47 | 1.59 | 4.41 | 0.00 | 3.19 | 5.32 | 1.55 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.75 | 96.33 | 38.79 | 26.23 | 153.73 | 36.63 | 39.66 | 110.29 | 0.00 | 79.66 | 132.90 | 38.63 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.10 | 7.10 | 14.60 | 13.42 | 6.68 | 6.68 | 13.46 | 11.19 | 16.65 | 16.65 | 27.67 | 0.00 | 16.86 | 16.86 | 26.57 | 23.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | B | B | A | A | B | B | B | B | C | A | B | B | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 14.06 |  |  |  | 12.46 |  |  |  | 25.50 |  |  |  | 23.67 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 17.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.357 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Traffic Volume - Base Volume
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Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified
Scenario 3: AM Existing - Roundabouts Geo.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM Existing Roundabouts.pdf
11/9/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | NB U-T |  | 10.1 | B |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Roundabout | HCM | WB Right |  | 5.1 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | WB Left |  | 6.0 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | th |  |  |  | dT |  |  |  | $41$ |  |  |  | $41$ |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 26 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 27 | 19 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 113 | 381 | 10 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 | 0.900 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 5 | 185 | 33 | 0 | 16 | 78 | 16 | 0 | 31 | 106 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 23 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 30 | 21 | 740 | 132 | 0 | 63 | 312 | 62 | 0 | 126 | 423 | 11 | 0 | 43 | 221 | 91 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 627 |  |  |  | 323 |  |  |  | 461 |  |  |  | 952 |  |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 497 |  |  |  | 248 |  |  |  | 397 |  |  |  | 900 |  |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 27 | 19 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 113 | 381 | 10 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 30 | 21 | 740 | 132 | 0 | 63 | 312 | 62 | 0 | 126 | 423 | 11 | 0 | 43 | 221 | 91 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.53 |
| A (intercept) | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1422.92 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 451 | 508 | 212 | 241 | 265 | 298 | 0 | 128 | 144 | 0 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 757 | 836 | 1002 | 1082 | 882 | 963 | 1017 | 562 | 635 | 664 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 730 | 805 | 973 | 1044 | 861 | 942 | 980 | 548 | 620 | 645 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.14 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 3.98 | 4.20 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 1.26 | 1.32 | 0.03 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.49 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 99.43 | 105.03 | 19.91 | 21.23 | 31.62 | 33.03 | 0.85 | 21.63 | 21.56 | 12.24 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 14.52 |  | 5.64 |  | 7.19 |  |  | 8.61 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 10.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
5.1

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4$ |  |  |  | IF |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 6 | 203 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 12 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 812 | 22 | 1 | 14 | 340 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 44 | 29 | 15 | 47 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 20 |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |  | 397 |  |  | 864 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 17 |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  | 381 |  |  | 841 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 812 | 22 | 1 | 14 | 340 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 44 | 29 | 15 | 47 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.32 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.96 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 416 | 469 | 174 | 197 | 49 | 95 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 1393 | 1393 | 1332 | 1332 | 1013 | 682 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1350 | 1350 | 1289 | 1289 | 996 | 655 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.14 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.26 | 1.50 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.48 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 31.57 | 37.56 | 11.23 | 12.91 | 3.79 | 12.02 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] |  |  |  |  | 4.04 | 7.08 |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | A | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
6.0

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4 F$ |  |  | $4 F$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $t$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |  |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |  |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 | 0.8960 |  |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 61 | 163 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 10 | 20 | 8 | 36 | 14 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 244 | 651 | 31 | 1 | 204 | 39 | 79 | 32 | 145 | 55 | 47 | 16 |  |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 113 |  |  | 352 |  |  | 267 |  |  | 995 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 34 |  |  | 296 |  |  | 266 |  |  | 748 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 244 | 651 | 31 | 1 | 204 | 39 | 79 | 32 | 145 | 55 | 47 | 16 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.65 | 4.32 |  |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | Yes |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 |  |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 1417.32 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.00085 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 446 | 504 | 118 | 133 | 113 | 148 | 0.98 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h | 1279 | 1279 | 1029 | 1029 | 1055 | 1131 | 121 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 610 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1250 | 1246 | 1003 | 1005 | 1042 | 1111 | 1.00 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.13 |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.58 | 1.91 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.73 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 39.48 | 47.79 | 9.66 | 11.04 | 8.92 | 11.22 | 18.28 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.51 |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified
Scenario 4: PM Existing - Roundabouts Geo.vistro
Report File: C:I...IPM Existing Roundabouts.pdf
11/9/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | SB Left |  | 15.8 | C |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Roundabout | HCM | EB Right |  | 7.0 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | EB Right |  | 7.0 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with
PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\hat{n}$ |  |  |  | $\hat{n}$ |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | है |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 54 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 57 | 42 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 79 | 318 | 10 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 | 0.959 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 15 | 11 | 122 | 33 | 0 | 30 | 210 | 37 | 0 | 21 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 103 | 32 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 59 | 44 | 487 | 133 | 0 | 120 | 838 | 147 | 0 | 82 | 332 | 10 | 1 | 171 | 411 | 126 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 548 |  |  |  | 705 |  |  |  | 1226 |  |  |  | 696 |  |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 463 |  |  |  | 466 |  |  |  | 1102 |  |  |  | 591 |  |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 57 | 42 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 79 | 318 | 10 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 59 | 44 | 487 | 133 | 0 | 120 | 838 | 147 | 0 | 82 | 332 | 10 | 1 | 171 | 411 | 126 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.67 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | 2.54 |
| A (intercept) | 1348.31 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 |
| $B$ (coefficient) | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 353 | 398 | 536 | 608 | 200 | 225 | 0 | 282 | 317 | 0 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 815 | 892 | 705 | 781 | 437 | 502 | 558 | 711 | 786 | 860 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 785 | 858 | 684 | 752 | 426 | 491 | 538 | 690 | 767 | 834 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.15 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | B | A | C | C | C | C | A | B | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.20 | 2.33 | 7.07 | 7.71 | 2.34 | 2.27 | 0.06 | 1.91 | 1.96 | 0.53 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 55.10 | 58.34 | 176.66 | 192.70 | 58.45 | 56.84 | 1.42 | 47.68 | 48.95 | 13.27 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.98 |  | 23.56 |  | 16.23 |  |  | 9.43 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | C |  | C |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 15.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
7.0

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4$ |  |  |  | IF |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 151 | 16 | 1 | 13 | 238 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 14 | 5 | 6 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 94 | 605 | 65 | 2 | 50 | 952 | 21 | 3 | 30 | 80 | 58 | 18 | 26 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
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Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 88 |  |  |  | 175 |  |  |  | 1098 |  |  | 726 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 83 |  |  |  | 114 |  |  |  | 1044 |  |  | 629 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 1 | 94 | 605 | 65 | 2 | 50 | 952 | 21 | 3 | 30 | 80 | 58 | 18 | 26 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 371 | 419 | 498 | 562 | 1417.32 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h | 1308 | 1308 | 1209 | 1209 | 0.00085 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 560 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1262 | 1260 | 1164 | 1164 | 1.00 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 767 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.18 | 1.41 | 2.07 | 2.54 | 0.77 | 0.48 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 29.58 | 35.13 | 51.73 | 63.62 | 19.26 | 11.93 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] |  |  |  |  | 9.32 | 6.33 |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | A | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 6.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
7.0

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4 F$ |  |  | $4 F$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $t$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 | 0.9450 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 51 | 85 | 17 | 2 | 136 | 18 | 25 | 28 | 78 | 20 | 16 | 2 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 202 | 342 | 67 | 10 | 545 | 71 | 99 | 111 | 312 | 79 | 65 | 10 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |
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Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 223 |  |  | 352 |  |  | 651 |  |  | 656 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 123 |  |  | 271 |  |  | 640 |  |  | 451 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 202 | 342 | 67 | 10 | 545 | 71 | 99 | 111 | 312 | 79 | 65 | 10 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.65 | 4.32 |  |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | Yes |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 |  |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 1417.32 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.00085 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 294 | 333 | 302 | 340 | 213 | 318 | 0.98 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 1157 | 1157 | 1029 | 1029 | 741 | 817 | 158 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 814 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1131 | 1126 | 1002 | 1004 | 730 | 802 | 1.00 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.39 |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup
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## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.01 | 1.20 | 1.23 | 1.46 | 1.19 | 1.86 | 0.71 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 25.29 | 29.92 | 30.76 | 36.41 | 29.74 | 46.39 | 17.86 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.58 |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 7.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume
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## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 5: AM Existing - Limited Access with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM Existing Limited Access.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Two-way stop | HCM 2010 | SB U-T | 0.007 | 18.6 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
18.6

C
0.007

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7$ |  |  |  | $71$ |  |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 7 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -26 | -14 | 20 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 2 | 13 | 335 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.908 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 6 | 203 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 92 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 812 | 22 | 2 | 14 | 369 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
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Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | No |  |
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Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 11.02 | 8.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.61 | 9.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.01 |
| Movement LOS | B | A | A | A | C | A | A | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 1.49 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.94 | 1.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.98 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.22 |  |  |  | 0.44 |  |  |  | 10.73 |  |  | 17.01 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Version 4.00-04
Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume
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Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...IPM Existing Limited Access.pdf
Scenario 6: PM Existing - Limited Access

11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Two-way stop | HCM 2010 | NB U-T | 0.005 | 24.6 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 24.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.005 |

C
Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.005

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | T1F |  |  |  | T\\| |  |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 54 | 8 | -3 | -28 | 0 | -54 | -17 | 35 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 61 | 946 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 23 | 151 | 16 | 1 | 16 | 252 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 94 | 605 | 65 | 2 | 65 | 1010 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
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Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | No |  |
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Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 24.60 | 11.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.78 | 9.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.23 |
| Movement LOS | C | B | A | A | B | A | A | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 12.82 | 12.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.28 | 6.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.14 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 1.43 |  |  |  | 0.58 |  |  |  | 13.78 |  |  | 11.23 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM 2036 Signals.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SWB Thru | 0.523 | 25.0 | C |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Signalized | HCM 2010 | WB Left | 0.428 | 8.6 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | EB Right | 0.587 | 16.0 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 25.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.523 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | A1 |  |  |  | A1 |  |  |  | $4 \\|$ |  |  |  | 1/1P |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 29 | 23 | 919 | 123 | 0 | 79 | 388 | 58 | 0 | 156 | 639 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 334 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 6 | 250 | 33 | 0 | 21 | 105 | 16 | 0 | 42 | 174 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 91 | 15 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 32 | 25 | 999 | 134 | 0 | 86 | 422 | 63 | 0 | 170 | 695 | 8 | 0 | 59 | 363 | 61 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
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## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |
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Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 47 | 37 | 37 | 47 | 38 | 38 | 34 | 25 | 25 | 34 | 19 | 19 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1031 | 1829 | 1754 | 2200 | 2200 | 2200 | 1273 | 4200 | 1557 | 935 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 585 | 739 | 709 | 683 | 903 | 903 | 489 | 1127 | 418 | 350 | 740 | 328 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 7.85 | 18.15 | 18.17 | 7.66 | 13.64 | 13.58 | 21.21 | 29.76 | 24.96 | 20.45 | 32.35 | 30.20 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.03 | 6.59 | 6.91 | 0.30 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 1.53 | 2.00 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 1.83 | 0.98 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.10 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 7.87 | 24.74 | 25.08 | 7.96 | 14.23 | 14.14 | 22.74 | 31.75 | 25.03 | 20.53 | 34.17 | 31.19 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | C | C | A | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.36 | 8.47 | 8.21 | 0.59 | 2.56 | 2.45 | 2.70 | 6.86 | 0.13 | 0.80 | 3.63 | 1.17 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.88 | 211.65 | 205.20 | 14.71 | 63.99 | 61.35 | 67.58 | 171.50 | 3.35 | 20.08 | 90.76 | 29.25 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.64 | 13.24 | 12.91 | 1.06 | 4.61 | 4.42 | 4.87 | 11.16 | 0.24 | 1.45 | 6.53 | 2.11 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 15.99 | 330.94 | 322.66 | 26.49 | 115.18 | 110.43 | 121.65 | 278.89 | 6.04 | 36.15 | 163.37 | 52.65 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.87 | 7.87 | 24.88 | 25.08 | 7.96 | 7.96 | 14.19 | 14.14 | 22.74 | 22.74 | 31.75 | 25.03 | 20.53 | 20.53 | 34.17 | 31.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | C | C | A | A | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 24.09 |  |  |  | 13.25 |  |  |  | 29.94 |  |  |  | 32.13 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 24.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 8.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.428 |

8.6
0.428

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | I类 |  |  |  | T\\| |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 29 | 1017 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 426 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 32 | 30 | 16 | 37 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 8 | 276 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 10 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 1105 | 18 | 1 | 16 | 463 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 35 | 33 | 17 | 40 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
50 Q CK
Version 4.00-04
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | C | R | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 27 | 21 | 21 | 27 | 21 | 21 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1071 | 1841 | 1831 | 672 | 1839 | 1835 | 506 | 1590 | 420 | 1544 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 886 | 914 | 909 | 567 | 884 | 882 | 189 | 176 | 185 | 171 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 2.98 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 2.64 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 17.26 | 17.46 | 18.14 | 17.53 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.01 | 1.45 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.66 | 0.94 | 0.84 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.04 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 2.99 | 9.33 | 9.34 | 2.69 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 17.33 | 18.12 | 19.08 | 18.37 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.03 | 2.38 | 2.37 | 0.01 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.36 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.71 | 59.50 | 59.24 | 0.33 | 13.89 | 13.87 | 1.06 | 7.75 | 11.17 | 8.95 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.05 | 4.28 | 4.26 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.80 | 0.64 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 1.29 | 107.10 | 106.62 | 0.59 | 25.00 | 24.97 | 1.91 | 13.94 | 20.11 | 16.12 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 2.99 | 2.99 | 9.33 | 9.34 | 2.69 | 2.69 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 17.33 | 17.33 | 18.12 | 19.08 | 19.08 | 18.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.16 |  |  |  | 4.71 |  |  |  | 18.02 |  |  | 18.76 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 8.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 16.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.587 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $7 \mid$ |  |  | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | $7 \mid$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 175.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 296 | 787 | 28 | 1 | 247 | 35 | 96 | 39 | 132 | 66 | 57 | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 80 | 214 | 8 | 0 | 67 | 10 | 26 | 11 | 36 | 18 | 15 | 4 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 322 | 855 | 30 | 1 | 268 | 38 | 104 | 42 | 143 | 72 | 62 | 15 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Free Running |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 40 | 65 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | Yes |  | No | Yes |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 45 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 32 | 32 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 8 | 8 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.60 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1273 | 1852 | 1537 | 704 | 1852 | 1593 | 1546 | 1870 | 1586 | 1510 | 1865 | 1579 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 802 | 986 | 819 | 347 | 797 | 685 | 473 | 226 | 192 | 479 | 196 | 166 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 4.07 | 8.87 | 5.15 | 10.52 | 14.24 | 12.48 | 23.08 | 29.67 | 31.87 | 22.64 | 31.08 | 30.34 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.69 | 5.09 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 5.67 | 0.05 | 0.92 | 0.23 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.40 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 4.76 | 13.96 | 5.19 | 10.52 | 15.14 | 12.60 | 23.31 | 30.06 | 37.55 | 22.70 | 32.00 | 30.57 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | A | B | B | B | C | C | D | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.03 | 6.15 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 2.87 | 0.35 | 1.41 | 0.67 | 2.65 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 0.25 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 25.83 | 153.73 | 3.23 | 0.13 | 71.75 | 8.87 | 35.26 | 16.69 | 66.36 | 24.06 | 26.13 | 6.14 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.86 | 10.22 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 5.17 | 0.64 | 2.54 | 1.20 | 4.78 | 1.73 | 1.88 | 0.44 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 46.50 | 255.40 | 5.82 | 0.23 | 129.15 | 15.97 | 63.47 | 30.05 | 119.46 | 43.30 | 47.03 | 11.06 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 4.76 | 13.96 | 5.19 | 10.52 | 15.14 | 12.60 | 23.31 | 30.06 | 37.55 | 22.70 | 32.00 | 30.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | B | A | B | B | B | C | C | D | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 11.29 |  |  | 14.81 |  |  | 31.34 |  |  | 27.36 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 16.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.587 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Lane Configuration and Traffic Control
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Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume
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Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 19: AM 2036 - Signal with Rights with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM 2036 Signal with Rights.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | SWB Thru | 0.493 | 22.8 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
22.8

C
0.493

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | A! |  |  |  | A!! |  |  |  | A\\|r |  |  |  | 4\\|r |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 29 | 23 | 919 | 123 | 0 | 79 | 388 | 58 | 0 | 156 | 639 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 334 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 6 | 250 | 33 | 0 | 21 | 105 | 16 | 0 | 42 | 174 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 91 | 15 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 32 | 25 | 999 | 134 | 0 | 86 | 422 | 63 | 0 | 170 | 695 | 8 | 0 | 59 | 363 | 61 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 47 | 37 | 37 | 47 | 38 | 38 | 34 | 25 | 25 | 34 | 19 | 19 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1077 | 3482 | 1562 | 2200 | 4400 | 2200 | 1273 | 4200 | 1557 | 935 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 615 | 1406 | 631 | 766 | 1805 | 902 | 490 | 1129 | 418 | 351 | 741 | 328 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 7.79 | 17.38 | 13.74 | 7.67 | 13.46 | 12.69 | 21.19 | 29.75 | 24.96 | 20.44 | 32.35 | 30.20 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.02 | 2.43 | 0.60 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 1.53 | 1.99 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 1.82 | 0.98 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 7.81 | 19.81 | 14.35 | 7.91 | 13.70 | 12.81 | 22.72 | 31.74 | 25.02 | 20.52 | 34.17 | 31.18 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.36 | 6.34 | 1.42 | 0.58 | 2.09 | 0.60 | 2.70 | 6.86 | 0.13 | 0.80 | 3.63 | 1.17 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.89 | 158.44 | 35.41 | 14.56 | 52.35 | 15.12 | 67.54 | 171.44 | 3.35 | 20.08 | 90.74 | 29.24 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.64 | 10.47 | 2.55 | 1.05 | 3.77 | 1.09 | 4.86 | 11.15 | 0.24 | 1.45 | 6.53 | 2.11 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 16.00 | 261.65 | 63.74 | 26.21 | 94.22 | 27.21 | 121.58 | 278.80 | 6.03 | 36.14 | 163.32 | 52.63 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.81 | 7.81 | 19.81 | 14.35 | 7.91 | 7.91 | 13.70 | 12.81 | 22.72 | 22.72 | 31.74 | 25.02 | 20.52 | 20.52 | 34.17 | 31.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | B | B | A | A | B | B | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 18.62 |  |  |  | 12.73 |  |  |  | 29.92 |  |  |  | 32.12 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 22.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.493 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume
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Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\PM 2036 Signals.pdf 11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | NEB Thru | 0.635 | 31.0 | C |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Signalized | HCM 2010 | WB Left | 0.453 | 9.3 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | EB Right | 0.690 | 32.0 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 31.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.635 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | A1 |  |  |  | A1 |  |  |  | $4 \\|$ |  |  |  | 1/1P |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 54 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 58 | 52 | 644 | 133 | 0 | 159 | 1110 | 146 | 1 | 110 | 533 | 5 | 1 | 226 | 662 | 83 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 15 | 14 | 168 | 35 | 0 | 41 | 289 | 38 | 0 | 29 | 139 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 172 | 22 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 60 | 54 | 671 | 139 | 0 | 166 | 1156 | 152 | 1 | 115 | 555 | 5 | 1 | 235 | 690 | 86 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 56 | 45 | 45 | 56 | 46 | 46 | 41 | 23 | 23 | 41 | 29 | 29 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.05 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 605 | 1829 | 1710 | 2200 | 1841 | 1761 | 992 | 4200 | 1557 | 1181 | 3540 | 1569 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 278 | 754 | 705 | 906 | 780 | 746 | 340 | 893 | 331 | 443 | 934 | 414 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 19.71 | 18.15 | 18.19 | 8.86 | 20.96 | 21.16 | 24.84 | 39.14 | 34.08 | 25.71 | 36.89 | 31.42 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 2.29 | 2.30 | 2.50 | 0.35 | 10.17 | 11.47 | 2.14 | 2.57 | 0.07 | 2.74 | 4.15 | 0.89 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.41 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.34 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.53 | 0.74 | 0.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 22.00 | 20.45 | 20.68 | 9.21 | 31.13 | 32.64 | 26.98 | 41.71 | 34.14 | 28.44 | 41.04 | 32.32 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | C | C | A | C | C | C | D | C | C | D | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.04 | 6.02 | 5.71 | 1.36 | 13.04 | 13.03 | 2.17 | 6.98 | 0.11 | 4.60 | 8.70 | 1.84 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 26.06 | 150.49 | 142.72 | 33.92 | 325.98 | 325.86 | 54.23 | 174.40 | 2.76 | 114.98 | 217.47 | 45.89 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.88 | 10.04 | 9.63 | 2.44 | 18.96 | 18.96 | 3.90 | 11.31 | 0.20 | 8.12 | 13.54 | 3.30 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 46.90 | 251.08 | 240.69 | 61.06 | 474.03 | 473.88 | 97.61 | 282.69 | 4.97 | 202.91 | 338.39 | 82.60 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 22.00 | 22.00 | 20.54 | 20.68 | 9.21 | 9.21 | 31.77 | 32.64 | 26.98 | 26.98 | 41.71 | 34.14 | 28.44 | 28.44 | 41.04 | 32.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | C | C | C | A | A | C | C | C | C | D | C | C | C | D | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 20.74 |  |  |  | 29.32 |  |  |  | 39.12 |  |  |  | 37.36 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | D |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 30.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 9.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.453 |

9.3
0.453

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | T1F |  |  |  | গ! |  |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 121 | 782 | 53 | 3 | 55 | 1231 | 21 | 4 | 32 | 51 | 63 | 20 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 204 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 321 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 16 | 5 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 126 | 815 | 55 | 3 | 57 | 1282 | 22 | 4 | 33 | 53 | 66 | 21 | 22 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
| 0.00 |  |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

50 Q CK
Version 4.00-04
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | C | L | C | C | C | R | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 36 | 28 | 28 | 36 | 27 | 27 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.68 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 696 | 1841 | 1794 | 809 | 1839 | 1827 | 1321 | 1565 | 1348 | 1503 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 578 | 968 | 944 | 655 | 923 | 917 | 231 | 185 | 278 | 178 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 4.90 | 7.88 | 7.89 | 1.75 | 6.37 | 6.38 | 21.21 | 21.48 | 22.19 | 21.06 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.07 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 2.15 | 2.18 | 0.39 | 1.01 | 0.77 | 0.37 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.22 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 4.97 | 8.60 | 8.63 | 1.88 | 8.52 | 8.56 | 21.60 | 22.49 | 22.95 | 21.43 |
| Lane Group LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | C | C | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.18 | 2.19 | 2.15 | 0.05 | 2.72 | 2.71 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0.25 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.52 | 54.70 | 53.68 | 1.16 | 67.97 | 67.81 | 10.22 | 15.25 | 25.12 | 6.14 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.33 | 3.94 | 3.86 | 0.08 | 4.89 | 4.88 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 1.81 | 0.44 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.14 | 98.45 | 96.62 | 2.09 | 122.34 | 122.07 | 18.40 | 27.44 | 45.22 | 11.04 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 4.97 | 4.97 | 8.61 | 8.63 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 8.54 | 8.56 | 21.60 | 21.60 | 22.49 | 22.95 | 22.95 | 21.43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.15 |  |  |  | 8.25 |  |  |  | 22.13 |  |  | 22.65 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.453 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 32.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.690 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | $71 \Gamma$ |  |  | $7 \Gamma$ |  |  | $7!$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 250.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 175.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 436 | 64 | 12 | 695 | 67 | 127 | 142 | 298 | 101 | 82 | 9 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 67 | 114 | 17 | 3 | 181 | 17 | 33 | 37 | 78 | 26 | 21 | 2 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 269 | 454 | 67 | 13 | 724 | 70 | 132 | 148 | 310 | 105 | 85 | 9 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Free Running |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | LeadGreen |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 10 | 65 | 0 | 10 | 65 | 0 | 15 | 40 | 0 | 15 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No | Yes |  | No | Yes |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 65 | 58 | 58 | 65 | 51 | 51 | 37 | 25 | 25 | 37 | 24 | 24 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 928 | 1852 | 1540 | 971 | 1852 | 1589 | 1462 | 1870 | 1583 | 1369 | 1865 | 1579 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 365 | 950 | 790 | 545 | 828 | 710 | 517 | 412 | 349 | 454 | 387 | 327 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 25.83 | 10.84 | 9.03 | 11.28 | 28.62 | 18.24 | 28.29 | 37.63 | 43.09 | 28.05 | 37.51 | 36.01 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 6.06 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 10.82 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.53 | 8.10 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.03 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.74 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.87 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.89 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 31.89 | 11.64 | 9.13 | 11.29 | 39.44 | 18.45 | 28.55 | 38.16 | 51.19 | 28.15 | 37.80 | 36.04 |
| Lane Group LOS | C | B | A | B | D | B | C | D | D | C | D | D |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.28 | 4.44 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 19.31 | 1.08 | 2.61 | 3.49 | 9.00 | 2.06 | 1.99 | 0.20 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 56.96 | 110.98 | 14.03 | 3.37 | 482.76 | 26.98 | 65.31 | 87.18 | 225.10 | 51.38 | 49.64 | 5.04 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 4.10 | 7.89 | 1.01 | 0.24 | 26.52 | 1.94 | 4.70 | 6.28 | 13.93 | 3.70 | 3.57 | 0.36 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 102.53 | 197.36 | 25.26 | 6.06 | 662.93 | 48.57 | 117.56 | 156.92 | 348.13 | 92.48 | 89.36 | 9.08 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 31.89 | 11.64 | 9.13 | 11.29 | 39.44 | 18.45 | 28.55 | 38.16 | 51.19 | 28.15 | 37.80 | 36.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | C | B | A | B | D | B | C | D | D | C | D | D |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 18.32 |  |  | 37.17 |  |  | 42.86 |  |  | 32.63 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 31.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.690 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Burnsville Aging Signals
Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 20: PM 2036 - Signal with Rights with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...IPM 2036 Signal with Rights.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Signalized | HCM 2010 | NEB Thru | 0.533 | 25.4 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
25.4 C
0.533

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | AII |  |  |  | A\\| |  |  |  | A\\|r |  |  |  | A\\|N |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 300.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 350.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 | 175.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 54 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 58 | 52 | 644 | 133 | 0 | 159 | 1110 | 146 | 1 | 110 | 533 | 5 | 1 | 226 | 662 | 83 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 15 | 14 | 168 | 35 | 0 | 41 | 289 | 38 | 0 | 29 | 139 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 172 | 22 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 60 | 54 | 671 | 139 | 0 | 166 | 1156 | 152 | 1 | 115 | 555 | 5 | 1 | 235 | 690 | 86 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Burnsville Aging Signals

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup
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Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type |  |
| Actuation Type | Free Running |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 0.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi | Permi | Prote | Permi | Permi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Burnsville Aging Signals
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$\qquad$
Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C | R | L | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 52 | 41 | 41 | 52 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 23 | 23 | 40 | 28 |
| g/ C, Green / Cycle | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.27 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 675 | 3482 | 1536 | 2200 | 4400 | 2200 | 991 | 4200 | 1557 | 1176 | 3540 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 351 | 1368 | 603 | 938 | 1783 | 891 | 354 | 918 | 340 | 457 | 952 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 12.64 | 18.10 | 16.26 | 9.18 | 18.68 | 15.07 | 23.00 | 36.62 | 31.88 | 23.79 | 34.53 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.16 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.39 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.78 | 0.99 | 0.70 | 0.32 | 1.45 | 0.33 | 1.93 | 2.33 | 0.06 | 2.21 | 3.80 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.72 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 13.41 | 19.09 | 16.96 | 9.50 | 20.13 | 15.40 | 24.93 | 38.95 | 31.94 | 26.01 | 38.33 | 30.27 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | B | B | A | C | B | C | D | C | C | D | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.94 | 4.51 | 1.75 | 1.35 | 7.98 | 1.77 | 2.01 | 6.52 | 0.10 | 4.22 | 8.12 | 1.72 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 23.49 | 112.81 | 43.86 | 33.81 | 199.61 | 44.22 | 50.25 | 162.90 | 2.59 | 105.50 | 202.89 | 42.92 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.69 | 8.00 | 3.16 | 2.43 | 12.62 | 3.18 | 3.62 | 10.70 | 0.19 | 7.59 | 12.79 | 3.09 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 42.28 | 199.90 | 78.94 | 60.85 | 315.47 | 79.60 | 90.45 | 267.56 | 4.65 | 189.72 | 319.70 | 77.26 |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 13.41 | 13.41 | 19.09 | 16.96 | 9.50 | 9.50 | 20.13 | 15.40 | 24.93 | 24.93 | 38.95 | 31.94 | 26.01 | 26.01 | 38.33 | 30.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | B | B | A | A | C | B | C | C | D | C | C | C | D | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 18.07 |  |  |  | 18.45 |  |  |  | 36.49 |  |  |  | 34.77 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | D |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 25.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.533 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo Scenario 11: AM 2036 - Roundabouts with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM 2036 Roundabouts.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | NB U-T |  | 42.8 | E |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Roundabout | HCM | WB Right |  | 5.7 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | WB Left |  | 7.9 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.
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## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
42.8

E

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | th |  |  |  | dT |  |  |  | $41$ |  |  |  | $41$ |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 5 | 666 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 281 | 56 | 0 | 112 | 379 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 199 | 82 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 30 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 31 | 23 | 919 | 164 | 0 | 79 | 388 | 77 | 0 | 156 | 639 | 13 | 0 | 54 | 334 | 113 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 6 | 250 | 45 | 0 | 21 | 105 | 21 | 0 | 42 | 174 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 91 | 31 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 34 | 25 | 999 | 178 | 0 | 86 | 422 | 84 | 0 | 170 | 695 | 14 | 0 | 59 | 363 | 123 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 974 |  |  |  | 493 |  |  |  | 619 |  |  |  | 1275 |  |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 798 |  |  |  | 397 |  |  |  | 531 |  |  |  | 1214 |  |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 31 | 23 | 919 | 164 | 0 | 79 | 388 | 77 | 0 | 156 | 639 | 13 | 0 | 54 | 334 | 113 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 34 | 25 | 999 | 178 | 0 | 86 | 422 | 84 | 0 | 170 | 695 | 14 | 0 | 59 | 363 | 123 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.53 |
| A (intercept) | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1422.92 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 604 | 681 | 287 | 326 | 417 | 469 | 0 | 204 | 229 | 0 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 550 | 623 | 857 | 937 | 763 | 842 | 907 | 417 | 483 | 508 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 530 | 600 | 832 | 903 | 745 | 824 | 875 | 407 | 472 | 494 |
| X, volume / capacity | 1.10 | 1.09 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.25 |
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## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | F | F | A | A | B | B | A | C | C | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 18.31 | 19.52 | 1.48 | 1.56 | 3.35 | 3.50 | 0.05 | 2.60 | 2.51 | 0.98 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 457.70 | 488.05 | 36.92 | 39.11 | 83.68 | 87.52 | 1.22 | 64.90 | 62.67 | 24.39 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 92.58 |  | 7.99 |  | 12.71 |  |  | 16.40 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 42.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
5.7

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4$ |  |  |  | IF |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 29 | 855 | 28 | 1 | 15 | 426 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 55 | 30 | 16 | 50 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 8 | 232 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 14 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 929 | 30 | 1 | 16 | 463 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 60 | 33 | 17 | 54 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 23 |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  | 530 |  |  | 995 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 19 |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  | 512 |  |  | 963 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 0 | 29 | 855 | 28 | 1 | 15 | 426 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 55 | 30 | 16 | 50 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 929 | 30 | 1 | 16 | 463 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 60 | 33 | 17 | 54 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 481 | 542 | 236 | 266 | 67 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h | 1389 | 1389 | 1313 | 1313 | 0.00085 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 905 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1346 | 1346 | 1271 | 1271 | 1.00 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 890 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.57 | 1.89 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.24 | 0.64 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 39.14 | 47.16 | 16.28 | 18.86 | 5.90 | 16.01 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] |  |  |  |  | 4.73 | 8.35 |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | A | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
7.9

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4 F$ |  |  | $4 F$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $t$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 219 | 583 | 28 | 1 | 183 | 35 | 71 | 29 | 130 | 49 | 42 | 14 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 296 | 787 | 38 | 1 | 247 | 47 | 96 | 39 | 176 | 66 | 57 | 19 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 80 | 214 | 10 | 0 | 67 | 13 | 26 | 11 | 48 | 18 | 15 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 322 | 855 | 41 | 1 | 268 | 51 | 104 | 42 | 191 | 72 | 62 | 21 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 149 |  |  | 464 |  |  | 350 |  |  | 1309 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 44 |  |  | 390 |  |  | 349 |  |  | 982 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 296 | 787 | 38 | 1 | 247 | 47 | 96 | 39 | 176 | 66 | 57 | 19 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 322 | 855 | 41 | 1 | 268 | 51 | 104 | 42 | 191 | 72 | 62 | 21 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.65 | 4.32 |  |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | Yes |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 |  |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 1417.32 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.00085 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 586 | 663 | 155 | 174 | 148 | 0 | 0.98 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 1238 | 1238 | 929 | 929 | 977 | 1055 | 159 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 468 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1210 | 1207 | 906 | 907 | 965 | 1037 | 1.00 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.18 |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 2.61 | 3.30 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 1.48 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 65.23 | 82.48 | 14.85 | 17.12 | 13.30 | 16.84 | 37.03 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.55 |  | 5.71 |  | 5.17 |  | 13.56 |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | B |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 7.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo Scenario 12: PM 2036 - Roundabouts with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...IPM 2036 Roundabouts.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | SB Left |  | 115.7 | F |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Roundabout | HCM | EB Right |  | 9.8 | A |
| 3 | CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy | Roundabout | HCM | EB Right |  | 10.5 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
115.7

F

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | th |  |  |  | dT |  |  |  | $41$ |  |  |  | $41$ |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 25 | 467 | 128 | 0 | 115 | 804 | 141 | 0 | 78 | 316 | 8 | 1 | 164 | 394 | 121 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 5.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.90 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.38 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 54 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 58 | 52 | 644 | 177 | 0 | 159 | 1110 | 195 | 1 | 110 | 533 | 11 | 1 | 226 | 662 | 167 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 15 | 14 | 168 | 46 | 0 | 41 | 289 | 51 | 0 | 29 | 139 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 172 | 43 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 60 | 54 | 671 | 184 | 0 | 166 | 1156 | 203 | 1 | 115 | 555 | 11 | 1 | 235 | 690 | 174 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 858 |  |  |  | 1069 |  |  |  | 1668 |  |  |  | 934 |  |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 737 |  |  |  | 763 |  |  |  | 1498 |  |  |  | 816 |  |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 58 | 52 | 644 | 177 | 0 | 159 | 1110 | 195 | 1 | 110 | 533 | 11 | 1 | 226 | 662 | 167 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 60 | 54 | 671 | 184 | 0 | 166 | 1156 | 203 | 1 | 115 | 555 | 11 | 1 | 235 | 690 | 174 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.32 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.53 | 2.53 |
| A (intercept) | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1422.92 | 1348.31 | 1422.92 | 1422.92 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 473 | 534 | 739 | 838 | 324 | 364 | 0 | 448 | 503 | 0 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 613 | 688 | 504 | 575 | 291 | 346 | 400 | 571 | 644 | 712 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 591 | 662 | 489 | 554 | 284 | 338 | 385 | 556 | 630 | 692 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.77 | 0.78 | 1.47 | 1.46 | 1.11 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.25 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | D | D | F | F | F | F | A | D | D | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 7.13 | 7.42 | 35.96 | 39.47 | 13.07 | 12.71 | 0.09 | 7.32 | 7.44 | 0.99 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 178.13 | 185.46 | 899.06 | 986.75 | 326.82 | 317.87 | 2.20 | 182.91 | 185.93 | 24.83 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 26.49 |  | 239.94 |  | 110.86 |  |  | 25.16 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | F |  | F |  |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 115.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
9.8

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4$ |  |  |  | IF |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136 th St |  |  | 136 th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 121 | 782 | 71 | 3 | 55 | 1231 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 103 | 63 | 20 | 28 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 204 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 321 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 27 | 16 | 5 | 7 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 126 | 815 | 74 | 3 | 57 | 1282 | 29 | 4 | 33 | 107 | 66 | 21 | 29 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 101 |  |  |  | 219 |  |  |  | 1455 |  |  | 977 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 94 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  | 1393 |  |  | 848 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 1 | 121 | 782 | 71 | 3 | 55 | 1231 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 103 | 63 | 20 | 28 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 1 | 126 | 815 | 74 | 3 | 57 | 1282 | 29 | 4 | 33 | 107 | 66 | 21 | 29 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.32 | 4.32 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00085 | 0.00085 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 492 | 556 | 666 | 752 | 148 | 121 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 1294 | 1294 | 1162 | 1162 | 413 | 620 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1248 | 1246 | 1119 | 1118 | 405 | 598 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.36 | 0.19 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | B | B | C | A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.83 | 2.23 | 3.83 | 5.05 | 1.58 | 0.71 |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 45.64 | 55.71 | 95.76 | 126.23 | 39.57 | 17.84 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 6.93 |  | 11.36 |  | 15.53 | C |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 9.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 3: CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Pkwy

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Roundabout
HCM
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
10.5

B

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $4 F$ |  |  | $4 F$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $t$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 600.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 400.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 11 |  |  | CSAH 11 |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  | Burnsville Pkwy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 191 | 323 | 63 | 9 | 515 | 67 | 94 | 105 | 295 | 75 | 61 | 9 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 1.50 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 4.40 | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 2.30 |
| Growth Rate | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 436 | 85 | 12 | 695 | 90 | 127 | 142 | 398 | 101 | 82 | 12 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 67 | 114 | 22 | 3 | 181 | 23 | 33 | 37 | 104 | 26 | 21 | 3 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 269 | 454 | 89 | 13 | 724 | 94 | 132 | 148 | 415 | 105 | 85 | 13 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 297 |  |  | 467 |  |  | 864 |  |  | 872 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 164 |  |  | 360 |  |  | 851 |  |  | 599 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 258 | 436 | 85 | 12 | 695 | 90 | 127 | 142 | 398 | 101 | 82 | 12 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 269 | 454 | 89 | 13 | 724 | 94 | 132 | 148 | 415 | 105 | 85 | 13 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.65 | 4.32 |  |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.67 | 2.54 | Yes |
| A (intercept) | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1417.32 | 1348.31 | 1417.32 |  |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00091 | 0.00092 | 0.00085 | 1417.32 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.00085 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 390 | 442 | 401 | 452 | 284 | 423 | 0.98 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 1081 | 1081 | 926 | 926 | 609 | 682 |  |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 671 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 1057 | 1053 | 903 | 904 | 601 | 670 | 1.00 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.62 |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | B | B | C | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.66 | 2.02 | 2.21 | 2.72 | 2.47 | 4.31 | 1.30 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 41.57 | 50.57 | 55.35 | 68.11 | 61.78 | 107.75 | 32.45 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.36 |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 10.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 13: AM 2036 - Limited Access with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...\AM 2036 Limited Access.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Two-way stop | HCM 2010 | SB U-T | 0.004 | 20.7 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St



Control Type:

Analysis Period

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | I类 |  |  |  | I至 |  |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 21 | 737 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 309 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 43 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 7 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -26 | -14 | 20 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 29 | 855 | 28 | 1 | 16 | 452 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9200 | 0.9080 | 0.9080 | 0.9200 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 8 | 232 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 929 | 30 | 1 | 17 | 491 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | No |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 12.46 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.68 | 10.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.04 |
| Movement LOS | B | A | A | A | C | B | A | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 2.33 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.21 | 2.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.62 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.27 |  |  |  | 0.38 |  |  |  | 10.22 |  |  | 13.04 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Version 4.00-04
Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Burnsville Aging Signals

Vistro File: C:\...\Burnsville Aging Signals - Modified Geo -
Scenario 14: PM 2036 - Limited Access with Limited Access Alt.vistro
Report File: C:\...IPM 2036 Limited Access.pdf
11/10/2016

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CSAH 5 \& 136th St | Two-way stop | HCM 2010 | NB U-T | 0.010 | 44.7 | E |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

# Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup 

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 4.00-04

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 2: CSAH 5 \& 136th St

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 44.7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | E |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.010 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | I类 |  |  |  | T\\| |  |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  | $\Gamma$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | U-tu | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 |  |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | CSAH 5 |  |  |  | 136th St |  |  | 136th St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 1 | 88 | 567 | 61 | 2 | 47 | 892 | 20 | 3 | 28 | 75 | 54 | 17 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 4.80 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 6.20 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 |
| Growth Rate | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.16 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 54 | 8 | -3 | -28 | 0 | -54 | -17 | 35 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 121 | 782 | 71 | 3 | 69 | 1285 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9600 | 0.9370 | 0.9370 | 0.9600 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 32 | 204 | 18 | 1 | 18 | 335 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 126 | 815 | 74 | 3 | 72 | 1339 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Spack
Version 4.00-04
Intersection Settings

| Prority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | 0 |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | No |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 4.00-04
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 44.71 | 14.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.18 | 10.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.53 |
| Movement LOS | E | B | A | A | C | B | A | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.06 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 26.48 | 26.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.05 | 9.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.29 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 1.90 |  |  |  | 0.56 |  |  |  | 18.15 |  |  | 12.53 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Version 4.00-04
Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Appendix F - Alternative Capacity Analysis Backup

Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Purpose of Study

Three existing traffic signals are close to the end of their service life. The current age of the signals range from 27 to 37 years old.
Rather than simply remove and replace, Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are taking this opportunity to:

- Review the intersections
- Determine the appropriate traffic control
- Assess the intersection geometry needs

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway (Turn on Date 12/30/1979)
CSAH 5 \& $136^{\text {th }}$ Street (Turn on Date 01/18/1989)
CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway (Turn on Date 10/10/1986)


## Purpose of Meeting

- Meet with the study team
- Learn about existing intersection operations
- Discuss your issues and concerns
- Review potential traffic control options that are being considered

What's next?

- Alternative evaluation and design
- Open House \#2 in Mid-October to share draft recommendations
- Preliminary layout and cost estimate development
- Study recommendations presented to City Council and County Board
- Projects placed in Capital Improvement Program

What happens after the study?

- Prepare construction plans to update the study intersections to the preferred design in 2017
- Construction in 2018 (estimated)


## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments CSAH 5 d Burnsville Parkway



Intersection Operations


Longest queues are:
Less than 10 vehicles for NB \& SB
Less than 6 vehicles for EB \& WB

Less than 16 pedestrians or bicycles in each peak hour

Volumes throughout the day justify a signal

Intersection Safety


## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments CSAH 5 \& 136th Street

Signal is approximately 900 feet south of the Burnsville Parkway intersection


Intersection Operations


Intersection Safety


City of

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments CSAH II \& Burnsville Parkway



Longest queues are:
Less than 10 vehicles for NB \& SB
Less than 6 vehicles for EB \& WB

Less than 6 pedestrians or bicycles in each peak hour

Volumes meet peak hour signal warrant

Intersection Safety


Burnsville Aging Signals Study

City of
Burnsville

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Intersection Option: Signal

For consideration at:<br>CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway<br>CSAH $5 \& 136^{\text {th }}$ Street CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway

Example: CSAH 11 and Burnsville Parkway in Burnsville, Minnesota


## Conflict Points



Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Intersection Option: Roundabout

For consideration at:
CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway
CSAH $5 \& 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway
Example: CSAH 16 (McColl Drive) and Lynn Avenue/Glendale Road in Savage, Minnesota


Conflict Points


City of
Burnsville

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Intersection Option: Limited Access <br> For consideration at:

CSAH 5 \& $136^{\text {th }}$ Street
Example: CSAH 42 (140th Street NW) and Shepards Path/Fountain Hills Road in Prior Lake, Minnesota


Conflict Points


This option is under consideration at CSAH 5/136th St because:

- Minimal eastbound through and left turns movements
- Heavy mainline volumes
- Nearby intersections provide acceptable alternate routes
- Would reduce non-residential traffic on the east leg

Burnsville Aging Signals Study

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments

## Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

## Sarah Tracy

Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

1) Please -no roundabout © CSAHS/Bville Parkway!
2) 2 bus (mvTA) routes use CSAHS/Bville Parkway intersection - sometimes trafhe backs up when bus stops to pick up passengers
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name:
Address:
Telephone:

## Email:

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
Burnsutlle


No Roundaro

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Address: 13Y N V Ewes er Ales Email:

# Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet 

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

## $5+136^{\text {th }}$

- I would like the flashing yellow for left turns on VB 5 going on to $136^{\mathrm{th}}$. (if the light is replaced) - The limited Access option would be a decent option $\frac{5}{3}$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Name: | Telephone: |
| ---: | ---: |
| Address: | Email: |

Intersection Study

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
CSAH5/Burnsville Parkway: Works well the way it is for me. The only concern I have is the left turn from Buenswille Parkway to north CSAH 5 is slow to respond to cars/ there. Please no round a bout here! They are confusing for a lot of drivers.
CSAH S/136 th Street: Works well the way it is for me. Please No round about here! They are confusing to $_{0}$ a lot of drivers. Either the stoplight of limited access works fine Fer me.

CSAH II/Burnswille Parkway: No opinion. I don't drive there much.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us


So a piper improved stoplights \& lat turn signor of bitter medians. Thank you?


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
Intersection 5 \& Parkway: Roundabout will not work!
Rush hours are Very busy!
Still need signals - but need yellow left turn arrow when light is green.


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
No left turn from $136^{\text {th }}$ ST on te CASH 5 will caen

$\qquad$ a your tole
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name:


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
LARA
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
HWY S \& 1367H PROBLENK - NO LEFT TURN TO SOUTHONS HAD STUDY 15.20 yRS A6O FON TRAFFFCV 7 IN U 4 BURNAAVEN WOODS (OLEN THOMPSON DEUFCORMSNT) STUDY ON SPEEDIFUNPS - DIP NOT WORK ADDED STOR SIGNS - IMPROVED

THIS IS THE ONLY ACCESS TO MALL AREA FOR MUCH OF NEIGBORHOODS EAST OF 5 AND Whist of 35 .

If NO LEFT TURA TO SOUTH ON 5
YOU WILL MEED TO PREVENT INRQREASED TRAFFIC THROUGH OUR NETGHBOKHOOP.
$\qquad$
Address: 1508 WEST 139 TI $S T$ Email: I hionlawhesse Agnail. con

Burnsville Aging Signals Study
Intersection Study

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
Limited access is teal bad idea for $\cos \frac{1}{3} 136$
Much traffic from homes east of $\cos$
turns LT to go south on CO 5
Wo that traffic goes on nevllow hood strops (James ex)
 for homes on knox Drive
$X$ hid dou west access to SA conses confusion. $\{1$ turns
on parkway in cord 5 intersection
Name: TIM Graf Telephone: 612804.1978
Address: 209 knox or $\quad$ mali: tgraf(se gmail.com


Intersection Study

Burnsville Aging Signals Study
H16

Burnsville Aging Signals

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name: MIKE DRIESSEA Telephone: G12 3960555
Address: $1 \geqslant 113 \quad 24$ th


Intersection Study

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
CoRd 11 was 2 lane -1 north, 1 sooth. Thun,
because of volume, it ha beet 2 lanes
each way and traffic hoe increased.
It is difficult to make a left furn
during morning and offender rush hooks now, so to go from z lanes each very to arno
2 ave each wry, it is going to harder to get abreacts in troffor. It would mate sense to see how the current changes Affect traffic flow before deciding or lights
Vs round -a bout. A round-a-hat will not provide ant treat in rifer from $134+h$
st $y_{0} 122 \mathrm{nd}$ st.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Address: 12408 shy lina $D_{r}, \mathrm{BV}$ Email: it moon e q. com


Intersection Study

Burnsville Aging Signals Study H18

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments sept. 13 Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
on 45

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name:
Telephone:
Address:
Email:


Intersection Study

Burnsville Aging Signals Study
H19

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
Irving + Burnsuilk Pliny
Too mach priority given to Irving traffic

- wait 30 sec before light changed for Irving vebives because they wish to make a right furn, or they'll soon find a tine to make a left.'.
 for all non-sidool hours parked cars on STring accidentally trig the bight, so BVPW traffic stops fr mathis.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Address:
Email:

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
I am interested in the intersections on County $R_{d} 5$. Presents, I have espersierced poor signal timing at the intersection with Parkway, especially when going north. The timing is ouch that inly 3 or so cart car git through the intersection, at least at certain times of the day. Hus concern is that traffic will urease on BV Parkway to such an extent the a rounubbrat there would not suffice and cause issues over time. It makes move sense to straighten out the approver on BV Pkwy so that the Turning aries are clover to $90^{\circ}$ each. Es in the internectim at $136^{\circ h}$ sheet, cross-inffictrom $136^{4 h}$ is not heavy, so a poundabrut makes more sense. Please dort restrict the residents from turning pouch to coy Rd 5 fum $136^{\text {th }}$ St. east of 5:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
Walking \& Biking - Where \& How Do Pedestrians

How much Traffic will be diverted on James are
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name:
Address:
Telephone:
Email:

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?

Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
DO ROUNDABOUTS
Learnt THEm AS is Just up GRADE THE Signal
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ opines limiters ow $136^{\text {th t }}$ is A and possious solution
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Address: $\int 352$ )

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
AT CR 5 + BURNSVILLE PKWY, WOULD RECOMMEND A LAT U| ABILITY TO MAKE A LH TURN WHILE A Llart is green in my direction

LIMITED ACCESS FOR $13 C^{\text {TH } ~+~ W a N D ~ B E ~ B E S T ~ T O ~}$ KEEP THE FLOW YO WOULD GET WW/ NEW INTERCHANGE \& CR + PKWY

IF A RONND ABOUT IS PROPOSED ( CR 5 + PKWY
THEN IT WORN BE A MUST 70 HALE A LM TIED ACCESS FROM TO $136^{\text {TH }}+\mathrm{CQ} 5$
$\qquad$
IF ALL LIGHTS CONTROLED INTERSECTION, YIELD ON GREEN
OR FLASHING YELLOW FOR ALL LH TURNS
Name: TIM \& ANGELA NE ID Telephone: 6128679898
Address: 2008 HIGHLAAS DR Email: Lime amnf.com

Intersection Study

Burnsville Aging Signals Study
H24

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
aT $C R S+136^{\text {th }}$ a flashing turn signal should be The
Min. That is done - a round about could work to help elimelate some stop lights along 5, but I Think That a Round about at 5 \& Papreway' might not work e because of Heavy Troffic but this is only a few times during the day so it might work - I don't what traffic Flows are for the area but if it looks like it would work I would not object to any round a bouts at any of the locations
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name: $\square$
Address:

Telephone: $952-882-1273$
Email:

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?

Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
Regarding hwy 5 a $136^{\text {ti }}$ street: We Are Very CoNCERNED BY + OBTECT TO THE limited ACCESS DESIGN THAT WILL FORCE WESTBCOND TRAFFIC ON 136 TH ST TO TURN RIGHT \& RF THEY DESVES TO GO SOOTH, MAE E AU turn at 5 abuensvicle Partway. This design will Prompt TRAFAC FEOMKRBB HLLL + WELUMGTON CRESCENT BRC TO CUT THROUGH THE RESNDENTAC AREA ON IRVING or JAmes to reach MeAnders. There is allesady A LOT 故 CuT-THROVGA TRAEFIC ON TAMES (WHICH HMS VEHCCLE, WACKING a KIDS ON BNFES) THE (IMITSD ACCESS
INTERSECTION AT $5+136^{\text {TH }}$ WILL CAUSE THIS TO MARKEDLY TNCREDEE. ALSO THE NUMBER OF LEFT
TUNS FROM FREMONT +140 IT WILL INGRESS AND
Possibly Cause Iwareamo Accidents.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name:PATA LYNN GALBRAITH Telephone: 952-894-4825
Address: 13612 ITAMASS AVE So Email: GALBRAITHPT Q HormAlC. COMI
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Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
? Scut Co. - Vol. compare to the - ?
McCall + Lin-jou + w RA
Savage I MN
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name: Bob HenRrch
Telephone: $\qquad$
Address:3308 a 134 ST

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
CTy/136th - Limited Access is TOTALCY unacceptableit would lead to more traffic encour aged through Residential neighborhoods - we already are Limited in options to get out -we are on EAST
wellington - Leave it as a traffic light.
Cty 5 / Parkway involves even levels of traffic that g is mostly transient so whatever supports that best/ safest should be the choice-chir seen roundabouts work well but am concerned there e is way more traffic than would make it flow $1 k$. Peowng/II-2 Round about curuld wore well.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
CTys/h36
we have
we have lImited options to get out in our heishborhoud - having Quntrathe light is a must- Limited Access is not acceptable.
$\qquad$ Cry 5/Parkway - I thinicthis should remain was more trathe than would make. $f$ lowe dx
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name Claudette Lamprecht Telephone: 952 -890-8744
Address: 13804 E. Wellinegtiw C RS Email:
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Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?

Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

1. MY RESPONSES ARE IN RELATION TO THL COUNTY 5 INTERSECTIONS.
2. ROUNDABOUTS AT THESE INTERSECTIONS ARE NOT PRACTICAL BECAUSE OF HIGH TRAFFIC VOLUMES SINGLE LANE ROUNDABOUTS ARE BUT MKLTIPE LANE ROWDABOUTS ARE CONFUSING FOR THOSE NOT FAMILIAR WITH THEM. MANY PEOPLE DO NOT MAINTAIN THE LANE INTEGRITY REQUIRED. 3. THR PEOPLE COMING FROM THE EAST ON $136^{T H}$ NEED TO BE ABLE TO TURN BOTH NORTH AND SOUTH OA COUNTY 5. LEAVE THE LIGHTS.
3. ADD RIGHT TURN LANES ON COUNTY 5 BOTH NORTH AND SOUTH APPROACHING BUL. PKWY.
THIS WILL ALLOW BETTER FLOW TO THOSE TLRENLAG


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
 BV Parkway. t's noterceuc 14 Nangevas. Caw la dz
 then. We lie e 5 shine $D_{1}$-why doe e tu lng
 to town leftest il fro 5 siphon.
$\qquad$ slow the wen food vorffe.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$
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Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us


5
On Co Rel near 122+MVTA Bur Stor Will pauses block the one line of trite an revile
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name: Dian Borufff
Telephone:
Address: 2040 SKyline $\operatorname{Dr} S$
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# Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet 

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
My comments only pertain to CJAA $11 \&$ Bice PRWW because it is the area I am most familiar with. The traffic in this intersectionkise huge fluctuation in the AM and PM rush hour versus normal hours. There is also high pedestrian levels, particularly in The Pun, I much prefer single lane roundabout: provided lighting and accommodation can be made tiv
pedestrians of a new stoplight is selected,
the yellow left turn option is an absolute westit, as is some modificatibus for right turn accost. I am confident you willlb hold neighbor hood hearings for the people to eypterd concerns or
support tor the possible options.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


# Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet 

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections? Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?

Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

 dehys-PII only 67 ans set they it Ereyyone is bumper to bumpar-it not 4 bs cars
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Thank you for asker for input.
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
EDUCATE THE PU ABC ON LAO U LO USE Roundabats. Ide seen a lot of IMPROPER USE.

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
I think e all the stop lights are just fire. The ore at
5 + Bile Pkwy is my faroriter st's easy to get thru no matter which way you go. e go all \& ways.
st turns fast so you dint wait long. I like this strylight. $5+136$ to st is okay. Ruse it a est too. Sometimio if you cos 5, the wat is longer. Also if you twin eft from wither direction on 5 , it an be a longer wait. O dent woe the 3 cd one on 11 much any move. 1 used too. S think that one is fine.
Please - no wound about's. I dislike chowing them Pleas kemp the slop eights.
Five driven Biville pkwy fou over 35 years. Rive sun moue traffic but it dent sum bad to me.
\& think the limited access would de best.
Round abouts are not pedestrian fluidly. They are hand for Plead! No round abouts.
Name: Wendy west Telephone: $952-890-1464$
Address: 3209 Sunset LK DC Email: Zip 567-21 a hormel l. (am
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Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions:
Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections?
Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?
Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us
We travel through both City 5 intersectras daily. We support:
) Eliminate lights at $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$. Replace with Limited access.
2) Roundabout at $5 /$ Rule Pkwy.

Please do not replace the lights at 5/136. The vast majority of travelers of th's intersection are. Neth South. A negirgible umber of travelers (although Vocal) represent WB left tumors. Please do not sacrifice for the minenty.
Save folks project cancer abort possible commercial development in the 6.6 acre comer lot at $5 / 136$ ". I am personally advocating an) fuplrarsing for this land to be converted to gieenspace so traffic concems may be null concerning potential development!


Address: 13820 Shirley Dive

Intersection Study

Burnsville Aging Signals Study
2) Bunch Burnille

Burnsville Aging Signals

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
If the inghts one replaced@5/piky, please add $R$ tun lane for Northbound $C$ ty 5 (to tum $R$ on Builepkuy). (And SB cay 5 ) Also please add those nice "yellow flashing lett tum arrows" all around.

* Roundabouts ore great - yeah sane peogote doit "get them", but they are safer, and they ore better for the envivenment! (less time spent idling by 1,000s of cars will measurably reduce corban emissrens in Bumsulle-and help meet Burnsnile's environmental impact improvement objectives!) the island space in a roundabout can (ave shend) be planted we minwesoter native plants, including milleveeds; neater flowers for Monarch Buttuffies are other pallinaters. (I cav donate seeds i plants!)

In Germerry, they have signs in Rend tbaits "Signal your tum". Ma pe Heat weuld make divers feel better?

At $11 /$ Bile Pkwy - I'm less frequatty in this area... but II support a row about there too!

# Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet 

Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are reviewing three intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired. The three intersections are CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway, CSAH 5/136th Street, CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

We need your input to help guide the study. In space answer the following questions: Do you have any issues or concerns regarding the study intersections? Do you have any suggestions or opinions regarding potential improvements?

Please answer these or provide any general comments in the space below (use the reverse side of page for more space if needed).

Leave your comments in the "Comments" box on the table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:
Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

```
PLEASE - NO ROUND-ADOUTS, WEXNS NOE IN EURORE-SMALLER CARS, LITHE TRUCKS (CORRYS), SHORTER PI STANCES TO TRAVEL TOO MUCH TRAFFIC ON CORD 5 (TRAFFIC IS LOWER NOW BECAUSE OF THE ECONOMY - LESS TRAFFIC TO SVLLE GR. ZOWNDAFOIS ARE TOUCH FOR SCNOO BUSES- 3 SHOWS AFFECTED DEIL, VISTA VIEW, ST JOHNS (SAVAGE), MY IS WHEELERS USE 5 TO 42. WASTE MANAGEMENT'S FLEET USES CO RD 5 . SLOW) ON CORD 5 (SOUTHBOUND) IS A PROBLEM ON THE HILL - NEED TO GET ARON AT IT!
```

SF 136 HAS A LARGE SENIOR BLDG WITH WALKERS TO THE PARKS. 5 F 136 HAS A LIQUOR STORE: LIMIER ACCESS WOULD DETER NORTHBOUND CUSTOMERS, OPTIONS - U-TURNS, CROSSING THE NEIGHBORHOODS, OR CIRCLNG THE BLOCK, 5 - BILE PKWY - KELP LIGHTS, YOUNG KIPS FROM THE APARTMENTS CROSS WERE TO GET TO SA FOR CANDY, POP, MKK, ETC MOST ABE MOT ACCOMPANED BYADVITS. I LNVE ON' (48 ${ }^{\text {Th }}$ ST. IF TRAFFIC IS (OVER Name: SONNIE SYATA Telephone: $952-890-8550$
Address: 2201 W 140 ST Email: N/A

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments

PISRUPTED, SARS SHORTCUT THROUGH OR NEIGHBORHOOD - HAPFONEP WHEN 5 WIS REDONE, THE CARS DO NOT $6 O$ BACK TO THE ORIGINAL, BUT STAY ON THE NEW DETOUR AND TRAVEL FAST.
ANOTHER FACET IS THAT BILE PKWY \& 5 IS A CLEAR SHOT GENERTEP TO THE TWO TRAILER PARKS ANA A LOT OF TRAFFIC IS GENORNE

## Appendix H-Public Meeting Materials \& Comments <br> Purpose of Study

Three existing traffic signals are close to the end of their service life. The current age of the signals range from 27 to 37 years old.

Rather than simply remove and replace, Dakota County and the City of Burnsville are taking this opportunity to:

- Review the intersections
- Determine the appropriate traffic control
- Assess the intersection geometry needs

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway (Turn on Date 12/30/1979)
CSAH 5 \& $136^{\text {th }}$ Street (Turn on Date 01/18/1989) CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway (Turn on Date 10/10/1986)


## Purpose of Meeting

- Discuss the evaluation with the study team
- Learn about the recommended alternatives
- Discuss your issues and concerns

What's next?

- Study recommendations presented to City Council and County Board
- Final reports
- Preliminary layout and cost estimate development
- Projects placed in Capital Improvement Program

What happens after the study?

- Prepare construction plans to update the study intersections to the preferred design in 2017
- Construction on at least one intersection in 2018 (estimated)

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway Street Alternative Concepts


Existing Traffic Signal Turn on Date: December 30, 1979

Projected 2036 Turning Movements AM Peak Hour


PM Peak Hour


## Traffic Signal with FYA



Improvements:
New Traffic Signal with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) Reconfiguration of right turn island
Updated pedestrian facilities

Cost:
\$350,000

## Summary:

Acceptable operations today and into the future
Slight improvement of safety compared to existing conditions
Maintains active, single stage pedestrian crossings
Minor right-of-way needs
Positive Benefit-Cost ratio

## Public Comments:

Support expressed for traffic signal Support expressed for FYA


Improvements:
New Traffic Signal with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) Reconfiguration of right turn island
Updated pedestrian facilities
Northbound and southbound right turn lanes
Cost:
\$500,000

## Summary:

Acceptable operations today and into the future
Slight improvement of safety compared to existing conditions
Maintains active, single stage pedestrian crossings
Minor right-of-way needs
Minor impact to pond (if major impact discovered in final design, northbound right turn lane may be reconsidered)
Positive Benefit-Cost ratio

## Public Comments:

Support expressed for traffic signal
Support expressed for FYA

Multi-Lane Roundabout


Improvements:
Reconstruction to multi-lane roundabout
Revised pedestrian facilities

Cost:
\$1,050,000

## Summary:

Acceptable operations today, concerns regarding future operations
Improvement in safety through reduced severe crashes Provides passive, two-stage pedestrian crossings
Major right-of-way needs
Unable to safely accommodate intersection skew

## Public Comments:

Concerns raised regarding roundabout operations Concerns expressed about pedestrian/bicycle crossings

CSAH 5 \& Burnsville Parkway Alternatives Comparison

|  |  |  | RECOMMENDED <br> Traffic Signal ${ }^{12}$ <br> (FYA \& Rt Turn Lanes) | Multi-Lane <br> Roundabout ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Conditions (for comparison only) | Traffic Signal ${ }^{1}$ (FYA) |  |  |
| Operations Level of Service for Existing Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | $C(C)$ | $C(B)$ | $B$ (B) | $A(B)$ |
| Operations <br> Level of Service for Future Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | C (C) | C (C) | C (C) | $E(F)$ |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (All Crashes) Lower Number is Desired | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ | $<0.85$ |
| Safety Critical Index (Fatal \& Type A Crashes) Lower Number is Desired | $<0.85$ | < 0.85 | < 0.85 | < 0.85 |
| Bicycle/Pedestrians Crossings | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Passive Control Two Stage |
| Right-of-Way Needs Less Impact is Desired | N/A | Minor | Minor | Major |
| Construction Costs | N/A | \$350,000 | \$500,000 | \$1,050,000 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio Positive Result is Desired (Indicating a benefit) | N/A | $\uparrow$ | + | + |
|  |  |  | RECOMMENDED |  |

## Recommendation is for Traffic Signal Control with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) and exclusive northbound and southbound right turn lanes on CSAH 5.

## Other Details:

1 Traffic Signal options assume the reconfiguration of the existing right turn island to a slightly better approach angle and yield condition. This is expected to help reduce the risk of crashes related to the right turn movement.
2 Only minor impacts to the adjacent pond are assumed with the addition of the northbound right turn lane. If major impacts are identified through final design, construction of this turn lane could be reconsidered.
3 The intersection skew increased the expected crash rates and expected delays for the roundabout option due to less than ideal design elements, higher entry speeds, and inability to fully meet driver expectations.

## Public Comments:

Support expressed for traffic signal.
Support expressed for adding Flashing Yellow Arrow to a traffic signal.
Support expressed for right turn lanes on CSAH 5 (northbound and southbound).
Concerns raised regarding roundabout operations.
Concerns expressed about pedestrian and bicycle crossings.

Burnsville Aging Signals Study

## CSAH 5 \& 136th Street Alternative Concepts



Existing Traffic Signal Turn on Date: January 18, 1989

Projected 2036 Turning Movements AM Peak Hour


PM Peak Hour



## Improvements

New Traffic Signal with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) Addition of westbound right turn lane
Updated pedestrian facilities
Better defined eastbound right turn lane
Cost:
\$350,000

## Summary:

Acceptable operations today and into the future Slight improvement of safety compared to existing conditions
Maintains active, single stage pedestrian crossings No right-of-way needs
Positive Benefit-Cost ratio

## Public Comments:

Support expressed for FYA


## improvements:

Reconstruction to multi-lane roundabout Revised pedestrian facilities

## Cost:

\$550,000

## Summary:

Acceptable operations today and into the future Improvement in safety through reduced severe crashes Provides passive, two-stage pedestrian crossings Major right-of-way needs
Concern regarding imbalance of north-south traffic versus east-west traffic
Concern regarding mixing of traffic control options
Positive Benefit-Cost ratio
Potential to re-evaluate if conditions change
Public Comments:
Support and concerns expressed for roundabout operations
Concerns expressed about pedestrian/bicycle crossings

Improvements:
Removal of traffic signal
Reduction in 136th Street movements with revised raised median
Revised pedestrian facilities (no CSAH 5 crossings)

## Cost:

\$250,000
Summary:
Acceptable operations today and into the future Improves overall safety, including reduced severe crashes Provides passive pedestrian crossings of 136th Street, no crossings of CSAH 5
Minor right-of-way needs
Restricts movements along collector road without acceptable
Concern regarding U-turn movements at adjacent intersections

Public Comments:
Concerns raised regarding movement restrictions and
potential for cut-thru traffic in other areas
Concerns expressed about pedestrian and bicycle crossings
city $0 f$

CSAH 5 \& 136th Street Alternatives Comparison

|  | Existing Conditions (for comparison only) | RECOMMENDEDDTrafitic Signal(FVA \& Re Ret TurnLane) | Multi-Lane Roundabout ${ }^{1}$ | Limited Access ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Operations Level of Service for Existing Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | A (B) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) |
| Operations Level of Service for Future volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | A (B) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) |
| Safety Critical Index (All Crashes) Lower Number is Desired | < 0.85 | < 0.85 | < 0.85 | < 0.85 |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (Fatal \& Type A Crashes) <br> Lower Number is Desired Lower Number is Desired | > 1.0 | > $1.0^{3}$ | < 0.85 | < 0.85 |
| Bicycle/Pedestrians Crossings | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Passive Control Two Stage | Passive Control No CSAH 5 Crossing |
| Right-of-Way Needs Less Impact is Desired | N/A | None | Major | Minor |
| Construction Costs | N/A | \$350,000 | \$550,000 | \$250,000 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio Positive Result is Desired (Indicating a benefit) | N/A | + | $+$ | +十 |
|  |  | RECOMMENDED |  |  |

## Recommendation is for Traffic Signal Control with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) and exclusive westbound right turn lane on 136th Street.

## Other Details:

1 The Roundabout option had concerns due to a high imbalance between north-south traffic and east-west traffic as well as the potential for mixing traffic control options (driver expectations) along CSAH 5 . If conditions change in the future, the option could be re-examined.
2 The Limited Access option would restrict movements along a collector road without providing acceptable alternatives to other routes. In addition, this option raised concerns about U-turn movements necessary at adjacent intersections as well as the removal of the CSAH 5 pedestrian crossing.
3 There were two Type A (incapacitating) Injury crashes in the past ten years, slightly above the critical rate expected at intersections with similar characteristics. The addition of Flashing Yellow Arrow and turn lane is expected to help reduce the number and severity of crashes compared to the existing conditions, but not as much as the Roundabout or Limited Access options.

## Public Comments:

Concerns expressed about pedestrian and bicycle crossings.
Support expressed for adding Flashing Yellow Arrow to a traffic signal.
The roundabout option had both support and concerns expressed about it.
Concerns raised regarding movement restriction with limited access.
Concerns raised regarding neighborhood cut through traffic to be caused by limited access.

Burnsville Aging Signals Study

CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway Street Alternative Concepts


Existing Traffic Signal Turn on Date: October 10, 1986

Projected 2036 Turning Movements AM Peak Hour


PM Peak Hour


Improvements:
New Traffic Signal with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA)
Revision of Eastbound outside lane to exclusive right turn lane
Updated pedestrian facilities
Maintain current striping on CASH 11
Cost:
$\$ 350,000$
Summary:
Acceptable operations today and into the future
Slight improvement of safety compared to existing conditions
Maintains active, single stage pedestrian crossings
No right-of-way needs
Positive Benefit-Cost ratio

[^0]

## Improvements:

Reconstruction to multi-lane roundabout
Revised pedestrian facilities

## Cost:

$\$ 950,000$

## Summary:

Acceptable operations today and into the future
Improvement in safety through reduced severe crashes
Provides passive, two-stage pedestrian crossings
Major right-of-way needs
Concern regarding imbalance of north-south traffic versus east-west traffic
Concern regarding mixing of traffic control options
Positive Benefit-Cost ratio
Potential to re-evaluate if conditions change

## Public Comments:

Support and concerns expressed for roundabout operations
Concerns raised regarding impacts to adjacent intersection operations

CSAH 11 \& Burnsville Parkway Alternatives Comparison

|  |  | RECOMMENDED TODAY DUE TO COST | POTENTIAL FUTURE OPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Conditions (for comparison only) | Traffic Signal (FYA \& EB Rt Turn Lane) | Multi-Lane Roundabout ${ }^{1}$ |
| Operations Level of Service for Existing Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | $B$ (B) | $B(C)$ | A (A) |
| Operations Level of Service for Future Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak) | $B(C)$ | $B(C)$ | A (A) |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (All Crashes) Lower Number is Desired | 0.85-1.0 | 0.85-1.0 | 0.85-1.0 |
| Safety <br> Critical Index (Fatal \& Type A Crashes) Lower Number is Desired | > 1.0 | $>1.0^{2}$ | < 0.85 |
| Bicycle/Pedestrians Crossings | Active Control Single Stage | Active Control Single Stage | Passive Control Two Stage |
| Right-of-Way Needs Less Impact is Desired | N/A | None | Major |
| Construction Costs | N/A | \$350,000 | \$950,000 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio Positive Result is Desired (Indicating a benefit) | N/A | + | $\psi$ |
|  |  | RECOMMENDED TODAY DUE TO COST | POTENTIAL FUTURE OPTION |

## Recommendation is for Traffic Signal Control with Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) and modified eastbound approach to provide an exclusive right turn lane.

## Other Details:

1 The Roundabout option represents an acceptable option and good fit for the intersection. However, the option is cost-prohibitive. If conditions change in the future, the option could be re-evaluated.
2 There were two Type A (incapacitating) Injury crashes in the past ten years, slightly above the critical rate expected at intersections with similar characteristics. The addition of Flashing Yellow Arrow to the operations is expected to help reduce the number and severity of crashes compared to the existing conditions, but not as much as the Roundabout option.

## Public Comments:

Support expressed for adding Flashing Yellow Arrow to a traffic signal.
The Roundabout option had both support and concerns expressed about it.
Concerns raised regarding impacts to adjacent intersection operations with the Roundabout option.
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## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Three existing signals are close to the end of their service life. Dakota County and the City of Burnsville took this opportunity to review the intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired.

This study has now been completed and the study intersections and recommendations are:

- A signal at CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- A signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- A signal at CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway due to cost considerations

Please review the study information with the project team and provide your thoughts on these draft recommendations. These study recommendations will be presented to the City Council and County Board before any action is finalized.

Leave your comments with a project team member or on the sign-in table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us


Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments Comment Sheet

Three existing signals are close to the end of their service life. Dakota Country and the City of Burnsville took this opportunity to review the intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired.

This study has now been completed and the study intersections and recommendations are:

- A signal at CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- A signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- A signal at CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway due to cost considerations

Please review the study information with the project team and provide your thoughts on these draft recommendations. These study recommendations will be presented to the City Council and County Board before any action is finalized.

Leave your comments with a project team member or on the sign-in table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

county did on County Read II,

on to 11 ; 5 now more challenging



Intersection Study

## Comment Sheet

Three existing signals are close to the end of their service life. Dakota County and the City of Burnsville took this opportunity to review the intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired.

This study has now been completed and the study intersections and recommendations are:

- A signal at CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- A signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- A signal at CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway due to cost considerations

Please review the study information with the project team and provide your thoughts on these draft recommendations. These study recommendations will be presented to the City Council and County Board before any action is finalized.

Leave your comments with a project team member or on the sign-in table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us


O Additional concern when construction be gins $O x$ ) the CRS + BruMe PKY interchange: To avoid that intersection, especially North bound on CR5, our street is a convenient by pass to northeast bound Bulle PKWY,


Intersection Study

## Burnsville Aging Signals Study

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments

## Comment Sheet

Three existing signals are close to the end of their service life. Dakota County and the City of Burnsville took this opportunity to review the intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired.

This study has now been completed and the study intersections and recommendations are:

- A signal at CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- A signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- A signal at CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway due to cost considerations

Please review the study information with the project team and provide your thoughts on these draft recommendations. These study recommendations will be presented to the City Council and County Board before any action is finalized.

Leave your comments with a project team member or on the sign-in table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124

under of cts $5+$ Hwy 13

| Name: | Telephone: |
| ---: | :---: |
| Address: | Email: |

## Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments <br> Comment Sheet

Three existing signals are close to the end of their service life. Dakota County and the City of Burnsville took this opportunity to review the intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired.

This study has now been completed and the study intersections and recommendations are:

- A signal at CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- A signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- A signal at CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway due to cost considerations

Please review the study information with the project team and provide your thoughts on these draft recommendations. These study recommendations will be presented to the City Council and County Board before any action is finalized.

Leave your comments with a project team member or on the sign-in table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center 14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Name: Ren Bros | Telephone: $952-808-8584$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address: $16 \$ 9$ | Endx circule |

Appendix H - Public Meeting Materials \& Comments
Comment Sheet

Three existing signals are close to the end of their service life. Dakota County and the City of Burnsville took this opportunity to review the intersections to determine if changes to the traffic control or the intersection geometry are necessary and desired.

This study has now been completed and the study intersections and recommendations are:

- A signal at CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway
- A signal at CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street
- A signal at CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway due to cost considerations

Please review the study information with the project team and provide your thoughts on these draft recommendations. These study recommendations will be presented to the City Council and County Board before any action is finalized.

Leave your comments with a project team member or on the sign-in table or, if you prefer, you may mail or email your comments to:

Sarah Tracy
Dakota County Transportation Department
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124
Sarah.Tracy@co.dakota.mn.us

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Address: 12249 COUNTI ROAD Ul Email: ARKALMESE COMCAST. NET
BunNSULIE, MN

Appendix I - Preliminary Layouts for Recommendations


CSAH 5 \& BURNSVILLE PARKWAY

Appendix I - Preliminary Layouts for Recommendations
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Appendix J - Preliminary Cost Estimates for Recommendations

| CSAH 5 \& BURNSVILLE PARKWAY - PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM NO. | ITEM | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | PROJECT TOTAL |  |
|  |  |  |  | QUANTITY | AMOUNT |
| 2021.501 | MOBILIZATION | LUMP SUM | \$29,000.00 | 1 | \$29,000.00 |
| 2104.501 | REMOVE CURB \& GUTTER | LIN FT | \$3.00 | 1055 | \$3,165.00 |
| 2104.503 | REMOVE CONCRETE WALK | SQ FT | \$1.50 | 4908 | \$7,362.00 |
| 2104.505 | REMOVE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT | SQ YD | \$3.00 | 340 | \$1,020.00 |
| 2104.509 | REMOVE MANHOLE OR CATCH BASIN | EACH | \$370.00 | 1 | \$370.00 |
| 2104.513 | SAWING BIT PAVEMENT (FULL DEPTH) | LIN FT | \$2.40 | 1242 | \$2,980.80 |
| 2104.523 | SALVAGE SIGN TYPE C | EACH | \$48.00 | 1 | \$48.00 |
| 2106.501 | EXCAVATION - COMMON | CU YD | \$4.00 | 609 | \$2,436.00 |
| 2106.521 | GRANULAR EMBANKMENT (CV) | CU YD | \$14.00 | 494 | \$6,916.00 |
| 2106.523 | COMMON EMBANKMENT (CV) | CU YD | \$4.00 | 115 | \$460.00 |
| 2211.503 | AGGREGATE BASE (CV) CLASS 5 | CU YD | \$25.00 | 330 | \$8,250.00 |
| 2360.503 | TYPE SP 12.5 WEARING COURSE MIX | TON | \$75.00 | 388 | \$29,100.00 |
| 2503.541 | RC PIPE SEWER DES 3006 | LIN FT | \$60.00 | 12 | \$720.00 |
| 2503.602 | CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM SEWER | EACH | \$750.00 | 1 | \$750.00 |
| 2506.501 | CONST DRAINAGE STRUCTURE | LIN FT | \$350.00 | 4 | \$1,400.00 |
| 2506.516 | CASTING ASSEMBLY | EACH | \$685.00 | 1 | \$685.00 |
| 2521.501 | 4" CONCRETE WALK | SQ FT | \$4.00 | 4110 | \$16,440.00 |
| 2521.501 | 6" CONCRETE WALK | SQ FT | \$7.80 | 795 | \$6,201.00 |
| 2531.501 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER DESIGN B424 | LIN FT | \$19.00 | 965 | \$18,335.00 |
| 2531.618 | TRUNCATED DOMES | SQ FT | \$42.00 | 140 | \$5,880.00 |
| 2563.601 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | LUMP SUM | \$6,000.00 | 1 | \$6,000.00 |
| 2564.531 | SIGN PANELS TYPE C | SQ FT | \$36.00 | 13 | \$468.00 |
| 2564.537 | INSTALL SIGN TYPE C | EACH | \$180.00 | 1 | \$180.00 |
| 2565.511 | TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL SYSTEM | SIG SYS | \$275,000.00 | 1 | \$275,000.00 |
| 2573.502 | SILT FENCE, TYPE MS | LIN FT | \$2.00 | 350 | \$700.00 |
| 2573.530 | STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION | EACH | \$170.00 | 8 | \$1,360.00 |
| 2573.533 | SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG TYPE COMPOST | LIN FT | \$3.00 | 500 | \$1,500.00 |
| 2574.508 | FERTILIZER TYPE 3 | POUND | \$0.70 | 70 | \$49.00 |
| 2575.501 | SEEDING | ACRE | \$250.00 | 0.2 | \$50.00 |
| 2575.502 | SEED MIXTURE | POUND | \$3.30 | 20 | \$66.00 |
| 2575.511 | MULCH MATERIAL TYPE 1 | TON | \$150.00 | 1 | \$150.00 |
| 2582.501 | PAVT MSSG PREF TAPE | SQ FT | \$25.00 | 60 | \$1,500.00 |
| 2582.502 | 4" SOLID LINE EPOXY | LIN FT | \$0.40 | 400 | \$160.00 |
| 2582.502 | 8" SOLID LINE EPOXY | LIN FT | \$0.80 | 794 | \$635.20 |



|  | PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY | ACRE | $\$ 500,000.00$ | 0.08 | $\$ 40,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | TEMPORARY EASEMENT | ACRE | $\$ 100,000.00$ | $\$ 0,00$ | $\$ 500$ |

Appendix J - Preliminary Cost Estimates for Recommendations

| CSAH 5 \& 136TH STREET - PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM <br> NO. | ITEM | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | PROJECT TOTAL |  |
|  |  |  |  | QUANTITY | AMOUNT |
| 2021.501 | MOBILIZATION | LUMP SUM | \$22,000.00 | 1 | \$22,000.00 |
| 2104.501 | REMOVE CURB \& GUTTER | LIN FT | \$3.00 | 318 | \$954.00 |
| 2104.503 | REMOVE CONCRETE WALK | SQ FT | \$1.50 | 1320 | \$1,980.00 |
| 2104.505 | REMOVE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT | SQ YD | \$3.00 | 81 | \$243.00 |
| 2104.509 | REMOVE MANHOLE OR CATCH BASIN | EACH | \$370.00 | 2 | \$740.00 |
| 2104.513 | SAWING BIT PAVEMENT (FULL DEPTH) | LIN FT | \$2.40 | 371 | \$890.40 |
| 2106.501 | EXCAVATION - COMMON | CU YD | \$4.00 | 154 | \$616.00 |
| 2106.521 | GRANULAR EMBANKMENT (CV) | CU YD | \$14.00 | 107 | \$1,498.00 |
| 2106.523 | COMMON EMBANKMENT (CV) | CU YD | \$4.00 | 47 | \$188.00 |
| 2211.503 | AGGREGATE BASE (CV) CLASS 5 | CU YD | \$25.00 | 71 | \$1,775.00 |
| 2360.503 | TYPE SP 12.5 WEARING COURSE MIX | TON | \$75.00 | 6 | \$450.00 |
| 2503.541 | RC PIPE SEWER DES 3006 | LIN FT | \$60.00 | 10 | \$600.00 |
| 2503.602 | CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM SEWER | EACH | \$750.00 | 1 | \$750.00 |
| 2506.501 | CONST DRAINAGE STRUCTURE | LIN FT | \$350.00 | 8 | \$2,800.00 |
| 2506.516 | CASTING ASSEMBLY | EACH | \$685.00 | 2 | \$1,370.00 |
| 2506.522 | ADJUST FRAME \& RING CASTING | EACH | \$570.00 | 2 | \$1,140.00 |
| 2521.501 | 4" CONCRETE WALK | SQ FT | \$4.00 | 907 | \$3,628.00 |
| 2521.501 | 6" CONCRETE WALK | SQ FT | \$7.80 | 408 | \$3,182.40 |
| 2531.501 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER DESIGN B424 | LIN FT | \$19.00 | 305 | \$5,795.00 |
| 2531.618 | TRUNCATED DOMES | SQ FT | \$42.00 | 97 | \$4,074.00 |
| 2563.601 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | LUMP SUM | \$2,500.00 | 1 | \$2,500.00 |
| 2564.531 | SIGN PANELS TYPE C | SQ FT | \$36.00 | 13 | \$468.00 |
| 2565.511 | TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL SYSTEM | SIG SYS | \$265,000.00 | 1 | \$265,000.00 |
| 2573.530 | STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION | EACH | \$170.00 | 5 | \$850.00 |
| 2573.533 | SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG TYPE COMPOST | LIN FT | \$3.00 | 100 | \$300.00 |
| 2574.508 | FERTILIZER TYPE 3 | POUND | \$0.70 | 35 | \$24.50 |
| 2575.501 | SEEDING | ACRE | \$250.00 | 0.1 | \$25.00 |
| 2575.502 | SEED MIXTURE | POUND | \$3.30 | 10 | \$33.00 |
| 2575.511 | MULCH MATERIAL TYPE 1 | TON | \$150.00 | 1 | \$150.00 |
| 2582.501 | PAVT MSSG PREF TAPE | SQ FT | \$25.00 | 30 | \$750.00 |
| 2582.502 | 4" SOLID LINE EPOXY | LIN FT | \$0.40 | 102 | \$40.80 |
| 2582.502 | 8" SOLID LINE EPOXY | LIN FT | \$0.80 | 584 | \$467.20 |

SUBTOTAL \$325,282.30
$10 \%$ CONTINGENCY $\quad \$ 32,528.23$
CONSTRUCTION TOTAL $\$ \mathbf{\$ 3 5 7 , 8 1 0 . 5 3}$


LAND ACQUISITION TOTAL

PROJECT TOTAL
\$357,810.53

Appendix J - Preliminary Cost Estimates for Recommendations
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## I. Project Description

This signal justification report is for the intersection of Dakota County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 5 and Burnsville Parkway in Burnsville, Minnesota. This intersection is currently under traffic signal control, but the signal system is reaching the end of its useful life and will need to be replaced. A full review of this intersection and two others examined alternatives to control traffic. This report summarizes those findings and presents the justification to continue with traffic signal control.

Burnsville is a southern suburb of the Twin Cities, located approximately 15 miles south of downtown Minneapolis in Dakota County. Burnsville's population, per the year 2010 census, is 60,306 people. The Metropolitan Council estimates the population will reach 63,500 by year 2020.

CSAH 5 is maintained and operated by Dakota County while Burnsville Parkway is under City of Burnsville jurisdiction. The operation of the current and future intersection will be under a joint powers agreement of both agencies.

The land uses immediately adjacent to the intersection are a mix of residential, in the northwest and southeast quadrants, and business/retail/office, in the northeast and southwest quadrants. These parcels are generally developed.

## II. Existing Conditions

Dakota CSAH 5 is a four-lane, divided, north-south road with a 45 -mph speed limit in the study area. CSAH 5 is classified as an A Minor Arterial-Expander in the study area. Burnsville Parkway also known as Burnsville Municipal State Aid Street (MSAS) 102 is a four-lane, divided, east-west road with a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit west of CSAH 5 and a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit east of CSAH 5. Burnsville Parkway is classified as a Collector road west of CSAH 5 and classified as an A Minor Arterial-Reliever east of CSAH 5.

The CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection is currently signalized. CSAH 5 runs north-south through the intersection and Burnsville Parkway runs northeast-southwest through the intersection making it a skewed intersection. Exclusive left turn lanes are provided on all approaches and channelized right turns are provided on Burnsville Parkway. The channelizing islands are substandard based on current design guidelines. The existing signal operates under eight phases, providing protected left turn phasing only. Although striped crosswalks are only provided on three of the four crossings, pedestrian pushbuttons and indications are provided for all crossings.

Intersection video was collected under normal weekday conditions in August of 2016 with clear weather. Using these videos, 24 -hour turning movement counts were obtained and, based on these counts, the peak hours were found to be from 7:15 to 8:15 a.m. and 4:45 to 5:45 p.m.

A field review of existing operations was conducted during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods in July of 2016. These reviews observed no significant operational issues. All vehicle queues were observed to clear during green phases and no significant queues that stretch beyond turn lane lengths or excessive delays (such as waiting through two or more signal cycles) were noted.

An intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the existing intersections using the Vistro Software Package, which is based upon the methodology of the Highway Capacity Manual. Intersections are assigned a "Level of Service" (LOS) letter grade for the peak hour of traffic based on the number of lanes at the intersection, traffic volumes, and traffic control. LOS A represents light traffic flow (free flow conditions) while LOS F represents heavy traffic flow (over capacity conditions). LOS D is considered acceptable at most intersections. Individual movements are also assigned LOS grades. At busy intersections, one or more individual movements may operate at a lower LOS when the overall intersection is operating acceptably at LOS C or D. This situation often occurs for movements with relatively low volumes and/or a relatively high overall traffic signal cycle length. A summary of the LOS and delay results for the existing operations is shown in Table 1. Signal timings were provided by Dakota County and the model was calibrated to match observations made in the field.

Table 1 - Existing Peak Hour Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{2}$ | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{2}$ |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway | C (d) | 21 | C (d) | 24 |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the worst operating movement
${ }^{2}$ Average delay for the entire intersection based upon a volume weighted average of each movements' delay, rounded up and presented in seconds.

As shown in Table 1, the intersection and all movements are operating acceptably. These computer results match the field observations of the intersection operations during a.m. and p.m. peak hours. All vehicle queues at the intersections cleared during the green phases and no significant existing operational issues were identified.

Crash data at the existing study intersections was collected from the Minnesota Department of Transportation's (MnDOT's) Minnesota Crash Mapping Analysis Tool. Data was collected for the ten most recent years available, years 2006 through 2015. Using previously collected intersection volumes with this crash data, the critical index for the crash rate and severity rate was determined. The critical index is a metric that compares an intersection's observed rate to the critical rate, which is a statistically-valid rate based on the average crash rates for similar intersections statewide throughout Minnesota (similar in terms of volumes, speeds, and traffic control). If the critical index is below 1.00, it suggests the intersection operates within the expected, normal range of crashes. A rate above 1.00 indicates a potential safety issue that deserves additional scrutiny. The Crash Critical Index is 0.51 and the Severity Critical Index is 0.45 for this intersection. Based on this data, the intersection does not have a current crash/safety issue.

## III. Intersection Traffic Signal Warrants

Using the turning movement counts, the volume signal warrants (Warrants 1-3) were reviewed using the methodology from the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (Mn-MUTCD). Although three warrants are examined in this study, MnDOT generally focuses on only Warrant 1 only, the Eight Hour Warrant. This warrant accounts for traffic volumes over a longer period, ensuring the installation decision reflects operations over the course of an entire day.

For the purposes of this warrant analysis, the following factors were applied:

- Eliminating the right turning movement volumes from the analysis.
- Removing any existing right turn lanes from consideration in the analysis.
- Considering whether actual vehicle speeds are higher than the posted speed limit.

The CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection meets all three volume based warrants. The satisfaction of one or more warrants suggests that traffic signal control may be appropriate at these intersections. This also indicates the potential for roundabout control of an intersection. However, satisfaction of the warrants by itself does not indicate that traffic signal or roundabout control is justified.

## IV. Intersection Alternatives

Within the universe of alternatives for traffic control exists options for traditional, nontraditional, access management, and grade separated solutions. Each category contains several possibilities that could be considered for the study intersection. An initial review of the existing information and characteristics and generic MnDOT criterion narrowed this universe of alternatives to four: traffic signal, roundabout, offset " T " s , and indirect left turn treatment. Meeting with the City and County further reduced this list due to considerations of the existing volumes, movements, operations, and surrounding area. The alternatives deemed appropriate for this intersection included:

- Traffic signal control with the existing geometry.
- Traffic signal control with the existing geometry and the addition of northbound and southbound right turn lanes.
- Roundabout control assuming two lane approaches, two circulating lanes, and bypass right turn lanes for the eastbound and westbound approaches.

In addition, the traffic signal alternatives would use flashing yellow arrow (FYA) operation to provide protective/permissive left turn phasing during non-peak periods.

## V. Future Volumes

To project the existing volumes to the year 2036, traffic forecasts from the Dakota County Transportation Plan and the City of Burnsville Comprehensive Plan Update were utilized. These documents, which generally coincide, show the expected growth in traffic from year 2006 or 2007 to year 2030. Using the forecasted volumes for the two sets of years, general growth rates were established on each of the intersection roadways. Customized growth rates for every turning movement were then developed.

## VI. Alternatives Evaluation

Analysis was completed for each alternative of the intersection to evaluate the most appropriate traffic control and geometry for the intersection. The factors considered include operations, safety, pedestrian and bicycle impacts, right-of-way impacts, and estimated construction costs. Each is discussed separately below.

## Operations

As before, an intersection capacity analysis was conducted in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual, using the Vistro software package to assign LOS letter grades. Signal timings, provided by Dakota County, were adjusted as necessary to reflect the change in geometry and the increase in future volumes. The capacity analysis results for the three alternatives are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Forecast Peak Hour Operations ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Signal (existing geometry) |  | Signal (added NB/SB right turn lanes) |  | Dual Lane Roundabout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing | 2036 | Existing | 2036 | Existing | 2036 |
| CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway | C (B) | C (C) | B (B) | C (C) | A (B) | E (F) |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection in the a.m. peak hour. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the intersection in the p.m. peak hour. The configurations from Table 5 are used in these analyses.

As shown, poor operations would be expected in the future under roundabout control. A traffic signal is able to satisfactorily accommodate volume for today and into the future.

## Safety

Changes to the intersection geometry and traffic control will impact the rates and safety of the intersections. To determine these projected safety impacts, crash modification factors (CRFs), compiled by the Federal Highway Administration, were consulted. CRFs represent the expected impact to crashes based on a vast collection of studies evaluating the before and after of different changes. Multiplying the existing crash and severity rates by the CRF forecasts the expected rates if the change is implemented.

The crash modification factors used in this analysis were:

- FYA: 0.901 for all crashes, 0.926 for severe crashes.
- Right Turn Lanes: 0.920 for all crashes.
- Multi-lane Roundabout: 1.062 for all crashes, 0.367 for severe crashes.

Using the crash modification factors combined with the traffic volume forecasts for the study intersections, the existing and future crashes and critical rates were established for each alternative. Table 3 shows this information.

Table 3 - Forecast Conditions Crash Statistics ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Intersection | Overall Crashes |  | Severe Crashes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Configuration | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index |
|  | Existing | $3.70(5.55)$ | $0.51(0.44)$ | $0.10(0.15)$ | $0.45(0.47)$ |
|  | FYA $^{2}$ | $3.33(5.00)$ | $0.46(0.40)$ | $0.09(0.14)$ | $0.42(0.44)$ |
|  | FYA \& RTL $^{3}$ | $3.28(4.92)$ | $0.45(0.39)$ | $0.09(0.14)$ | $0.41(0.42)$ |
|  | Multi-Lane RA | $3.93(5.90)$ | $0.54(0.47)$ | $0.04(0.06)$ | $0.17(0.17)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Data presented as: 2016 volumes (2036 traffic volumes)
${ }^{2}$ Signalized intersection with addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows
${ }^{3}$ Signalized intersection with addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows plus northbound and southbound right turn lanes
${ }^{4}$ Conversion to a multi-lane roundabout

As shown, the critical indices are at or below 1.00 using existing and forecast volumes. This suggests that the intersection will operate within the expected, normal range of overall crashes under any alternative.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Impacts

With the different intersection control types, pedestrians are handled differently. At the signalized intersections, crosswalk users have a single stage crossing with active control. This means crossing the length of the street, both directions of traffic, at once when presented with the WALK signal, or green light for pedestrians. The benefit is clear communication to both pedestrians and drivers for when crossings should occur. A shortcoming is the potential for pedestrians to wait a long time, particularly if crossing the mainline, before being allowed to cross even in the absence of vehicular traffic.

At a roundabout, pedestrians are presented a two-stage crossing with passive control. Twostage means crossing one direction of traffic at a time while passive means the pedestrian looks for gaps in traffic on their own, without assistance from a traffic signal. Benefits to this type of crossing include not having to wait for a signal, but instead crossing when a gap is present or when drivers stop to allow the crossing. In addition, crossing one direction of traffic flow at a time means cars approaching only from one way, reducing the complexity of watching for cars from multiple directions. The drawback of roundabout crossings is often having to wait for drivers to stop, which is a mixed experience in the Twin Cities. Many drivers are focused on their movements only and do not observe or stop for pedestrian crossings.

Bicyclists are able to proceed through an intersection operating as either a car, riding in traffic, or a pedestrian, dismounting and walking in the crosswalk. As a pedestrian, the impacts on bicycle travel would match those described above. As a car, vehicle speeds are higher with a traffic signal compared to a roundabout. In addition, left turn movements can
be more difficult for a bicyclist when operating in the driving lanes due to switching lanes. For any alternative, many drivers are not accustomed to bicyclists operating in the driving lane.

## Right-Of-Way Impacts

Right-of-way is the permanent area necessary to accommodate an alternative. Temporary easement is space needed for construction which would return to the property owner after construction is completed. Both types cost money to acquire and can be a difficult and timeconsuming process to obtain depending upon the property owner, current use of the area needed, and many other factors. Decreasing these needs is therefore desirable to minimize disruption to area residents and businesses as well as keep the cost of the alternative lower.

Using concept drawings of each alternative, the estimated right-of-way and easement needs were determined. Under traffic signal control, less than 10,000 square feet would be necessary for construction. A dual-lane roundabout, however, would require more than twice that of the signal needs at approximately 23,500 square feet.

## Estimated Construction Cost

Concept construction costs were determined from the concept drawings of each intersection alternative. Included in the concept cost estimates are the material and construction costs as well as estimates for:

- Removals
- Utilities
- Drainage
- Lighting
- Signing and striping
- Landscaping
- Erosion control/turf establishment
- Permanent Right-of-Way and temporary easements
- Risk and contingency lump sums

Under traffic signal control with the existing geometry, the estimated construction fee is approximately $\$ 350,000$. Under traffic signal control with the addition of northbound and southbound right turn lanes, the estimated construction fee increases to about $\$ 500,000$. The roundabout control alternative construction fee is estimated at $\$ 1,050,000$, approximately double that of the traffic signal alternative.

## VII. Justification

Based upon the information in this report, the intersection of CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway could operate efficiently today under traffic signal control (as currently exists) or roundabout control. However, the roundabout alternative exhibited operational issues with projected volumes. In addition, the skew of the intersection did not lend itself to a roundabout and would have less than ideal design elements (such as higher entry speeds and an inability to fully meet driver expectations).

Benefits of traffic signal control are:

- Currently operating under traffic signal control.
- Satisfying the traffic signal volume warrants.
- Providing acceptable operations with today and projected volumes.
- Maintaining, or slightly improving upon, the existing safety of the intersection, which is below the standard measure for determining issues using the existing and projected crashes.
- Requiring less right-of-way and easement needs in comparison to the roundabout control alternative.
- Costing less than half the roundabout control option based on concept-level construction cost estimates.

Due to these factors, and through engineering judgment and discussions with City and County staff, traffic signal control is recommended and justified at the intersection of CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway.

In addition, FYA and northbound/southbound right turn lanes are recommended. Both will have some vehicular operational and safety benefits. The desire for right turn lanes was also brought up by the public in project meetings. Only minor impacts to the adjacent pond were assumed with the northbound right turn lane. If major impacts are identified through final design, construction of this turn lane will be reconsidered.
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## I. Project Description

This signal justification report is for the intersection of Dakota County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 5 and $136^{\text {th }}$ Street in Burnsville, Minnesota. This intersection is currently under traffic signal control, but the signal system is reaching the end of its useful life and will need to be replaced. A full review of this intersection and two others examined alternatives to control traffic. This report summarizes those findings and presents the justification to continue with traffic signal control.

Burnsville is a southern suburb of the Twin Cities, located approximately 15 miles south of downtown Minneapolis in Dakota County. Burnsville's population, per the year 2010 census, is 60,306 people. The Metropolitan Council estimates the population will reach 63,500 by year 2020 .

CSAH 5 is maintained and operated by Dakota County while $136^{\text {th }}$ Street is under City of Burnsville jurisdiction. The operation of the current and future intersection will be under a joint powers agreement of both agencies.

The land uses immediately adjacent to the intersection are residential to the east of CSAH 5, business/retail/office in the northwest quadrant, and mixed use in the southwest quadrant. These parcels are generally developed except for the southwest quadrant.

## II. Existing Conditions

Dakota CSAH 5 is a four-lane, divided, north-south road with a 45-mph speed limit in the study area. CSAH 5 is classified as an A Minor Arterial-Expander in the study area. $136^{\text {th }}$ Street is also known as Burnsville MSAS 130 east of CSAH 5. To the west, the road is classified as a local road. 136th Street is a two-lane, undivided, east-west road with a $30-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit in the study area.

The CSAH 5/136th Street intersection is currently signalized. CSAH 5 runs north-south through the intersection and 136th Street runs east-west. Northbound and southbound exclusive left turn lanes are provided. The eastbound approach flares out at the intersection to provide space for a right turning vehicle. The existing signal operates with six phases, providing protected left turn phasing only for the northbound and southbound left turn movements. Pedestrian crosswalks are striped for three of the four crossings. Pedestrian pushbuttons and indications are provided for all four crossings.

Intersection video was collected under normal weekday conditions in August of 2016 with clear weather. Using these videos, 24 -hour turning movement counts were obtained and, based on these counts, the peak hours were found to be from 7:15 to 8:15 a.m. and 4:45 to 5:45 p.m.

A field review of existing operations was conducted during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods in July of 2016. These reviews observed no significant operational issues. All vehicle queues were observed to clear during green phases and no significant queues that stretch beyond turn lane lengths or excessive delays (such as waiting through two or more signal cycles) were noted. The CSAH 5/136th Street intersection primarily rests in a green phase for the northbound and southbound traffic. This limits the interaction and potential vehicle platooning that could develop between this and the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection.

An intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the existing intersections using the Vistro Software Package, which is based upon the methodology of the Highway Capacity Manual. Intersections are assigned a "Level of Service" (LOS) letter grade for the peak hour of traffic based on the number of lanes at the intersection, traffic volumes, and traffic control. LOS A represents light traffic flow (free flow conditions) while LOS F represents heavy traffic flow (over capacity conditions). LOS D is considered acceptable at most intersections. Individual movements are also assigned LOS grades. At busy intersections, one or more individual movements may operate at a lower LOS when the overall intersection is operating acceptably at LOS C or D. This situation often occurs for movements with relatively low volumes and/or a relatively high overall traffic signal cycle length. A summary of the LOS and delay results for the existing operations is shown in Table 1. Signal timings were provided by Dakota County and the model was calibrated to match observations made in the field.

Table 1 - Existing Peak Hour Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{2}$ | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{2}$ |
| CSAH 5 $/ 136^{\text {th }}$ Street | A (c) | 10 | B (c) | 12 |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the worst operating movement
${ }^{2}$ Average delay for the entire intersection based upon a volume weighted average of each movements' delay, rounded up and presented in seconds.

As shown in Table 1, the intersection and all movements are operating acceptably. These computer results match the field observations of the intersection operations during a.m. and p.m. peak hours. All vehicle queues at the intersections cleared during the green phases and no significant existing operational issues were identified.

Crash data at the existing study intersections was collected from the Minnesota Department of Transportation's (MnDOT's) Minnesota Crash Mapping Analysis Tool. Data was collected for the ten most recent years available, years 2006 through 2015. Using previously collected intersection volumes with this crash data, the critical index for the crash rate and severity rate was determined. The critical index is a metric that compares an intersection's observed rate to the critical rate, which is a statistically-valid rate based on the average crash rates for similar intersections statewide throughout Minnesota (similar in terms of volumes, speeds, and traffic control). If the critical index is below 1.00, it suggests the intersection operates within the expected, normal range of crashes. A rate above 1.00 indicates a potential safety issue that deserves additional scrutiny. The Crash Critical Index is 0.31 and the Severity Critical Index is 1.15 for this intersection.

With a Critical Severity Index above 1.00 , the CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection demonstrates a potential safety issue. However, a deeper examination of the crash data revealed only two severe non-fatal crashes at each intersection during the ten-year study period. This relatively low number of severe crashes is not considered an issue despite the higher than desired critical index. In addition, a review for potential trends did not show any particular patterns or points of interest. While the intersection should continue to be monitored, this review suggests the intersection is reasonably safe.

## III. Intersection Traffic Signal Warrants

Using the turning movement counts, the volume signal warrants (Warrants 1-3) were reviewed using the methodology from the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (Mn-MUTCD). Although three warrants are examined in this study, MnDOT generally focuses on only Warrant 1 only, the Eight Hour Warrant. This warrant accounts for traffic volumes over a longer period, ensuring the installation decision reflects operations over the course of an entire day.

For the purposes of this warrant analysis, the following factors were applied:

- Eliminating the right turning movement volumes from the analysis.
- Removing any existing right turn lanes from consideration in the analysis.
- Considering whether actual vehicle speeds are higher than the posted speed limit.

The CSAH $5 / 136^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection satisfies the Peak Hour Volume Warrant only, falling short of the thresholds on the other volume warrants. The satisfaction of one or more warrants suggests that traffic signal control may be appropriate at these intersections. This also indicates the potential for roundabout control of an intersection. However, satisfaction of the warrants by itself does not indicate that traffic signal or roundabout control is justified.

## IV. Intersection Alternatives

Within the universe of alternatives for traffic control exists options for traditional, nontraditional, access management, and grade separated solutions. Each category contains several possibilities that could be considered for the study intersection. An initial review of the existing information and characteristics and generic MnDOT criterion narrowed this universe of alternatives to four: traffic signal, roundabout, offset " $T$ " $s$, and indirect left turn treatment. Meeting with the City and County further reduced this list due to considerations of the existing volumes, movements, operations, and surrounding area. The alternatives deemed appropriate for this intersection included:

- Traffic signal control with the existing geometry plus a new westbound right turn lane.
- Multi-lane roundabout control assuming two lane approaches on CSAH 5 and one lane approaches on $136^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Limited access control, eliminating the left turn and thru movements from the $136^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches.

In addition, the traffic signal alternative would use flashing yellow arrow (FYA) operation to provide protective/permissive left turn phasing during non-peak periods.

## V. Future Volumes

To project the existing volumes to the year 2036, traffic forecasts from the Dakota County Transportation Plan and the City of Burnsville Comprehensive Plan Update were utilized. These documents, which generally coincide, show the expected growth in traffic from year 2006 or 2007 to year 2030. Using the forecasted volumes for the two sets of years, general growth rates were established on each of the intersection roadways. Customized growth rates for every turning movement were then developed.

## VI. Alternatives Evaluation

Analysis was completed for each alternative of the intersection to evaluate the most appropriate traffic control and geometry for the intersection. The factors considered include operations, safety, pedestrian and bicycle impacts, right-of-way impacts, and estimated construction costs. Each is discussed separately below.

## Operations

As before, an intersection capacity analysis was conducted in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual, using the Vistro software package to assign LOS letter grades. Signal timings, provided by Dakota County, were adjusted as necessary to reflect the change in geometry and the increase in future volumes. The capacity analysis results for the three alternatives are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Forecast Peak Hour Operations ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Signal (existing geometry) |  | Signal (added NB/SB right turn lanes) |  | Multi-Lane <br> Roundabout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing | 2036 | Existing | 2036 | Existing | 2036 |
| CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) | A (A) |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection in the a.m. peak hour. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the intersection in the p.m. peak hour. The configurations from Table 5 are used in these analyses.

As shown, all alternatives can satisfactorily accommodate existing and future traffic volumes.
Beyond the computer model results, a multi-lane roundabout had concerns due to a high imbalance between the north-south and east-west traffic. Some studies have demonstrated operational issues (and safety issues) as the mainline drivers learn not to stop or yield due to the lower amount of side street traffic. This alternative also had concerns from the potential for mixing traffic control with the proposed traffic signal at the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection, which could impact driver expectations.

The limited access alternative also has concerns beyond the intersection operations. This type of control would restrict movements along a collector road without providing an acceptable alternative route. In addition, this alternative raised concerns regarding U-turn movements at the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway and other adjacent intersections.

Safety
Changes to the intersection geometry and traffic control will impact the rates and safety of the intersections. To determine these projected safety impacts, crash modification factors (CRFs), compiled by the Federal Highway Administration, were consulted. CRFs represent the expected impact to crashes based on a vast collection of studies evaluating the before and after of different changes. Multiplying the existing crash and severity rates by the CRF forecasts the expected rates if the change is implemented.

The crash modification factors used in this analysis were:

- FYA: 0.901 for all crashes, 0.926 for severe crashes.
- Multi-lane Roundabout: 1.062 for all crashes, 0.367 for severe crashes.
- Signal Removal: 0.760 for all crashes
- 3/4 Access Conversion: 0.560 for all crashes

Using the crash modification factors combined with the traffic volume forecasts for the study intersections, the existing and future crashes and critical rates were established for each alternative. Table 3 shows this information.

Table 3 - Forecast Conditions Crash Statistics ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Intersection Configuration | Overall Crashes |  | Severe Crashes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CSAH 5/ } \\ & 136^{\text {th }} \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Existing | 1.50 (2.79) | 0.31 (0.28) | 0.20 (0.37) | 1.15 (1.37) |
|  | $\mathrm{FYA}^{2}$ | 1.35 (2.51) | 0.28 (0.25) | 0.19 (0.34) | 1.06 (1.27) |
|  | Multi-Lane RA ${ }^{3}$ | 1.59 (2.96) | 0.33 (0.30) | 0.07 (0.14) | 0.42 (0.50) |
|  | Limited Access ${ }^{4}$ | 0.93 (1.72) | 0.19 (0.17) | 0.12 (0.23) | 0.71 (0.85) |

${ }^{1}$ Data presented as: 2016 volumes (2036 traffic volumes)
${ }^{2}$ Signalized intersection with addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows
${ }^{3}$ Conversion to a multi-lane roundabout
${ }^{4}$ Conversion to an unsignalized limited access intersection

As shown, the critical indices for overall crashes are at or below 1.00 using existing and forecast volumes. The critical indices for severe crashes is above 1.00 under the traffic signal alternative. However, as previously mentioned, the study intersection experienced only two severe crashes over the past ten years. This relatively low amount of severe crashes does not represent a significant issue. In addition, the use of Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) is expected to reduce the critical index for severe crashes compared to the existing conditions.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Impacts

With the different intersection control types, pedestrians are handled differently. At the signalized intersections, crosswalk users have a single stage crossing with active control. This means crossing the length of the street, both directions of traffic, at once when presented with the WALK signal, or green light for pedestrians. The benefit is clear communication to both pedestrians and drivers for when crossings should occur. A shortcoming is the potential for pedestrians to wait a long time, particularly if crossing the mainline, before being allowed to cross even in the absence of vehicular traffic.

At a roundabout, pedestrians are presented a two-stage crossing with passive control. Twostage means crossing one direction of traffic at a time while passive means the pedestrian looks for gaps in traffic on their own, without assistance from a traffic signal. Benefits to this type of crossing include not having to wait for a signal, but instead crossing when a gap is present or when drivers stop to allow the crossing. In addition, crossing one direction of traffic flow at a time means cars approaching only from one way, reducing the complexity of watching for cars from multiple directions. The drawback of roundabout crossings is often having to wait for drivers to stop, which is a mixed experience in the Twin Cities. Many drivers are focused on their movements only and do not observe or stop for pedestrian crossings.

For the limited access alternative, the marked pedestrian crossings are limited to the side street with the mainline crossing removed. Pedestrians would need to detour approximately 900 feet north to the Burnsville Parkway crossing for the next available intersection crossing of CSAH 5.

Bicyclists can proceed through an intersection operating as either a car, riding in traffic, or a pedestrian, dismounting and walking in the crosswalk. As a pedestrian, the impacts on bicycle travel would match those described above. As a car, vehicle speeds are higher with a traffic signal compared to a roundabout. In addition, left turn movements can be more difficult for a bicyclist when operating in the driving lanes due to switching lanes. For any alternative, many drivers are not accustomed to bicyclists operating in the driving lane.

## Right-Of-Way Impacts

Right-of-way is the permanent area necessary to accommodate an alternative. Temporary easement is space needed for construction which would return to the property owner after construction is completed. Both types cost money to acquire and can be difficult and timeconsuming process to obtain depending upon the property owner, current use of the area needed, and many other factors. Decreasing these needs is therefore desirable to minimize disruption to area residents and businesses as well as keep the cost of the alternative lower.

Using concept drawings of each alternative, the estimated right-of-way and easement needs were determined. Under the traffic signal alternative, no temporary or permanent land is necessary. The multi-lane roundabout and limited access alternatives would require less than 5,000 square feet for construction.

## Estimated Construction Cost

Concept construction costs were determined from the concept drawings of each intersection alternative. Included in the concept cost estimates are the material and construction costs as well as estimates for:

- Removals
- Utilities
- Drainage
- Lighting
- Signing and striping
- Landscaping
- Erosion control/turf establishment
- Permanent Right-of-Way and temporary easements
- Risk and contingency lump sums

Under traffic signal control with the existing geometry, the estimated construction fee is approximately $\$ 350,000$. The roundabout control alternative construction fee is estimated at $\$ 550,000$, less than twice that of the traffic signal alternative. The limited access alternative had the lowest estimate at $\$ 250,000$.

## VII. Justification

Based upon the information in this report, the intersection of CSAH 5/136 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street could operate efficiently under traffic signal control (as currently exists), multi-lane roundabout control, or limited access (removing the signal and preventing left turns and thru movements from $136^{\text {th }}$ Street). However, the roundabout alternative had concerns due to a high imbalance between the north-south and east-west traffic. In addition, driver expectations may be impacted given the CSAH 5/Burnsville Parkway intersection is expected to remain under traffic signal control. The limited access alternative would restrict movements along a collector road, raise concerns regarding U-turn movements at adjacent intersections, and remove a pedestrian crosswalk (with the substitute crossing 900 feet away). Impacts may also be felt along other neighborhood roads as traffic seeks other routes.

The benefits of traffic signal control are:

- Currently operating under traffic signal control.
- Satisfying one traffic signal volume warrants.
- Providing acceptable operations with today and projected volumes.
- Maintaining neighborhood vehicle and pedestrian connections.
- Improving the existing safety of the intersection.
- Requiring less right-of-way and easement needs in comparison to other alternatives.
- Costing less than the roundabout control option based on concept-level construction cost estimates.

Due to these factors, and through engineering judgment and discussions with City and County staff, traffic signal control is recommended and justified at the intersection of CSAH 5/136 th Street.

In addition, FYA and a westbound right turn lane is recommended. Both will have some vehicular operational and safety benefits.
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## I. Project Description

This signal justification report is for the intersection of Dakota County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 11 and Burnsville Parkway in Burnsville, Minnesota. This intersection is currently under traffic signal control, but the signal system is reaching the end of its useful life and will need to be replaced. A full review of this intersection and two others examined alternatives to control traffic. This report summarizes those findings and presents the justification to continue with traffic signal control.

Burnsville is a southern suburb of the Twin Cities, located approximately 15 miles south of downtown Minneapolis in Dakota County. Burnsville's population, per the year 2010 census, is 60,306 people. The Metropolitan Council estimates the population will reach 63,500 by year 2020 .

CSAH 11 is maintained and operated by Dakota County while Burnsville Parkway is under City of Burnsville jurisdiction. The operation of the current and future intersection will be under a joint powers agreement of both agencies.

The land uses immediately adjacent to the intersection are generally residential, with open space in the southeast quadrant. The residential parcels are generally developed.

## II. Existing Conditions

Dakota CSAH 11 is a four-lane, undivided, north-south road with a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit in the study area. CSAH 11 is classified as an A Minor Arterial-Expander. Burnsville Parkway, also known as Burnsville Municipal State Aid Street (MSAS) 102, is a four-lane, divided, east-west road with a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit west of CSAH 11 and a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit east of CSAH 11. Burnsville Parkway is classified as an A Minor Arterial-Reliever west of CSAH 11 and classified as a Collector road east of CSAH 11.

The CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection is currently under signal control. CSAH 11 runs north-south through the intersection and Burnsville Parkway runs east-west through the intersection. Exclusive left turn lanes are provided on all approaches. Exclusive right turn lanes or other types of channelization are not provided at this intersection. The existing signal operates with six phases, providing protected left turn phasing only for the northbound and southbound left turn movements. Striped pedestrian crossings, pushbuttons, and indications are provided for all four crossings of the intersection.

Intersection video was collected under normal weekday conditions in August of 2016 with clear weather. Using these videos, 24 -hour turning movement counts were obtained and, based on these counts, the peak hours were found to be from 7:15 to 8:15 a.m. and 4:45 to 5:45 p.m.

A field review of existing operations was conducted during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods in July of 2016. These reviews observed no significant operational issues. All vehicle queues were observed to clear during green phases and no significant queues that stretch beyond turn lane lengths or excessive delays (such as waiting through two or more signal cycles) were noted. Although the westbound left turn movement on Burnsville Parkway at the CSAH 11 intersection appears to have an issue due to a slight grade change to the west, sufficient sight distance is available to safely and efficiently complete this turn.

An intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the existing intersections using the Vistro Software Package, which is based upon the methodology of the Highway Capacity Manual. Intersections are assigned a "Level of Service" (LOS) letter grade for the peak hour of traffic based on the number of lanes at the intersection, traffic volumes, and traffic control. LOS A represents light traffic flow (free flow conditions) while LOS F represents heavy traffic flow (over capacity conditions). LOS D is considered acceptable at most intersections. Individual movements are also assigned LOS grades. At busy intersections, one or more individual movements may operate at a lower LOS when the overall intersection is operating acceptably at LOS C or D. This situation often occurs for movements with relatively low volumes and/or a relatively high overall traffic signal cycle length. A summary of the LOS and delay results for the existing operations is shown in Table 1. Signal timings were provided by Dakota County and the model was calibrated to match observations made in the field.

Table 1 - Existing Peak Hour Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay $^{2}$ | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{2}$ |  |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway | B (d) | 13 | B (c) | 18 |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the worst operating movement
${ }^{2}$ Average delay for the entire intersection based upon a volume weighted average of each movements' delay, rounded up and presented in seconds.

As shown in Table 1, the intersection and all movements are operating acceptably. These computer results match the field observations of the intersection operations during a.m. and p.m. peak hours. All vehicle queues at the intersections cleared during the green phases and no significant existing operational issues were identified.

Crash data at the existing study intersections was collected from the Minnesota Department of Transportation's (MnDOT's) Minnesota Crash Mapping Analysis Tool. Data was collected for the ten most recent years available, years 2006 through 2015. Using previously collected intersection volumes with this crash data, the critical index for the crash rate and severity rate was determined. The critical index is a metric that compares an intersection's observed rate to the critical rate, which is a statistically-valid rate based on the average crash rates for similar intersections statewide throughout Minnesota (similar in terms of volumes, speeds, and traffic control). If the critical index is below 1.00, it suggests the intersection operates within the expected, normal range of crashes. A rate above 1.00 indicates a potential safety issue that deserves additional scrutiny. The Crash Critical Index is 0.94 and the Severity Critical Index is 1.11 for this intersection.

With a Critical Severity Index above 1.00, the CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection demonstrates a potential safety issue. However, a deeper examination of the crash data revealed only two severe non-fatal crashes at each intersection during the ten-year study period. This relatively low number of severe crashes is not considered an issue despite the higher than desired critical index. In addition, a review for potential trends did not show any particular patterns or points of interest. While the intersection should continue to be monitored, this review suggests the intersection is reasonably safe.

## III. Intersection Traffic Signal Warrants

Using the turning movement counts, the volume signal warrants (Warrants 1-3) were reviewed using the methodology from the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (Mn-MUTCD). Although three warrants are examined in this study, MnDOT generally focuses on only Warrant 1 only, the Eight Hour Warrant. This warrant accounts for traffic volumes over a longer period, ensuring the installation decision reflects operations over the course of an entire day.

For the purposes of this warrant analysis, the following factors were applied:

- Eliminating the right turning movement volumes from the analysis.
- Removing any existing right turn lanes from consideration in the analysis.
- Considering whether actual vehicle speeds are higher than the posted speed limit.

If using the actual vehicle speeds as opposed to the posted speed limit, the high-speed reduction can be applied to the warrant threshold values. Under this scenario, the CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway intersection meets the Four Hour and Peak Hour Vehicular Volume warrants. The satisfaction of one or more warrants suggests that traffic signal control may be appropriate at these intersections. This also indicates the potential for roundabout control of an intersection. However, satisfaction of the warrants by itself does not indicate that traffic signal or roundabout control is justified.

## IV. Intersection Alternatives

Within the universe of alternatives for traffic control exists options for traditional, nontraditional, access management, and grade separated solutions. Each category contains several possibilities that could be considered for the study intersection. An initial review of the existing information and characteristics and generic MnDOT criterion narrowed this universe of alternatives to four: traffic signal, roundabout, offset " $T$ " $s$, and indirect left turn treatment. Meeting with the City and County further reduced this list due to considerations of the existing volumes, movements, operations, and surrounding area. The alternatives deemed appropriate for this intersection included:

- Traffic signal control with a revised eastbound approach to a left turn lane, thru lane, and right turn lane.
- Roundabout control assuming two lane approaches on CSAH 11 and eastbound Burnsville Parkway and a one lane approach for westbound Burnsville Parkway.

In addition, the traffic signal alternatives would use flashing yellow arrow (FYA) operation to provide protective/permissive left turn phasing during non-peak periods.

## V. Future Volumes

To project the existing volumes to the year 2036, traffic forecasts from the Dakota County Transportation Plan and the City of Burnsville Comprehensive Plan Update were utilized. These documents, which generally coincide, show the expected growth in traffic from year 2006 or 2007 to year 2030. Using the forecasted volumes for the two sets of years, general growth rates were established on each of the intersection roadways. Customized growth rates for every turning movement were then developed.

## VI. Alternatives Evaluation

Analysis was completed for each alternative of the intersection to evaluate the most appropriate traffic control and geometry for the intersection. The factors considered include operations, safety, pedestrian and bicycle impacts, right-of-way impacts, and estimated construction costs. Each is discussed separately below.

## Operations

As before, an intersection capacity analysis was conducted in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual, using the Vistro software package to assign LOS letter grades. Signal timings, provided by Dakota County, were adjusted as necessary to reflect the change in geometry and the increase in future volumes. The capacity analysis results for the three alternatives are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Forecast Peak Hour Operations ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Signal (revised EB approach) |  | Multi-Lane Roundabout |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing | $\mathbf{2 0 3 6}$ | Existing | 2036 |
| CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway | B (C) | B (C) | A (A) | A (A) |

${ }^{1}$ The first letter is the Level of Service for the intersection in the a.m. peak hour. The second letter (in parentheses) is the Level of Service for the intersection in the p.m. peak hour. The configurations from Table 5 are used in these analyses.

As shown, both alternatives satisfactorily accommodate traffic volumes today and into the future. Under roundabout control, operations would be expected to be better.

## Safety

Changes to the intersection geometry and traffic control will impact the rates and safety of the intersections. To determine these projected safety impacts, crash modification factors (CRFs), compiled by the Federal Highway Administration, were consulted. CRFs represent the expected impact to crashes based on a vast collection of studies evaluating the before and after of different changes. Multiplying the existing crash and severity rates by the CRF forecasts the expected rates if the change is implemented.

The crash modification factors used in this analysis were:

- FYA: 0.901 for all crashes, 0.926 for severe crashes.
- Multi-lane Roundabout: 1.062 for all crashes, 0.367 for severe crashes.

Using the crash modification factors combined with the traffic volume forecasts for the study intersections, the existing and future crashes and critical rates were established for each alternative. Table 3 shows this information.

Table 3 - Forecast Conditions Crash Statistics ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection | Intersection | Overall Crashes |  | Severe Crashes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Configuration | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index | Avg. Per Year | Critical Index |
|  | Existing | $4.80(6.92)$ | $0.94(0.80)$ | $0.20(0.29)$ | $1.11(1.18)$ |
|  | FYA $^{2}$ | $4.32(6.24)$ | $0.85(0.72)$ | $0.19(0.27)$ | $1.03(1.09)$ |
|  | Multi-Lane RA $^{3}$ | $5.10(7.35)$ | $1.00(0.85)$ | $0.07(0.11)$ | $0.41(0.43)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Data presented as: 2016 volumes (2036 traffic volumes)
${ }^{2}$ Signalized intersection with addition of Flashing Yellow Arrows
${ }^{3}$ Conversion to a multi-lane roundabout

As shown, the critical indices for overall crashes are at or below 1.00 using existing and forecast volumes. The critical indices for severe crashes is above 1.00 under the traffic signal alternative. However, as previously mentioned, the study intersection experienced only two severe crashes over the past ten years. This relatively low amount of severe crashes does not represent a significant issue. In addition, the use of Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) is expected to reduce the critical index for severe crashes compared to the existing conditions.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Impacts
With the different intersection control types, pedestrians are handled differently. At the signalized intersections, crosswalk users have a single stage crossing with active control. This means crossing the length of the street, both directions of traffic, at once when presented with the WALK signal, or green light for pedestrians. The benefit is clear communication to both pedestrians and drivers for when crossings should occur. A shortcoming is the potential for pedestrians to wait a long time, particularly if crossing the mainline, before being allowed to cross even in the absence of vehicular traffic.

At a roundabout, pedestrians are presented a two-stage crossing with passive control. Twostage means crossing one direction of traffic at a time while passive means the pedestrian looks for gaps in traffic on their own, without assistance from a traffic signal. Benefits to this type of crossing include not having to wait for a signal, but instead crossing when a gap is present or when drivers stop to allow the crossing. In addition, crossing one direction of traffic flow at a time means cars approaching only from one way, reducing the complexity of watching for cars from multiple directions. The drawback of roundabout crossings is often having to wait for drivers to stop, which is a mixed experience in the Twin Cities. Many drivers are focused on their movements only and do not observe or stop for pedestrian crossings.

Bicyclists are able to proceed through an intersection operating as either a car, riding in traffic, or a pedestrian, dismounting and walking in the crosswalk. As a pedestrian, the impacts on bicycle travel would match those described above. As a car, vehicle speeds are higher with a traffic signal compared to a roundabout. In addition, left turn movements can
be more difficult for a bicyclist when operating in the driving lanes due to switching lanes. For any alternative, many drivers are not accustomed to bicyclists operating in the driving lane.

## Right-Of-Way Impacts

Right-of-way is the permanent area necessary to accommodate an alternative. Temporary easement is space needed for construction which would return to the property owner after construction is completed. Both types cost money to acquire and can be difficult and timeconsuming process to obtain depending upon the property owner, current use of the area needed, and many other factors. Decreasing these needs is therefore desirable to minimize disruption to area residents and businesses as well as keep the cost of the alternative lower.

Using concept drawings of each alternative, the estimated right-of-way and easement needs were determined. Under traffic signal control, no temporary or permanent land is necessary for construction. A multi-lane roundabout, however, would require approximately 7,500 square feet.

## Estimated Construction Cost

Concept construction costs were determined from the concept drawings of each intersection alternative. Included in the concept cost estimates are the material and construction costs as well as estimates for:

- Removals
- Utilities
- Drainage
- Lighting
- Signing and striping
- Landscaping
- Erosion control/turf establishment
- Permanent Right-of-Way and temporary easements
- Risk and contingency lump sums

Under traffic signal control with the existing geometry, the estimated construction fee is approximately $\$ 350,000$. The roundabout control alternative construction fee is estimated at $\$ 950,000$, almost three times that of the traffic signal alternative.

## VII. Justification

Based upon the information in this report, the intersection of CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway could operate efficiently today under traffic signal control (as currently exists) or roundabout control. The roundabout alternative would be expected to have better operations and safety in comparison to a traffic signal. However, this alternative is cost prohibitive given the substantial estimate compared to that of the traffic signal alternation.

Benefits of traffic signal control are:

- Currently operating under traffic signal control.
- Satisfying the traffic signal volume warrants.
- Providing acceptable operations with today and projected volumes.
- Slightly improving the existing safety of the intersection.
- Requiring less right-of-way and easement needs in comparison to the roundabout control alternative.
- Costing approximately a third of the roundabout control alternative based on concept-level construction cost estimates.

Due to these factors, and through engineering judgment and discussions with City and County staff, traffic signal control is recommended and justified at the intersection of CSAH 11/Burnsville Parkway.

In addition, FYA and revision of the eastbound approach to provide one left turn lane, one thru lane, and one right turn lane are recommended. Both will have some vehicular operational and safety benefits.


[^0]:    Public Comments:
    Support expressed for FYA

