CSAH 23 (Cedar Avenue / Apple Valley): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
TH 77 end	138th St W	none	R					none	R					n/a		(a)	Apple Valley		none	
138th St W	140th St W	trail	R	0.24				trail	R	0.24				good		(b), (c)	Apple Valley			
140th St W	142nd St W	trail	R	0.16				trail	С	0.16				good			Apple Valley			
142nd St W	143rd St W	trail	R	0.15				trail	С	0.15				good			Apple Valley			
143rd St W	145th St W	trail	R	0.15				trail	С	0.15				good			Apple Valley			
145th St W	147th St W	sidewalk	С	0.26				sidewalk	С	0.26				good		(c)	Apple Valley			
147th St W	149th St W	sidewalk	С	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				good			Apple Valley			
149th St W	CSAH 42	sidewalk	С	0.09				sidewalk	С	0.09				good			Apple Valley			
CSAH 42	private entrance	sidewalk	С	0.08				sidewalk	С	0.08				good			Apple Valley			
private entrance	private entrance	sidewalk	С	0.03				sidewalk	С	0.03				good			Apple Valley			
private entrance	153rd St W	sidewalk	С	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				good			Apple Valley			
153rd St W	Whitney/155th St W	sidewalk	С	0.24				sidewalk	С	0.24				good		(c)	Apple Valley			
Whitney/Garrett	157th St W	trail	С	0.25				trail	R	0.25				good			Apple Valley			
157th St W	CSAH 46	trail	R	0.21				trail	R	0.21				good			Apple Valley			
	TOTAL			2.09	0.00	0.00	0.00			2.09	0.00	0.00	0.00			Total Area	4.17			

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

- (a) transition from freeway designation
- (b) trail on east begins at Glendale Ct
- (c) segment includes Red Line Transit stops

2023	2016
4.17	4.17
	0.00
0.00	
0.00	
4.17	4.17
0.00	
4.17	4.17
	0.00 0.00 4.17 0.00

 $^{^{*}}$ Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

2.08

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	1.16	56%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.92	44%
Tota	2.08	100%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Tota	0.00	0%
None	0.00	0%

TOTAL

Land Use

- Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
 - I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

No facilities north of 138th St W as the highway transitions to freeway designation.

designation.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 100%

2016 100%

^{**} Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

CSAH 23 (Cedar Av / Apple Valley): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 23	2	023 Inventor	ry		2016 Invent	оу	Curb Ramp In	formati	on			Location	Updated	CIP Projects si	nce 2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
138th St W	3	0	0	2	0	0		2			(a)	Apple Valley		none	
140th St W	12	0	0	12	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Apple Valley			
142nd St W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Apple Valley			
143rd St W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Apple Valley			
145th St W	8	0	0	8	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Apple Valley			
147th St W	12	0	0	12	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Apple Valley			
149th St W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley			
CSAH 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	included in CSAH 42 inventory	2				Apple Valley			
private entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley			
private entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley			
153rd St W	12	0	0	12	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Apple Valley			
Whitney/155th St W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Apple Valley			
157th St W	12	0	0	12	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Apple Valley			
CSAH 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	included in CSAH 46 inventory	2				Apple Valley			
TOTAL	77	0	0	76	0	0									

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist

Notes

(a) trail on east begins at Glendale Ct

Comparison Summary

Ramp updated at Glendale Ct with city project.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023

2016 100%

CSAH 23 (Cedar Ave - Lakeville): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
CSAH 46	161st St W	trail	С	0.07				trail	C	0.07				fair			Lakeville			
161st St W	162nd St W	trail	С	0.07				trail	С	0.07				fair			Lakeville			
162nd St W	Griffon Tr	trail	R	0.29				trail	R	0.29				fair			Lakeville			
Griffon Tr	167th St W	trail	С	0.16				trail	R	0.16				fair			Lakeville			
167th St W	Upper 167th St W	trail	С	0.17				trail	R	0.17				fair			Lakeville			
Upper 167th St W	170th St W	trail	R	0.17				trail	R	0.17				fair			Lakeville			
170th St W	Glacier Way	trail	R	0.30				trail	R	0.30				fair			Lakeville			
Glacier Way	CSAH 9	trail	С	0.23				trail	С	0.23				fair			Lakeville			
CSAH 9	private access	trail	С	0.08				trail	С	0.08				fair			Lakeville			
private access	Cub access	trail	С	0.02				trail	С	0.02				fair			Lakeville			
Cub access	179th St W	trail	С	0.09				trail	- 1	0.09				fair			Lakeville			
179th St W	181st St W	trail	С	0.26				trail	U	0.26				fair	Medium		Lakeville			
181st St W	183rd St W	partial trail	R	0.08		0.17		trail	R	0.25				fair	Medium	(d)	Lakeville	Misc.	2020 Miscellaneous	179th St
183rd St W	185th St W	none	R			0.17		trail	R	0.17				fair	Medium	(d)	Lakeville	Misc.	(includes ped	to
185th St W	190th St W	none	U			0.49		trail	U	0.49				fair	Medium	(a), (b)	Lakeville	Misc.	ramps, signal	220th St
190th St W	CR 64	none	U			1.00		trail	U	1.00				fair	Medium	(b)	Lakeville	Misc.	revisions, trail	
CR 64	CSAH 50/202nd St	none	R			0.25		trail	U	0.25				fair	Low		Lakeville	Misc.	reclaimation and	
CSAH 50/ 202nd St	205th St W	trail	R	0.25	0.00			trail	U	0.25				fair	Low	(c)	Lakeville	Misc.	mill & overlay)	
205th St W	Gemini Tr	trail	R	0.16				trail	U	0.16				fair			Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
Gemini Tr	210th St W	none	R			0.32		trail	R	0.32				fair	Medium	(c)	Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
210th St W	CSAH 50/Lville Blvd	trail	С	0.12				trail	С	0.12				fair	Medium		Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
CSAH 50/Lville Blvd	private access	trail	С	0.18				none	С			0.18		fair			Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
private access	CSAH 70	trail	С	0.15	0.00			none	U			0.15		fair			Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
CSAH 70	private access	none	U			0.12		none	С			0.12		n/a	Medium		Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
private access	private access	none	U			0.06		none	С			0.06		n/a	Medium		Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
private access	private access	none	С			0.17		none	С			0.17		n/a	Medium		Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
private access	south city boundary	none	U			0.40		none	U				0.40	n/a			Lakeville	Misc.	Miscellaneous	
	TOTAL			2.85	0.00	3.15	0.00			4.92	0.00	0.69	0.40		•	Total Area	12.00		1	

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

(a) some residential driveways

(b) indications of drainage and erosion issues

- (c) trail construction in 2023
- (d) monitor development on east side for facility updates

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	7.76	7.33
Poor or Missing Segments*		4.67
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	3.84	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	11.60	12.00
Rural Segments**	0.40	
TOTAL	12.00	12.00

^{*} Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

6.00

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	2.52	42%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	2.52	42%
One Side		
Trail on one side	2.73	46%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	2.73	46%
	0.75	13%
None	0.75	13%

TOTAL

Land Use

Residential (house, apartment)

- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Approximately 1/2 mile of new trail added.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 67%

2016 61%

^{**} Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

CSAH 23 (Cedar Av - Lakeville): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 23 (Cedar Av)	2	2023 Invento	iry	2	016 Invento	iry	Curb Ramp In	formati	on			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since 2	016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
161st St W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Lakeville				
162nd St W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Lakeville				
Griffon Tr	8	0	0	8	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville				
167th St W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville				
Upper 167th St W	4	0	0	4	0	0	E/W legs are split	2				Lakeville				
170th St W	8	0	0	8	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville				
Glacier Way	8	0	0	8	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville				
CSAH 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	included in CSAH 9 inventory	2				Lakeville				
private access	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville				
Cub access	0	2	0	0	2	0	private access	3				Lakeville				
179th St W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	?	(b)	Lakeville	Misc.	2020	Miscellaneous	179th St
181st St W	4	0	0	1	0	0	updated with development	2				Lakeville	Misc.		(includes ped	to
183rd St W	2	0	2	0	0	0	new intersection	2, 6			(c)	Lakeville	Misc.		ramps, signal	220th St
185th St W	2	0	2	0	0	2	new intersection	3			(b)	Lakeville	Misc.		revisions, trail	
190th St W	2	0	2	0	2	2	updated w/ const. project	2, 6				Lakeville	Misc.		reclaimation, trail	
CR 64	2	0	2	0	2	2	updated w/ const. project	2, 6				Lakeville	Misc.		construction,	
CSAH 50/202nd St	4	0	0	0	0	4	updated w/ const. project	2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	Misc.		mill and overlay)	
205th St W	4	0	0	0	2	0	updated w/ const. project	2				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
Gemini Tr	0	1	1	0	1	1		4			(a)	Lakeville	Misc.		construction,	
210th St W	3	0	1	1	2	1	updated w/ const. project	2, 6			(a)	Lakeville	Misc.		mill and overlay)	
CSAH 50/Lville Blvd	12	0	0	0	4	0	updated w/ const. project	2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
private access	2	0	2	0	2	2	updated w/ const. project	2				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
CSAH 70	0	0	0	0	0	0	included in CSAH 70 inventory	2				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
private access	2	0	0	0	0	0		2				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
private access	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
private access	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
private access	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Lakeville	Misc.		Miscellaneous	
TOTAL	83	3	12	46	17	14					•					

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

- (a) trail construction in 2023.
- (b) intersection reconstruction in 2023. Field check for verification.
- (c) monitor development on east side for facility updates

Comparison Summary

Locations of updated ramps with construction projects.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 97%

2016 73%

CSAH 23 (Eveleth Av - Northfield): Sidewalk Inventory

		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	CIP Project	s since 2016
То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
Independence Dr	trail	R				0.17	none	U				0.17	fair	Low	(a) (b)	Northfield			
Lupine Dr	none	R				0.14	none	U				0.14	n/a	Low		Northfield			
North Av	none	R				0.17	none	U				0.17	n/a	Low		Northfield			
TOTAL			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48			Total Area	0.96			
	Independence Dr Lupine Dr North Av	To East/North Independence Dr trail Lupine Dr none North Av none	To East/North Use Independence Dr trail R Lupine Dr none R North Av none R	To East/North Use Length Independence Dr trail R Lupine Dr none R North Av none R R	To East/North Use Length Length Independence Dr trail R R Lughte Dr Lupine Dr none R R North Av None R R	To East/North Use Length Length Length Independence Dr trail R Length Length Lugine Dr none R R North Av none R R	To East/North Use Length Length <td> Independence Dr</td> <td> To</td> <td>To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Independence Dr trail R 0.17 none U Lupine Dr none R 0.14 none U North Av none R 0.17 none U</td> <td>TO East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Independence Dr Trail R 0.17 none U U Length L</td> <td>To East/North Use length independence Dr Use length independence Dr Length independence Dr Use length independence Dr Len</td> <td>To East/North Use Length Length<td>To East/North Use length Length Length Length West/South Use length Length</td><td>To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Leng</td><td>To East/North Use Length Length Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Length Length Length Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes Independence Dr trail R O.0.17 none U U S SOUTH NOTE OF THE SOUTH NOTE</td><td>To East/North Use Length Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Length Length Length Length Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes City Independence Dr trail R O.17 none U O.17 none U O.17 none U O.18 none R O.14 none U O.18 none R O.14 none O.14 n/A Low Northfield North Av none R O.17 none U O.17 none U O.18 none O.18 none R O.19 none U O.19 none O.19 no</td><td>To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes City Revisions Independence Dr trail R 0.17 none U 0.12 fair Low (a) (b) Northfield Low Northfield North Av none R 0.14 none U 0.14 n/a Low Northfield North Av none R 0.17 none U 0.18 no</td><td>To</td></td>	Independence Dr	To	To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Independence Dr trail R 0.17 none U Lupine Dr none R 0.14 none U North Av none R 0.17 none U	TO East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Independence Dr Trail R 0.17 none U U Length L	To East/North Use length independence Dr Use length independence Dr Length independence Dr Use length independence Dr Len	To East/North Use Length Length <td>To East/North Use length Length Length Length West/South Use length Length</td> <td>To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Leng</td> <td>To East/North Use Length Length Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Length Length Length Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes Independence Dr trail R O.0.17 none U U S SOUTH NOTE OF THE SOUTH NOTE</td> <td>To East/North Use Length Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Length Length Length Length Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes City Independence Dr trail R O.17 none U O.17 none U O.17 none U O.18 none R O.14 none U O.18 none R O.14 none O.14 n/A Low Northfield North Av none R O.17 none U O.17 none U O.18 none O.18 none R O.19 none U O.19 none O.19 no</td> <td>To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes City Revisions Independence Dr trail R 0.17 none U 0.12 fair Low (a) (b) Northfield Low Northfield North Av none R 0.14 none U 0.14 n/a Low Northfield North Av none R 0.17 none U 0.18 no</td> <td>To</td>	To East/North Use length Length Length Length West/South Use length Length	To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Leng	To East/North Use Length Length Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Length Length Length Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes Independence Dr trail R O.0.17 none U U S SOUTH NOTE OF THE SOUTH NOTE	To East/North Use Length Length Length Length West/South Use Length Length Length Length Length Length Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes City Independence Dr trail R O.17 none U O.17 none U O.17 none U O.18 none R O.14 none U O.18 none R O.14 none O.14 n/A Low Northfield North Av none R O.17 none U O.17 none U O.18 none O.18 none R O.19 none U O.19 none O.19 no	To East/North Use Length Length Length West/South Use Length Rating (G/F/P) Priority Notes City Revisions Independence Dr trail R 0.17 none U 0.12 fair Low (a) (b) Northfield Low Northfield North Av none R 0.14 none U 0.14 n/a Low Northfield North Av none R 0.17 none U 0.18 no	To

$Shaded\ areas\ represent\ priority\ locations,\ areas\ of\ missing\ infrastructure\ and/or\ areas\ to\ address$

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

- (a) trail is located outside of highway right of way
- (b) west side is located with Greenvale Twp

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.00	0.00
Poor or Missing Segments*		0.96
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.00	0.96
Rural Segments**	0.96	
TOTAL	0.06	0.06

 $^{{\}it *Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.}\\$

^{**} Rural segments were identitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0
Tota	0.00	0
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0
Tota	0.00	0
None	0.48	100
TOTAL	0.48	

Land Use

- Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Segment is identified as a long-range jurisdictional transfer candidate to the city.

Trail exists on east side within development. West side remains undeveloped with a rural roadway design. Segment is technically a rural land use context but should be considered for

improvement.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 100% 2016 0%

CSAH 23 (Eveleth Av - Northfield): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 23 (Eveleth Av)	2	023 Invento	ry	2	016 Invent	ory	Curb Ramp Inf	ormati	on			Location	Updated	CIP Projects sinc	e 2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
Independence Dr	0	0	0	0	0	0		6			(a)	Northfield			
Lupine Dr	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Northfield			
North Av	0	1	0	0	1	0		3				Northfield			
TOTAL	0	1	0	0	1	0									

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

(a) ramps located outside highway right of way

Comparison Summary

Lone ramp in NW corner of North Av requires updating.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 0%

CSAH 26 (70th Street East): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year 1	Гуре	Segment
west city boundary	Archer Trail	none	R			0.14		none	R			0.14	n/a	Medium	(a), (h)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	TH 55 to
Archer Trail	Arkansas Ave W	none	R			0.18		none	R			0.18	n/a	Medium	(h)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	TH 3
Arkansas Av W	CSAH 63	none	R			0.22		none	U			0.22	n/a	Medium	(h)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	
CSAH 63	Agate Trail	none	U			0.18		none	U			0.18	n/a	Medium	(b), (c), (h)	Inver Grove H	roundabout	2018	Construction	i'section
Agate Trail	TH 3	none	U			0.30		none	U			0.30		Medium	(h)			1		
TH3	Allen Way	none	U			0.20		none	R			0.20	n/a	Medium	(b), (c)	Inver Grove H				
Allen Way	Angus Av	none	U			0.24		none	R			0.24	n/a	Medium	(b), (c)	Inver Grove H				
Angus Av	Arlene Av E	none	U			0.09		none	U			0.09	n/a	Medium	(b), (c)	Inver Grove H		1		
Arlene Av E	Athena Way	none	R			0.30		none	U			0.30	n/a	Medium		Inver Grove H		1		
Athena Way	CSAH 73	none	U			0.17		trail	_	0.17			good	Low	(d)	Inver Grove H	trail repaying	2022	Miscellaneous	CSAH 73
CSAH 73	Banner Ln	none	- 1			0.14		trail	R	0.14			good	Medium	(e)	Inver Grove H	trail repaying	2022		to
Banner Ln	TH 52 west ramps	none	- 1			0.13		trail	R	0.13			good	Medium	•	Inver Grove H	trail repaying	2022		Cahill Av
TH 52 west ramps	TH 52 east ramps	none	U			0.13		trail	U	0.13			good			Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
TH 52 east ramps	Blaine Av E	none	U			0.16		trail	U	0.16	0.00		good	Low		Inver Grove H	trail repaying	2022		
Blaine Av E	Bovey Av E	none	R			0.18		trail	R	0.18	0.00		good	Medium		Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
Bovey Av E	South Valley Park	none	R			0.19		trail	R	0.19	0.00		good	Medium		Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
South Valley Park	Cahill Av E	none	- 1			0.25		trail	-1	0.25	0.00		good	Medium		Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
Cahill Av E	Carleda Av E	sidewalk	С	0.04				none	С			0.04	good	Medium	(g)	Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	Miscellaneous	Cahill Av
Carleda Av E	Carmen Av E	sidewalk	R	0.10				none	R			0.10	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	(ped ramps,	to
Carmen Av E	Casper Av	sidewalk	- 1	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	signal revisions,	CSAH 56
Casper Av	Claude Av E	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	mill and overlay)	
Claude Av E	Clay Av E	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Clay Av E	Clayton Av E	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Clayton Av E	Cleve Av E	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Cleve Av E	Cloman Av E	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Cloman Av E	Cooper Av E	sidewalk	U	0.17				none	R			0.17	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Cooper Av E	Craig Av E	sidewalk	U	0.07				none	R			0.07	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Craig Av E	Crosby Av	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Crosby Av	Dawn Av E	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Dawn Av E	Delaney Av E	sidewalk	R	0.09				none	R			0.09	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Delaney Av E	CSAH 56	sidewalk	U	0.10				none	R			0.10	good	Medium		Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
	TOTAL			1.07	0.00	3.18	0.00			1.34	0.00	2.91 0.00	1		Total Area	8.50				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

(a) wetland and grade issues

- (b) utility poles near roadway
- (c) significant grade
- (d) road widens to four lanes (e) road widens to four lane divided
- (f) trail has significant cracking, overgrowth and/or drainage issues
- (g) road narrows to a two-lane divided
- (h) confirm updates after construction project

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	2.41	1.94
Poor or Missing Segments*		6.56
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	6.09	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	8.50	8.50
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	8.50	8.50

^{*} Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

4.25

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
One Side		
Trail on one side	1.35	32%
Sidewalk on one side	1.05	25%
Total	2.40	56%
None	1.85	44%

TOTAL

Land Use

- Residential (house, apartment) C Commercial (business, industrial)
- Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Recent repaying project moved rating from poor to good on some segments. Inventory near TH 3 should be revisited after construction projects.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 28%

2016 23%

^{**} Rural segments were identitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

CSAH 26 (70th St E): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 26 (70th St E)	2	023 Invento	ry	2	2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp Information Location			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016		
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Descripton	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Гуре	Segment
Archer Trail	2	0	2	0	0	0	new intersection	2, 6			(c)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	TH 55 to
Arkansas Av W	0	0	2	0	0	2	relocated	6			(c)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	TH 3
CSAH 63	0	0	4	0	0	4	relocated	6			(c)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	
Agate Trail	0	0	2	0	0	2	new intersection	6			(c)	Inver Grove H	reconstruction	2024	Construction	
TH 3	16	0	0	0	0	4	new roundabout	2			(c)	Inver Grove H	roundabout	2018	Construction	i'section
Allen Way	1	0	3	0	0	4	new roundabout	2, 6			(c)	Inver Grove H	roundabout	2018	Construction	i'section
Angus Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Inver Grove H				
Arlene Av E	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Inver Grove H				
Athena Way	2	0	2	0	2	2	at Inver Wood entrance	2, 6				Inver Grove H				
CSAH 73	2	0	2	2	0	2		2				Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022	Miscellaneous	CSAH 73
Banner Ln	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		to
TH 52 west ramps	3	0	1	0	3	1		2				Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		Cahill Av
TH 52 east ramps	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
Blaine Av E	3	0	1	3	0	1		2	Yes	No		Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
Bovey Av E	3	0	1	0	2	1		2				Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
South Valley Park	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
Cahill Av E	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Inver Grove H	trail repaving	2022		
Carleda Av E	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	Miscellaneous	Cahill Av
Carmen Av E	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	(ped ramps,	to
Casper Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	signal revisions,	CSAH 56
Claude Av E	2	0	2	2	0	2		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020	mill and overlay)	
Clay Av E	2	0	2	2	0	2		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Clayton Av E	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Cleve Av E	2	0	2	2	0	2		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Cloman Av E	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Cooper Av E	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Craig Av E	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Crosby Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Dawn Av E	2	0	2	2	0	2	<u> </u>	2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
Delaney Av E	2	0	2	2	0	2		2				Inver Grove H	miscellaneous	2020		
TOTAL	68	0	36	37	11	39										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

- (a) trail location that tapers to street level, requires field check
- (b) trail to the south cuts through center median (without truncated domes) to continue to the north
- (c) confirm updates after construction project

Comparison Summary

Recent repaying project improved non-compliant ramps.

Inventory new TH 3 should be revisited after construction projects.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 100%

2023 2016

2016 77%

CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since 2	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year 1	Туре	Segment
TH 13	River Ridge Cir	none	U			0.12		none	U			0.12		n/a	Medium	(a), (b), (c)	Eagan	signal improve.	2022	Signal	i-section
River Ridge Cir	Witby Dr	none	R			0.07		none	R			0.07		n/a	Medium	(b), (c)	Eagan	resurfacing	2022	Mill & Overlay &	TH 13
Witby Dr	Pine Ridge Dr	none	R			0.22		none	R			0.22		n/a	Medium	(b), (c)	Eagan	resurfacing		trail construction	to
Pine Ridge Dr	Woodlark Ln	none	R			0.07		trail	R	0.07				good	Medium		Eagan	resurfacing			CSAH 31
Woodlark Ln	Pilot Knob Elem	none	R			0.07		trail	R	0.07				good	Medium		Eagan	resurfacing			
Pilot Knob Elem	Timberwood Tr	none	R			0.14		trail	-	0.14				good	Medium	(g)	Eagan	resurfacing			
Timberwood Tr	CSAH 31	none	R			0.08		trail	R	0.08				good	Medium		Eagan	resurfacing			
CSAH 31	Shields Dr	trail	R	0.06				sidewalk	R	0.06				fair, good		(d)-trail	Eagan	signal improve.	2022	Signal	i-section
Shields Dr	Burnside Av	trail	R	0.03				sidewalk	R	0.03				fair, good		(d)-trail	Eagan				
Burnside Av	Egan Av	trail	R	0.09				sidewalk	R	0.09				fair, good			Eagan				
Egan Av	Poplar Ln	trail	R	0.11				sidewalk	R	0.11				fair, good			Eagan				
Poplar Ln	Eagandale Blvd	trail	С	0.13				sidewalk	С	0.13				fair			Eagan]		
Eagandale Blvd	I-35E ramps (west)	trail	С	0.20				sidewalk	С	0.20				fair			Eagan		J		
I-35E ramps (west)	I-35E ramps (east)	trail	U	0.17				sidewalk	U	0.17				fair			Eagan		J		
I-35E ramps (east)	Denmark Av	trail	U	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				fair			Eagan		J		
Denmark Av	CSAH 43	trail	U	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				fair			Eagan	improvements	2020	Construction	i-section
CSAH 43	Neil Armstrong Blv	trail	С	0.25				sidewalk	С	0.25				fair			Eagan				
Neil Armstrong Blvd	office entrance	trail	С	0.08				trail	С	0.08				fair, good		(e)	Eagan				
office entrance	Ecolab entrance	trail	С	0.19				trail	С	0.19											
Ecolab entrance	Lunar Ln	trail	С	0.17				trail	С	0.17				fair			Eagan				
Lunar Ln	Commers Dr	trail	С	0.19				trail	С	0.19				fair			Eagan				
Commers Dr	Darts entrance	trail	С	0.03				trail	С	0.03				fair			Eagan				
Darts entrance	TH 55	trail	С	0.09				trail	С	0.09				fair			Eagan				
TH 55	Holiday Ln	none	U			0.20		none	С			0.20		n/a	Medium	(c)	Eagan		1		
Holiday Ln	Lone Oak Dr	none	С			0.25		none	U			0.25		n/a	Medium	(f)	Eagan		J		
Lone Oak Dr	Ames Crossing Rd	none	С			0.40		none	R			0.40		n/a	Medium		Eagan		1		
Ames Crossing Rd	east city boundary	none	U			0.14		none	U			0.14		n/a	Medium		Eagan		1		
	TOTAL			2.01	0.00	1.76	0.00			2.37	0.00	1.40	0.00			Total Area	7.54		T		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

- (a) vegetation and utility poles near road
- (b) significant slope
- (c) narrow shoulders
- (d) indications of significant perpendicular cracking
- (e) spot repairs occurred
- (f) significant side slope
- (g) missing segment btwn school entrances

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	4.38	4.38
Poor or Missing Segments*		3.16
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	3.16	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	7.54	7.54
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	7.54	7.54

st Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

^{**} Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.75	209
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	1.26	33%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	09
Total	2.01	53%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.36	109
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	09
Total	0.36	109
None	1.40	379
TOTAL	3.77	

Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
 I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Compari	son Summary
No new	segments included.
Complia	nce Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)
2023	58%

CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Rd)		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp Info	n			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since 2	2016	
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
River Ridge Cir	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Eagan	signal improve.	2022	Signal	i-section
Witby Dr	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Eagan	resurfacing	2022	Mill & Overlay &	TH 13
Pine Ridge Dr	1	0	1	1	0	1	trail begins eastward on side side	2				Eagan	resurfacing		trail construction	to
Woodlark Ln	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan	resurfacing			CSAH 31
Pilot Knob Elem	0	0	0	0	0	0		5				Eagan	resurfacing			
Timberwood Tr	2	0	2	1	1	2		2				Eagan	resurfacing			
CSAH 31	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Eagan	resurfacing			
Shields Dr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan	signal improve.	2022	Signal	i-section
Burnside Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan				
Egan Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan				
Poplar Ln	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan				
Eagandale Blvd	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Eagan				
I-35E ramps (west)	10	0	0	9	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan				
I-35E ramps (east)	9	0	0	9	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan				
Denmark Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan				
CSAH 43	6	0	0	7	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan	improvements	2020	Construction	i-section
Neil Armstrong Blvd	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Eagan				
office entrance	2	0	0	0	2	0		2								
Ecolab entrance	4	0	0	0	4	0		2				Eagan				
Lunar Ln	4	0	0	0	4	0		2				Eagan				
Commers Dr	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Eagan				
Darts entrance	4	0	0	0	4	0		2				Eagan				
TH 55	12	0	0	16	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan				
Holiday Ln	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Eagan				
Lone Oak Dr	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Eagan				
Ames Crossing Rd	0	2	0	0	2	0	for trails on Ames Crossing Dr	3				Eagan				
TOTAL	80	2	13	67	19	13										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

none



98%

78%

2016

CSAH 28 (80th Street East): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North				Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year T	уре	Segment
TH 3	Austin Way	none	R			0.50		none	R			0.50		n/a	Medium		Inver Grove H	resurfacing	2020	Mill & Overlay	TH 3 area
Austin Way	CSAH 73	none	R			0.50		none	R			0.50		n/a	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	CSAH 73
CSAH 73	Barbara Av E	trail	R	0.19	0.00			sidewalk	_	0.19				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	to
Barbara Av E	Barnes Av	trail	R	0.09	0.00			sidewalk	_	0.09				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	CSAH 56
Barnes Av	Broderick Blvd	trail	U	0.21	0.00			sidewalk	U	0.21				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Broderick Blvd	Blaine Av E	trail	1	0.13	0.00			sidewalk	U	0.13				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Blaine Av E	Bowman Av E	trail	R	0.21	0.00			sidewalk	- 1	0.21				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Bowman Av E	Boyd Av	trail	U	0.13	0.00			sidewalk	- 1	0.13				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Boyd Av	Simley HS south rd	trail	R	0.13	0.00			sidewalk	- 1	0.13				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Simley HS south rd	Cahill Av	trail	С	0.10	0.00			sidewalk	- 1	0.10				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cahill Av	Cub entrance	trail	С	0.09	0.00			sidewalk	U	0.09				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cub entrance	private rd	trail	С	0.06	0.00			sidewalk	U	0.06				good			Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
private rd	Claymore Av E	none	R			0.26		sidewalk	R	0.26				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Claymore Av E	Cleadis Av E	none	R			0.06		sidewalk	R	0.06				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cleadis Av E	Cloman Av E	none	R			0.07		sidewalk	R	0.07				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cloman Av E	Comstock Av E	none	R			0.07		sidewalk	R	0.07				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Comstock Av E	Cooper Av E	none	R			0.07		sidewalk	R	0.07				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cooper Av E	80th Ct	none	R			0.11		sidewalk	R	0.11				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
80th Ct	Corey Path	none	R			0.06		sidewalk	R	0.06				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Corey Path	Dawn Av E	none	R			0.06		sidewalk	R	0.06				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Dawn Av E	Dana Path	none	R			0.05		sidewalk	R	0.05				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Dana Path	CSAH 56	none	U			0.06		sidewalk	U	0.06				good	Medium		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
	TOTAL			1.34	0.00	1.87	0.00			2.19	0.00	1.00	0.00			Total Area	6.40		1		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

none

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	3.53	2.20
Poor or Missing Segments*		4.20
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	2.87	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	6.40	6.40
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	6.40	6.40

 $^{^{*}}$ Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

3.21

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	1.34	42%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Tota	1.34	42%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.87	27%
Tota	0.87	27%
None	1.00	31%

TOTAL

Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Poor trail segments were resurfaced.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 55%

2016 34%

^{**} Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

CSAH 28 (80th St E): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 28 (80th St E)		2023 Invento	ory		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp Info	ormatio	on			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year T	уре	Segment
Austin Way	1	0	1	0	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	resurfacing	2020	Mill & Overlay	TH 3 area
CSAH 73	2	0	2	2	0	2		2				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	CSAH 73
Barbara Av E	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	to
Barnes Av	5	0	0	4	0	0	recent improvement	2	Yes	Yes		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	CSAH 56
Broderick Blvd	4	0	0	4	0	0	recent improvement	2	Yes	Yes		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Blaine Av E	4	0	0	4	0	0	recent improvement	2	Yes	Yes		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Bowman Av E	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Boyd Av	7	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes	(a)	Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Simley HS south rd	4	0	0	0	2	0		2			(b)	Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cahill Av	11	0	0	0	8	0	recent improvement	2	Yes	Yes		Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cub entrance	2	0	0	1	1	0	recent improvement	2				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
private rd	1	0	0	0	1	1	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Claymore Av E	2	0	0	1	1	0	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cleadis Av E	3	0	1	0	3	1	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cloman Av E	2	0	2	0	2	2	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Comstock Av E	2	0	2	1	1	2	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Cooper Av E	2	0	2	0	2	2	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
80th Ct	2	0	0	0	2	0	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Corey Path	2	0	2	1	1	2	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Dawn Av E	2	0	2	1	1	2	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
Dana Path	2	0	0	0	2	0	recent improvement	3				Inver Grove H	ped ramps, signal	2020	Miscellaneous	
TOTAL	68	0	14	31	27	14										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

- (a) New pedestrian crossing signal.
- (b) Includes two added for private drive on north side.

Comparison Summary

Deficient ramp locations addressed with recent projects.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk) 2023 100%

2016 53%

CSAH 28 (Yankee Doodle Dr/Amana Tr-IGH): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/I	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	CII	P Projects sind	e 2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Typ	e	Segment
west city boundary	Argenta Tr	trail	U	0.00	0.24			trail	U	0.00	0.24			poor		(a)	Inver Grove H				
Argenta Tr	TH 55	trail	R	0.27	0.00	1		trail	U	0.27				good			Inver Grove H				
Amana Tr	Agate Trl	trail	R	0.15				sidewalk	U	0.15				good			Inver Grove H				
Agate Tr	ped crossing	trail	R	0.12				sidewalk	С	0.12				good			Inver Grove H	ped crossing	2018	trail	I'section
ped crossing	Addisen Ct	trail	R	0.12				sidewalk	С	0.12				good			Inver Grove H				
Addisen Ct	ped crossing	trail	R	0.12				sidewalk	С	0.12				good			Inver Grove H	ped crossing	2018	trail	I'section
ped crossing	TH 3	trail	R	0.12				trail	С	0.12				good			Inver Grove H				
	TOTAL			0.90	0.24	0.00	0.00			0.90	0.24	0.00	0.00			Total Area	2.28				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

(a) cracks, extensive wear, vegetation in trail

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	1.80	0.74
Poor or Missing Segments*		0.27
Poor Segments	0.48	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	2.28	1.01
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	2.28	1.01

^{*} Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

^{**} Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.63	55%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.51	45%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	1.14	100%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
•		
None	0.00	0%
TOTAL	1.14	

Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Segment from Amana Trail to TH 3 became a County highway since the last inventory was conducted.

entory was conducted.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 79% 2016 73%

CSAH 28 (Yankee Doodle Rd/Amana Tr-IGH): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 28	2	023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ory					Location	Updated	•	CIP Projects since	e 2016	
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year T	уре	Segment
Argenta Tr	4	0	0	3	0	1		2				Inver Grove H				
TH 55	10	0	0	0	0	4	Recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Inver Grove H				
Agate Tr	4	0	0	n/a				2								
pedestian crosing	4	0	0	n/a			Recently updated	2					ped crossing	2018	trail	l'section
Addisen Ct	4	0	0	n/a				2								
pedestian crosing	4	0	0	n/a			Recently updated	2					ped crossing	2018	trail	l'section
TH 3	12	0	0	n/a			roundabout	2								
	42	0	0	3	0	5										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Comparison Summary

Segment from Amana Trail to TH 3 became a County highway since the last inventory was conducted.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 100% 2016 100%

CSAH 28 (Yankee Doodle Road / Eagan): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North						West/South						Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year 1		Segment
TH 13	Blue Cross Rd	trail	С	0.18				trail	- 1	0.18				good			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	TH 13
Blue Cross Rd	Donald Av	trail	R	0.12				trail	U	0.12				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Donald Av	Yankee Doodle Ln	trail	R	0.06				trail	U	0.06				fair		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	I-35 E
Yankee Doodle Ln	Coachman Rd	trail	С	0.16				trail	U	0.16				fair		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Coachman Rd	Surrey Heights Dr	trail	С	0.24				trail	R	0.24				fair		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Surrey Heights Dr	Central Parkway	trail	U	0.16				trail	R	0.16				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Central Parkway	Washington Dr	trail	С	0.13	0.00			sidewalk	С	0.13				good		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Washington Dr	CSAH 31	trail	С	0.12	0.00			trail	С	0.12				good		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 31	I-35E (west ramps)	trail	С	0.10				trail	С	0.10				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
I-35E (west ramps)	I-35E (east ramps)	trail	U	0.14	0.00			trail	U	0.14	0.00			good		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
I-35E (east ramps)	Denmark Av	trail	С	0.13				trail	С	0.00	0.13			fair-n/poor-s		(a)	Eagan				
Denmark Av	Yankee Place	trail	С	0.15				trail	С	0.15				good			Eagan				
Yankee Place	Promenade Av	trail	С	0.17				trail	С	0.17				good			Eagan				
Promenade Av	O'Leary Ln	trail	U	0.11				trail	С	0.11				fair		(a)	Eagan				
O'Leary Ln	CSAH 43	trail	U	0.20				trail	С	0.20	1			fair			Eagan				
CSAH 43	Golfview Dr	trail	U	0.18				trail	R	0.18	:			fair-n/good-s			Eagan				
Golfview Dr	Wescott Woodlands	trail	_	0.33				trail	R	0.33				fair-n/good-s			Eagan				
Wescott Woodlands	Wescott Tr	trail	U	0.10				trail	R	0.10	1			fair-n/good-s			Eagan				
Wescott Tr	lvy Ln	trail	С	0.21				trail	R	0.21				fair-n/good-s			Eagan				
Ivy Ln	Elrene Rd	trail	С	0.19				trail	R	0.19				fair-n/good-s		(b)	Eagan				
Elrene Rd	TH 149	trail	С	0.49				trail	U	0.49				fair		-	Eagan		1		
TH 149	Yankee Doodle Ct	trail	С	0.00	0.16			trail	С	0.16				poor-n/fair-s			Eagan		1		
Yankee Doodle Ct	east city boundary	trail	С	0.00	0.37			trail	С	0.37				poor-n/fair-s		(a)	Eagan		1		
	TOTAL			3.65	0.53	0.00	0.00			4.04	0.13	0.00	0.00			Total Area	8.35		1		

 $Shaded\ areas\ represent\ priority\ locations, areas\ of\ missing\ infrastructure\ and/or\ areas\ to\ address$

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Note

- (a) indications of significant perpendicular cracking
- (b) utility man holes in center of trail

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	7.69	7.69
Poor or Missing Segments*		0.66
Poor Segments	0.66	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	8.35	8.35
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	0 25	0 25

 $^{^{*}}$ Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

^{**} Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	4.07	97%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.13	3%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	4.20	100%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
None	0.00	0%
TOTAL	4.20	

Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Trails are beginning to show age and repair need in some locations.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 92% 2016 92%

CSAH 28 (Yankee Doodle R / Eagan): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 28 (Yankee Doodle)	2	023 Invento	ry	2	2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp Inf	ormati	ion			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since 2016			
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year 1	Гуре	Segment		
Blue Cross Rd	8	0	0	4	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	TH 13		
Donald Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to		
Yankee Doodle Ln	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	I-35 E		
Coachman Rd	7	0	0	4	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
Surrey Heights Dr	4	0	0	2	0	0		2			(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
Central Parkway	6	0	0	4	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
Washington Dr	3	0	0	3	0	0		2	Yes	No		Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
CSAH 31	4	0	0	16	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes	(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
I-35E (west ramps)	6	0	0	6	0	0	no ped crossing of 28 allowed	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
I-35E (east ramps)	4	0	0	4	0	0	no ped crossing of 28 allowed	2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay			
Denmark Av	4	0	0	8	0	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan						
Yankee Place	4	0	0	4	0	0	includes island areas	2				Eagan						
Promenade Av	7	0	0	2	2	0	recently updated	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan						
O'Leary Ln	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan						
CSAH 43	16	0	0	16	0	0	includes island areas	2	Yes	Yes		Eagan						
Golfview Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan						
Wescott Woodlands	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan						
Wescott Tr	4	0	0	2	0	0	includes Murphy entrance	2				Eagan						
Ivy Ln	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan						
Elrene Rd	4	0	0	2	0	0	includes Gertens entrance	2			(a)	Eagan						
TH 149	7	0	0	7	0	0	no separation from EB RTL & trail	2	Yes	Yes	(a)	Eagan						
Eagan Distribution	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan						
TOTAL	110	0	0	106	2	0												

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

(a) several privates drives without ramps

