## CSAH 42 (Burnsville): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
west city boundary	Ewing Av S	trail	С	0.06				trail	С	0.06				good			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	W Co. line
Ewing Av S	Burnsville Pkwy	trail	С	0.00	0.18			trail	С	0.18				poor-N, good-S		(b)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	to
Burnsville Pkwy	Southcross Dr W	trail	С	0.29				trail	С	0.29				good			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 11
Southcross Dr W	Judicial Rd	trail	С	0.18				trail	С	0.00	0.18			good-N, poor-S		(b)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Judicial Rd	Newton Av	trail	С	0.00	0.23			trail	С	0.00	0.23			poor		(b)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Newton Av	Morgan Av S	trail	С	0.00	0.12			trail	С	0.00	0.12			poor		(b)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Morgan Av S	private access	trail	С	0.00	0.06			trail	С	0.00	0.06			poor		(b)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	CSAH 5	trail	С	0.00	0.03			trail	С	0.00	0.03			poor		(b)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 5	private access	sidewalk	С	0.07				sidewalk	С	0.07				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	Irving Av S	sidewalk	С	0.08				sidewalk	С	0.08				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Irving Av S	Burngarten Dr	sidewalk	С	0.05				sidewalk	С	0.05				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Burngarten Dr	private access	sidewalk	С	0.05				sidewalk	С	0.05				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	Burnhaven Dr	sidewalk	С	0.08				sidewalk	С	0.08				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Burnhaven Dr	private access	sidewalk	С	0.14				sidewalk	С	0.14				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	Aldrich Av	sidewalk	С	0.10				sidewalk	С	0.10				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Aldrich Av	I-35W west ramps	sidewalk	С	0.16				sidewalk	С	0.16				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35W west ramps	I-35W east ramps	sidewalk	U	0.11				sidewalk	U	0.11				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35W east ramps	Nicollet Av	sidewalk	C	0.08	0.00			sidewalk	С	0.08				fair			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Nicollet Av	I-35E west ramps	trail	C	0.10	0.00			sidewalk	С	0.10				good-n, fair-s			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35E west ramps	I-35E east ramps	trail	U	0.11	0.00			sidewalk	U	0.11				good-n, fair-s			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35E east ramps	Grand Av	trail	U	0.06	0.00			sidewalk	U	0.06				good-n, fair-s			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Grand Av	Plymouth Av	trail	C	0.14	0.00			sidewalk	- 1	0.14				good-n, fair-s			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Plymouth Av	private access	trail	R	0.18	0.00			sidewalk	С	0.18				good			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	Portland Av	trail	R	0.05	0.00			sidewalk	С	0.05				good			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Portland Av	Chicago Av	trail	R	0.24	0.00			none	R			0.24		good-n, none-s		(a)	Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Chicago Av	10th Av S	trail	R	0.09	0.00			none	R			0.09		good-n, none-s			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
10th Av S	CR 11	trail	С	0.14	0.00			none	R			0.14		good-n, none-s			Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
CR 11	private access	trail	С	0.06	0.00			trail	С	0.06				good			Burnsville		J		
private access	church entrance	trail	R	0.07	0.00			trail	- 1	0.07				good			Burnsville				
church entrance	Summit Ridge Cir	trail	R	0.06	0.00			trail	ı	0.06				good			Burnsville		1		
Summit Ridge Cir	church entrance	trail	R	0.05	0.00			trail	ı	0.05				good			Burnsville		]		
church entrance	Parson Hill Dr	trail	R	0.07	0.00			trail		0.07				good			Burnsville		J		
Parson Hill Dr	Southcross Dr	trail	R	0.15	0.00			trail	U	0.15				good			Burnsville	replacement	2023	Signal	@ I-section
Southcross Dr	east city boundary	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				good			Burnsville		1		
	TOTAL			3.14	0.62	0.00	0.00			2.68	0.62	0.47	0.00			Total Area	7.53		ī		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes (a) bus stop bench in R/W with no facilities

(b) significant cracking

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	5.82	5.41
Poor or Missing Segments*		2.12
Poor Segments	1.24	
Missing Segments	0.47	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	7.53	7.53
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	7.53	7.53

\* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

\*\* Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	1.73	46%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.64	17%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.92	24%
Total	al 3.29	88%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.47	13%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	al 0.47	13%
None	0.00	0%
TOTAL	2.70	

#### Land Use

- Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex) U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### Comparison Summary

Trails west of CSAH 5 are in need of repair.

Missing segment remains on south side between Portland Av and CR 11.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 77% 2016 72%

## CSAH 42 (Burnsville): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 42	2	023 Inventor	у		2016 Invento	ory	Curb Ramp	Details	5			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Ewing Av S	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	W Co. line
Burnsville Pkwy	10	0	0	10	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	to
Southcross Dr W	8	0	0	8	0	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 11
Judicial Rd	6	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Newton Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Morgan Av S	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 5	16	0	0	16	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	2	0	4	0	0	6	private access	3				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Irving Av S	8	0	0	0	4	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Burngarten Dr	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	0	0	6	0	0	6	private access	3				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Burnhaven Dr	5	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	10	0	0	0	0	10	private access	2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Aldrich Av	6	0	0	4	0	0	private on south = 2	2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35W west ramps	4	0	0	0	4	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35W east ramps	2	0	0	0	2	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Nicollet Av	12	0	0	0	10	2		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35E west ramps	6	0	0	0	4	2		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
I-35E east ramps	4	0	0	0	2	2		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Grand Av	4	0	0	0	4	0		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Plymouth Av	8	0	0	1	2	1		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
private access	0	0	2	0	0	2	private on south = 2	3				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Portland Av	11	0	0	11	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
Chicago Av	2	0	0	2	0	0	for Chicago Av sidewalks	2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
10th Av S	2	0	0	0	0	2		2				Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
CR 11	10	0	0	10	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Burnsville	repaving	2022	Mill & Overlay	
SA entrance	4	0	0	2	0	0	included 2 on north side	2				Burnsville		1		
church entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Burnsville		1		
Summit Ridge Cir	2	0	0	0	0	2		6				Burnsville		1		
church entrance	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Burnsville		]		
Parson Hill Dr	4	0	0	2	0	2		2				Burnsville		1		
Southcross Dr	4	0	0	3	0	1	signal to be replaced	2	Yes	No		Burnsville	replacement	2023	Signal	@ I-section
TOTAL	168	0	12	91	36	38								1		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.



## CSAH 42 (Apple Valley): Sidewalk Inventory

		_	Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
west city boundary	Redwood Dr	trail	R	0.03				trail	R	0.03				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	145th St
Redwood Dr	Elm Dr	trail	- 1	0.16				trail	-	0.16	0.00			good	Medium	(a)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	to TH 52
Elm Dr	frontage roads	sidewalk	R	0.05				trail	R	0.05				fair-n, good-s	Medium		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
frontage roads	Garden View Dr	trail (b)	R	0.24				trail (b)	R	0.24				fair	Medium	(b)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Garden View Dr	Hayes Rd	trail (b)	R	0.24				trail (b)	R	0.24				fair	Medium	(b)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Hayes Rd	147th St W	trail	- 1	0.24				trail (b)	R	0.24				fair		(b)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	l.
147th St W	Walmart entrance	sidewalk	С	0.11				trail (b)	R	0.11				fair		(b)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Walmart entrance	Hoover Ln	sidewalk	С	0.04				trail (b)	R	0.04				fair		(b)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Hoover Ln	Pennock Av	sidewalk	С	0.08				sidewalk	- 1	0.08				fair			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Pennock Av	Granada Av	sidewalk	С	0.07				sidewalk	С	0.07				fair			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	l.
Granada Av	mall entrance	sidewalk	С	0.03				sidewalk	С	0.03				fair			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	l.
mall entrance	CSAH 23	sidewalk	С	0.13				sidewalk	С	0.13				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 23	Gleason Path	sidewalk	С	0.13				sidewalk	С	0.13				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Gleason Path	Garrett Av	sidewalk	С	0.10				sidewalk	С	0.10				good			Apple Valley	ped ramps	2020	Miscellaneous	@ I-section
Garrett Av	Gardenia Av	sidewalk	С	0.10				sidewalk	С	0.10				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Gardenia Av	Galaxie Av	sidewalk	С	0.13				sidewalk	С	0.13				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Galaxie Av	Forum Path	sidewalk	С	0.11				trail	С	0.11				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Forum Path	Foliage Av	sidewalk	С	0.12				trail	С	0.12				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Foliage Av	Home Depot entranc	sidewalk	С	0.10				trail	С	0.10				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Home Depot entranc	Flanders Path	sidewalk	С	0.01				trail	С	0.01				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Flanders Path	Flagstaff Av	sidewalk	С	0.10				trail	С	0.10				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Flagstaff Av	private entrance	trail	С	0.07				none	U			0.07		fair	Medium		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
private entrance	private entrance	trail	U	0.26				none	U			0.26		fair	Medium		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
private entrance	private entrance	trail	U	0.09				none	U			0.09		fair	Medium		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
private entrance	Johnny Cake Ridge Rd	trail	U	0.06				none	U			0.06		fair	Medium		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Johnny Cake Ridge Rd	CSAH 31	trail	С	0.48				none	U			0.48		fair	Medium		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 31	Embry Path	trail	R	0.20				trail	U	0.20	0.00			fair			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Embry Path	Easter Av	trail	R	0.24				trail	U	0.24	0.00			fair			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Easter Av	Dundee Av	trail	R	0.29				trail	R	0.29	0.00			fair			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Dundee Av	Dove Tr	trail	R	0.13				trail	R	0.13				good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Dove Tr	CSAH 33	trail	R	0.13				trail	С	0.13	1			good			Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
	TOTAL			4.23	0.00	0.00	0.00			3.28	0.00	0.95	0.00			Total Area	8.46		1		

 $Shaded\ areas\ represent\ priority\ locations,\ areas\ of\ missing\ infrastructure\ and/or\ areas\ to\ address$ 

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

## Notes

- (a) missing trail segment at Redwood Park
- (b) frontage roads in place of trails

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	7.51	6.62
Poor or Missing Segments*		1.84
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.95	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	8.46	8.46
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	8.46	8.46

\* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	1.90	44%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.64	15%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.77	18%
Tota	3.31	78%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.96	22%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Tota	0.96	22%
None	0.00	0%
	. —	
TOTAL	4.27	

#### Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
  C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### Comparison Summary

Trail added to south side between CSAH 31 and Dundee Avenue.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 89%

2016 78%

## CSAH 42 (Apple Valley): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 42		2023 Invento	rv		2016 Invento	orv	Curb Ramp	Detail:	s			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case		APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Redwood Dr	4	0	0	3	0	1	One added to Redwood Park	2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	145th St
Elm Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	to TH 52
frontage roads	0	4	0	0	4	0	angled only, no domes	3				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Garden View Dr	3	1	0	3	1	0		2, 3	Yes	No	(a)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Hayes Rd	4	0	0	2	1	0	need long-term solution for peds	2	Yes	No	(b)	Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
147th St W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Walmart entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Hoover Ln	0	1	0	0	1	0		3				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Pennock Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Granada Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
mall entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 23	16	0	0	16	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Gleason Path	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Garrett Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	ped ramps	2020	Miscellaneous	@ I-section
Gardenia Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Galaxie Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Forum Path	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Foliage Av	8	0	0	8	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Home Depot entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Flanders Path	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Flagstaff Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
private entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
private entrance	0	2	0	0	2	0		3				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
private entrance	0	2	0	0	2	0		3				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Johnny Cake Ridge Rd	2	0	2	2	0	2		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 31	4	6	0	4	6	0	no domes on free right islands	2, 3	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Embry Path	4	0	0	2	0	2		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Easter Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Dundee Av	8	0	0	5	2	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Dove Tr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 33	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Apple Valley	resurfacing	2018	Mill & Overlay	
TOTAL	101	16	2	93	19	5								1		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Notes

- (a) no domes on northeast island, signal pole in middle of ramps location
- (b) parallel long ramp with rails. Need long-term solution

#### Comparison Summary

Need a long-term solution at intersection with Hayes Av.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 86% 2016 83%

## CSAH 42 (Rosemount): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	e 2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length I	ength	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Type	Segment
CSAH 33	Shannon Pkwy	trail	R	0.35				trail	R	0.35				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	CSAH 33
Shannon Pkwy	Crestone Av	trail	R	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	to TH 3
Crestone Av	Claret Av	trail	R	0.12				sidewalk	С	0.12				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Claret Av	Cimarron Av	trail	R	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Cimarron Av	Chippendale Av	trail	R	0.11				sidewalk	С	0.11				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Chippendale Av	private access	trail	- 1	0.11				trail	C	0.11				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
private access	Canada Av W	trail	R	0.12				trail	С	0.12				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Canada Av W	TH 3	trail	R	0.16				trail	С	0.16				fair		(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
TH 3	Business Pkwy	none	U			0.26		none	С			0.26		n/a	High		Rosemount				
Business Pkwy	Biscayne Av	none	R			0.29		none	С			0.29		n/a	High		Rosemount				
Biscayne Av	145th St W	none	R			0.57		none	U			0.57		n/a	High		Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	145th St
145th St W	Auburn Av	none	R			0.43		none	U			0.43		n/a	High		Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Auburn Av	Abbeyfield Av	none	R			0.48		none	R			0.48		n/a	Medium	(b)	Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	w of TH 52
Abbeyfield Av	CR 73	none	R			0.21		none	R			0.21		n/a	Medium	(b)	Rosemount	I-section improv.	2021	Construction	@ I-section
CR 73	DCTC west entrance	none	U			0.24		none	U			0.24		n/a	Medium		Rosemount	I-section improv.	2021	Construction	@ I-section
DCTC west entrance	DCTC east entrance	none	U			0.27		none	-			0.27		n/a			Rosemount	I-section improv.	2021	Construction	@ I-section
DCTC east entrance	Audrey Av	none	U			0.21		none	-			0.21		n/a			Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Audrey Av	CSAH 71	none	U			0.77		none	U			0.77		n/a			Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 71	TH 52	none	U				0.97	none	U				0.97	n/a			Rosemount	I-change improv.	2017	Construction	@ I-change
TH 52	Conley Av	none	C				0.21	none	U				0.21	n/a		(b)	Rosemount				
Conley Av	Driver Av	none	С				0.50	none	U				0.50	n/a		(b)	Rosemount				
Driver Av	Emery Av	none	U				1.28	none	U				1.28	n/a			Rosemount				
Emery Av	142nd St E	none	U				0.32	none	С				0.32	n/a			Rosemount				
142nd St E	TH 55	none	U				0.14	none	U				0.14	n/a			Rosemount	I-section improv.	2018	Construction	@ I-Section
	TOTAL			1.18	0.00	3.73	3.43			1.18	0.00	3.73	3.43			Total Area	16.68				

#### Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address Updated information shown in red text

#### Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes (a) Review segment again after trail repaying

- (b) City did not require developers to install trails on Co. R/W as requested

ludes Both Sides	2023	2016
od or Fair Segments	2.36	2.36
or or Missing Segments*		14.32
or Segments	0.00	
ssing Segments	7.46	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	9.82	16.68
al Segments**	6.86	
TOTAL	16.68	16.68
TOTAL		

- \* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes. \*\* Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.74	9%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.45	5%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	1.19	14%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
None	7.16	86%

## Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

## Comparison Summary

Considered 29% compliant in 2023 with consideration of only urban/suburban segments.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 24%

2016 14%

## CSAH 42 (Rosemount): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 42		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp D	etails				Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Type	Segment
Shannon Pkwy	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No	(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	CSAH 33
Crestone Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2			(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	to TH 3
Claret Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2			(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Cimarron Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2			(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Chippendale Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No	(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
private access	4	0	0	4	0	0		2			(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Canada Av W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2			(a)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
TH 3	5	0	0	5	0	0		2	Yes	Yes	(b)	Rosemount	trail repave	2023	Miscellaneous	
Business Pkwy	0	4	0	0	4	0	need for Business Pkwy sidewalks	4				Rosemount				
Biscayne Av	0	3	0	0	3	0	need for Biscayne Av trails	4				Rosemount				
145th St W	0	2	0	0	2	0	need for 145th St W trails	4				Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	145th St
Auburn Av	0	0	2	0	0	2	Auburn sidewalks end prior to R/W	6				Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Abbeyfield Av	0	0	2	0	0	2	Abbeyfield trails end prior to R/W	6				Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	w of TH 52
CR 73	8	0	0	2	0	0	new signal	2	Yes	Yes	(c)	Rosemount	I-section improv.	2021	Construction	@ I-section
DCTC west entrance	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount	I-section improv.	2021	Construction	@ I-section
DCTC east entrance	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount	I-section improv.	2021	Construction	@ I-section
Audrey Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 71	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Rosemount	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
TH 52	0	0	8	0	0	8		6				Rosemount	I-change improv.	2017	Construction	@ I-change
Conley Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount				
Driver Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount				
Emery Av	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Rosemount				
142nd St E	0	0	2	0	0	2	·	6				Rosemount				
TH 55	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount	I-section improv.	2018	Construction	@ I-Section
TOTAL	35	9	34	29	9	34	·					, in the second				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

## **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance.
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant. 3 Ramps without truncated domes.
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification.
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Notes

- (a) Review segment again after trail repaving.
- (b) Truncated curb ramps at southeast corner with no sidewalk of trail connections.
- (c ) No pedestrian facilities exist except to the north.

# **Comparison Summary**

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk) 80%

2023 2016 76%

## CSAH 42 (Nininger Rd - Hastings): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	C	IP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Type	Segment
west city boundary	Monroe St	none	R			0.07		trail	R	0.07				good	Low		Hastings				
Monroe St	Madison St	none	R			0.21		trail	R	0.21				good	Low		Hastings		1		
Madison St	Pleasant Dr	trail	U	0.17				trail	R	0.17				good			Hastings		1		
Pleasant Dr	private access	none	U			0.11		sidewalk	U	0.11				fair	Low		Hastings		1		
private access	private access	none	U			0.10		sidewalk	С	0.10				fair	Low		Hastings		1		
private access	private access	none	U			0.10		sidewalk	С	0.10				fair	Low		Hastings		1		
private access	1st St W	none	U			0.13		sidewalk	U	0.13				fair	Low		Hastings				
1st St W	private access	none	U			0.03		sidewalk	R	0.03				fair	Low		Hastings				
private access	Grove St	sidewalk	R	0.10				sidewalk	R	0.10				fair			Hastings		1		
Grove St	2nd St W	sidewalk	R	0.02				sidewalk	R	0.02				fair		(a)	Hastings		1		
2nd St W	River St	sidewalk	R	0.05				sidewalk	R	0.05				fair		(a)	Hastings		1		
River St	Pine St	sidewalk	R	0.11				none	R			0.11		fair	Low	(a)	Hastings		1		
Pine St	Forest St	sidewalk	U	0.24				none	R			0.24		fair	Low		Hastings		1		
Forest St	Ashland St	sidewalk	R	0.06				sidewalk	R	0.06				fair		(a)	Hastings		1		
Ashland St	Spring St	sidewalk	R	0.06				sidewalk	R	0.06				fair			Hastings		1		
Spring St	Eddy St	sidewalk	R	0.06				sidewalk	С	0.06				fair			Hastings		1		
Eddy St	Vermillion St	sidewalk	С	0.04				sidewalk	С	0.04				fair			Hastings		1		
	TOTAL			0.91	0.00	0.75	0.00			1.31	0.00	0.35	0.00			Total Area	3.32		1		

#### Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes

(a) driveways

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	2.22	2.22
Poor or Missing Segments*		1.10
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	1.10	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	3.32	3.32
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	3.32	3.32

<sup>\*</sup> Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.17	10%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.39	24%
Tota	0.56	34%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.28	17%
Sidewalk on one side	0.81	49%
Tota	1.09	66%
None	0.00	0%
TOTAL	1 65	

#### Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### Comparison Summary

ROW constraints and land uses are problematic to trail development in some locations.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails) 2023 67%

2016 67%

## CSAH 42 (Nininger Rd - Hastings): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 42		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp	Details	s			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Monroe St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
Madison St	3	0	0	3	0	0		2				Hastings				
Pleasant Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Hastings				
private access	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
private access	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
private access	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
1st St W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
private access	0	0	0	0	0	0		3				Hastings				
Grove St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
2nd St W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hastings				
River St	3	0	1	3	0	1		2				Hastings				
Pine St	2	0	1	2	0	1		2				Hastings				
Forest St	0	1	2	0	1	2		4				Hastings				
Ashland St	2	2	0	2	2	0		2, 4				Hastings				
Spring St	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Hastings				
Eddy St	4	0	0	2	0	2		2				Hastings				
Vermillion St	10	0	0	4	0	0	includes east and west	2				Hastings				
TOTAL	46	3	4	38	3	6										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

## Notes

None

#### Comparison Summary

Three areas to comply exist on semi-private cul-de-sacs.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 94%

2023 94% 2016 93%

## CR 43 (Lexington Avenue / Mendota Hgts): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
TH 13	Douglas Rd	none	R			0.13		none	R			0.13		n/a	Medium	(a), (b)	Mendota H		2040	Turnback	TH 13
Douglas Rd	Kingsley Cir N	trail	R	0.07				none	R			0.07		good	Low		Mendota H				to MH Rd.
Kingsley Cir N	Overlook Rd	trail	R	0.07				none	R			0.07		good	Low		Mendota H				
Overlook Rd	Marie Av W	trail	R	0.09				none	R			0.09		good	Low		Mendota H				
Marie Av W	Orchard PI	trail	R	0.04				none	R			0.04		good	Medium		Mendota H				
Orchard Pl	Orchard Hill	trail	U	0.10				none	С			0.10		good	Medium	(a)	Mendota H				
Orchard Hill	Avanti Dr	trail	R	0.05				none	R			0.05		good	Medium		Mendota H				
Avanti Dr	Vail Dr	trail	R	0.16				none	R			0.16		good	Medium		Mendota H				
Vali Dr	Victoria Curve	trail	- 1	0.09				none	_			0.09		good	Medium		Mendota H				
Victoria Curve	TH 62	trail	U	0.07				none	C			0.07		good	Medium		Mendota H				
TH 62	Dakota Dr	trail	С	0.07				none	С			0.07		good	Medium		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	TH 62
Dakota Dr	Tom Thumb Blvd	trail	R	0.12				none	O			0.12		good	Medium		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	to
Tom Thumb Blvd	Cullen Av	none	R			0.26		none	_			0.26		n/a	Medium		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	MH Rd.
Cullen Av	Wagon Wheel Tr	none	R			0.17		none	_			0.17		n/a	Medium		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Wagon Wheel Tr	2300 private rd	trail	R	0.09				none	_			0.09		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
2300 private rd	private rd	trail	R	0.09				none	_			0.09		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
private rd	2370 private rd	trail	R	0.10				none	- 1			0.10		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
2370 private rd	Hillside Gables ent	trail	R	0.17				none	- 1			0.17		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Hillside Gables ent	Medallion Dr	trail	R	0.05				none	С			0.05		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Medallion Dr	private rd	trail	С	0.06				none	C			0.06		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
private rd	Mendota Hts Rd	trail	С	0.10				none	С			0.10		good	Low		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
	TOTAL			1.55	0.00	0.56	0.00			0.00	0.00	2.11	0.00			Total Area	4.22		1		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

- (a) significant vegetation in right of way
- (b) significant slope

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	1.55	1.55
Poor or Missing Segments*		2.67
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	2.67	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	4.22	4.22
Rural Segments**	0.00	

TOTAL 4.22 4.22

- \* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.
- \*\* Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Tota	0.00	0%
One Side		
Trail on one side	1.55	73%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Tota	1.55	73%
None	0.56	27%
TOTAL	2.11	

#### Land Use

- Residential (house, apartment)
- С Commercial (business, industrial)
- Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

## Comparison Summary

Highway is a County to City jurisdictional transfer candidate No updates since 2016

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 37% 2016 37%

## CR 43 (Lexington Av / Mendota H.): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 43 (Lexington Av		2023 Invento	ry	- 2	2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp	Detail	s			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Douglas Rd	1	0	0	1	0	0	beginning of trail	2				Mendota H		2040	Turnback	TH 13
Kingsley Cir N	0	0	0	0	0	0	opposite side of trail	6				Mendota H				to MH Rd.
Overlook Rd	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H				
Marie Ave W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H				
Orchard Pl	0	0	0	0	0	0	opposite side of trail	6				Mendota H				
Orchard Hill	0	0	0	0	0	0	opposite side of trail	6				Mendota H				
Avanti Dr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H				
Vail Dr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H				
Victoria Curve	3	0	1	3	0	1		2				Mendota H				
TH 62	2	0	2	2	0	2		2	Yes	Yes		Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	TH 62
Dakota Dr	3	0	1	2	0	1		2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	to
Tom Thumb Blvd	1	0	3	1	0	3	trail ends	2			(a)	Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	MH Rd.
Cullen Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Wagon Wheel Tr	2	0	0	2	0	0	trail begins again	2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
2300 private rd	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
private rd	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
2370 private rd	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Hillside Gables ent	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Medallion Dr	0	0	0	0	0	0	opposite side of trail	6				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
private rd	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
Mendota Hgts Rd	2	0	1	2	0	1		2			(b)	Mendota H	trail repaving	2023	Miscellaneous	
TOTAL	32	0	10	31	0	10										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

## **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Notes

- (a) Transit stop and bench with no paving
- (b) Trail crosses Mendota Hgts Rd diagonally

## Comparison Summary

Highway is a County to City jurisdictional transfer candidate

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 80% 76% 2016

## CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue / Eagan): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	₽ 2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
TH 55	Kenneth St	none	С			0.30		none	U			0.30		n/a	Medium	(a)	Eagan				
Kenneth St	Beatrice St	trail	R	0.06				none	R			0.06		fair	Medium	(a)	Eagan				
Beatrice St	McKee St	trail	R	0.06				none	С			0.06		fair	Medium	(a)	Eagan				
McKee St	Keefe St	trail	R	0.06				trail	С	0.06				fair		(a)	Eagan				
Keefe St	Acorn access	trail	C	0.06				trail	С	0.06				fair		(a)	Eagan				
Acorn access	CSAH 26	trail	С	0.17				none	С	0.17				fair	Low	(a)	Eagan				
CSAH 26	Clubview Dr	trail	С	0.14	0.00			trail	С	0.14	0.00			poor			Eagan				
Clubview Dr	Gemini Rd	trail	С	0.24				trail	С	0.24				fair		(a)	Eagan				
Gemini Rd	Northwood Pkwy	trail	С	0.33				trail	R	0.33				fair		(a)	Eagan				
Northwood Pkwy	CSAH 28	trail	С	0.25				trail	R	0.25				fair		(a)	Eagan				
CSAH 28	Town Centre Dr	trail	R	0.15				trail	С	0.15				good			Eagan				
Town Centre Dr	Duckwood Tr	trail	R	0.23				trail	R	0.23				fair		(a)	Eagan				
Duckwood Tr	Duckwood Dr	trail	R	0.10				trail	R	0.10				good			Eagan				
Duckwood Dr	Falcon Way	trail	R	0.20				trail	R	0.20				good			Eagan				
Falcon Way	Wescott Rd	trail	R	0.28				trail	U	0.28				good			Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	Wescott
Wescott Rd	Savannah Rd	trail	R	0.16				trail	R	0.16				good			Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	to
Savannah Rd	Westbury Dr	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				good			Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	CSAH 30
Westbury Dr	Northview Park Rd	trail	R	0.13				trail	_	0.13				fair		(a)	Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	
Northview Park Rd	commercial entrance	trail	R	0.46				trail	R	0.46				fair		(a)	Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	
commercial entrance	CSAH 30	trail	- 1	0.15				trail	С	0.15				fair			Eagan	ped crossing	2020	Miscellaneous	@ i-section
CSAH 30	Patrick Rd	trail	С	0.15				trail	_	0.15				fair		(a)	Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 30
Patrick Rd	Lexington Pt Pkwy	trail	U	0.12				trail	_	0.12				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Lexington Pt Pkwy	Wilderness Run Rd	trail	- 1	0.29				trail	_	0.29				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 28
Wilderness Run Rd	Tiffany Dr	trail	R	0.06				trail	R	0.06				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Tiffany Dr	Parkview Ln	trail	R	0.19				trail	- 1	0.19				fair			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Parkview Ln	Kirkwood Dr	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				good			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Kirkwood Dr	CSAH 32	trail	R	0.10				trail	R	0.10				good			Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
	TOTAL			4.36	0.00	0.30	0.00			4.23	0.00	0.42	0.00			Total Area	9.31		1		

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

(a) several locations of private driveway crossings

Includes Both Sides 2023 2016 Good or Fair Segments 8.59 8.31 Poor or Missing Segments\* 1.00 Poor Segments 0.00 0.72 Missing Segments TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only 9.31 9.31 Rural Segments\*\* 0.00

TOTAL 9.31 9.31

\* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

\*\* Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	4.23	91%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Tota	4.23	91%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.12	3%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Tota	0.12	3%
None	0.30	6%
TOTAL	4 65	

#### Land Use

- Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial) Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### Comparison Summary

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 92% 2016 89%

## CSAH 43 (Lexington Av / Eagan): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 43 (Lexington Av		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp	Details	;			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Kenneth St	1	0	1	1	0	1	trail on east side begins	2				Eagan				
Beatrice St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan				
McKee St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Eagan				
Keefe St	2	0	0	2	0	0	trail on west side begins	2				Eagan				
Acorn access	0	0	0	0	0	0	private drive = 2	3			(a)	Eagan				
CSAH 26	0	0	0	0	0	0	included with CSAH 26 inventory	n/a				Eagan				
Clubview Dr	5	0	0	2	2	0	private drive on east leg = 2	2	Yes	Yes	(a)	Eagan				
Gemini Rd	4	0	0	2	0	0	private drive on west leg =2	2			(a)	Eagan				
Northwood Pkwy	5	0	0	0	4			3	Yes	Yes		Eagan				
CSAH 28	0	0	0	0	0	0	included with CSAH 28 inventory	n/a				Eagan				
Town Centre Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan				
Duckwood Tr	3	0	0	3	0	0	one opposite of T-intersection	2				Eagan				
Duckwood Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Eagan				
Falcon Way	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan				
Wescott Rd	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes			Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	Wescott
Savannah Rd	3	0	0	3	0	0	one opposite of T-intersection	2				Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	to
Westbury Dr	3	0	0	3	0	0	one opposite of T-intersection	2				Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	CSAH 30
Northview Park Rd	3	0	0	3	0	0	one opposite of T-intersection	2				Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	
commercial entr	0	0	0	0	0	0	private drive = 4	3			(a)	Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	
CSAH 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	included with CSAH 30 inventory	n/a				Eagan	trail rehab	2024	Miscellaneous	
Patrick Rd	5	0	0	3	0	0	private drive on west leg = 2	2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 30
Lexington Pt Pkwy	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Wilderness Run Rd	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 28
Tiffany Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Parkview Ln	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Kirkwood Dr	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
CSAH 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	included with CSAH 32 inventory	n/a				Eagan	repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
TOTAL	74	0	1	62	6	1										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Notes

- (a) privates drives without ramps
- (b) included in current construction project
- (c) odd "dead-end" to trail on west side south of intersection

# Comparison Summary Highway is a County to City jurisdictional transfer candidate Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk) 2023 100% 2016 91%

#### CSAH 46 (Lakeville): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	1	CIP Projects since	e 2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
CSAH 5	Kensett Path	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				fair			Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 5
Kensett Path	Kent Trail	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				fair			Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	to
Kent Trail	Kenyon Av	trail	R	0.15				trail	С	0.15				fair			Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	Highview A
Kenyon Av	I-35W west ramps	trail	Ω	0.11				trail	U	0.11				fair			Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	
I-35W west ramps	I-35W east ramps	trail	Ω	0.20				trail	U	0.20				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	1-35
I-35W east ramps	Kenrick Av	trail	С	0.08				trail	С	0.08				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	to
Kenrick Av	park entrance	trail	_	0.13				trail	R	0.13				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	Ipava Av
park entrance	Java Ln	trail	_	0.10				trail	R	0.10				fair		(a)	Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Java Ln	Jaguar Av	trail	_	0.11				trail	R	0.11				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Jaguar Av	Itasca Tr	trail	R	0.38				trail	R	0.38				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Itasca Tr	Ipava Av	trail	_	0.17				trail	С	0.17				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Ipava Av	161st St W	trail	R	0.16				trail	R	0.16				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	Ipava Av
161st St W	Interlachen Blvd	trail	R	0.20				trail	- 1	0.20				fair			Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	to
Interlachen Blvd	B'ville / AV boundary	trail	_	0.23				trail	R	0.23				fair		(b)	Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	CSAH 23
	TOTAL			2.28	0.00	0.00	0.00			2.28	0.00	0.00	0.00			Total Area	4.56				

#### Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes

- (a) evidence of drainage issues on north side
- (b) approximately 840' of trail on north side is within Burnsville

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	4.56	4.56
Poor or Missing Segments*		0.00
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	4.56	4.56
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	4 56	4 56

<sup>\*</sup> Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were identitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

L	inear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	2.28	100%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	2.28	100%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
None	0.00	0%
TOTAL	2.28	

#### Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial) I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)
- Comparison Summary

Trails rated as fair. Repaying project identified.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 100% 2016 100%

## CSAH 46 (Lakeville): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 46		2023 Invento	ory	- :	2016 Inventory  pplies To Comply No Facility		Curb Ramp	Details	;			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
CSAH 5	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 5
Kensett Path	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	to
Kent Trail	6	0	0	4	0	0	access reconfigured	2				Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	Highview A
Kenyon Av	5	0	0	4	0	0	new signal	2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	trail repaving	2023	Mill & Overlay	
I-35W west ramps	2	0	0	2	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	1-35
I-35W east ramps	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	to
Kenrick Av	6	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	Ipava Av
park entrance	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Java Ln	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Jaguar Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Itasca Tr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	
Ipava Av	3	0	0	3	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	Ipava Av
161st St W	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	to
Interlachen Blvd	4	0	0	2	2	0		2	Yes	Yes		Lakeville	repave, ped ramp	2020	Miscellaneous	CSAH 23
TOTAL	50	0	0	39	6	0			·							

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Comparison Summary

Recent signal and ramp updates in some locations.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 100%

2016 87%

## CSAH 46 (Apple Valley / Lakeville): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land (	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
west city boundary	Hyland Av	trail	R	0.10				trail	R	0.10				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	Ipava Av
Hyland Av	GardenView/Highviev	trail	R	0.14				trail	R	0.14				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	to
GardenView/Highviev	Hawthorn Path	trail	R	0.18				trail	R	0.18				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	CSAH 23
Hawthorn Path	Harwell Av	trail	R	0.21				trail	R	0.21				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Harwell Av	Harmony Way/Path	trail	R	0.20				trail	R	0.20				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Harmony Way/Path	Grove Tr	trail	R	0.26				trail	R	0.26				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Grove Tr	Granada Av	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Granada Av	L'ville Crossing entr.	trail	R	0.08				trail	С	0.08				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
L'ville Crossing entr.	CSAH 23	trail	R	0.12				trail	С	0.12				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
CSAH 23	Glacier Av	trail	R	0.09				trail	С	0.09				good		(a)	AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Glacier Av	Garrett Path	trail	R	0.14				trail	С	0.14				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Garrett Path	Galaxie Av	trail	R	0.24				trail	- 1	0.24				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Galaxie Av	private entrance	trail	С	0.13				trail	С	0.13				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
private entrance	Foliage Av	trail	С	0.12				trail	С	0.12				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Foliage Av	Flagstaff Av	trail	R	0.24				trail	R	0.24				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Flagstaff Av	private entrance	trail	R	0.05				trail	R	0.05				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
private entrance	private entrance	trail	R	0.08				trail	R	0.08				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
private entrance	Finch Av W	trail	R	0.12				trail	R	0.12				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Finch Av W	Fairgreen Av	trail	R	0.21				trail	R	0.21				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Fairgreen Av	Excelsior Dr	trail	R	0.06				trail	R	0.06				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Excelsior Dr	Excel Way (west)	trail	R	0.11				trail	R	0.11				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Excel Way (west)	Excel Way (east)	trail	- 1	0.10				trail	R	0.10				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
Excel Way (east)	private entrance	trail	1	0.13				trail	С	0.13				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
private entrance	CSAH 31	trail	1	0.12				trail	U	0.12				good		(a)	AV / L'ville				
CSAH 31	Elmhurst Ln	trail	R	0.23				trail	С	0.23	0.00			good	Low	(a)	AV / L'ville				
Elmhurst Ln	Elm Creek Ln	trail	R	0.21				trail	R	0.21	0.00			good	Low	(a)	AV / L'ville				
Elm Creek Ln	CR 33	trail	R	0.51				trail	-	0.51	0.00			good	Low	(a)	AV / L'ville				
	TOTAL			4.27	0.00	0.00	0.00			4.27	0.00	0.00	0.00			Total Area	8.54				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes

- (a) north side Apple Valley, south side Lakeville
- (b) area under development on south side

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	8.54	7.59
Poor or Missing Segments*		0.95
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	8.54	8.54
Rural Segments**	0.00	
TOTAL	8.54	8.54

- $^{*}$  Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.
- \*\* Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	4.27	1009
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	09
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	09
Total	4.27	1009
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	09
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	09
Total	0.00	09
None	0.00	09
TOTAL	4.27	

#### Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
  C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### Comparison Summary

Trails upgraded from fair to good between west city boundary and Garrett Path.

Trails added with development east of CSAH 31.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 100%

2016 89%

## CSAH 46 (AV / L'ville): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 46		2023 Invento	iry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp I	Details	;			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Type	Segment
Hyland Av	4	0	0	2	2	0		2				AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	Ipava Av
GardenV/Highview	4	0	0	0	4	0		2	Yes	Yes		AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	to
Hawthorn Path	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	CSAH 23
Harwell Av	4	0	0	2	2	0		2				AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Harmony Way/Path	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Grove Tr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Granada Av	4	0	0	2	2	0		2				AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
L'ville Crossing entr.	4	0	0	2	2	0		2				Lakeville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
CSAH 23	16	0	0	16	0	0		2	Yes	Yes		AV / L'ville	repave, ped ramps	2022	Miscellaneous	
Glacier Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville				
Garrett Path	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				AV / L'ville				
Galaxie Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		AV / L'ville				
private entrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 in AV w/no domes private dr.	3				Apple Valley				
Foliage Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		AV / L'ville				
Flagstaff Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2	Yes	No		AV / L'ville				
private entrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 in L'ville w/ no domes private dr.	3				Lakeville				
private entrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 in AV w/no domes private dr.	3				Apple Valley				
Finch Av W	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				AV / L'ville				
Fairgreen Av	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				AV / L'ville				
Excelsior Dr	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville				
Excel Way (west)	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Lakeville				
Excel Way (east)	4	0	0	4	0	0	2 in AV at park maintainence entr.	2				AV / L'ville				
private entrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 in L'ville w/ no domes private dr.	3				Lakeville				
CSAH 31	2	14	0	2	14		needs upgrade w/ domes	2, 3	Yes	No		AV / L'ville				
Elmhurst Ln	8	0	0	8	0	0		2				AV / L'ville				
Elm Creek Ln	4	0	0	4	0	0		2				AV / L'ville				
CR 33	3	0	1	0	2	2		2				Apple Valley				
TOTAL	95	14	1	78	30	2			-							

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

## Comparison Summary

CSAH 46/CSAH 31 intersection requires attention.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 87% 2016 72%

## CSAH 46 (Rosemount, Empire, Coates & Vermillion): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
CSAH 33	Danville Av W	trail	R	0.18				none	U				0.18	fair	Medium	(a)	Rmnt/Empire				
Danville Av W	Dakota Ln	trail	R	0.17				none	U				0.17	fair	Medium	(a)	Rmnt/Empire				
Dakota Ln	Shannon Pkwy	trail	R	0.11				none	U				0.11	fair	Medium	(a)	Rmnt/Empire				
Shannon Pkwy	Cascade Path	trail	R	0.18				none	U				0.18	fair	Medium	(a)	Rmnt/Empire				
Cascade Path	Chippendale Ave W	trail	R	0.23				none	U				0.23	fair	Medium	(a)	Rmnt/Empire				
Chippendale Ave W	TH 3	trail	С	0.41				none	U				0.41	fair	Medium	(a)	Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	TH 3
TH 3	frontage road (west)	trail	Ω	0.11				none	U			0.11		fair		(a)	Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	to
frontage road (west)	frontage rd (center)	trail	C		0.13			none	U			0.13		poor		(a)	Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	TH 52
frontage rd (center)	frontage road (east)	trail	C		0.23			none	U			0.23		poor		(a)	Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
frontage road (east)	Biscayne Av	trail	C				0.11	none	U				0.11	poor		(a)	Rmnt/Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Biscayne Av	Akron Av	none	Ω				1.42	none	U				1.42	n/a			Rmnt/Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Akron Av	Angus Av	none	Ω				0.53	none	U				0.53	n/a			Rosemount	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Angus Av	Asher Av	none	Ω				0.18	none	U				0.18	n/a			Rosemount	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Asher Av	Barbara Av E	none	Ω				0.16	none	U				0.16	n/a			Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Barbara Av E	Blaine Av	none	Ω				0.55	none	U				0.55	n/a			Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Blaine Av	east corporate limits	none	Ω				0.03	none	U				0.03	n/a			Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
east corporate limits	CR 81	none	С				0.38	none	U				0.38	n/a			Coates	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
CR 81	TH 52	none	С				0.25	none	U				0.25	n/a			Coates	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
TH 52	160th St E	none	С				0.88	none	U				0.88	n/a			Coates	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	160th St
160th St E	Donnelly Av	none	U				0.09	none	U				0.09	n/a			Coates	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Donnelly Av	Emery Av	none	U				1.00	none	U				1.00	n/a		(a)	Rmnt/Vmillion	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	TH 61
Emery Av	Fischer Av	none	U				1.00	none	U				1.00	n/a		(a)	Rmnt/Vmillion	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
	TOTAL			1.39	0.36	0.00	6.59			0.00	0.00	0.47	7.87			Total Area	17.09				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

NOTE: CSAH 46 alignment diverts from section and jurisdictional boundary alignments. For inventory purposes, segments were assigned to the appropriate jurisdiction as closely as possible.

#### Notes

(a) trail showing significant cracking and aging

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	1.39	1.39
Poor or Missing Segments*		15.29
Poor Segments	0.36	
Missing Segments	0.47	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	2.22	16.68
Rural Segments**	14.46	
TOTAL	16.68	16.68

<sup>\*</sup> Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
One Side		
Trail on one side	1.75	20%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Total	1.75	20%
None	6.80	80%
TOTAL	8.55	

- Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### Comparison Summary

No facilities exist east of Biscayne Av.

2023 compliance is considered for only urban/suburban segments.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 63%

2016 8%

CSAH 46 (Rosemount, Empire, Coates & Vermillion): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 46		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp	Details	5			Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Danville Av W	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Rosemount				
Dakota Ln	0	2	0	0	2	0		3				Rosemount				
Shannon Pkwy	2	0	2	0	2	2		2				Rosemount				
Cascade Path	0	2	0	0	2	0		3				Rosemount				
Chippendale Av W	0	2	2	0	2	2		2				Rosemount				
TH 3	0	2	2	0	2	2		4	Yes	No		Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	TH 3
frontage road (west)	0	2	0	0	2	0		4				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	to
frontage rd (center)	0	2	0	0	2	0		4				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	TH 52
frontage road (east)	0	2	0	0	2	0		4				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Biscayne Av	1	0	3	0	1	1		2				Rosemount	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Akron Av	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Rosemount	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Angus Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Asher Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Barbara Av E	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
Blaine Av	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
east corporate limits	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
CR 81	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Empire	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
TH 52	0	0	8	0	0	8		6				Coates	Road reconstr.	2023	Construction	
160th St E	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Coates	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	160th St
Donnelly Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Rosemount	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
Emery Av	0	0	4	0	0	4	•	6				Rosemount	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	TH 61
Fischer Av	0	0	2	0	0	2	•	6				Rosemount	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
TOTAL	5	14	45	2	17	43										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.



No facilities exist east of Biscayne Av.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk)

2023 26%

11% 2016

## CSAH 46/47 (Vermillion Rd - Hastings): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	-	CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
west city limits	General Sieben Dr	none	U			0.07		none	U				0.07	n/a	Medium		Hastings/Marshan	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	CR 48
General Sieben Dr	CSAH 47	none	U			0.16		none	U				0.16	n/a	Medium		Hastings/Marshan	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	to
CSAH 47	Pleasant Dr	none	U			0.37		none	U				0.37	n/a	Medium		Hastings/Marshan	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	TH 61
Pleasant Dr	Village Tr	none	U			0.43		none	U				0.43	n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Village Dr	Riverwood Dr	none	U			0.15		trail	R	0.15				fair	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Riverwood Dr	31st St W	none	U			0.10		trail	R	0.10				fair	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
31st St W	river bridge	trail	R	0.09				trail	- 1	0.09				fair	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
river bridge	Pine St	none	R			0.16		none	-			0.16		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Pine St	Oak St	none	R			0.06		none	- 1			0.06		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Oak St	Maple St	none	R			0.06		none	R			0.06		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Maple St	Walnut St	none	R			0.07		none	-			0.07		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Walnut St	Ashland St	none	R			0.13		none	1			0.13		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Ashland St	Spring St	none	R			0.06		none	- 1			0.06		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Spring St	Eddy St	none	R			0.04		none	- 1			0.04		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
Eddy St	TH 61	none	С			0.10		none	-			0.10		n/a	Medium		Hastings	Repaving	2018	Mill & Overlay	
	TOTAL			0.09	0.00	1.94	0.00			0.33	0.00	0.68	1.03			Total Area	4.07		1		

#### Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.42	0.42
Poor or Missing Segments*		3.65
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	2.62	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	3.04	4.07
Rural Segments**	1.03	
TOTAL	4.07	4.07

 $<sup>{\</sup>it *Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.}\\$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.09	4%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Tota	0.09	4%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.25	12%
Tota	0.25	12%
None	1.69	83%
TOTAL	2.03	

#### Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
  U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

## Comparison Summary

No facilities exist between Biscayne Av in Rosemount and Village Dr

Compliance percentage in 2023 based on urban/suburban land uses only.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 14%

2016 10%

## CSAH 46/47 (Vermillion Rd - Hastings): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 46/47 (Vermillion		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	iry	Curb Ramp	Detail				Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
General Sieben Dr	0	0	2	0	0	2		6			(a)	Hastings/Marshan				
CSAH 47	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Hastings/Marshan				
Pleasant Dr	0	0	2	0	0	2		6			(a)	Hastings/Marshan				
Village Dr	1	0	1	0	1	1		2				Hastings				
Riverwood Dr	2	0	2	0	2	2		2				Hastings				
31st St W	2	0	0	0	2	0		2				Hastings				
river bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Hastings				
Pine St	1	0	0	1	0	0	for Pine St sidewalk	2				Hastings				
Oak St	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Hastings				
Maple St	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Hastings				
Walnut St	0	0	2	0	0	0		6				Hastings				
Ashland St	0	0	2	0	0	0		6				Hastings				
Spring St	0	0	2	0	0	0		6				Hastings				
Eddy St	0	0	2	0	0	0		6				Hastings				
TH 61	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 included in MnDOT inventory	2				Hastings				
TOTAL	6	0	21	1	5	13						·				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Notes

(a) Ramps on the serve local system are offset from County right-of-way

Comparis	on Summary				
Complian	ce Percentage (i	ncludes areas of	no sidewalk)		
2023	100%				
2016	17%				

## CSAH 47 (Northfield Blvd - Hampton): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/I	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Type	Segment
north city boundary	Belmont St	none	U				0.18	none	U				0.18	n/a		(a)	Hampton				
Belmont St	Main St	none	R			0.07		none	R			0.07		n/a	Low		Hampton				
Main St	Grant St	sidewalk	R	0.08				none	R			0.08		fair	Low		Hampton				
Grant St	Hampton Av	sidewalk	R	0.06				none	R			0.06		fair	Low		Hampton				
Hampton Av	TH 50	sidewalk	R	0.05				sidewalk	_	0.05				fair	Low		Hampton				
TH 50	Sun Valley Ln	none	R			0.19		none	U			0.19		n/a	Low	(a)	Hampton				
Sun Valley Ln	CSAH 80	none	U				0.50	none	U				0.50	n/a			Hampton				
	TOTAL			0.19	0.00	0.26	0.68			0.05	0.00	0.40	0.68			Total Area	2.26				

#### Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes

(a) wide shoulder

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.24	0.24
Poor or Missing Segments*		2.02
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.66	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.90	2.26
Rural Segments**	1.36	

TOTAL 2.26 2.26

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

Linear

Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.05	4%
Total	0.05	4%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.14	129
Total	0.14	129
None	0.94	83%
TOTAL	1.13	

#### Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

#### **Comparison Summary**

Compliace percentage in 2023 base on urban/suburban land uses only.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 27% 2016 11%

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

# CSAH 47 (Northfield Blvd - Hampton): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 47 (Northfield Bl		2023 Invento	ry		2016 Invento	ry	Curb Ramp	Detail				Location	Updated		CIP Projects since	2016
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year	Туре	Segment
Belmont St	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Hampton				
Main St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hampton				
Grant St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hampton				
Hampton Av	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Hampton				
TH 50	7	0	0	2	0	2		2				Hampton				
Sun Valley Ln	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Hampton				
CSAH 80	0	0	4	0	0	4		6				Hampton				
TOTAL	13	0	8	8	0	10										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

#### **Curb Ramp Case Ratings**

1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance

2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant 3 Ramps without truncated domes

4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification

5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.

6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Comparison Summary Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk) 2023 100%

100%

## CR 48 (Coates & Rosemount): Sidewalk Inventory

			Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Land	Good/F	Poor	Missing	Rural		Pedestrian Gap			Updated	CIP Projects sin	ce 2016
From	То	East/North	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	West/South	Use	Length	Length	Length	Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Priority	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
Hwy 52 Frontage	Comstock Av	none	R				0.13	none	R				0.13	n/a			Coates	none	none	
Comstock Av	east city boundary	none	U				0.34	none	U				0.34	n/a			Coates	none		
east city boundary	CSAH 46	none	R				0.45	none	U				0.45	n/a		(a)	Rmount & C	none		
	TOTAL			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.92			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.92			Total Area	1.84			

#### Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

#### Notes

(a) majority of the north side of the highway is within Rosemount

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.00	0.00
Poor or Missing Segments*		1.84
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.00	1.84
Rural Segments**	1.84	
TOTAL	1.84	1.84

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rural segments were idenitied as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

	Linear	
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Tota	0.00	0%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00	0%
Tota	0.00	0%
None	0.00	0%
TOTAL All seaments classified as rural.	0.00	

#### Land Use

R	Residential (house, apartment)
С	Commercial (business, industrial)
1	Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
U	Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

## Comparison Summary

No existing or planned facilities. Small number of homes. Highway is a future jurisdictional transfer candidate.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023 100% 2016 0%

## CR 48 (Coates & Rosemount): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CR 48	2023 Inventory			2016 Inventory			Curb Ramp Information					Location	Updated	CIP Projects since 2016	
At	# Ramps	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City	Revisions	Year Type	Segment
Clayton Av E	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Coates	none	none	
Comstock Av	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Coates	none		
east city boundary	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Coates	none		
CSAH 46	0	0	0	0	0	0		6			(a)	Rmount & C	none		
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0									

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

## Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

#### Notes

(a) majority of the north side of the highway is within Rosemount

#### Comparison Summary

0%

2016

No existing or planned facilities. Small number of homes.

Highway is a future jurisdictional transfer candidate.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas of no sidewalk) 2023 0%