

CR 83 (Dickman/Dixie Av - Randolph): Sidewalk Inventory

From	To	East/North	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	West/South	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Pedestrian Gap Priority	Notes	City	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
																			Year	Type	Segment
north city boundary	290th St E	none	U				0.31	none	U				0.31	n/a		(a), (b)	Randolph		none		
290th St E	291st St E	none	R				0.08	sidewalk	R	0.08				fair			Randolph				
291st St E	Upper 291st St	sidewalk	R	0.03				sidewalk	R	0.03				fair		(c)	Randolph				
Upper 291st St	CSAH 88	sidewalk	R	0.05				sidewalk	R	0.05				fair			Randolph				
CSAH 88	Doyle Tr	sidewalk	R	0.09				none	U				0.09	good			Randolph				
Doyle Tr	south city boundary	none	U		gravel		0.39	none	U		gravel		0.39	n/a			Randolph				
TOTAL				0.16	0.00	0.00	0.78			0.15	0.00	0.00	0.79			Total Area	1.88				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

- (a) no shoulders
- (b) residential driveways
- (c) new sidewalk on west side

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.31	0.31
Poor or Missing Segments*		1.57
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.31	1.88
Rural Segments**	1.57	

TOTAL 1.88 1.88

* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

** Rural segments were identified as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

Linear		
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.08	8%
Total	0.08	8%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.17	18%
Total	0.17	18%

None 0.70 74%

TOTAL 0.95

Land Use

R	Residential (house, apartment)
C	Commercial (business, industrial)
I	Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
U	Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary	
Highway is a jurisdictional transfer candidate to the city.	
Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)	
2023	100%
2016	16%

CR 83 (Dickman/Dixie Av - Randolph): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CR 83 (Dickman Av)	2023 Inventory			2016 Inventory			Curb Ramp Information					Location	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
At	# Ramps	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City		Year	Type	Segment
290th St E	1	0	3	1	0	3		2				Randolph		none		
291st St E	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Randolph				
Upper 291st St	2	0	0	2	0	0		2				Randolph				
CSAH 88	0	0	0	0	0	0	included in CSAH 88 inventory	2				Randolph				
Doyle Tr	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Randolph				
south city boundary	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Randolph				
TOTAL	5	0	3	5	0	3										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Comparison Summary

Highway is a jurisdictional transfer candidate to the city.

Compliance Percentage

2023	100%
2016	100%

CSAH 85 (Goodwin Av - Vermillion): Sidewalk Inventory

From	To	East/North	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	West/South	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Pedestrian Gap Priority	Notes	City	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
																			Year	Type	Segment
north city boundary	Reuter Dr	none	R				0.03	none	U		twp					(a)	Vermillion	Repaving	2020	Mill & Overlay	TH 50
Reuter Dr	CSAH 62	none	U				0.47	none	U		twp					(a)	Vermillion	Repaving	2020	Mill & Overlay	to
CSAH 62	south city boundary	none	U				0.50	none	U		twp					(a)	Vermillion	Repaving	2020	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 62
TOTAL				0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			Total Area	1.00				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

(a) east side of highway is Vermillion Township

Land Use

R	Residential (house, apartment)
C	Commercial (business, industrial)
I	Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
U	Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.00	0.00
Poor or Missing Segments*		1.00
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.00	1.00
Rural Segments**	1.00	
TOTAL	1.00	1.00

* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

** Rural segments were identified as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

Comparison Summary

Area represents rural/agricultural uses within city boundaries.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023	100%
2016	0%

		Linear	
		Miles	%
Both Sides			
Trail on both sides	0.00		0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00		0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00		0%
Total	0.00		0%
One Side			
Trail on one side	0.00		0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.00		0%
Total	0.00		0%
None		1.00	100%
TOTAL		1.00	

CSAH 85 (Goodwin Av - Vermillion): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 85 (Goodwin Av)	2023 Inventory			2016 Inventory			Curb Ramp Details					Location	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City		Year	Type	Segment
Reuter Dr	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Vermillion	Repaving	2020	Mill & Overlay	TH 50
CSAH 62	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Vermillion	Repaving	2020	Mill & Overlay	to
south city boundary	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				Vermillion	Repaving	2020	Mill & Overlay	CSAH 62
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Comparison Summary

Area represents rural/agricultural uses within city boundaries

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023	100%
2016	100%

CSAH 85 (Hogan Av - New Trier): Sidewalk Inventory

From	To	East/North	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	West/South	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Pedestrian Gap Priority	Notes	City	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
																			Year	Type	Segment
TH 50	260' south	none	R				0.05	sidewalk	R	0.05				fair			New Trier				
260' south township split	township split	none	U				0.04	none	R					n/a			New Trier				
township split	south city boundary	none	U				0.10	none	R					n/a		(a)	New Trier				
TOTAL				0.00	0.00		0.19			0.05	0.00					Total Area	0.24				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

(a) west side of highway is Hampton Township

Land Use

R	Residential (house, apartment)
C	Commercial (business, industrial)
I	Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
U	Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.05	0.05
Poor or Missing Segments*		0.19
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.05	0.24
Rural Segments**	0.19	
TOTAL	0.24	0.24

* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

** Rural segments were identified as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

Comparison Summary
Entire segment identified as rural land use context. 0.05 miles of sidewalk does exist.
Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)
2023 100%
2016 21%

Linear		
Both Sides	Miles	%
Trail on both sides	0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides	0.00	0%
Total	0.00	0%
One Side		
Trail on one side	0.00	0%
Sidewalk on one side	0.05	21%
Total	0.05	21%
None	0.19	79%
TOTAL	0.24	

CSAH 85 (Hogan Av - New Trier): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 85 (Hogan Av)	2023 Inventory			2016 Inventory			Curb Ramp Details					Location	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City		Year	Type	Segment
260' south	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				New Trier				
township split	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				New Trier				
south city boundary	0	0	0	0	0	0		6				New Trier				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Comparison Summary

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)	
2023	100%
2016	100%

CSAH 88 (292nd St E - Randolph): Sidewalk Inventory

From	To	East/North	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	West/South	Land Use	Good/F Length	Poor Length	Missing Length	Rural Length	Rating (G/F/P)	Pedestrian Gap Priority	Notes	City	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
																			Year	Type	Segment
CR 94	Curtis Ln	none	R				0.11	none	R				0.11	n/a	Low	(a)	Randolph		2023	Reconstruction	CR 94 to TH 56
Curtis Ln	Danel Av	none	R				0.14	none	I				0.14	n/a	Low	(a)	Randolph				
Danel Av	Davisson Av	none	R				0.06	trail	I	0.06				good	Low	(a)	Randolph				
Davisson Av	Dawson Av	trail	I	0.08				none	I				0.08	good	Low	(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Dawson Av	CR 83	sidewalk	R	0.08				none	R				0.08	good	Low	(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
CR 83	Distad Path	sidewalk	R	0.03				none	R				0.03	good	Low	(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Distad Path	Divers Path	sidewalk	R	0.06				sidewalk	C	0.06				good		(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Divers Path	CR 83	none	U				0.02	none	U				0.02	n/a	Low	(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
CR 83	Douglas Av	sidewalk	C				0.03	sidewalk	R				0.03	poor	Low	(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Douglas Av	Duncan Av	none	R				0.17	none	R				0.17	n/a	Low	(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Duncan Av	east city boundary	none	R				0.11	none	U				0.11	n/a	Low	(c)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
TOTAL				0.25	0.00	0.00	0.65			0.12	0.00	0.00	0.78		Total Area		1.80				

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Updated information shown in red text

Rural segments were identified as a Rural Land Use Context in the Dakota County Bicycle and Pedestrian Study

Notes

- (a) no shoulders
- (b) residential driveways
- (c) recent construction project, requires update to inventory

Includes Both Sides	2023	2016
Good or Fair Segments	0.37	0.37
Poor or Missing Segments*		1.43
Poor Segments	0.00	
Missing Segments	0.00	
TOTAL Urban/Suburban Only	0.37	1.80
Rural Segments**	1.43	

TOTAL 1.80 1.80

* Poor and Missing Segments were combined as one category in 2016 for ADA compliance purposes.

** Rural segments were identified as missing segments within municipalities in 2016.

Both Sides		Linear Miles	%
Trail on both sides		0.00	0%
Trail on one side, sidewalk on other		0.00	0%
Sidewalk on both sides		0.09	10%
Total		0.09	10%
One Side			
Trail on one side		0.14	16%
Sidewalk on one side		0.11	12%
Total		0.25	28%
None		0.55	62%

TOTAL 0.89

Land Use

- R Residential (house, apartment)
- C Commercial (business, industrial)
- I Institutional (school, church, park, athletic complex)
- U Undeveloped (open space, utilities, transportation)

Comparison Summary

Compliance percentage in 2023 based on urban/suburban land uses only.

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)

2023	100%
2016	21%

CSAH 88 (292nd St E - Randolph): Pedestrian Ramp Inventory

CSAH 88 (292nd St E)	2023 Inventory			2016 Inventory			Curb Ramp Details					Location	Updated Revisions	CIP Projects since 2016		
At	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Complies	To Comply	No Facility	Additional Description	Case	Signal	APS	Notes	City		Year	Type	Segment
Curtis Ln	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Randolph		2023	Reconstruction	CR 94 to TH 56
Danel Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6				Randolph				
Davisson Av	3	0	1	3	0	1		2				Randolph				
Dawson Av	2	0	2	2	0	2		2			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
CR 83	2	0	2	2	0	2		2			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Distad Path	0	1	1	0	1	1		4			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Divers Path	0	2	2	0	2	2		4			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
CR 83	0	0	2	0	0	2		6			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Douglas Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
Duncan Av	0	0	2	0	0	2		6			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction			
east city boundary	0	0	2	0	0	2		6			(a)	Randolph	Reconstruction	2023	Reconstruction	
TOTAL	7	3	20	7	3	20										

Shaded areas represent priority locations, areas of missing infrastructure and/or areas to address

Curb Ramp Case Ratings

- 1 Ramps with truncated domes that have been checked for compliance
- 2 Ramps that appear substantially compliant
- 3 Ramps without truncated domes
- 4 Ramps in need of construction installation or modification
- 5 Trail exists on one side of road. Trail is at grade & does not require ramps.
- 6 No pedestrian facilities exist.

Notes

(a) recent construction project, requires update to inventory

Comparison Summary

Compliance Percentage (includes areas with sidewalks or trails)	
2023	70%
2016	70%